EARTH SCIENCE MODULE

VERSION 3.5a



How to contact COMSOL:

Benelux

COMSOL BV Röntgenlaan 19 2719 DX Zoetermeer The Netherlands Phone: +31 (0) 79 363 4230 Fax: +31 (0) 79 361 4212 info@comsol.nl www.comsol.nl

Denmark

COMSOL A/S Diplomvej 376 2800 Kgs. Lyngby Phone: +45 88 70 82 00 Fax: +45 88 70 80 90 info@comsol.dk www.comsol.dk

Finland

COMSOL OY Arabianranta 6 FIN-00560 Helsinki Phone: +358 9 2510 400 Fax: +358 9 2510 4010 info@comsol.fi www.comsol.fi

France

COMSOL France WTC, 5 pl. Robert Schuman F-38000 Grenoble Phone: +33 (0)4 76 46 49 01 Fax: +33 (0)4 76 46 07 42 info@comsol.fr www.comsol.fr

Germany

COMSÓL Multiphysics GmbH Berliner Str. 4 D-37073 Göttingen Phone: +49-551-99721-0 Fax: +49-551-99721-29 info@comsol.de www.comsol.de

Italy

COMSOL S.r.I. Via Vittorio Emanuele II, 22 25122 Brescia Phone: +39-030-3793800 Fax: +39-030-3793899 info.it@comsol.com www.it.comsol.com

Norway

COMSOL AS Søndre gate 7 NO-7485 Trondheim Phone: +47 73 84 24 00 Fax: +47 73 84 24 01 info@comsol.no www.comsol.no

Sweden

COMSOL AB Tegnérgatan 23 SE-111 40 Stockholm Phone: +46 8 412 95 00 Fax: +46 8 412 95 10 info@comsol.se www.comsol.se

Switzerland

FEMLAB GmbH Technoparkstrasse I CH-8005 Zürich Phone: +41 (0)44 445 2140 Fax: +41 (0)44 445 2141 info@femlab.ch www.femlab.ch

United Kingdom

COMSOL Ltd. UH Innovation Centre College Lane Hatfield Hertfordshire AL10 9AB Phone:+44-(0)-1707 284746 info.uk@comsol.com www.uk.comsol.com

United States

COMSOL, Inc. I New England Executive Park Suite 350 Burlington, MA 01803 Phone: +1-781-273-3322 Fax: +1-781-273-6603

COMSOL, Inc. 10850 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90024 Phone: +1-310-441-4800 Fax: +1-310-441-0868

COMSOL, Inc. 744 Cowper Street Palo Alto, CA 94301 Phone: +1-650-324-9935 Fax: +1-650-324-9936

info@comsol.com www.comsol.com

For a complete list of international representatives, visit www.comsol.com/contact

Company home page www.comsol.com

COMSOL user forums www.comsol.com/support/forums

Earth Science Module Model Library © COPYRIGHT 1998–2008 by COMSOL AB. All rights reserved

Patent pending

The software described in this document is furnished under a license agreement. The software may be used or copied only under the terms of the license agreement. No part of this manual may be photocopied or reproduced in any form without prior written consent from COMSOL AB.

COMSOL, COMSOL Multiphysics, COMSOL Reaction Engineering Lab, and FEMLAB are registered trademarks of COMSOL AB.

Other product or brand names are trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective holders.

Version: November 2008 COMSOL 3.5a

Part number: CM020702

CONTENTS

Chapter I: Introduction

Model Library Guide						•	•	•			2
Typographical Conventions											4

Chapter 2: Fluid Flow Models

Pore-Scale Flow	8
Introduction	8
Model Definition	9
Results and Discussion	10
References	13
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	13
Fluid Flow to Wells	18
Fluid Flow to Wells: Finite Radius Well	20
Introduction	20
Model Definition	20
Implementation: Extrusion Coupling Variables	21
Results	22
References	24
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	25
Fluid Flow to Wells: Leaky Well	30
Introduction	30
Model Definition	30
Results	31
References	32
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	33
Fluid Flow to Wells: Wellbore Storage	35
Introduction	35

Model Definition	35
Results	36
Reference	38
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	38
Perforated Well	41
Introduction	41
Model Definition	42
COMSOL Multiphysics Implementation—Boundary Flux	43
COMSOL Multiphysics Implementation—High-Accuracy Flux Computation	44
Model Data	44
Results	45
References	47
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	48
Coupled Flow Laws	53
Introduction	53
Model Definition	53
Modeling in COMSOL Multiphysics	57
Model Data	57
Results and Discussion	58
References	60
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	60
Transitional Flow: Darcy-Brinkman-Navier-Stokes	68
Introduction	68
Model Definition	69
Darcy's Law	69
Brinkman Fountions	70
	71
Implementation: Navier-Stokes Initial Guess	72
Implementation: Coupling with Weak Constraints	72
	72
Resulte	73 73
	, J 77
	<i>``</i>
Porous Media Flow: Discrete Fracture	83
Introduction	83

Model Definition
Implementation
Results
Conclusions
Model Data
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface
Variably Saturated Flow 97
Introduction
Model Definition
COMSOL Multiphysics Implementation—Integration Coupling Variables . 101
Results and Discussion
References
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface
Interpolation for Unsaturated Flow I14
Model Definition
Implementation: Interpolation from Scattered Data
Implementation: Interpolation from Experimental Data
Results
References
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface
Two-Phase Flow 129
Introduction
Model Definition
Implementation: Numerical Differentiation to Estimate C
Implementation: Step Change on a Boundary
Data
Results and Discussion
References
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface: Air-Water System 142
Two-Phase Flow: Switching Fluid Pairs
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface:
Air-Oil and Oil-Water
Aquifer Characterization 152
Introduction

Model Definition							154
Results and Discussion							158
References							160
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface				•			161
Forchheimer Flow							175
Introduction							175
Implementation in COMSOL Multiphysics .							176
Model Definition							177
Results and Discussion							179
Reference							180
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface							180

Chapter 3: Flow and Solid Deformation Models

Compaction and Poroelasticity	186
Introduction	186
Model Definition	187
References	188
Terzaghi Compaction	189
Introduction	189
Model Data	190
Results and Discussion	190
References	192
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	192
Biot Poroelasticity	199
Introduction	199
Model Definition	200
Governing Equations	200
Model Data	203
Results and Discussion	204
References	210
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	211

Poroelastic Flow and Deformation: Open-Hole Multilateral Well	217
Introduction	217
Model Definition: Flow and Deformation Simulation	218
Results: Flow and Deformation Simulation	220
Failure Criterion	222
Results: Failure Criterion	223
Conclusions	223
Data	224
Reference	224
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	225

Chapter 4: Solute Transport Models

Solute Injection 234
Introduction
Model Definition
Model Data
Results
References
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface
Buoyancy Flow with Darcy's Law: The Elder Problem 250
Introduction
Model Definition
Model Data
Results and Discussion
References
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface
Variably Saturated Flow and Transport: Sorbing Solute 26
Introduction
Model Definition—Sorbing Solute
Results and Discussion
References
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

Pesticide Transport and Reaction in Soil	288
Introduction	288
Model Definition	288
Results	293
References	296
Modeling Using the COMSOL Reaction Engineering Lab	296
Modeling Using COMSOL Multiphysics	299
Aquifer Water Table Calculation	306
Aquifer Water Table Calculation	306 306
Aquifer Water Table Calculation Introduction Model Definition	306 306 306
Aquifer Water Table Calculation Introduction Model Definition Results and Discussion	306 306 306 310
Aquifer Water Table Calculation Introduction Model Definition Results and Discussion References	306 306 306 310 312
Aquifer Water Table Calculation Introduction Model Definition Results and Discussion References Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	306 306 306 310 312 313

Chapter 5: Heat Transfer Models

Buoyancy Flow in Free Fluids	328
Introduction	. 328
Model Definition	. 329
Implementation: Nondimensional Solutions with Iterative Solver	. 329
Results and Discussion	. 330
References	. 332
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	. 332
Free Convection in Porous Media	338
Introduction	. 338
Model Definition	. 338
Implementation: Initial Conditions for Boussinesq Approximation $\ . \ .$. 339
Results	. 340
Reference	. 341
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	. 341
Phase Change	348
Introduction	. 348

Model Definition				•					349
Implementation				•					350
Results									350
References	•	•	•						353
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface	•	•		•					354
Phase Change Without Latent Heat		•	•	•		•			356
Phase Change for Varying Transition Intervals		•		•		•			357

Chapter 6: Multiphysics Models

Electrokinetic and Magnetic Fields Inside a Volcano	360
Introduction	360
Model Definition	361
2D Model with Topography and Electroosmotic Force	363
Results—2D Model with Topography and Electroosmotic Force	364
Magnetic Field Postprocessing—Biot-Savart's Law	367
Results—Postprocessing the Magnetic Field	368
3D Model of Fluid Flow, Electrostatics, and Magnetostatics	368
Results—3D Model of Fluid Flow, Electrostatics, and Magnetostatics $\ . \ .$	368
References	369
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface—2D Model	370
Modeling Using the Programming Language	376
Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface—3D Model	377

INDEX

383

Introduction

The *Earth Science Module Model Library* contains write-ups and documentation for a number of geophysical and environmental scenarios. Many of the tools discussed here will help your modeling in this module and elsewhere in COMSOL Multiphysics. We encourage you to browse and explore. An important strength of COMSOL Multiphysics is the inheritance that comes from a community of researchers across many different disciplines. Tapping into the variety available in COMSOL Multiphysics will enhance your modeling. Guaranteed.

In this library, the example models fall into five groups:

- Fluid flow
- Solute transport
- Flow and deformation
- Heat transfer
- Multiphysics.

The models typically involve one or more application modes from the Earth Science Module. Others, such as the poroelasticity and electrokinetic volcano flow examples, use application modes from elsewhere in COMSOL Multiphysics. The models serve as a reference and also provide a head start for your own analyses. The ready-to-run models come with theoretical background as well as instructions that illustrate how to set them up. You can freely modify the model files, change the geometries and material properties, alter the equations, and add new physics to the file. Building on the shoulders of others saves time and adds insight.

Some models come from experts using COMSOL Multiphysics in their work and research. Others were put together by our staff engineers who have years of experience in Earth science; they are your peers, using the language and terminology needed to get across the sophisticated concepts in these advanced topics. Many of the models have analytic solutions. Some are benchmark models designed to test the metal of dedicated physics programs.

The model descriptions range in detail. Those that describe each step are useful as tutorials. Others are in-depth examples that focus on advanced or unusual options that you can use once you are familiar with COMSOL Multiphysics modeling. Before tackling the in-depth models, we urge you to take a look at a few of the basic variety.

The Earth Science Module User's Guide covers the equation set up, offers some insights on the underlying physics, and includes some fundamental modeling techniques for each application mode. The COMSOL Multiphysics User's Guide and the COMSOL Multiphysics Quick Start and Quick Reference manual provide additional information about modeling in the graphical user interface. For details about modeling with a programming language, look to the COMSOL Multiphysics MATLAB Interface Guide.

Each example comes with a COMSOL Multiphysics Model MPH-file that you can browse, modify and postprocess. This allows you to follow along with every step along the way whether you make the model or not. A few of the models also include application modes from other optional packages from COMSOL.

Model Library Guide

The table below summarizes key information about each entry in the *Earth Science Module Model Library*. The models are grouped according to the application area. The table also shows the equations used in each model.

The solution time is the elapsed time measured on a machine running Windows Vista with a 2.6 GHz AMD Athlon X2 Dual Core 500 CPU and 2 GB of RAM. For models

with a sequential solution strategy, the Solution Time column shows the combined elapsed time for all solution steps.

TABLE I-I: EARTH SCIENCI	MODULE MODEL LIBRARY

MODEL	PAGE									
		μ	OKES	s	Ň		NO	No &	F	
		NOL	R-ST TION	MAN	л S	RDS'	UCT	UCT	POR	~
		DLUJ	AIVE QUA	SUA:	ARC)		OND	OND		THEI
		ŭ	Ζŭ	Ξŭ	٥	Ζŭ	Û	00	νĒ	0
FLUID FLOW										
Pore-Scale Flow	8	27 s	\checkmark							
Fluid Flow to Wells: Finite Well	20	ls			\checkmark					
Fluid Flow to Wells: Leaky Well	30	ls			\checkmark					
Fluid Flow to Wells: Wellbore Storage	35	ls			\checkmark					
Perforated Well	41	ll s								
Coupled Flow Laws	53	ls		\checkmark						
Transitional Flow: Darcy-Brinkman–	68	19 s	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark					
Navier-Stokes										
Porous Media Flow: Discrete Fracture	83	35 s								
Variably Saturated Flow	97	4 s				\checkmark				
Interpolation for Unsaturated Flow	114	5 min				\checkmark				
Two-Phase Flow: Air/Water	129	4 min			\checkmark					
Two-Phase Flow: Air/Oil	129	3 min			\checkmark					
Two-Phase Flow: Oil/Water	129	3 min			\checkmark					
Aquifer Characterization [¤]	152	22 min			\checkmark					\checkmark
Forchheimer Flow	175	8 s	\checkmark	\checkmark						
SOLID DEFORMATION										
Terzaghi Compaction	186	ls			\checkmark					
Biot Poroelasticity	199	5 s			\checkmark					\checkmark
Poroelastic Flow and Deformation: Open-Hole Multilateral Well	217	23 s			\checkmark					\checkmark
SOLUTE TRANSPORT										
Solute Injection	234	2 s			\checkmark				\checkmark	
Buoyancy Flow with Darcy's Law: The Elder Problem	250	37 s			\checkmark				\checkmark	

TABLE I-I: EARTH SCIENCE MODULE MODEL LIBRARY

MODEL	PAGE	OLUTION TIME	AIVER-STOKES QUATIONS	RINKMAN QUATIONS	ARCY'S LAW	CHARDS' QUATION	ONDUCTION	ONVECTION & ONDUCTION	DLUTE RANSPORT	THER
		Ň	Ζŭ	ωũ	٥	Ζŭ	υ	00	νĒ	0
Variably Saturated Flow and Transport: Sorbing Solute	267	6 min				\checkmark			\checkmark	
Pesticide Transport and Reaction in ${\rm Soil}^\dagger$	288	17 min				V			\checkmark	
Aquifer Water Table Calculation	306	55 s			\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark
HEAT TRANSFER										
Buoyancy Flow in Free Fluids	328	2 min	\checkmark					\checkmark		
Free Convection in Porous Media	338	14 s		\checkmark				\checkmark		
Phase Change	348	6 s					\checkmark			
MULTIPHYSICS										
Electrokinetic and Magnetic Fields Inside a Volcano 2D [‡]	360	14 s			\checkmark					\checkmark
Electrokinetic and Magnetic Fields Inside a Volcano 3D [‡]	360	2 min			\checkmark					\checkmark

[°] This model requires the Optimization Lab.

[†] This model requires the COMSOL Reaction Engineering Lab.

[‡] This model requires the AC/DC Module.

Typographical Conventions

All COMSOL manuals use a set of consistent typographical conventions that should make it easy for you to follow the discussion, realize what you can expect to see on the screen, and know which data you must enter into various data-entry fields. In particular, you should be aware of these conventions:

• A **boldface** font of the shown size and style indicates that the given word(s) appear exactly that way on the COMSOL graphical user interface (for toolbar buttons in the corresponding tooltip). For instance, we often refer to the **Model Navigator**, which is the window that appears when you start a new modeling session in COMSOL; the corresponding window on the screen has the title **Model Navigator**. As another example, the instructions might say to click the **Multiphysics** button, and the boldface font indicates that you can expect to see a button with that exact label on the COMSOL user interface.

- The names of other items on the graphical user interface that do not have direct labels contain a leading uppercase letter. For instance, we often refer to the Draw toolbar; this vertical bar containing many icons appears on the left side of the user interface during geometry modeling. However, nowhere on the screen will you see the term "Draw" referring to this toolbar (if it were on the screen, we would print it in this manual as the **Draw** menu).
- The symbol > indicates a menu item or an item in a folder in the Model Navigator. For example, Physics>Equation System>Subdomain Settings is equivalent to: On the Physics menu, point to Equation System and then click Subdomain Settings.
 COMSOL Multiphysics>Heat Transfer>Conduction means: Open the COMSOL Multiphysics folder, open the Heat Transfer folder, and select Conduction.
- A Code (monospace) font indicates keyboard entries in the user interface. You might see an instruction such as "Type 1.25 in the **Current density** edit field." The monospace font also indicates code.
- An *italic* font indicates the introduction of important terminology. Expect to find an explanation in the same paragraph or in the Glossary. The names of books in the COMSOL documentation set also appear using an italic font.

Fluid Flow Models

This chapter contains a suite of models demonstrating the fluid-flow modeling capabilities in the Earth Science Module for modeling, for example, fluid flow to wells, porous media flow, and two-phase flow.

Pore-Scale Flow

Introduction

This unconventional model of porous media flow uses the Navier-Stokes equations in the interstices of a porous media. The model comes from pore-scale flow experiments conducted by Arturo Keller, Maria Auset, and Sanya Sirivithayapakorn of the University of California, Santa Barbara. To produce the model geometry they scanned electron microscope images. This type of non-conventional pore-scale modeling with COMSOL Multiphysics sheds new light on the movement of large particulates, colloids, moving through variable-pore geometries in the subsurface. Several of these researchers have published results from their COMSOL Multiphysics modeling in the publication *Water Resources Research* (Ref. 1 and Ref. 2).

Keller, Auset, and Sirivithayapakorn designed their lab experiments on the basis of scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of thinly sliced rock sections (Figure 2-1). They etched the geometric patterns from the images onto a solid with an elaborate process similar to the etching of silicon wafers. They then transferred these images to DXF files, which they finally imported into COMSOL Multiphysics.



Figure 2-1: Scanning electron microscope image of the repeat pattern in the silicon wafer. The scale at bottom indicates that pore throat and body dimensions are on the order of $1 \mu m$ -100 μm (Ref. 1).

It is typical to represent fluid flow in the subsurface as a continuum process using average or "continuous" properties for the bulk rather than detailing the shape and orientation of each solid particle within a porous medium. Inserting the bulk properties into an equation such as Darcy's law gives an average flow rate for the total volume. While bulk approximations typically produce excellent estimates sufficient for considering flow over large areas, they miss the between-grain nuances that a close-up Navier-Stokes analysis describes.

This example takes one of the 2D micromedia images of Keller, Auset, and Sirivithayapakorn and solves for velocities and pressures of pore fluids using the Navier-Stokes equations for Cartesian coordinates. The exercise imports the geometry as a DXF file and does not mesh any unneeded regions. Plotting includes 3-dimensionalizing a 2D surface plot by adding height data. Boundary integration quantitatively evaluates fluxes.

Model Definition

The entire model covers 640 μ m by 320 μ m. Water generally moves from right to left across the geometry. Flow is laminar in the pores and does not enter the grains. The inlet and outlet fluid pressures are known. Assume flow is symmetric about the top and bottom boundaries. The primary zone of interest is the rectangular region with an upper left corner at (0, 0) μ m and lower right coordinates at (581.6, -265.0) μ m.

Assuming fluids in the pore spaces are at constant density and also that temperature is constant, the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations describe the flow. Owing to the problem's small scale, the model does not include a body force that accounts for gravity.

At the model's physical boundaries, the inlet pressure and the outlet pressure are known. Velocities are zero at the grain boundaries, which implies a no-slip condition. Flow is symmetric about the top and bottom boundaries. Table 2-1 summarizes the boundary conditions.

BOUNDARY TYPE	BOUNDARY CONDITION	VALUE
Inlet	Pressure, no viscous stress	$p = p_0$
Outlet	Pressure, no viscous stress	p = 0
Grain walls	Wall	-
Symmetry sides	Symmetry	-

TABLE 2-1: BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Here p_0 is a specified pressure drop.

Table 2-2 collects the relevant model data.

QUANTITY	VALUE	DESCRIPTION
ρ ₀	1000 kg/m ³	Fluid density
η_0	0.001 kg/(m·s)	Dynamic viscosity
p_0	0.715 Pa	Pressure drop

TABLE 2-2: MODEL DATA

Results and Discussion

Figure 2-2 shows the COMSOL Multiphysics solution predicted with a Navier-Stokes analysis for the fluid velocity field in the pore spaces of a micro-scale porous medium. The velocity magnitude is higher in the narrowest pores than at the inlet, tending to decrease in stretches where the cross-sectional area for the flow increases.



Figure 2-2: Surface and arrow plots of the velocity field.

Figure 2-3 gives the velocity field plotted with a height that corresponds to pressure head. The figure clearly shows stagnant zones that exist within the dead-end reaches of big pores.



Figure 2-3: Velocity field (color) and pressure head (height, μm) within the study section.

The figure reveals that the highest velocities tend to occur in narrow pores with high pressure drops, as you might expect. Figure 2-4 shows a close-up view of a region near the exit, revealing that high velocities also develop in wide channels where pressure gradients are relatively small but multiple "tributaries" combine.



Figure 2-4: Close-up of velocity magnitude (color) and pressure head (height) near the outlet.

The domain plot in Figure 2-5 shows the x-velocity at the outlet. The velocities are negative because the flow is moving in the negative x direction.



Figure 2-5: Normal velocity along the outlet boundaries.

1. M. Auset and A.A. Keller, "Pore-scale processes that control dispersion of colloids in saturated porous media," *Water Resources Research*, vol. 40, no. 3, 2004.

2. S. Sirivithayapakorn and A.A. Keller, "Transport of colloids in saturated porous media: A pore-scale observation of the size exclusion effect and colloid acceleration," *Water Resources Research*, vol. 39, no. 4, 2003.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/pore_scale

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator, and in the Space dimension list select 2D.
- 2 From the list of application modes choose

Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Incompressible Navier-Stokes. Click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

- I Import the geometry from the DXF file. From the File menu, select Import>CAD Data From File and then navigate to pore_scale.dxf.
- 2 Accept the default settings for the geometry import. Click **OK**.
- 3 Click the Coerce to Solid button on the Draw toolbar.



- 4 Click the **Split Object** button on the Draw toolbar.
- 5 With the mouse, select the object CO2, which corresponds to the open flow region.
- 6 Copy the geometry object by pressing Ctrl+C.
- 7 Select all objects by pressing Ctrl+A. Delete all objects by pressing the Delete key.
- **8** Paste the copied object by pressing Ctrl+V, then click **OK** in the **Displacement** dialog box that appears.
- **9** Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar to center the geometry in the field of view.

The geometry's dimensions is in microns. To take advantage of COMSOL Multiphysics' unit-handling capabilities, finish the geometry modeling stage by rescaling the geometry to the SI length unit meter:

IO Click the **Scale** button on the Draw toolbar.

II In the Scale factor area, type 1e-6 in the x and y edit fields. Click OK.

12 Click the Zoom Extents button once again.

The geometry in the drawing area on your screen should now look like that in the figure below.



OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

- I From the Physics menu, select Model Settings.
- 2 Clear the Simplify expressions check box, then click OK.
- 3 From the Options menu, select Constants.

- NAMEEXPRESSIONDESCRIPTIONrho01000[kg/m^3]Fluid densityeta00.001[kg/(m*s)]Dynamic viscosityp00.715[Pa]Pressure drop
- **4** Enter the following names, expressions, and (optionally) descriptions; when done, click **OK**.

PHYSICS SETTINGS

Subdomain Settings

From the **Physics** menu, select **Subdomain Settings**. Select Subdomain 1, then enter material properties from the following table; when done, click **OK**.

VARIABLE	SUBDOMAIN I
ρ	rho0
η	eta0

Boundary Conditions

From the **Physics** menu, select **Boundary Settings**. For the various boundaries in the following table, enter the corresponding settings; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARIES I-6	BOUNDARIES 7–25	BOUNDARIES 26, 27	ALL OTHERS
Boundary type	Outlet	Symmetry boundary	Inlet	Wall
Boundary condition	Pressure, no viscous stress	-	Pressure, no viscous stress	No slip
Po	0		p0	

MESH GENERATION

I From the Mesh menu, open the Free Mesh Parameters dialog box.

2 On the Global page, click the Custom mesh size button, then enter the following data:

GLOBAL MESH PARAMETERS	EXPRESSION
Maximum element size	1e-5
Element growth rate	1.6
Mesh curvature factor	0.3

3 On the Boundary page, select Boundaries 1-6.

4 In the Maximum element size edit field type 3.

- 5 On the Advanced page, set the Resolution of geometry to 20.
- 6 Click the Remesh button. When the mesher has finished, click OK.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate Figure 2-2 follow these steps:

- I From the **Postprocessing** menu, open the **Plot Parameters** dialog box.
- 2 On the General page, select the Surface and Arrow check boxes.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. From the Predefined quantities list on the Surface Data page, select Velocity field. In the Unit edit field, type um/s to display the velocity in the unit μ m/s.
- 4 Click the Arrow tab. From the Predefined quantities list on the Subdomain Data page, select Velocity field.
- 5 In the Arrow positioning area, in both the x points and y points edit fields enter 40.
- 6 Click Apply.

To generate Figure 2-3 continue with these steps:

- I Return to the **Plot Parameters** dialog box and clear the **Arrow plot** check box on the **Arrow** page.
- 2 On the Surface page, click the Height Data tab. Select the Height data check box, and in the Expression edit field enter p/(rho0*9.82[m/s^2]). From the Unit list, select um to display the pressure head in μm.
- 3 Click OK.

To generate Figure 2-4 continue with this step:

Define the area of interest. Go to the 3D Draw toolbar and activate the **Dolly In/Out** icon, or go to the Main toolbar and select the **Zoom Window** tool.

To generate Figure 2-5 continue with these steps:

- I From the **Postprocessing** menu, open the **Domain Plot Parameters** dialog box (because you are evaluating quantities on boundaries).
- 2 Click the Line/Extrusion tab. In the Boundary selection list select Boundaries 1-6.
- 3 From the Predefined quantities list in the y-axis data area, select x-velocity.
- 4 In the x-axis data area, click the lower option button and then the Expression button.

- **5** In the **X-Axis Data** dialog box, type y in the **Expression** edit field and **um/s** in the **Unit** edit field. Click **OK**.
- 6 Click OK to close the Domain Plot Parameters dialog box and generate the plot.

To visualize particle tracing based on Stokes law continue with the following steps.

- I From the **Options** menu, open the **Constants** dialog box.
- 2 Add the following constants; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	EXPRESSION
p_mass	1[ug]	Particle mass
p_rad	1[um]	Particle radius
p_vol	4/3*pi*p_rad^3	Particle volume
g	0[m/s^2]	Acceleration due to gravity

As already mentioned, the small model dimensions allow you to ignore the effects of gravity. Including the constant **g** with value zero facilitates adapting the model to situations where gravity plays a significant role.

- 3 From the Solve menu, select Update Model.
- 4 From the Postprocessing menu, open the Plot Parameters dialog box.
- 5 On the Surface page, clear the Height data check box on the Height Data page.
- 6 On the General page, select the Arrow and Particle tracing check boxes in the Plot type area (leave the Surface and Geometry edges check boxes selected).
- 7 Click the **Particle Tracing** tab. In the **Mass** edit field, type p_mass.
- 8 In the F_x edit field, type -6*pi*p_rad*eta0*(partu-u), and in the F_y edit field, type (p_mass-rho0*p_vol)*g-6*pi*p_rad*eta0*(partv-v).
- 9 Click the Start Points tab. In the x edit field, type 600e-6.
- **IO** Click the **Edit** button to the right of the **y** edit field.

II In the Edit dialog box, enter the following data; when done, click Add.

First value	-275e-6
Last value	-80e-6
Number of values	25

12 On the **Initial Values** page, set the **Initial velocity** components to u, v, and 0.

I3 On the **Line Color** page, click the **Color** button. Select yellow, then click **OK**.

14 Click OK in the Plot Parameters dialog box.

Fluid Flow to Wells

Analyzing fluid flow to and from wells is critical in managing groundwater and oil resources, excavating subsurface structures, and cleaning up pollution.

The following figure shows a well withdrawing fluids from a reservoir. The well sits within a casing of relatively large diameter. The fluids moving to it are under pressure because the reservoir section that the well taps is bounded above and below, in a layer cake, by relatively impermeable materials or confining layers. The pumping generates a cone of depression in the hydraulic potential field that both expands outward and deepens with time. However, because the fluids completely fill the pore spaces, the cone of depression represents *drawdown* in the pressure potential rather than the fluid content.



Figure 2-6: Schematic flow to a well in a confined aquifer. After Hsieh (Ref. 1).

This model demonstrates three analyses of flow to wells following lectures and class notes from Paul Hsieh of the U.S. Geological Survey and Stanford University (Ref. 1). In the first example, the well has a finite radius such that the hydraulic potential inside it equals the potential at the edge. In the second example, the confining layers make imperfect seals, so fluids from overlying reservoirs leak into the pumped aquifer. In the third analysis, the fluids inside the well or wellbore storage must be removed before reservoir fluids are extracted. This example compares COMSOL Multiphysics solutions to classic examples from Theis (Ref. 2), Hantush and Jacob (Ref. 3), and Papadopoulos and Cooper (Ref. 4).

This simple sequence of 1D axisymmetric models uses the Darcy's Law application mode from the Earth Science Module to analyze time-dependent flow to wells. The model domain is semi-infinite, but the analyses zoom in on a relatively small zone of interest and uses convenient commands for setting timed outputs over long durations.

To account for the finite well radius in the first analysis, this study extends results at the well edge over the well interior using extrusion coupling variables. To account for leakage in the second example, it adds a source term to the governing equation. To include wellbore storage impacts in the third example, you add an ordinary differential equation as boundary condition using weak formulations (with a "dweak" term). The model also demonstrates how to include externally generated solutions or data in COMSOL Multiphysics plots. For a 2D example involving a point well, see "Solute Injection" on page 234. A 3D well analysis appears in the section "Perforated Well" on page 41.

Fluid Flow to Wells: Finite Radius Well

Introduction

This first example in the model sequence "Fluid Flow to Wells" on page 18 defines transient flow to a well of finite radius in a confined aquifer. This discussion then compares the results from this analysis to the well-known solution for flow to a point well (Theis, Ref. 2). What distinguishes this model from the Theis problem is the well geometry because the analytical solution describes the well as a point source that produces unphysical results inside the wellbore. The COMSOL Multiphysics analysis produces a physically based solution in the well using extrusion-coupling variables to extend the results at the well edge over the well interior.

Apart from the well geometry, assumptions underlying the Theis problem apply. The reservoir is of infinite horizontal extent and is confined above and below by impermeable layers. As the well fully penetrates the reservoir, withdrawals are uniform along its length, making flow entirely horizontal. The problem neglects storage in the well. Fluids are released instantaneously from storage in the aquifer. Prior to pumping, the flow field is at steady state. Flow is horizontal, does not vary with depth, and equipotentials are axisymmetric about the wellhead.

Taking advantage of symmetry, you can approximate the semi-infinite aquifer as a long line, here 10 km. A 1-km segment represents the zone of interest. The well radius is 0.1 m. The hydraulic conductivity, *K*, is 10^{-4} m/s, and the thickness, *b*, is 50 m. The storage coefficient for pressure, $S (\text{m-s}^2/\text{kg})$, equals $\text{Ss/}\rho_f g$. Ss is the specific storage for hydraulic head of 10^{-5} m⁻¹, ρ_f represents the fluid density (kg/m³), and *g* is gravity (m/s²). The pumping rate, *W*, of 0.05 m³/s is constant. The initial pressure, p_0 , is 9.82·10⁵ Pa. The period of interest is 10^7 s or roughly four months.

Model Definition

You typically define fluid flow in the subsurface by inserting Darcy's law for fluid velocity into an equation of continuity. For a dependent variable of pressure p (kg/(m·s²)), the governing equation reads

$$S\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[-\frac{K}{\rho_{\rm f}g} (\nabla p + \rho_{\rm f}g \nabla D) \right] = Q_s \,. \label{eq:solution}$$

where S is the storage coefficient $(m \cdot s^2/kg)$; K is the hydraulic conductivity (m/s); D is the vertical coordinate (m), to be specified in such a way that ∇D becomes the unit vector in the vertical direction; and Q_s is a volumetric flow rate per unit volume of aquifer (1/s). In this analysis you set D to zero because the analysis is 1D horizontal.

The drawdown, d_{r} (m), is

$$d_{\rm r} = \frac{p_0 - p_{\rm f}}{\rho_{\rm f} g}$$

where p_0 is the pressure at the onset of pumping. Fluid moves into the well with a velocity described by Darcy's law (here with ∇D set to zero)

$$-\frac{K}{\rho_{\rm f}g}\nabla p = \frac{W}{2\pi r_{\rm w}b}$$

where $W(m^3 \cdot s)$ is the volumetric pumping rate for a cylindrical wellbore, $r_w(m)$ represents the well radius, and b(m) gives the vertical length of the pumping interval, in this case the aquifer thickness. The distill boundary is sufficiently far from the well that the pumping does not affect it.

The boundary and initial conditions for this model are

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left(-\frac{K}{\rho_{\rm f}g} \nabla p\right) = -\frac{W}{2\pi r_{\rm w}b} \qquad \partial \Omega \quad \text{Well}$$
$$p = p_0 \qquad \qquad \partial \Omega \quad \infty$$
$$p = p_0 \qquad \qquad t = 0$$

where **n** is the normal to the boundary.

Implementation: Extrusion Coupling Variables

The finite element method is sufficiently robust to estimate the pressure precisely at the well screen, $r = r_w$, rather than averaging over a square (finite difference) or reducing the well to a point (analytic). Because pressure is continuous in fluids, the pressure inside the well equals the pressure just outside of it.

This axisymmetric model begins at the center of the well, at r = 0. If you "turn off" equations inside the well, where $r < r_w$, the well screen becomes a boundary. To see

the pressure in the well, extrude the solution at r_w through the well interior using coupling variables. Extrusion-coupling variables take information from one type of model domain (such as the boundary at the well radius) and extrude it over other domain types (such as the subdomain inside the well). This can be very powerful modeling feature. For example, with coupling variables you can express material properties that depend on the solution at some critical zone in the model, or define one boundary using results for another to give identical conditions. For more information on coupling variables, refer to "Using Coupling Variables" on page 269 of the *COMSOL Multiphysics User's Guide*.

Results

Figure 2-7 illustrates the COMSOL Multiphysics solution for flow to a finite radius well. The results at varying times for a 1000-m extent show the cone of depression the pumping produces. Because the solution never reaches steady state, pressures drop with time.



Figure 2-7: COMSOL Multiphysics solution for fluid pressure near a finite radius well along the line r < 1000 m. Results are for logarithmically spaced times from 0 to 11.5 days.

Figure 2-8 plots the solution as drawdown versus time at five points in the aquifer; it also gives the Theis estimates for drawdown. Unlike the pressure solution, the drawdown increases with time and decreases with distance from the well. The author calculated the Theis estimates within COMSOL Multiphysics and added them to the plot.



Figure 2-8: COMSOL Multiphysics results (solid lines with circles) shown with the Theis (Ref. 2) analytic solution (dashed lines) for drawdown in the aquifer at $r = r_w$, 1 m, 10 m, 100 m, and 1000 m.

The COMSOL Multiphysics and Theis estimates are very similar outside the well. What happens inside the well, however, is critical in many analyses. Figure 2-9 is a close-up view showing that COMSOL Multiphysics gives a physically-based solution inside the wellbore. The analytic estimates change unrealistically inside the wellbore because they represent withdrawals from a point.



Figure 2-9: COMSOL Multiphysics drawdown solution for the finite radius well (solid lines with circles) shown with the Theis estimates for a point well (dashed lines) along the line r < 0.3 m.

The solution at the well radius appears inside the wellbore via extrusion-coupling variables from COMSOL Multiphysics.

References

1. P. Hsieh, course notes for GES 236—Hydraulic and Tracer Tests for Groundwater Resource Evaluation, Stanford University, 2003, http://pangea.stanford.edu/hydro/classes/GES236/236notes.htm

2. C.V. Theis, "The relationship between the lowering of the piezometric surface and the rate and duration of discharge of a well using ground-water storage," *Transactions American Geophysical Union*, vol. 16, 1935.

3. M.S. Hantush and C.E. Jacob, "Nonsteady radial flow in an infinite leaky aquifer," *EOS Transactions American Geophysical Union*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 95–100, 1955.

4. I.S. Papadopoulos and H.H. Cooper Jr., "Drawdown in a Well of Large Diameter," *Water Resources Research*, vol. 3, no. 1, 1967.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/finite_well

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator. From the Space dimension list select Axial symmetry (ID).
- **2** In the list of application modes select

Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Pressure analysis>Transient analysis. Click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

- I From the Draw menu, select Specify Objects>Line.
- 2 In the Coordinates: r edit field, enter 0 0.1 1000 10000. Click OK.
- 3 Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

I Select the menu item **Options>Constants**, then enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions (optional); when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
rho_f	1000[kg/m^3]	Fluid density
Ks	1e-4[m/s]	Hydraulic conductivity
Ss	1e-5[1/m]	Specific storage for hydraulic head
W	0.05[m^3/s]	Pumping rate
b	50[m]	Thickness
r_w	0.1[m]	Well radius
p0	9.82e5[Pa]	Initial pressure

2 Choose Options>Expressions>Scalar Expressions.

NAME	EXPRESSION
u	Ss*r^2/(4*Ks*t)
Wu	(-0.5772+u-0.25*u^2+0.055*u^3-0.01*u^4+0.001*u^5-log(u))* (u<1)+(exp(-u)*(u^4+8.58*u^3+18.06*u^2+8.64*u+0.27)/ (u^4+9.57*u^3+25.63*u^2+21.1*u+3.96)/u)*(u>=1)
Theis	W/(4*pi*Ks*b)*Wu

3 Enter the following names and expressions; when done, click OK.

4 Choose Options>Expressions>Subdomain Expressions.

5 Define the following expressions on the indicated domains; when done, click OK.

NAME	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAINS 2, 3
pressure	p_well	q
drawdown	(p0-p_well)/(rho_f*g_esdl)	(p0-p)/(rho_f*g_esdl)

6 Choose Options>Extrusion Coupling Variables>Boundary Variables.

7 On the Source page, select Boundary 2 and enter the following data in the table:

NAME	EXPRESSION	
p_well	р	

8 Click the General transformation option button.

9 Click the **Destination** tab, then specify the following settings:

LEVEL	SUBDOMAIN SELECTION
Subdomain	1

IO Click OK.

PHYSICS SETTINGS

Application Scalar Variables

- I Choose Physics>Scalar Variables.
- 2 Change the elevation coordinate **D_esdl** to 0 (because the model is 1D axisymmetric in the horizontal plane).
- 3 Click OK to close the Application Scalar Variables dialog box.

Subdomain Settings

- I From the Physics menu, open the Subdomain Settings dialog box.
- 2 Select Subdomain 1. Clear the Active in this domain check box.
- 3 Select Subdomains 2 and 3. From the Storage term list, select User defined.
- **4** Go to the other drop-down list and change the setting from **Permeability** to **Hydraulic conductivity**. Enter the following expressions:

PROPERTY	EXPRESSION	
S	Ss/(rho_f*g_esdl)	
Ks	Ks	

5 Click the Init tab, and in the Initial value edit field enter p0. Click OK.

Boundary Conditions

I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.

2 Enter the following boundary conditions; when done, click **OK**.

BOUNDARY CONDITION	QUANTITY	BOUNDARY 2	BOUNDARY 4
Inward flux	N ₀	-W/(2*pi*r_w*b)	
Pressure	Po		p0

MESH GENERATION

- I From the Mesh menu, select Free Mesh Parameters.
- **2** Click the **Subdomain** tab, select Subdomain 2, and in the **Maximum element size** edit field enter 10.
- 3 Click the **Boundary** tab, select Boundary 2, and in the **Maximum element size** edit field enter 0.001. Click **OK**.
- 4 Click the Initialize Mesh button on the Main toolbar.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I From the Solve menu, open the Solver Parameters dialog box. Verify in the Solver list that Time dependent is selected.
- 2 To specify output times for the model, click the General tab and go to the Time stepping area. In the Times edit field type 0, then click the Edit button on the right. In the Edit dialog box, enter the First value -2, the Last value 6, and set the Number of values to 81. From the Function to apply to all values list, select expl0.
- 3 Click Add to close the Edit dialog box and append a range of 81 exponentially increasing times from 10^{-2} to 10^{6} time units to the Times edit field.
- 4 Click OK to close the Plot Parameters dialog box.
- 5 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate Figure 2-7 proceed as follows:

- I From the Postprocessing menu, select Domain Plot Parameters.
- 2 In the Solutions to use list select 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, 10000, 100000, and 10e5.
- 3 Click the Title/Axis button. Enter a title as appropriate, then click OK.
- 4 Click the Line/Extrusion tab. Select Subdomains 1 and 2.
- 5 From the Predefined quantities list, select Pressure.
- 6 In the x-axis data area, click first the lower option button and then the Expression button. In the X-Axis Data dialog box, type r in the Expression edit field. Click OK.
- 7 Click the Line Settings button. From the Line marker list, select Cycle. Select the Legend check box, then click OK to close the Line Settings dialog box.
- 8 Click OK to close the Domain Plot Parameters dialog box and generate the plot.

To generate Figure 2-8, continue with these steps:

- I From the Postprocessing menu, select Cross-Section Plot Parameters.
- 2 In the resulting dialog box, click the **Point** tab.
- 3 In the y-axis data area, enter drawdown in the Expression edit field.
- 4 In the Coordinates area, enter 0.1 1 10 100 1000 in the r edit field.
- 5 Click the Line Settings button. In the Line marker list select Circle. Select the Legend check box, then click OK.
- 6 Click Apply.
- 7 Click the General tab, then select the Keep current plot check box.
- 8 Return to the **Point** page, and in the **Expression** edit field type Theis.
- 9 Click the Line Settings button. In the Line style list select Dashed line and in the Line marker list select None. Clear the Legend check box, then click OK.
- IO Click OK.

To generate Figure 2-9, continue with these steps:

- I From the Postprocessing menu, select Domain Plot Parameters.
- 2 Click the Line/Extrusion tab. Go to the y-axis data area and in the Expression edit field enter drawdown.
- 3 Click the Line Settings button and modify the line appearance as appropriate.

- 4 Click the General tab. In the Solutions to use list select 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000, 10000, 100000, and 1000000. Make sure the Keep current plot check box is selected. Click Apply.
- **5** Return to the Line/Extrusion page and in the Expression edit field enter Theis. Click the Line Settings button, and change the line settings as appropriate. Click OK.
- 6 Click OK to close the Domain Plot Parameters dialog box and generate the plot.
- 7 Zoom in on the results for r < 0.3 m using the rubber band tool you access with the **Zoom Window** toolbar button in the figure window.

SAVING THE MODEL

This completes the initial well model. You will add new equations and terms in the next sections. To use this model for the next two analyses, save it by going to **File>Save As** and entering an appropriate file name. Click **OK** and leave the model file open.

Fluid Flow to Wells: Leaky Well

Introduction

In layered sedimentary sequences, confining units often sandwich viable reservoirs. Such is the case in the system depicted in Figure 2-6. If the confining units create less than perfect seals, fluids from an overlying reservoir can leak into the pumped reservoir below it. Often the pumping from the lower reservoir does not change flow in the unpumped one above. In these cases you can model the leakage through the imperfect confining unit as a distributed source of fluid to the lower reservoir, in the same fashion as recharge or precipitation.

This example is the second model in the series overviewed in "Fluid Flow to Wells" on page 18. This analysis builds on the example "Fluid Flow to Wells: Finite Radius Well" on page 20 to simulate pumping from a leaky aquifer. The discussion compares COMSOL Multiphysics results to the analytic problem of Hantush and Jacob (Ref. 1). The 3-part analysis builds on a lecture series from Hsieh (Ref. 2).

Model Definition

The governing equation for this problem is

$$S\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[-\frac{K}{\rho_{\rm f}g} \nabla p \right] = \frac{K'}{\rho_{\rm f}g} \frac{(p_0 - p)}{bb'}$$

where K'(m/s) and b'(m) are the hydraulic conductivity and thickness of the overlying confining unit, respectively. With p_0 being the pressure prior to pumping, the term $K'(p_0 - p)/(bb')$ amounts to Darcy's law for flow through the semi-pervious confining unit. The thickness of the pumped reservoir, b, appears in the denominator because the incoming fluid is distributed through the aquifer volume.

The boundary and initial conditions are the same as in the finite-well model:

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left(\frac{K}{\rho_{\mathrm{f}}g} \nabla p\right) = \frac{W}{2\pi r_{\mathrm{w}}b} \qquad \partial \Omega \quad \text{well}$$
$$p = p_{0} \qquad \qquad \partial \Omega \quad \infty$$
$$p = p_{0} \qquad \qquad t = 0$$

The geometry, the material properties, and the pumping rates come from the finite-well flow model. To describe the leakage through the confining layer, you specify its hydraulic conductivity, K', as $5 \cdot 10^{-8}$ m/s and its thickness, b', as 2 m.

Results

Figure 2-10 shows the COMSOL Multiphysics solution for the distribution of pressures in a pumped aquifer with leakage from an overlying layer. Even for a relatively impermeable confining unit, with hydraulic conductivity K = K/100, the leakage is significant. For example, this figure depicts a far less extensive cone of depression than the one in Figure 2-7 which characterizes pumping in the same aquifer but without the leakage.



Figure 2-10: Solution for the fluid pressure near a finite-radius well in a leaky aquifer. Results are for logarithmically spaced times from 0 to 11.5 days along the line r < 1000 m.

The plot in Figure 2-11 converts the solution to the leaky aquifer to drawdown (defined on page 21) and plots it against time; it also shows the results for the case without leakage from Figure 2-8. The later time drawdown for the leaky case is almost constant by comparison. At early times, fluid reaching the wells comes from the storage in the pumped reservoirs. At later times, fluids pumped from the leaky aquifer derive

mostly from the unpumped aquifer above it. Figure 2-11 also provides the analytic solution of Hantush and Jacob (Ref. 1). The COMSOL Multiphysics results fit the analytic solution well.



Figure 2-11: COMSOL Multiphysics drawdown estimates for a leaky confined aquifer (dashed lines) compared with the analytic solution (dots) from Hantush and Jacob (Ref. 1). Also shown are results of simulations without the leakage (solid lines). Results are for $r = r_{w} 0.1 m$, 1 m, 10 m, 100 m, and 1000 m.

References

1. M.S. Hantush and C.E. Jacob, "Nonsteady radial flow in an infinite leaky aquifer," *EOS Transactions American Geophysical Union*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 95–100, 1955.

2. P. Hsieh, course notes for GES 236—Hydraulic and Tracer Tests for Groundwater Resource Evaluation. Stanford University, 2003,

http://pangea.stanford.edu/hydro/classes/GES236/236notes.htm.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/leaky_well

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator, and click the Model Library tab.
- 2 Open the Earth Science Module folder.
- 3 Find the Fluid Flow folder and select the file finite well.
- 4 Click OK.

PRELIMINARIES

Before adding to the model, create a cross-section plot of the results already in memory for use in a comparison plot with results from the modified model.

- I From the Postprocessing menu, open the Cross-Section Plot Parameters dialog box.
- 2 Click the **Point** tab, and in the **Expression** edit field enter drawdown.
- 3 In the **Coordinates** area, enter 0.1 1 10 100 1000 in the r edit field.
- 4 Click the Line Settings button. Set the Line style to Solid line and Line marker to None. Select the Legend check box, then click OK.
- **5** Click **OK** to close the dialog box and generate the plot. Leave the figure window open.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

- I From the **Options** menu, open the **Constants** dialog box.
- 2 Add these names, expressions, and descriptions (optional); when done, click OK.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
Kpr	5e-8[m/s]	Hydraulic conductivity of confining layer
bpr	2[m]	Thickness of confining layer

SUBDOMAIN SETTINGS

I From the Physics menu, open the Subdomain Settings dialog box.

2 Select Subdomains 2 and 3, then enter the following data; when done, click OK.

NAME	EXPRESSION
Qs	<pre>Kpr/(rho f*g esdl)*(p0-p)/(b*bpr)</pre>

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate Figure 2-10, follow the instructions for Figure 2-7 already given.

To create Figure 2-11, begin by adding the current results to the plot you generated in the section "Preliminaries" on page 33.

- I From the Postprocessing menu, open the Cross Section Plot Parameters dialog box.
- 2 On the **General** page, click the **Title/Axis** button. Provide new titles for the plot as appropriate, then click **OK**.
- **3** Make certain that the **Keep current plot** check box is selected. If you run COMSOL Multiphysics with MATLAB, choose **MATLAB Figure** for the new plot.
- 4 Click the Point tab, then in the Expression edit field, type drawdown. Find the Coordinates area and make sure the expression in the r edit field is 0.1 1 10 100 1000.
- 5 Click the Line Settings button. From the Line style list, select Dashed line. Click OK.
- 6 Click Apply.

If you have MATLAB, you can add a plot of the analytic solution as follows:

7 Go the command line and enter the following code:

```
hold on
load leaky_analytic.txt
t = leaky_analytic(:,1);
A = leaky_analytic(:,2);
plot(t,A,'k.')
```

The file leaky_analytic.txt should be available in your path.

8 Click the **Edit Plot** button in the figure window's toolbar, and edit the axis and line settings as needed.

Fluid Flow to Wells: Wellbore Storage

Introduction

Pumping from a finished well removes whatever fluids are in the well and the casing around it before fluids from the reservoir enter the well. The magnitude and duration of the wellbore storage's impact is related to the size of the well and casing. These effects stand out particularly at early times, typically when engineers assess the viability of reservoirs. For large-scale projects with big wells, these "early time" effects can linger for many months and significantly inhibit production.

Wellbore storage effects have been the subject of much study in hydrogeology and petroleum engineering. Being particularly difficult to analyze, they are rarely considered in conventional numerical models. This section models wellbore storage by adding a time-dependent ordinary differential equation to the well boundary. The results shown here match the analytic solution of Papadopoulos and Cooper (Ref. 1). The method this analysis uses extends to account for skin effects.

Model Definition

This analysis of wellbore storage is the final example in the sequence described in "Fluid Flow to Wells" on page 18. It builds directly on the 1D axisymmetric model "Fluid Flow to Wells: Finite Radius Well" on page 20. As before, the equation governing the flow is

$$S\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[-\frac{K}{\rho_{\rm f}g} \nabla p \right] = Q_{\rm s}$$

What changes is the mathematical model for flow at the well. The expression used here accounts for wellbore storage as follows:

$$W = -\frac{K}{\rho_{\rm f}g} 2\pi r_{\rm w} b \nabla p \big|_{r = r_w} + \frac{\pi r_c^2 dp_{\rm w}}{\rho_{\rm f}g} dt$$

Here r_c is the radius of the well casing, and $p_w(t)$, normalized by the specific gravity $\rho_f g$, is the drawdown in the well. This equation states that withdrawals from the well consist of two parts: First is the flux into the well from the aquifer, and second is the

water coming from the wellbore itself. The foregoing specifies that the pressure just inside the well, $p_w(t)$, equals the pressure just outside of it, $p(r_w, t)$. In other words, $p_w(t) = p_w(r_w, t)$. A skin effect produces a discontinuity in pressure from just inside the well to just outside of it. To model the discontinuity, you also would add a third term to account for the step change.

The boundary and initial conditions for the Wellbore Storage model are

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left(-\frac{K}{\rho_{\rm f}g} \nabla p\right) = -\left(W + \frac{\pi r_{\rm c}^2 dp_{\rm w}}{\rho_{\rm f}g} dt\right) (2\pi r_{\rm w}b)^{-1} \quad \partial \Omega \quad \text{well}$$

$$p = p_0 \qquad \qquad \partial \Omega \quad \infty$$

$$p = p_0 \qquad \qquad t = 0$$

where **n** is the unit vector normal to the boundary.

To simplify this analysis, use material properties and pumping rates from the original Finite Well model and add the radius of the well casing, r_c (set to 0.15 m as a start). With this parameterization, interesting results occur very early. To zoom in on these results you can reduce the simulation period.

Results

Figure 2-12 shows the COMSOL Multiphysics solution for drawdown as a function of time for five observation points near a well with wellbore storage impacts. The figure also provides the corresponding results for the case without wellbore storage (see Figure 2-8). The difference in the two solutions is the reduction in reservoir

withdrawals owing to fluids present in the wellbore. This impact, as expected, diminishes with distance and time.



Figure 2-12: Drawdown estimates predicted with (dashed lines) and without (solid lines) wellbore storage. Results are for the well boundary and points at increasing distance from it.

Figure 2-13 compares a time series of drawdown from simulations including wellbore storage with different casing radii. COMSOL Multiphysics gives a near-perfect match to the analytic solution in Ref. 1. The markerless line corresponds to the solution without wellbore storage ($r_c = 0$ m). The next curve (marked with triangles) corresponds to a well casing with the same radius as the screened interval ($r_c = 0.1$ m). Subsequent curves are for increasing casing radii, as the legends indicate. The plot shows that as the casing radius increases, the pumping removes less and less fluid from the reservoir for longer and longer times.



Figure 2-13: Drawdown at the well (r = 0.1 m) for increasing well-casing radii (lines with markers) and without wellbore storage impacts (markerless line). The legends give the well-casing radii in meters. The results almost exactly match the analytic solution of Papadopoulos and Cooper (Ref. 1).

Reference

1. I.S. Papadopoulos and H.H. Cooper Jr., "Drawdown in a Well of Large Diameter," *Water Resources Research*, vol. 3, no. 1, 1967.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/wellbore_storage

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator and click the Model Library tab.
- 2 Find the file Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>finite well.

3 Click OK.

PRELIMINARIES

Before adding to the current model, create a cross-section plot of the results already in memory by following the instructions given in the section "Preliminaries" on page 33.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

I From the **Options** menu, open the **Constants** dialog box.

2 Add the following constant; when done, click OK.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
r_c	0.15[m]	Radius of the well casing

PHYSICS SETTINGS

Boundary Conditions

Alter the boundary condition on the well as follows:

- I From the Physics menu, open the Boundary Settings dialog box.
- 2 Select Boundary 2. In the N₀ edit field for Inward flux, enter (W+pi*r_c^2*pt/ (rho_f*g_esdl))/(2*pi*r_w*b).
- 3 Click OK.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I Click the Solver Parameters button on the Main toolbar or choose Solve>Solver Parameters.
- Change the output time expression in the Times edit field to
 0 10^(range(-3,0.05,2)), then click OK.
- 3 Solve the model as before by clicking the **Solve** button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

The steps to generate Figure 2-12 follow the instructions outlined for Figure 2-11 in the previous model.

To reproduce Figure 2-13 you need to solve the model for a set of different values for the casing radius, r_c ; this example uses $r_c = 0, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.25$, and 0.3.

- From the Options menu, choose Constants. Change the value of r_c to 0, then click Apply.
- 2 Click the **Restart** button on the Main toolbar.

- 3 From the Postprocessing menu, open the Cross-Section Plot Parameters dialog box.
- 4 Click the **Point** tab, go to the **y-axis data** area, and check that the value in the **Expression** edit field is drawdown; if not, enter it.
- 5 In the **Coordinates** area, enter 0.1 in the **r** edit field.
- 6 Click the Line Settings button. Set the Line style to Solid line, then click OK.
- 7 On the General page, select New figure from the Plot in list. Select the Keep current plot check box, then click Apply.
- 8 In the Constants dialog box, change the value of r_c to 0.1. Click Apply.
- 9 Click the **Restart** button on the Main toolbar.
- 10 In the Cross-Section Plot Parameters dialog box, click Apply.
- II Repeat the previous three steps for the r_c values 0.15, 0.2, 0.25, and 0.3, except for the last value click **OK** instead of **Apply** to close the dialog boxes.
- **12** In the figure window, click the **Edit Plot** toolbar button.
- **I3** Select **Axes** from the tree view and edit the title and axis labels.
- 14 Similarly, select each line and edit the line marker and legends to finish the plot.
- **I5** When done, click **OK** to close the **Edit Plot** dialog box.

Perforated Well

Introduction

Analysis of fluid flow into wells often begins with the assumption that the intake of fluid is uniform along the entire length of wellbore. This assumption runs into trouble when applied to the modeling of perforated wells. When engineers emplace these wells they line a deep bore hole with impermeable materials. Later a machine pierces the lining so the well takes in fluids in the productive reservoir zones and nowhere else. The perforations are relatively small, typically less than 0.5 m long and with a diameter of less than 5 cm. They are typically oriented at regular intervals cycling down the casing axis.



Figure 2-14: Meshed model geometry (radius 6 m, height 0.3 m) with a close-up view of the wellbore (radius 0.1 m, height 0.3 m) with cylindrical perforations. (The geometry and mesh are from Dr. M. Jamiolahmady, Institute of Petroleum Engineering, Heriot-Watt University.)

The ability to describe how fluids funnel into tiny perforations oriented about a wellbore is the subject of a rapidly growing number of analyses including those in Ref. 1, Ref. 2, and Ref. 3. Because the perforations are isolated piercings as opposed to rings, the flow field is not suited for axisymmetric analyses—fully 3D simulations are required.

This model characterizes 3D flow to a perforated well using the Darcy's Law application mode. The analysis is based on a geometry contributed by the Institute of Petroleum Engineering at Heriot-Watt University. Dr. Jamiolahmady, an expert in non-Darcy flow, used a MATLAB script to produce and mesh incrementally different geometries for iterative simulation and analyses. Here you build the geometry using the COMSOL Multiphysics graphical user interface. Readers interested in applying an iterative simulation approach can employ parametric solutions in COMSOL Multiphysics or scripting with MATLAB.

This example demonstrates several useful COMSOL Multiphysics features. Included are instructions for using Lagrange multipliers to calculate a high-accuracy flux on a relatively low density mesh. The model also shows how to set up boundary-integration coupling variables to automate flux calculations. The following sections provide an overview of the model, and following it come tabulated on parameter values.

Model Definition

In this model, a well sits at the center of a thin (roughly 0.25 m), horizontal production reservoir that is confined above and below by impermeable units (Figure 2-14). For simplicity, the producing zone is homogeneous and isotropic with respect to permeability and porosity, and the oil has constant density and viscosity. The geometry is a reach of reservoir with a radius of approximately 6 m, which by design is 60 times greater than the well radius of 0.1 m. The model assumes that the oil moving into the well comes uniformly from the reservoir circumference, and the pressure is known at all perforations. Pumping proceeds initially at a given rate. The withdrawals come strictly through the perforations. This example contains an analysis of a steady flow field.

Darcy's law defines the velocity in the continuity equation that governs this problem. For a dependent variable of pressure, the governing equation reads

$$\nabla \cdot \left[-\frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p + \rho_{\rm f} g \nabla D) \right] = Q_s$$

where κ is permeability (m²); η represents dynamic viscosity (kg/(m·s)); $\rho_{\rm f}$ is the fluid density (kg/m³); *g* equals the acceleration of gravity (m/s²); *D* denotes the coordinate for vertical elevation (m); and Q_s is the volumetric flow rate per unit volume of reservoir for a fluid source (1/s).

At steady state, the flux through the circumference of the reservoir must satisfy the withdrawal at the well. Described by Darcy's law, the flux is

$$-\frac{\kappa}{\eta}\nabla p = \frac{W}{2\pi r_{\rm res}b}$$

where *W* is the volumetric pumping rate (m^3/s) ; r_{res} gives the reservoir radius (m); and *b* is the length of the well interval (m) that takes in fluids (known as the *production interval*). The gravitational pressure drop term $\rho_{fg}\nabla D$ is tangential to the boundary and thus does not contribute to the flux through the reservoir's circumference. Because all other boundaries are impermeable to flow, the boundary conditions are

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{\kappa}{\eta} \nabla p &= -\frac{W}{2\pi r_{\rm res} b} & \partial \Omega \ r_{\rm res} \\ p &= p_{\rm well} & \partial \Omega \ \text{perforations} \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p + \rho_{\rm f} g \nabla D) &= 0 & \partial \Omega \ \text{casing} \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p + \rho_{\rm f} g \nabla D) &= 0 & \partial \Omega \ \text{confining layer} \end{split}$$

where **n** is the unit vector normal to the boundary.

COMSOL Multiphysics Implementation—Boundary Flux

In this model you calculate the flux through the perforations using boundary integration-coupling variables. You can perform integration as a postprocessing step from the COMSOL Multiphysics menus, but creating the variables automates the calculations and also makes the results available for plotting.

Integration coupling variables give the value of an integral over a boundary, a subdomain, or a point (the source domain); they also transmit the information from one domain to, for example, other boundaries, subdomains, and points (the destination domain). You calculate the total flux through all of the perforation boundaries as

flux =
$$\sum_{\partial\Omega} \left| \mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{\kappa}{\eta} \nabla p \right| dS$$

where $\partial \Omega$ represents the boundary. This equation takes the absolute value because the flux is everywhere an outflow, but the normals to the perforations do not all point in the same direction. To know more about the normals to boundaries, consider the following. In a simple model geometry, such as a rectangle, it is straightforward that the normals should point outward. If you add a complicated geometry inside the rectangle, where should the normals point? There is no unambiguously universal rule. You can visualize normals to boundaries by plotting them as arrows—specify nx, ny, and nz in the vector expression fields for boundary arrows as a postprocessing option.

COMSOL Multiphysics Implementation—High-Accuracy Flux Computation

You will find that the flux calculated as outlined above does not match the expected pumping rate. This discrepancy results from using a low-density mesh. You might try to a achieve a high-accuracy flux by zooming in on the region of interest with finer elements. However, that reasoning applied to the tiny perforations amounts to a good formula for a bad hit in needless computational cost.

You often can get a high-accuracy flux and save on computation time using the Lagrange multipliers μ that COMSOL Multiphysics generates through an integral or weak PDE form. The Lagrange multiplier takes on the value of the flux at boundaries with strong constraints that assign the same pressure everywhere along the perforation edges. To access the Lagrange multipliers in this problem, use the non-ideal weak constraints at the boundaries of the perforations. With the weak constraints, the boundary pressure integral over the element is constrained rather than pointwise in the Lagrange points. Furthermore, a high accuracy flux can be accessed at the element boundary. Now you can calculate the flux with the boundary flux with the Lagrange multiplier μ . To find out more, see "Computing Accurate Fluxes" on page 266 of the *COMSOL Multiphysics User's Guide*.

Model Data

VARIABLE	UNITS	DESCRIPTION	VALUE
$g_{\rm r}$	m/s ²	Gravity	9.82
$\rho_{\mathbf{f}}$	kg/m ³	Fluid density	900
$\eta_{\rm f}$	Pa∙s	Dynamic viscosity	0.002
к	m ²	Permeability	10-11
$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{s}}$		Porosity/void fraction	0.2
h_{well}	m	Thickness of reservoir	0.3048
$r_{\rm res}$	m	Reservoir radius	6.5722
$r_{\rm w}$	m	Well radius	0.1095
W	m ³ /s	Pumping rate	0.001
p_{well}	Pa	Pressure at perforations	10 ⁵

The data used in this model are as follows:

Results

Figure 2-15 shows the solution for oil flow to a well centered at the origin. From this view, the pressure distribution and velocity field appear uniform. Note that the velocity is almost constant in the far field.



Figure 2-15: COMSOL Multiphysics solution of Darcy flow to a perforated wellbore: pressure (isosurface), velocity field (slice), and velocities (arrows).

Figure 2-16 gives a close-up view of the velocity field (wire-mesh isosurfaces) and pressure distribution (slice) immediately around the perforations in the well. The velocity results in Figure 2-16 are clearly nonuniform. The flow funnels to the perforations produce an antisymmetric swirl over the length of the wellbore. Comparing the pressure estimates in Figure 2-16 with those in Figure 2-15 indicates

that the nonuniformity produced by the perforations covers a relatively small section of the flow field.



Figure 2-16: Close-up view of flow to a perforated wellbore: pressure (slices) and velocity field (wire-mesh isosurface).

To evaluate the accuracy of the simulation, compare the total flux through the perforations with the known pumping rate of $0.001 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. With the relatively coarse mesh (still with 40,000 elements), ordinary boundary integration gives a flux of $0.0014 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. A calculation in COMSOL Multiphysics with Lagrange multipliers, however, gives a flux of $0.00102 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. Adding the Lagrange multipliers improves the accuracy of the calculation to within 0.01% of the known pumping rate without increasing computational overhead.



Figure 2-17: Estimates of fluid flux to perforations in a wellbore.

Figure 2-17 depicts the flux to individual perforations. With this geometry and orientation, a given perforation withdraws anywhere from 22% to 28% of the total pumping. The perforations closest to the impermeable confining units (above and below) withdraw significantly less fluid from the reservoir than those near the horizontal center line of the producing layer. This leads to the economically significant conclusion that changes in the orientation change the producing capability of a perforation by 6%.

References

1. A. Behie and A. Settari A, "Perforation Design Models for Heterogeneous Multiphase Flow," SPE Rocky Mountain Regional/Low Permeability Reservoir Symp., Denver, Colorado, paper 25901, pp. 591–602, 1993.

2. M. Jamiolahmady, A. Danesh, D.H. Terhani, G.D. Henderson, and D.B. Duncan, "Flow around a rock perforation surrounded by damaged zone: Experiments vs. Theory," IASME/WSEAS Int'l Conf., Corfu, Greece, 2004. 3. M. Muskat, "The effect of casing perforations on well productivity," *Petroleum Trans. AIME*, vol. 151, pp. 175–187, 1943.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/perforated_well

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator, and from the Space dimension list select 3D.
- 2 From the list of application modes select

Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Pressure analysis.

3 Click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

I Draw three cylinders by going to the **Draw** menu, selecting **Cylinder** and entering these settings:

NAME	CYLI	CYL2	CYL3
Radius	6.5722	1.0954	0.1095
Height	0.3048	0.3048	0.3048

2 Draw four small cylinders using the following data:

NAME	CYL4	CYL5	CYL6	CYL7
Radius	0.0254	0.0254	0.0254	0.0254
Height	0.1857	0.1857	0.1857	0.1857
Axis base point	0,0,0.0381	-0.1857,0, 0.1905	0,0,0.1143	0, -0.1857, 0.2667
Axis direction vector	1, 0, 0	1, 0, 0	0, 1, 0	0, 1, 0

- **3** Go to the Draw toolbar on the left side of the user interface and click the **Create Composite Object** button.
- 4 In the Set formula edit field enter the following expression: CYL1+CYL2-(CYL3+CYL4+CYL5+CYL6+CYL7); when done, Click OK.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

I From the Physics menu, select Scalar Variables.

2 In the resulting dialog box enter the following names and expressions.

NAME	EXPRESSION
g_esdl	9.82
D_esdl	z

- 3 From the **Options** menu, open the **Constants** dialog box.
- **4** Enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions (optional); when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
p_well	100[kPa]	Pressure at perforations
W	0.001[m^3/s]	Pumping rate
r_res	6.5722[m]	Reservoir radius
h_well	0.3048[m]	Thickness of reservoir
k_int	1e-11[m^2]	Permeability
eta	0.002[Pa*s]	Dynamic viscosity
rho_f	900[kg/m^3]	Fluid density
r_well	0.1095[m]	Well radius

5 From the Options menu, select Integration Coupling Variables>Boundary Variables. In the dialog box, create these boundary integration coupling variables, each on a separate row in the table; when done, click OK.

SOURCE BOUNDARY SELECTION	NAME	EXPRESSION	INTEGRATION ORDER	GLOBAL DESTINATION
9–13, 16–21, 24, 25, 28, 29, 32-36	flux	abs(flux_esdl)	4	yes
16–18, 24, 25	lmflux1	abs(lm1)	4	yes
9–13	lmflux2	abs(lm1)	4	yes
19–21, 28, 29	lmflux3	abs(lm1)	4	yes
32–36	lmflux4	abs(lm1)	4	yes

PHYSICS SETTINGS

Application Mode Properties

I From the Physics menu, select Properties.

2 Select **On** in the **Weak constraints** list and **Non-ideal** in the **Constraint type** list, then click **OK**.

Subdomain Settings

I Choose Physics>Subdomain Settings.

2 Enter the following material properties; when done, click **OK**.

VARIABLE	SUBDOMAINS I, 2
κ _s	k_int
ρ _f	rho_f
η	eta
Qs	0

Boundary Conditions

From the **Physics** menu, open the **Boundary Settings** dialog box, then enter the following settings; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARIES 1, 2, 22, 31	BOUNDARIES 9-13, 16-21, 24, 25, 28, 29, 32-36	ALL OTHERS
Boundary condition	Inward flux	Pressure	Zero flux/ Symmetry
N ₀	W/(2*pi*r_res*h_well)	-	-
Po	-	p_well	-

MESH GENERATION

- I From the Mesh menu, open the Free Mesh Parameters dialog box.
- 2 In the Predefined mesh sizes list, select Fine.
- **3** Click the **Subdomain** tab.
- 4 In the Subdomain selection list, choose 2.
- 5 Set the Maximum element size to 0.1, then click OK.
- 6 Click the Initialize Mesh button on the Main toolbar.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I From the Solve menu, open the Solver Parameters dialog box.
- 2 The default solver cannot handle the weak constraints. Therefore go to the Linear system solver list and select GMRES, then go to the Preconditioner list and select Incomplete LU. Click OK.
- 3 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To create Figure 2-15 on page 45, follow these steps:

- I From the **Postprocessing** menu, open the **Plot Parameters** dialog box.
- 2 On the General page, go to the Plot type area and select the check boxes for Slice, Isosurface, and Arrow.
- 3 Click the Isosurface tab. On the Isosurface Data page, choose Pressure from the Predefined quantities list. Go to the Isosurface levels area, click the Vector with isolevels option button, and in the corresponding edit field enter range (5e4,5e4,5.5e5).
- 4 Click the Slice tab. In the Slice data area, select Velocity field from the Predefined quantities list. In the Slice positioning area, in both the x levels and y levels edit fields enter 1, and in the z levels edit field enter 0.
- 5 Click the Arrow tab. On the Subdomain Data page, select Velocity field from the Predefined quantities list. In the Arrow positioning area, in both the x points and y points edit fields enter 15, and in the z points edit field enter 1.
- 6 In the Arrow parameters area, click the Color button. In the Arrow Color dialog box, select black, then click OK.
- 7 Clear the Auto check box for the Scale factor and in the associated edit field type 0.5.
- 8 Click OK.

To create Figure 2-16 on page 46, follow these steps:

- I From the **Postprocessing** menu open the **Plot Parameters** dialog box.
- 2 Go to the General page, and in the Plot type area clear the Arrow check box.
- **3** Click the **Isosurface** tab. On the **Isosurface Data** page, change the selection in the **Predefined quantities** list to **Velocity field**.
- **4** In the **Isosurface levels** area, click the **Number of levels** option button, then type **30** in the associated edit field.
- 5 In the Coloring and fill area, select Wireframe from the Fill style list.
- 6 In the Isosurface color area, select Thermal from the Color table list.
- 7 On the Slice page, change the selection in the Predefined quantities list to Pressure.
- 8 Click OK.
- **9** Click the **Zoom In** button on the Main toolbar five times to zoom in on the wellbore. Click and drag in the drawing area to adjust the view.

To create Figure 2-17 on page 47, follow these steps:

I Go to **Options>Expressions>Boundary Expressions** and define a boundary expression variable, lmflux, according to:

BOUNDARY SELECTION	NAME	EXPRESSION
16–18, 24, 25	lmflux	lmflux1
9–13	lmflux	lmflux2
19–21, 28, 29	lmflux	lmflux3
32–36	lmflux	lmflux4

- **2** From the **Solve** menu, select **Update Model** to update the model with the new expression variable.
- 3 From the Postprocessing menu, open the Plot Parameters dialog box.
- 4 On the **General** page, go to the **Plot type** area and select only the check boxes for **Boundary** and **Geometry edges**.
- 5 Click the **Boundary** tab. In the **Expression** edit field, type p.
- 6 In the Boundary color area, select Thermal from the Color table list.
- 7 Click OK.

Coupled Flow Laws

Introduction

Understanding what happens during the transition from slow flow in porous media to fast flow in a channel is critical in many environmental cases and applied problems This type of flow appears near rivers, estuaries, wellbores, caverns, and lava tubes, to name a few examples.

Traditionally, the quantitative assessment of transitioning flows has been the domain of those with time and tools to work out their own code because it requires switching between mathematical expressions for different flow laws. Darcy's law describes slow flow at a distance from the channel; the Navier-Stokes equations govern free or open-channel flows; and in between, where the fluid moves in porous media but shear is nonnegligible, the Brinkman or Forcheimer equations apply. This example demonstrates how to model such a transition using predefined equations in the Earth Science Module.

The following model examines transitioning flow by zooming in on oil movement to and within a perforated well. The analysis begins by coupling Darcy's law and the Brinkman equations to represent flow in porous media that quickens toward a perforation in the well casing. Next, it examines fluid movement into and within the well by coupling the Navier-Stokes equations to the Darcy-Brinkman model. Albeit counterintuitive, time-dependent Brinkman and Navier-Stokes are well known to be relatively easy to solve. This model instead analyzes a steady-state system.

By giving you the ability to link flow laws, modify predefined equations, and even freely define your own governing equations, COMSOL Multiphysics sees abundant use in analyzing conventional, coupled, and nonconventional flows (see Ref. 1 and Ref. 2).

Model Definition

This Darcy-Brinkman example begins by overviewing the model setup and continues with the equations and the boundary conditions used in the analysis. Implementation details follow the mathematical background. The model triggers weak variables to implement the coupling between Darcy's law and the Brinkman equations. Finally this discussion reviews results and outlines the mechanics for building the model. The next example (Transitional Flow: Darcy-Brinkman–Navier-Stokes on page 68) adds the Navier-Stokes equations directly on top of the Darcy–Brinkman model file.

First examine a general description of the Darcy–Brinkman model depicted in Figure 2-18. Oil moves through a thin porous layer towards a perforation to a well. The fluid flow follows Darcy's law in the far field (that is, 1 m < x < 4 m) and the Brinkman equations near the well opening (between 0.1 m < x < 1 m). The layer is 0.875 m thick and bounded above and below by impermeable materials that confine the permeable reservoir layer. For simplicity, assume the layer has homogeneous and isotropic hydraulic properties, and the fluid has constant density and viscosity. You know the flux of fluid at the inlet and the pressure at the perforations. The flow field is steady state.



Figure 2-18: Model geometry showing boundary conditions for coupling Darcy's law (1 m < r < 4 m) and the Brinkman equations (0.1 m < r < 1 m).

DARCY'S LAW

Darcy's law describes fluid flow driven by gradients in pressure and elevation potential. The dependent variable in Darcy's law is pressure, p. The flows are slow enough that velocity head is negligible. For a steady state, the governing equation is

$$\nabla \cdot \left[-\frac{\kappa}{\eta} \nabla (p_{\rm dl} + \rho_{\rm f} g D) \right] = Q_s$$

In this equation, κ denotes the permeability (m²), η is the dynamic viscosity (kg/(m·s)), ρ_f gives the fluid density (kg/m³), and *g* the acceleration of gravity (m/s²). Further, *D* is the coordinate for vertical elevation (m), and *Q*_s is the volumetric flow

rate per unit volume of reservoir for a fluid source (1/s). You set D to zero in this problem because elevation potential is negligible given that the flow field is very thin. Because this model deals with multiple flow laws, this equation appends the "dl" subscript to p to denote the Darcy's law equation.

With a steady state, flow into the reservoir study area must equal the pumping rate. The Darcy velocity gives the inlet condition as

$$\mathbf{u}_{\rm d1} = -\frac{\kappa}{\eta} \nabla p_{\rm d1} = \frac{W}{2\pi r_{\rm res} b}$$

where *W* is the volumetric pumping rate for the perforated interval (m^3/s) , r_{res} equals the reservoir radius (m), and *b* is the reservoir thickness (m).

For a continuous solution across the interface between the zones of Darcy and Brinkman flow, the pressure and velocities from Darcy's law must equal the pressure and velocities from the Brinkman equations. Because a Neumann statement on flux already defines the inlet boundary, use the following constraint on pressure for the Darcy–Brinkman interface:

$$p_{\rm dl} = p_{\rm br}$$

In this equation, the subscript "br" denotes the Brinkman equations. This expression is a Dirichlet boundary statement.

With no flow through the confining units that overlie and underlie the permeable reservoir zone, the boundary conditions for Darcy's law are

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{n} \cdot \left(\frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p_{\mathrm{dl}})\right) &= -\frac{W}{2\pi r_{\mathrm{res}}b} & \partial \Omega \text{ inlet} \\ p_{\mathrm{dl}} &= p_{\mathrm{br}} & \partial \Omega \text{ Darcy-Brinkman interface} \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \left(\frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p_{\mathrm{dl}})\right) &= 0 & \partial \Omega \text{ Confining layers} \end{split}$$

where **n** is the unit vector normal to the boundary.

BRINKMAN EQUATIONS

The Brinkman equations describe fluid flow in porous media where velocities are high enough that momentum transport by shear stress is important. Brinkman problems combine a momentum balance in the r and z directions with the continuity equation,

giving dependent variables of directional velocities u and v as well as the pressure p. The Brinkman equations for steady state flow are

$$\left(-\nabla \cdot \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon} (\nabla \mathbf{u}_{br} + (\nabla \mathbf{u}_{br})^T) \right) - \left(\frac{\eta}{k} \mathbf{u}_{br} + \nabla p_{br} - \mathbf{F} \right) = 0$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{br} = 0$$
(2-1)

where ρ is density (kg/m³), η gives the dynamic viscosity (kg/(m·s)) **u** equals the velocity vector (m/s), *p* is pressure (kg/(m·s)), ε is the porosity, and *k* (m²) denotes the permeability. The equation can account for the influence of small gravity and compressibility effects in the force term, **F** (N/m³), which in this example equals zero. Some argue that *k* in the Brinkman equations differs slightly from κ in Darcy's law (Ref. 2), but this example calls for the same permeability in both flow zones.

From the Brinkman side of the Darcy–Brinkman interface you constrain velocity because the boundary condition on the Darcy side fixes the pressure. The velocity constraint on the Brinkman side of the interface reflects that velocities are dependent variables in the Brinkman equations but not in Darcy's law. The boundary condition on velocities is

$$\mathbf{u}_{br} = \mathbf{u}_{dl}$$

To implement the condition, use the Darcy velocities, \mathbf{u}_{dl} , that COMSOL Multiphysics automatically calculates.

The confining layer and well casing are impermeable to flow. Approximate this situation with the no-slip condition

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{br}} = \mathbf{0}$$

This equation eliminates all components of the velocity vector at the boundary.

Getting a unique solution to this problem requires defining the pressure at the well because the model prescribes flux conditions for all other boundaries. The constraint on pressure is the simple statement that

$$p_{\rm br} = p_{\rm well}$$

For the Brinkman problem, the boundary conditions are now

$\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{br}} = \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{dl}}$	$\partial \Omega$ Darcy-Brinkman interface
$\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{br}} = 0$	$\partial \Omega$ Confining layers
$\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{br}} = 0$	$\partial \Omega$ Well casing
$p_{\rm br} = p_{\rm well}$	$\partial \Omega$ Perforation

Modeling in COMSOL Multiphysics

The two equations in this analysis are fundamentally compatible as both describe fluid flow, pressure distributions, and velocities. Even so, the dependent variable in Darcy's law is pressure alone, whereas pressure and directional velocities are the dependent variables in the Brinkman equations. The difference in the number of dependent variables amounts to a slight incompatibility in form, which you circumvent by imposing non-ideal weak constraints on the equation system. The weak constraints provide new integral equations in which the Lagrange multipliers μ_1 are dependent variables. The constraints add one Lagrange multiplier to Darcy's law and two to the Brinkman equations to make up for the difference in the number of degrees of freedom given by the two governing equation systems on the boundaries. Adding the new Lagrange multipliers is easy: go to the **Application Mode Properties** dialog boxes, find the **Weak constraints** list, and select **On**. To make the weak constraints non-ideal, select **Non-ideal** from the **Constraint type** list.

To find out more about weak constraints and weak formulation equations, see "Using Weak Constraints" on page 350 in the *COMSOL Multiphysics Modeling Guide*.

Model Data

VARIABLE	UNITS	DESCRIPTION	EXPRESSION
$g_{\rm r}$	m/s ²	Acceleration due to gravity	9.82
$\rho_{\rm f}$	kg/m ³	Fluid density	900
$\eta_{\rm f}$	Pa∙s	Dynamic viscosity	0.002
ε		Porosity	0.4
κ	m ²	Permeability	10 ⁻¹⁰
b	m	Thickness of layer	I
$r_{\rm res}$	m	Reservoir radius	4
r _w	m	Well radius	0.1

The data for parameterizing the model are:

VARIABLE	UNITS	DESCRIPTION	EXPRESSION
W	m ³ /s	Pumping rate	10 ⁻³
p_{well}	Pa	Pressure at perforation	105

Results and Discussion

Figure 2-19 shows the solution to the Darcy-Brinkman problem where Darcy's law governs slow flow far from the well, but near it the Brinkman equations apply. The impact of the switch between flow laws occurs at x = 1 m. The streamlines show the fluid moving from the inlet at the right to the well on the left. The streamlines funnel because the flow is moving into a break or perforation in the well casing.



Figure 2-19: COMSOL Multiphysics solution for Darcy's law (1 m < r < 4 m) and the Brinkman equations (0.1 m < r < 1 m). The results shown are pressure (surface plot and contours) and velocities (streamlines). Note that the vertical axis is expanded.

Figure 2-20 and Figure 2-21, respectively, illustrate the pressure and velocity estimates from the perforation to a distance of 2 m beyond the Darcy-Brinkman interface. These estimates vary smoothly across the Brinkman-Darcy interface. Pressure increases with distance from the well, and it moves the fluid to the perforation. The velocities decrease with distance from the well until they reach an almost constant value in the



Darcy flow zone. The fact that the pressure estimates vary smoothly from Brinkman (r < 1 m) to Darcy (r > 1 m) flow indicates a stable solution.

Figure 2-20: Pressure across the Darcy-Brinkman interface. Cross section along z = -0.5 m from r = 0.1 to 3.0 m.



Figure 2-21: Velocity across the Darcy-Brinkman interface. Cross section along z = -0.5 m from r = 0.1 to 3.0 m.

This COMSOL Multiphysics example describes a straightforward protocol to couple two compatible flow laws with different dependent variables, the Darcy and Brinkman flow equations. Both Darcy's law and the Brinkman equations characterize flow in porous media. Because Darcy's law provides for no momentum transport by shear, it can overpredict flow rates in fast flow zones. Coupling to the Brinkman equations describes the added energy transformation.

The model is easy to modify and apply to a number of transitional flow scenarios including a river bottom, quickening flow near a well, and fluid moving in and around fractures. The next example adds the Navier-Stokes equations in the well to characterize the full transition between porous media and free surface flow.

References

1. M. Jamiolahmady, A. Danesh, D.H. Terhani, G.D. Henderson, and D.B. Duncan, "Flow around a rock perforation surrounded by damaged zone: Experiments vs. Theory," IASME/WSEAS Int'l Conf., Corfu, Greece, 2004.

2. U. Shavit, R. Rosenzweig, and S. Assouline, "Free flow at the interface of porous surfaces: A generalization of the Taylor Brush configuration," *Transport in Porous Media*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/darcy_brinkman

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

To begin the model, first add the Darcy's Law and the Brinkman equation application modes to the model file.

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator, and from the Space dimension list select 2D axisymmetry.
- 2 In the list of application modes select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Pressure analysis>Steady-state analysis.
- **3** In the **Application mode name** edit field change the name to dl. In the **Dependent variables** edit field enter pdl.
- 4 Click the Multiphysics button, then click Add. Keep the window open.

- 5 In the list of application modes select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Brinkman Equations.
- 6 In the **Application mode name** edit field change the name to chbr. In the **Dependent** variables edit field enter ubr vbr pbr.
- 7 Click Add, then click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

Create the geometry by drawing three rectangles and adding a line at the perforation.

I From the **Draw** menu select **Specify Objects>Rectangle**. In the dialog box, specify these settings for the rectangles; in each case, when done, click **OK**.

PARAMETER	RI	R2	R3
width	0.1	0.9	3
height	1	1	1
r	0	0.1	1
z	-1	-1	- 1

- 2 Click the Zoom Extents button on the Main toolbar.
- From the Draw menu select Specify Objects>Line. In the Coordinates: r edit field enter 0.1 0.1; in the Coordinates: z edit field enter -0.45 -0.55.
- 4 Click OK.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

These variables reference the different solutions for each subdomain.

I From the **Options** menu, choose **Constants**.

2 Enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions (optional):

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
pwell	1e5[Pa]	Pressure at perforation
W	1[l/s]	Pumping rate
b	1[m]	Layer thickness
perm	1e-10[m^2]	Permeability
eta	0.002[Pa*s]	Dynamic viscosity
rho	900[kg/m^3]	Fluid density
rres	4[m]	Reservoir radius

3 Click OK.

PHYSICS

First set up the Darcy's Law application mode, then follow up with the Brinkman equations.

Darcy's Law Application Mode

- I In the Multiphysics menu select Darcy's Law (dl).
- 2 Go to the menu item Physics>Subdomain Settings. Click the Coefficients tab. Deactivate the subdomain where the Brinkman equations apply; to do so, select Subdomains 1 and 2, then clear the Active in this domain check box.
- **3** Select Subdomain 3 and enter the settings from the following table in the user interface as shown.

Subdomain Settings - Darcy's	Law (dl)			X
Fruition	Lutt (ui)			
Equation				
$\nabla \cdot [-\delta_{K}(\kappa_{s}/\eta) (\nabla pdl + \rho_{f}g \nabla D)$]=δ _Q Q _S			
	Confficients			
Subdomains Groups	Coerricients	Scaling Factors Init	Eleme	nt Color
Subdomain selection	Coefficients			
1	Library mat	erial:	-	Load
2	· ·			
3	Quantity	Value/Expression	Unit	Description
		Permeability	-	
	Ks	1	m/s	Saturated hydraulic conductivity
	κ _s	perm	m ²	Saturated permeability
-	A.	1001		Anisotropy ratios
Group:	l l r			, and op , radios
Colorthu anna	Pf	rho	kg/m ⁴	Density, fluid
Select by group	n	eta	Pa-s	Viscosity, fluid
Active in this domain	Qs	0	1/s	Liquid source
		OF		
		UK		псеі мрріу Пеір

TERM	SUBDOMAIN I
κ _s	perm
ρ_{f}	rho
η	eta

- 4 Click the **Init** tab. In the **Initial value** edit field enter pwell.
- 5 Click OK.
- 6 From the Physics menu, choose Boundary Settings.
7 Enter the settings from the following table; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARY 9	BOUNDARY 12	BOUNDARIES 10, 11
Boundary condition	Pressure	Inward flux	Zero flux/Symmetry
Ро	pbr		
N ₀		W/(2*pi*rres*b)	

oundaries Groups	Coefficients	Color/Style		
oundary selection	Boundary se	ources and constraints		
5	 Boundary of 	ondition: Pressure		
7	Quantity	Value/Expression	n Unit	Description
8	P ₀	pbr	Pa	Pressure
10	E N ₀	0	m/s	Inward flux
11	Rb	0	m ² ·s/kg	External conductance
12	- Pb	0	Pa	External pressure
Group:	- D _b	0	m	External elevation

8 From the Physics menu, choose Properties. In the Weak constraints list select On and in the Constraint type list select Non-ideal; when done, click OK.

Application Mode Properties		
Properties		
Default element type:	Lagrange - Quadratic 🛛 👻	
Variable:	Pressure analysis 🛛 👻	
Analysis type:	Stationary 👻	
Weak constraints:	On 👻	
Constraint type:	Non-ideal 🗸 👻	
OK Cancel Help		

Brinkman Equations

- I In the Multiphysics menu, select Brinkman Equations (chbr).
- 2 Choose Physics>Subdomain Settings. Click the Physics tab. Deactivate the subdomain where Darcy's law and the Navier-Stokes equations applies; to do so, select Subdomains 1 and 3, then clear the Active in this domain check box.

3 Select Subdomain 2, then enter the following settings:

TERM	SUBDOMAIN 2
κ	perm
ε _p	0.4
η	eta
ρ	rho

4 Click the Init tab. Enter the following settings; when done, click OK.

TERM	SUBDOMAIN 2
ubr	W/(2*pi*r*b)
vbr	eps
pbr	pwell

5 From the **Physics** menu choose **Boundary Settings**. Enter the following settings; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARY 6	BOUNDARY 9	BOUNDARIES 4, 5, 7, 8
Boundary type	Outlet	Inlet	Wall
Boundary condition	Pressure, no viscous stress	Velocity	No slip
р0	pwell		
u		u_dl	
v		v_dl	

6 From the Physics menu, choose Properties. In the Weak constraints list choose On and in the Constraint type list select Non-ideal. Click OK.

Application Mode Properties		
Properties		
Default element type:	Lagrange - P ₂ P ₁ -	
Analysis type:	Stationary 👻	
Corner smoothing:	Off 👻	
Weakly compressible flow:	Off 🔹	
Swirl velocity:	Off 👻	
Weak constraints:	On 👻	
Constraint type:	Non-ideal 🗸 👻	
OK Cancel Help		

MESH GENERATION

The solution is sensitive to mesh size; the figures in this section arose from the following mesh setup.

- I From the Mesh menu, select Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 Click the Subdomain tab. Select Subdomain 2, then set the Maximum element size to 0.066. Select Subdomain 3 and specify a Maximum element size of 0.133.
- **3** Click the **Boundary** tab. Select Boundary 6 and in the **Maximum element size** edit field type 0.005. Select Boundary 9 and specify a **Maximum element size** of 0.02.
- 4 Click OK.
- 5 Click the Initialize Mesh button on the Main toolbar.

We generated the figures for this chapter by also clicking **Remesh**, but note that doing so adds significant computational effort to the simulation.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

A glance at the resulting figure indicates an incomplete view of the results—only those for Darcy's law appears. To view the solutions for both application modes at the same time, set up a few postprocessing variables that reference the different solutions for each subdomain.

I Choose Options>Expressions>Subdomain Expressions.

2 Define the following expressions for Subdomains 2 and 3; when done, click OK.

NAME	SUBDOMAIN 2	SUBDOMAIN 3
visU	U_chbr	U_dl
visu	ubr	u_dl
visv	vbr	v_dl
visp	pbr	pdl

Here, visU displays the total velocity, visu displays the *r*-velocity, visu displays the *z*-velocity, and visp displays the pressure. As specified at the outset, the application mode name is chbr for the Brinkman equations and dl for Darcy's law. When you reference an application mode variable, you give the application mode name as a suffix. For example, because velocity is not a dependent variable in the Darcy's Law (dl) application mode but a variable COMSOL Multiphysics automatically creates for it,

use the suffix _dl to reference it. You do not reference the application mode name to reference the pressure p_{dl} from Darcy's law because it is a dependent variable.

	Name	Expression	Unit	
^	visU	U_chbr	m/s	
	visu	ubr	m/s	
	visv	vbr	m/s	
	visp	pbr	Pa	_
Select by group				

For the variables to take effect, you must update the model.

3 From the Solve menu select Update Model.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate the plot in Figure 2-19 on page 58, follow these instructions:

- I Choose Postprocessing>Plot Parameters.
- 2 Click the General tab. In the Plot type area, select the Surface, Contour, Arrow, and Streamline check boxes to activate those plots. Clear the Geometry edges check box.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. On the Surface Data page, enter visp in the Expression edit field, in the process typing over the current information in the edit field. Go to the Surface color area, and in the Color table list select Thermal.
- 4 Click the **Contour** tab. On the **Contour Data** page, enter visp in the **Expression** edit field, also here typing over the current information. In the **Number of levels** edit field enter 20. In the **Contour color** area click the **Uniform color** option button. Click the **Color** button, change the color to black, and then click **OK**.
- 5 Click the **Streamline** tab. On the **Streamline Data** page, type visu in the r component edit field and visv in the z component edit field.
- 6 Go to the Start Points page, click the Specify start point coordinates button. In the r edit field type 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4. In the z edit field enter -0.85 -0.75 -0.65 -0.55 -0.45 -0.35 -0.25 -0.15.
- 7 Click the Advanced button. In the Maximum number of integration steps edit field type 10000. In both the Integration tolerance and Stationary point stop tolerance edit fields type 0.00001. Click OK.
- 8 Click the Color button. Select the color black, then click OK.

- 9 Click the Arrow tab. On the Subdomain Data page find the r edit field and enter visu, and in the z edit field enter visv.
- **10** In the **Arrow positioning** area click both **Vector with coordinates** option buttons. In the associated **r points** edit field, type

3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75.

II In the corresponding **z** points edit field, type

 -0.85
 -0.75
 -0.65
 -0.45
 -0.35
 -0.25
 -0.15
 -0.85
 -0.75
 -0.65

 -0.55
 -0.45
 -0.35
 -0.25
 -0.15
 -0.85
 -0.75
 -0.65
 -0.45
 -0.35

 -0.25
 -0.15
 -0.85
 -0.75
 -0.65
 -0.55
 -0.45
 -0.35

 -0.25
 -0.15
 -0.85
 -0.75
 -0.65
 -0.45
 -0.35
 -0.25

12 Go to the Arrow parameters area. In the Arrow type list select cone, and in the Arrow length list select Normalized. Click the Color button, choose the uniform color black, and then click OK. Click OK to close the Plot Parameters dialog box and generate the plot.

To generate Figure 2-20 on page 59, follow these steps:

- I Select the menu item Postprocessing>Cross-Section Plot Parameters.
- 2 Click the Line/Extrusion tab. In the y-axis data area, type visp in the Expression edit field. In the Cross-section line data area, set r0 to 0.1, r1 to 3.0, and both z0 and z1 to -0.5. Click Apply.

Finally, generate Figure 2-21 on page 59 with these steps:

- I Still on the Line/Extrusion page of the Cross-Section Plot Parameters dialog box, change the Expression in the y-axis data area to visU.
- 2 Click OK.

Transitional Flow: Darcy-Brinkman— Navier-Stokes

Introduction

This example characterizes a transition in flow regimes: slow flow in porous media quickens to a perforation in a well casing and ultimately moves into and up the well. Darcy's law describes flow velocities at a distance from the well; closer to the perforation the Brinkman equations apply; the Navier-Stokes equations describe movement of fluid in the well. This example starts with a model that couples Darcy's law with the Brinkman equations. Adding the Navier-Stokes equations in the wellbore produces a fully coupled simulation for three different flow laws.



Figure 2-22: Geometry and boundary conditions used in COMSOL Multiphysics to couple the Darcy's law, Brinkman equations, and Navier-Stokes application modes.

The following pages describe the model. The first section reviews the Darcy, Brinkman, and Navier-Stokes equations and the boundary conditions. Next comes the solution procedure along with a data table. The final sections show results and gives step-by-step instructions for building the model in the COMSOL Multiphysics user interface.

Model Definition

Figure 2-22 illustrates the geometry and equation coupling in this example. Flow moves from r = 4 m within a permeable reservoir zone and exits to a well. The fluid entering the well combines with fluids moving upward from a permeable reservoir layer below the one in the model. In the study area, Darcy's law governs flow in the far field for r > 1 m. The Brinkman equations govern flow from the well casing at 0.1 m < r < 1 m. The interface between the Darcy and Brinkman flow zones occurs at r = 1 m. Within the well at r < 0.1 m the Navier-Stokes equations apply. The interface between the Brinkman and Navier-Stokes flow zones is the perforation at the midpoint of the casing. Otherwise there is no flow across the casing.

The problem setup for this example is identical to the Darcy–Brinkman model from the previous pages except for the boundary condition at the perforation and details inside the well. The model is 2D axisymmetry, covering a permeable zone 1 m deep over a reservoir radius of 4 m. The Darcy–Brinkman example specified that flow at r = 4 m satisfies the pumping rate and gives the pressure at the perforation. In this example, let COMSOL Multiphysics solve for the fluid pressure at the perforation and instead fix the pressure at the top of the well. The layer is homogeneous, isotropic, thin (specifically, 0.875 m), bounded above and below by impermeable materials, and the fluid has constant density and viscosity. You know the pumping rate and the pressure at the outlet, and you seek a steady-state solution to the flow field.

Darcy's Law

Darcy's law describes fluid flow in porous media driven by gradients in pressure alone. You can neglect elevation gradients in this problem because the flow field is very thin. For flow at steady state, the governing equation is

$$\nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{\kappa}{\eta} \nabla p_{\rm dl}\right) = Q_s$$

where κ is permeability (m²), η equals the dynamic viscosity (kg/(m·s)), and Q_s is the volumetric flow rate per unit volume of reservoir for a fluid source (1/s).

The interface between the zones governed by Darcy's law and the Brinkman equations requires continuity in pressure and velocities. Because pressure is the dependent variable in Darcy's law, the boundary condition at the Darcy-Brinkman interface is a constraint on pressure,

$$p_{\rm dl} = p_{\rm br}$$

where the subscripts "dl" and "br" refer to Darcy's law and the Brinkman equations, respectively.

The remaining boundary conditions include constraints on the flow velocity at the reservoir inlet and at the impermeable confining layer; at the inlet, it is sufficient to satisfy the pumping rate, while there is no flow across the confining layer. The boundary conditions for the Darcy's law problem are

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{n} \cdot \left(\frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p_{\mathrm{dl}})\right) &= -\frac{W}{2\pi r_{\mathrm{res}}b} & \partial \Omega \text{ Inlet} \\ p_{\mathrm{dl}} &= p_{\mathrm{br}} & \partial \Omega \text{ Darcy-Brinkman interface} \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \left(\frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p_{\mathrm{dl}})\right) &= 0 & \partial \Omega \text{ Confining layer} \end{split}$$

where **n** is the normal to the boundary, r_{res} is the reservoir radius (m), *b* is the layer thickness (m), and *W* denotes the extraction rate from the permeable zone (m³/s).

Brinkman Equations

The Brinkman equations describe flow during the transition from Darcy to Navier-Stokes laws. With dependent variables of directional velocities and pressure, the Brinkman equations are

$$\left(-\nabla \cdot \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon} (\nabla \mathbf{u}_{br} + (\nabla \mathbf{u}_{br})^T)\right) - \left(\frac{\eta}{\kappa} \mathbf{u}_{br} + \nabla p_{br}\right) = 0$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{br} = 0$$
(2-2)

In the equations, ρ denotes fluid density (kg/m^3) , η is viscosity $(kg/(m \cdot s))$, **u** represents the velocity vector (m/s), *p* is pressure $(kg/(m \cdot s))$, ε is the porosity, and κ denotes the permeability (m^2) .

The Brinkman model constrains the velocity at the Darcy–Brinkman interface: the Brinkman velocity equals the Darcy velocity on the boundary. Except for the perforation, this example defines all other boundaries in the flow model with no-slip conditions, which is a statement about velocity. On the perforation, the model requires a condition that represents continuity in stress. The boundary conditions on the Brinkman flow zone are

$$\mathbf{u}_{br} = \mathbf{u}_{dl}$$
 $\partial \Omega$ Darcy-Brinkman interface $\mathbf{u}_{br} = \mathbf{0}$ $\partial \Omega$ Confining layers $\mathbf{u}_{br} = \mathbf{0}$ $\partial \Omega$ Well casing $(-p_{br}\mathbf{I} + \eta(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T))\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}$ $\partial \Omega$ Perforation

Navier-Stokes Equations

The governing statement for flow in the wellbore comes from the Navier-Stokes equations, which combine a momentum balance with an equation of continuity:

$$-\nabla \cdot \eta (\nabla \mathbf{u}_{ns} + (\nabla \mathbf{u}_{ns})^T) + \rho \mathbf{u}_{ns} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_{ns} + \nabla p_{ns} = 0$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{ns} = 0$$

The Navier-Stokes equations and the Brinkman equations solve for dependent variables \mathbf{u} and p. The "ns" subscript denotes the Navier-Stokes equation.

From the Navier-Stokes model, the interface to the Brinkman flow zone is the constraint on velocity as in

$$\mathbf{u}_{ns} = \mathbf{u}_{br}$$

This equation states that the velocity at the perforation just inside the well equals the velocity just outside of it. Linking the Navier-Stokes and Brinkman velocities completes a chain that interconnects three flow laws beginning at the Brinkman-Darcy interface. In the chain, pressures and velocities for coupled flow laws link on opposite sides of an interface.

The remaining boundaries for the Navier-Stokes problem are a set of constraints on velocity and pressure. The velocity in the well drops to zero at the well casing, which corresponds to a no-slip condition. You also know the volume flow rate into the base of the well, the lower inlet. The well's centerline is a symmetry condition. Finally, you know the pressure at the well's upper outlet. In summary,

$\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{ns}} = u_{\mathrm{br}}$	$\Omega 6$	Perforations
$\mathbf{u} = 0$	$\Omega 6$	Casing
$\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \frac{W_{\rm in}}{\pi r_{\rm well^2}}$	26	Well inlet (lower)
$\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$	$\Omega 6$	Well centerline
$p = p_{well}$	$\Omega 6$	Well outlet (upper)

where r_{well} denotes the well radius (m) and W_{in} refers to the volume flow rate (m³/s).

Implementation: Navier-Stokes Initial Guess

Systems involving Navier-Stokes equations are notoriously sensitive to the initial guess, even for a steady-state flow model such as this one. In this example, the Darcy-Brinkman problem solves readily, but establishing good initial conditions for the Navier-Stokes zone requires an extra measure. A series of dummy simulations with the parametric solver helps to obtain iteratively better guesses about the velocities and the pressures throughout the wellbore. The parameter that varies in the simulations is the fluid viscosity. You start with a viscosity several orders of magnitude higher than the true value and solve for decreasing viscosity values. You also can get good initial guesses for Navier-Stokes problems by varying velocity values with the parametric solver.

Implementation: Coupling with Weak Constraints

This model employs non-ideal weak constraints to facilitate the coupling of flow laws with different dependent variables. This manual provides a foundation on the use of non-ideal weak constraints in previous model description for the Darcy-Brinkman interface. Nonetheless, this section provides the following reminder.

This model couples three compatible flow laws with different dependent variables. In Darcy's law the dependent variable is pressure alone, whereas pressure and directional velocities are dependent variables in the Brinkman and Navier-Stokes equations. To make up for the slight incompatibility of the equation forms, add non-ideal weak constraints to the equation system. The weak constraints add Lagrange multipliers that equalize the degrees of freedom between the three equation systems. You activate the non-ideal weak constraints by clicking an option button in the **Application Mode Properties** dialog box. For more information about weak constraints and weak

formulation equations, see "Using Weak Constraints" on page 350 in the COMSOL Multiphysics Modeling Guide.

Data

VARIABLE	UNITS	DESCRIPTION	EXPRESSION
$g_{\rm r}$	m/s ²	Acceleration due to gravity	9.82
ρ_{f}	kg/m ³	Fluid density	900
$\eta_{\rm f}$	Pa·s	Dynamic viscosity	0.002
κ	m ²	Permeability	1·10 ⁻¹⁰
ε		Porosity	0.4
b	m	Thickness of layer	0.875
$r_{\rm res}$	m	Reservoir radius	4
$r_{\rm well}$	m	Well radius	0.1
W	m ³ /s	Extraction rate from the modeled permeable zone	I·10 ⁻³
W _{in}	m ³ /s	Pumpage from underlying reservoirs moving through lower well inlet	I·10 ⁻⁴
p_{well}	Pa	Pressure at well outlet	1·10 ⁵

The following table lists data used in this model:

Results

Figure 2-23 shows the solution to the model for flow that transitions from Darcy's law in the far field (r > 1 m), to the Brinkman equations in the intermediate zone (0.1 m < r < 1 m), to the Navier-Stokes equation in the well (r < 0.1 m). The pressure distribution (surface plot and contours) and velocities (arrows and streamlines) vary smoothly with no disruption at either the Darcy–Brinkman interface (r = 1) or the Brinkman-Navier-Stokes interface (r = 0.1 m). The streamlines show fluid moving through the perforation and up the well.



Figure 2-23: Distribution of pressure (surface plot and contours) and velocities (streamlines). Fluid flow follows Darcy's law $(1 \ m < r < 4 \ m)$, the Brinkman equations $(0.1 \ m < r < 1 \ m)$, and the Navier-Stokes equations $(r < 0.1 \ m)$. The vertical axis is expanded for clarity.

In creating Figure 2-23 the author removed the pressure in the wellbore because it is almost uniform and disrupts the view of the streamlines. The small pressure drop in the well might seem disconcerting; however, it is reasonable and physically based. The well is an open channel. Within it a relatively small pressure drop can produce large volumetric flows because rocks do not impede fluid movement in the well.

Figure 2-24 is a close-up view of the velocity (surface plot) and pressure (contours) from the center of the well to r = 2 m. The figure covers the Navier-Stokes flow in the well, the Brinkman zone just beyond the well, and 1 m of the Darcy's law flow zone. Simulating the rapid velocity change in the well requires a good initial condition. In COMSOL Multiphysics you can obtain a good estimate for the distribution of starting velocities with a quick series of dummy simulations without the need to switch model files.



Figure 2-24: Close-up view of velocities (surface plot) and pressures (contours) within and near the wellbore. Fluid flow follows Darcy's law $(1 \ m < r)$, the Brinkman equations $(0.1 \ m < r < 1 \ m)$, and the Navier-Stokes equations $(r < 0.1 \ m)$. The vertical axis is expanded for clarity.

Figure 2-25 shows the pressure along a cross section beginning in the well (Navier-Stokes) and ending a small distance beyond the well casing (Brinkman). The pressure is almost constant within the well because the flow in the well is vertical. The pressure rises smoothly beyond the Brinkman-Navier-Stokes interface. It is this pressure gradient that drives fluid into the well perforation.



Figure 2-25: Pressure distribution at z = -0.5 m along the line r = 0.05 to 0.5 m.

Figure 2-26 provides a cross section of total velocity over the full transition between three different flow laws. It shows high velocities at the center of the well and no break in the profile at either the Brinkman-Navier-Stokes interface at r = 0.1 m or at the Darcy-Brinkman interface at r = 1 m.



Figure 2-26: Velocity distribution at z = -0.5 m along the line r = 0.05 to 3.0 m.

This example demonstrates modeling of flows that transition through three different governing equations: the Navier-Stokes equations for free flow, the Brinkman equations for fast porous media flow, and Darcy's law for slow flow where you do not expect any energy dissipation by shear. This particular model describes the transitioning flow to a well, but the concept applies to many other environmental and applied scenarios including rivers, tubes, pipes, and springs. In the past, the transition between flow laws has often been the domain of academic research efforts because most off-the-shelf software packages focus on one flow type or another. COMSOL Multiphysics, though, can link together a number of different flow equations.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/darcy_brinkman_ns

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

To begin the model, first open the Darcy-Brinkman example and add the Navier-Stokes equations to the model file.

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator and click the Model library tab. From the model tree select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>darcy brinkman. Click OK.
- 2 After the model loads, go to the Multiphysics menu and select Model Navigator.
- 3 In the list of application modes select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. In the Application mode name edit field replace the existing text by entering ns, and in the Dependent variables edit field replace the existing text with uns vns pns. Click Add.
- 4 Click the Application Mode Properties button. Choose On in the Weak constraints list and Non-ideal in the Constraint type list. Click OK.

Application Mode Properties		
Properties		
Default element type:	Lagrange - P2P1	
Analysis type:	Stationary 👻	
Corner smoothing:	Off 👻	
Weakly compressible flow:	Off 🔹	
Swirl velocity:	Off 🔹	
Weak constraints:	On 🗸	
Constraint type:	Non-ideal 🗸 🗸	
ОК	Cancel Help	

5 Click OK to close the Model Navigator.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

- I Several variables reference the different solutions for each subdomain. To set them up, go to the menu item **Options>Constants**.
- 2 Enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions (optional); when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
rwell	0.1[m]	Well radius
Win	W/10	Pumpage from underlying reservoirs moving through lower well inlet

3 For viewing the entire solution, add the Navier-Stokes results to the processing variables that already reference the Darcy's law and Brinkman results in corresponding subdomains. To do so, go to the menu item

Options>Expressions>Subdomain Expressions. In the resulting dialog box enter the following expressions for the three subdomains; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAIN 2	SUBDOMAIN 3
visU	U_chns	U_chbr	U_dl
visu	uns	ubr	u_dl
visv	vns	vbr	v_dl
visp	pns	pbr	pdl

In this table, visU describes total velocity, visu is the *x*-velocity, visv gives the *y*-velocity, and visp is the pressure. The application mode name is chns for the Navier-Stokes equations, chbr for the Brinkman equations, and dl for Darcy's law. No suffix is needed to reference the dependent variable in an equation. For calculated variables the application mode name is a suffix. For example, because velocity is not a dependent variable in the Darcy's law application mode but is a variable automatically created for it, reference it with the suffix _dl.

PHYSICS

Set up the Navier-Stokes application mode and solve it for a dummy simulation.

Navier-Stokes Application Mode

- I From the Physics menu select Subdomain Settings.
- **2** Click the **Physics** tab. Select Subdomains 2 and 3, then clear the **Active in this domain** check box to deactivate the subdomains where the Brinkman equations apply.

3 Select Subdomain 1. In the η edit field for **Dynamic viscosity** enter 2*damp, and in the ρ edit field for **Density** enter rho.

Subdomain Settings - Incomp	oressible Navie	er-Stokes (chns)			Σ
Equations					
$\rho(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot [-p\mathbf{I} + \eta(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \eta)]$	[∇u) ^T)] + F				
$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$					
Subdomains Groups	Physics Stat	bilization Init Element	Color		
Subdomain selection	Fluid propert	ties and sources/sinks			
1	Library mate	erial:	Load		
3	Quantity	Value/Expression		Unit	Description
	ρ	rho		kg/m ³	Density
	n	2*damp		Pa+s	Dynamic viscosity
	к _{dv}	0		Pa+s	Dilatational viscosity
	F,	0		N/m ³	Volume force, r dir.
	F,	0		N/m ³	Volume force, z dir.
eroup:	Flow	in porous media (Brinkman	equations)		
	ερ	1			Porosity
Select by group	к	1		m ²	Permeability
Active in this domain	Q	0		kg/(m³⋅s) Source term
]			ОК	Cancel	Apply Help

The reason why the **Unit** column entry for η turns red is that the quantity damp is undefined and consequently has an unspecified unit.

4 Click the Init tab. Enter the following settings; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAIN I
uns(t ₀)	eps
vns(t ₀)	W/(pi*rwell^2)
pns(t ₀)	pwell

5 From the **Physics** menu, choose **Boundary Settings**. For the various boundaries enter the settings from the following table; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARY I	BOUNDARY 2	BOUNDARY 3	BOUNDARY 6	BOUNDARIES 4, 7
Boundary type	Symmetry boundary	Inlet	Outlet	Inlet	Wall
Boundary condition	Axial symmetry	Velocity	Pressure, no viscous stress	Velocity	No slip
Po			pwell		
u ₀		eps		ubr	
v ₀		(-s^2+1)*2*Win /(pi*rwell^2)		vbr	

Boundary Settings - Incompre	essible Navier-Stokes	chns)		x
Equation				
u = u ₀				
Boundaries Groups	Coefficients Weak C	onstr. Color/Style		
Boundary selection	Boundary conditions			
1	Boundary type:	Inlet 👻		
2	Boundary condition:	Velocity	•	
4	Quantity	Value/Expression Un	it Description	
5	u 0	eps m/s	s r-velocity	
7	v _o	(-s^2+1)*Win*2/(pi* m/s	s z-velocity	
8	o U0	1 m/s	S Normal inflow velocity	
9				
11				
12				
Group: 🚽				
Select by group				
Interior boundaries				
		ОК	Cancel Apply Help	

In the previous table, **s** is the arc length of a boundary, which goes from 0 at the start point to 1 at the end point. COMSOL Multiphysics denotes the end point with an arrow on the boundary. Use **s** to give a parabolic velocity profile at the well inlet.

Brinkman Equations

- I From the Multiphysics menu, select Brinkman Equations (chbr).
- 2 From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings. Select Boundary 6. Select the Boundary type Outlet and the Boundary condition Pressure. In the p₀ edit field for Pressure enter pns. Click OK.

MESH GENERATION

- I From the Mesh menu, select Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 Click the Subdomain tab. Select Subdomain 1, then in the Maximum element size edit field enter 0.02. Select Subdomain 2, then in the Maximum element size edit field enter 0.066. Select Subdomain 3 and specify a Maximum element size of 0.133.
- **3** Click the **Boundary** tab. Select Boundary 6 and in the **Maximum element size** edit field enter 0.005. Select Boundary 9 and specify a **Maximum element size** of 0.02.
- 4 Click the **Point** tab. Select Points 4 and 5, then in the **Maximum element size** edit field enter 0.001. For **Growth rate** enter 1.1, then click **OK**.

5 Click the Initialize Mesh button on the Main toolbar.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

To solve the model, first clear the old solution then iterate to solve the 3-way coupled problem.

- I Go to the Solve menu and return to the Solver Parameters dialog box. From the Solver list, click Parametric. For Parameter names enter damp. For Parameter values enter 0.1 0.01 0.005 0.0025 0.001. Click OK.
- 2 Click the **Solve** button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate the plot in Figure 2-23 on page 74 follow these steps:

- I From the **Postprocessing** menu, open the **Plot Parameters** dialog box.
- 2 Click the General tab. In the Plot type area, click the Surface, Contour, and Streamline check boxes. Clear the Geometry check box.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. On the Surface Data page, enter visp in the Expression edit field, typing over the current information. In the Surface color area, find the Color table list and select Thermal.
- 4 Click the Contour tab. On the Contour Data page, enter visp in the Expression edit field, again typing over current information. In the Contour levels area, enter 20 in the Number of levels edit field. Proceed to the Contour color area. Click first the Uniform color option button and then the Color button. Choose black, then click OK.
- 5 Click the Streamline tab. On the Streamline Data page, enter visu and visv in r component and z component edit fields, respectively. On the Start Points page, click the Specify start point coordinates option button. In the r component edit field enter 0.02 0.04 0.06 0.08 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4, and in the z component edit field enter -1 -1 -1 -1 -0.85 -0.75 -0.65 -0.55 -0.45 -0.35 -0.25 -0.15. Click the Advanced button. In the Maximum number of integration steps edit field enter 10000. In both the Integration tolerance and the Stationary point stop tolerance edit fields enter 0.00001, then click OK. Click the Color button, set the color to black, and then click OK.
- 6 Click the Arrow tab. On the Subdomain Data page enter visu in the r component edit field and visv in the z component edit field. In the Arrow positioning area click both Vector with coordinates option buttons.
- 7 In the edit field associated with r points enter
 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5

- 8 In the edit field associated with z points enter
 - -0.85 -0.75 -0.65 -0.55 -0.45 -0.35 -0.25 -0.15 -0.85 -0.75 -0.65 -0.55 -0.45 -0.35 -0.25 -0.15 -0.85 -0.75 -0.65 -0.55 -0.45 -0.35 -0.25 -0.15 -0.85 -0.75 -0.65 -0.55 -0.45 -0.35 -0.25.
- **9** In the Arrow parameters area, find the Arrow type list and select Cone. In the Arrow length list, select Normalized. Click the Color button, set the color to black, and then click OK.

IO Click **OK** to close the **Plot Parameters** dialog box and generate the plot.

To generate Figure 2-24 on page 75, continue with these steps:

- I From the **Postprocessing** menu, select **Plot Parameters**.
- 2 On the General page, clear the Streamline and Arrow check boxes.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. On the Surface Data page, enter visU in the Expression edit field, typing over the current information. Go to the Surface color area, and in the Color table list choose Rainbow.
- 4 Click the Contour tab. On the Contour Data page, enter visp in the Expression edit field, typing over the current information. In the Contour levels area, enter 10 in the Number of levels edit field. In the Contour color area, click first the Uniform color option button, then click the Color button. Change the color to white, then click OK.
- 5 Click OK to close the Plot Parameters dialog box.
- 6 Use the Zoom Window tool on the Main toolbar to zoom in on the area of interest.

To generate Figure 2-25 on page 76, continue with these steps:

- I Select the menu item Postprocessing>Cross-Section Plot Parameters.
- 2 Click the Line/Extrusion tab. In the y-axis data area, enter visp in the Expression edit field. In the Cross-section line data area, set r0 to 0.05, r1 to 0.5, and both z0 and z1 to -0.5. Click Apply.

To generate Figure 2-26 on page 76, continue with these steps:

- 3 In the y-axis data area, change the Expression to visU.
- 4 In the Cross-section line data area, change rl to 3.0. Click OK.

Porous Media Flow: Discrete Fracture

Introduction

Fluids in fractured porous media move quickly through the fractures but also migrate, albeit relatively slowly, through the tiny pores within the surrounding matrix blocks. Some fluid transfers between the fractures and the matrix blocks, so fluid pressure is continuous across the fracture from block to block. Accurately predicting fracture and matrix flow is often critical to assessing well productivity, to delineating migrating pollution, and to designing pollution cleanup strategies, to name just a few uses.

This example demonstrates an efficient and accurate method to jointly model the fracture and matrix flows in a fractured block. You build it by representing the fractures as the boundaries between adjacent matrix blocks (Figure 2-27). Darcy's law governs velocities in the matrix blocks, while you set up flow in the fractures on boundaries by accounting for the fracture's thickness. Representing the fracture as an interior boundary is especially efficient because it eliminates the need to create a geometry with



a high aspect ratio with a very long and narrow fracture domain that otherwise would require a dense mesh consisting of great number of tiny elements.

Figure 2-27: Geometry for a COMSOL Multiphysics analysis of a discrete fracture.

Model Definition

The geometry in Figure 2-27 is a block of fractured porous media that measures 1 m on each side. The fracture is far more permeable to fluid than the matrix block and has a thickness of 0.0001 m, which is much smaller than the block dimensions. Here the walls of the block are impermeable to flow except at the fracture edges, but such need not be the case. Fluid moves from left to right through the block entering at the lower fracture edge and exiting at the upper edge. The fluid initially does not move within the volume. Pressure at the outlet edge drops with time., while pressure at the inlet edge remains at the initial pressure throughout the simulation. The simulation period is 1000 s. At the end of this discussion a tabulation of the data values appears.

FLUID FLOW-MATRIX BLOCK

Time-dependent fluid flow in the matrix block is governed by Darcy's law

$$[\chi_{\rm f} \theta_s + \chi_{\rm s} (1 - \theta_s)] \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\kappa_{\rm m}}{\eta} \nabla p\right) = 0 \qquad \Omega \text{ matrix block}$$

where the dependent variable, *p*, is the fluid's pressure in the pore space (Pa), θ_s is the void fraction, or porosity, of the matrix blocks (m³/m³), χ_f and χ_p are the compressibilities (1/Pa) of the fluid and solid, respectively, κ_m gives the permeability of the matrix blocks (m²), and η equals the fluid's dynamic viscosity (Pa·s).

In the blocks, the predefined velocity variable, \mathbf{u}_{esdl} (m/s), gives the Darcy velocity, which is a volume flow rate per unit area of porous media:

$$\mathbf{u}_{esdl} = -\frac{\kappa_{m}}{\eta} \nabla p$$
 Ω matrix block

The fluid's linear velocity within the small interstices in the block, $u_{\text{lin}} = |\mathbf{u}_{\text{esdl}}|/\theta_{\text{s}}$, is higher than the Darcy velocity, which describes the velocity as if it were distributed over both solids and voids.

Along all faces of the block the zero flow boundary condition applies:

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{esdl} = 0$$
 $\partial \Omega$ block face

Here **n** is the outward-pointing normal to the boundary. This means that there is no flow across the boundary.

FLUID FLOW-FRACTURE

The fracture in the model is a sequence of interior boundaries. Typically at a boundary you define flow across or normal to the boundary instead of along it. In this model, however, you employ special tangential options from COMSOL Multiphysics that allow for defining flow along the interior boundaries or fracture.

For this type of analysis to be valid, the equation on the fracture must solve for the same dependent variable as the equation for the matrix block, p. In this particular example, the equation for velocity in the fracture follows a modified form of that within the matrix block, namely Darcy's law. You modify the equation coefficients to account for a relatively small resistance to flow on the fracture and the fracture thickness, which gives dimensional consistency between the fracture and matrix:

$$S_{\text{frac}} d_{\text{frac}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\kappa_{\text{frac}}}{\eta} d_{\text{frac}} \nabla p \right) = 0 \qquad \partial \Omega \text{ fracture}$$

In this equation, S_{frac} is the fracture-storage coefficient (1/Pa), κ_{frac} describes the fracture's permeability (m²), and d_{frac} is the fracture's thickness (m).

Because thickness appears in the fracture flow equation, the predefined variable \mathbf{u}_{esdl} gives the volume flow rate per unit fracture length on the fracture:

$$\mathbf{u}_{\text{esdl}} = -\frac{\kappa_{\text{frac}}}{\eta} d_{\text{frac}} \nabla_{\text{T}} p \qquad \partial \Omega \text{ fracture}$$

Here ∇_{T} denotes the gradient operator restricted to the fracture's tangential plane. The linear fluid velocity within the fracture is $u_{\text{lin}} \equiv u_{\text{esdl}}/d_{\text{frac}}$ (m/s).

Bounding the fracture are edges that intersect the porous media block. The pressure is known at the inlet and outlet edges: at the inlet, the pressure is constant and at the outlet it decreases linearly in time. There is no flow through the other edges.

$$\begin{split} p &= p_0 & \partial^2 \Omega \text{ inlet edge} \\ p &= p_0 - t \cdot 10 \text{ Pa/s} & \partial^2 \Omega \text{ outlet edge} \\ - \frac{\kappa_{\text{frac}}}{\eta} d_{\text{frac}} \nabla p &= 0 & \partial^2 \Omega \text{ other edges} \end{split}$$

Implementation

To trigger flow on the fracture that interacts with flow in the matrix, you add weak form terms with tangential derivatives on the interior boundaries. The weak PDE formulation is the extremely powerful and flexible integral form that underlies the finite element method. The tangential derivatives p_{Tx} , p_{Ty} , and p_{Tz} describe the change in pressure along, rather than across, the boundary.

With weak PDEs, the time rate of change in pressure goes into a dweak expression that combines with velocities and sources in weak expressions to completely describe the physics. To find out more about the use of the weak PDE form see "The Weak Form" on page 345 in the COMSOL Multiphysics Modeling Guide.

Results

The following figures contain screen shots from the analysis of time-dependent flow in a fractured porous media block. The isosurfaces in Figure 2-28 show the contours of fluid pressure throughout the block at the final output time of 1000 s. The pressure is continuous across the fracture from block to block. Even so, the bends in the isosurfaces indicate different flow regimes in the fracture and the matrix blocks. The

arrows indicate velocities on the fracture. The fluid moves from the inlet to the outlet along the fracture with a velocity field that is uniform across the block.



Figure 2-28: Results at time 1000 s for the analysis of flow in a porous media block with a fracture running through it. Shown are the pressure (isosurface plot) and linear velocity along the fracture (boundary and arrows). Pressure isosurfaces go from 91.5 to 100 kPa at 1.5 kPa increments. The maximum velocity on the fracture is 1.13 m/s.

Figure 2-29 shows the linear velocities of the fluid in the matrix along with the pressure isosurfaces from Figure 2-28. With no flow out of the matrix, the only fluid source is the fracture. The arrows indicate that fluid exits from the fracture at the inlet. The matrix flow feeds the fracture at the outlet. The wireframe slice plot shows that

the linear velocity in the matrix is orders of magnitude smaller than the average linear velocity along the fracture.



Figure 2-29: Results from the analysis of a porous media block with a discrete fracture; it shows fluid pressure (isosurfaces), velocities in the matrix blocks (arrows), and matrix linear velocity relative to average linear fracture velocity (slice plot).

Figure 2-30 illustrates the flow field in the fractured block evolving over the 1000 s simulation period. The isosurfaces stack up in the block as the pressure drop increases but stay centered on the fracture.



Figure 2-30: Postprocessing images from the analysis of flow on a discrete fracture in a matrix block. Shown are fluid pressure (isosurfaces), velocities in the matrix blocks (arrows), and matrix linear velocity relative to average linear fracture velocity (slice).

Conclusions

This example shows how to model flow in a discrete fracture that interacts with fluid flow in the porous matrix that surrounds it. The method used here is especially efficient computationally. With COMSOL Multiphysics' tangential boundary options, you can represent the fracture as a 2D boundary rather than a 3D model domain. This approach produces high-resolution results and also eliminates the need for an enormous number of small elements.

Model Data

VARIABLE	UNITS	DESCRIPTION	EXPRESSION
$\theta_{\rm s}$	m ³ /m ³	Porosity of matrix blocks	0.3
χ_{f}	s ² ·m/kg	Compressibility of the fluid	4.4·10 ⁻¹⁰
$\chi_{\rm s}$	s ² ·m/kg	Compressibility of the fluid	10 ⁻⁸
κ _m	m ²	Permeability of matrix blocks	10-11
d_{f}	m	Thickness of the fracture	0.0001
S_{f}	s ² ∙m/kg	Storage coefficient of the fracture	$\chi_{\mathbf{f}}$
κ_{f}	m ²	Permeability of fracture	10 ⁻⁷
η	kg/m·s	Viscosity	0.001
$g_{ m r}$	m/s ²	Gravity	9.82
$\rho_{\mathbf{f}}$	kg/m ³	Fluid density	1000
p_{inlet}	kg/m∙s ²	Pressure at the fracture inlet	10 ⁵
p_{outlet}	kg/m·s ²	Pressure at the fracture outlet	$p_0 - 10t$
p_0	kg/m∙s ²	Initial pressure distribution	10 ⁵

The coefficients and parameters in this model are as follows:

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/fracture_porous

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

In this example, the geometry already exists as a COMSOL Multiphysics model file. Ordinarily to build a 3D model you start with the following steps.

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator. In the Space dimension list select 3D.
- 2 In the list of application modes choose

Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Pressure analysis>Transient analysis.

3 Click OK.

SCALAR VARIABLES

Because of the small model domain you can neglect the impact of gravity, so there is no need to alter the settings available from the **Physics>Scalar Variables** dialog box.

MODEL SETTINGS

- I Choose Physics>Model Settings.
- 2 Clear the Simplify expressions check box, then click OK.

GEOMETRY

- I Go to the Draw toolbar and click the **Block** button. Click **OK** to accept the default dimensions and create a unit block. Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar to center the block.
- 2 Now set up a 2D drawing plane to create the fracture. Select the menu item Draw>Work-Plane Settings. Click the Face Parallel tab. Expand the folder BLK1 and highlight Face 2. Click OK. Geometry 2 should appear with the outline of the box in the upper right corner. Click the Zoom Extents button on the Main toolbar to center the box in the window.



- 3 Changing the grid slightly makes it easier to draw the fracture. Select the menu item **Options>Axes/Grid Settings**. Click the **Grid** tab, then clear the **Auto** check box. In the **x-spacing** and **y-spacing** edit fields enter 0.25. Click **OK**.
- 4 Next draw a 3-segment line to represent the fracture. Select the menu item Draw>Specify Objects>Line. In the x-coordinates edit field enter the sequence 0 0.5

0.5 1, and in the **y-coordinates** edit field enter **0.75 0.75 0.25 0.25**. Click **OK**. This sets up segments with endpoints at (0, 0.75) (0.5, 0.75) (0.5, 0.25) (1, 0.25).

- 5 Now extrude the lines by 1 m to create a 3D object. With the lines still highlighted, choose Draw>Extrude. In both the Scale x and Scale y edit fields type 1.
- 6 Click OK.

OPTIONS

Define constants you need in building the model or during postprocessing.

I Choose **Options>Constants**. Enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions (optional); when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
p0	1e5[Pa]	Initial pressure
theta_s	0.3	Porosity matrix
S_frac	4.4e-10[1/Pa]	Fracture storage coefficient
k_frac	1e-7[1/m^2]	Fracture permeability
d_frac	0.1[mm]	Fracture thickness

2 Choose Options>Expressions>Scalar Expressions.

3 Enter these names, expressions, and descriptions (optional); when done, click OK.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
weak_frac	-(k_frac/eta_esdl)*d_frac* (pTx_test*pTx+pTy_test*pTy+pTz_test*pTz)	Fracture flow
dweak_frac	S_frac*d_frac*p_time*p_test	Fracture storage

PHYSICS — MATRIX

Use the predefined equation options to set up the flow model for the matrix blocks.

I Choose Physics>Subdomain Settings.

2 Select Subdomains 1 and 2, then enter the following settings:

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAINS 1, 2	DESCRIPTION
Storage term	Specific Storage	
θ_{s}	theta_s	Volume fraction, fluids
χ _f	4.4e-10	Compressibility, fluid
χ _p	1e-8	Compressibility, solid
κ _s	1e-11	Saturated permeability

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAINS I, 2	DESCRIPTION
ρ_{f}	1000	Density, fluid
η	0.001	Viscosity, fluid

3 Click the Init tab. In the Initial value edit field enter p0, then click OK.

4 Choose Physics>Boundary Settings. Verify that the boundary condition is Zero flux/ Symmetry for all boundaries, then click OK to close the dialog box.

PHYSICS — FRACTURE

Now set up the flow model for the fracture.

I Choose Physics>Edge Settings.

2 Click the **Pressure** tab. Select Edge 16 (the fracture inlet), then enter these settings:

QUANTITY	VALUE/EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
Po	p0	Pressure
t _s	0	Starting time
t _f	1000	Ending time

3 Select Edge 6 (the fracture outlet), then enter these settings:

QUANTITY	VALUE/EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
Po	p0-10[Pa/s]*t	Pressure
t _s	0	Starting time
t _f	1000	Ending time

4 Click OK to close the Edge Settings dialog box.

5 Choose Physics>Equation Systems>Boundary Settings.

6 Click the Weak tab.

7 Select Boundaries 6, 8, and 9, then enter the following settings:

TERM	VALUE/EXPRESSION
weak	weak_frac
dweak	dweak_frac
constr	0

8 Click **Differentiate** to distribute the new information.

9 Click OK to close the Equation System - Boundary Settings dialog box.

MESH GENERATION

- I Choose Mesh>Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 On the Global page, select Coarse from the Predefined mesh sizes list.
- **3** Click the **Boundary** tab. Select Boundaries 6, 8, and 9, then in the **Maximum element** size edit field enter 0.075.
- 4 Click the Edge tab. Select Edges 6 and 16, then in the Maximum element size edit field enter 0.025.
- 5 Click OK.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I Click the Solver Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 On the General page, go to the Time stepping area and in the Times edit field type
 0 1 10 range(50,50,1000).
- **3** Go to the Linear system solver area and from the like-named list choose Conjugate gradients. From the Preconditioner list, select Algebraic multigrid. In the Quality of multigrid hierarchy edit field type 9.
- 4 Click OK to close the Solver Parameters dialog box.
- 5 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

COMSOL Multiphysics allows you to create arbitrary variables and use them in postprocessing. The plots for this model use linear velocities that you first define. Having creating these variables, you must update the model to recognize the new definitions.

- I Choose Options>Expressions>Subdomain Expressions.
- 2 Select Subdomains 1 and 2, then enter the following settings, each on its own row in the table; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION
u_matrix_lin	u_esdl/theta_s
v_matrix_lin	v_esdl/theta_s
w_matrix_lin	w_esdl/theta_s
U_matrix_lin	U_esdl/theta_s

3 Choose Options>Expressions>Boundary Expressions.

4 Select Boundaries 6, 8, and 9, then enter the following settings, each on its own row in the table; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION
u_frac_lin	-(k_frac/eta_esdl)*pTx
v_frac_lin	-(k_frac/eta_esdl)*pTy
w_frac_lin	-(k_frac/eta_esdl)*pTz
U_frac_lin	sqrt(u_frac_lin^2+v_frac_lin^2+w_frac_lin^2)

5 Choose Options>Integration Coupling Variables>Boundary Variables.

- 6 Select Boundaries 6, 8, and 9.
- 7 Enter the following settings, each on its own row in the table:

NAME	EXPRESSION
U_frac_lin_avg	U_frac_lin/A_frac
A_frac	1

The subdomain expression U_frac_lin_avg defined in this way is the mean value of the linear velocity vector's norm in the fracture.

8 Click OK to close the Boundary Integration Variables dialog box, then choose Solve>Update Model to calculate the expressions you have just defined.

To generate Figure 2-29 on page 88, follow these instructions:

- I Click the Plot Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 On the General page, go to the Plot type area and select the Isosurface, Boundary, and Arrow check boxes. Clear the Slice check box.
- 3 Click the Isosurface tab. On the Isosurface Data page, go to the Predefined quantities list and select Darcy's Law (esdl)>Pressure. From the Unit list, select kPa. Click the Vector with isolevels option button, and in the associated edit field enter range(91.5,1.5,100).
- 4 Click the **Boundary** tab. In the **Expression** edit field, type U_frac_lin.
- 5 Click the Arrow tab. From the Plot arrows on list select Boundaries. In the x-component edit field, type u_frac_lin; in the y-component edit field, type v_frac_lin; and in the z-component edit field, type w_frac_lin. From the Arrow type list, select Cone.
- 6 Click OK to generate the plot and close the Plot Parameters dialog box.
- 7 Double-click on the GRID field at the bottom on the user interface. Click theHeadlight button on the Camera toolbar to enhance the image with directed light.

To generate Figure 2-30 on page 89, follow these steps:

- I Click the Plot Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 Still on the Arrow page, select Subdomains from the Plot arrows on list. In the x-component edit field, type u_matrix_lin; in the y-component edit field, type v_matrix_lin; and in the z-component edit field, type w_matrix_lin.

Add a slice plot to see the linear velocity in the matrix block relative to the average value of the linear velocity on the fracture.

- 3 Click the Slice tab. Select the Slice plot check box. In the Slice data area, type U_matrix_lin/U_frac_lin_avg in the Expression edit field. In the Slice positioning area, click the option button for x levels in the Vector with coordinates column, then type 0.9 in the associated edit field. Leave the Number of levels for both the y levels and z levels at their default zero value. In the Coloring and fill area, select Wireframe from the Fill style list. In the Slice color area, click the Color table button and in the associated drop-down list select Thermal.
- 4 On the General page, clear the Boundary check box in the Plot type area.
- 5 Switch among the output times from the figure by selecting them in the Solution at time list; after each selection, click Apply to generate the corresponding plot.

To animate the solution sequence, click the **Plot Parameters** button and then click the **Animate** tab. Edit the **Movie settings** as appropriate. Click the **Start Animation** button.

To create the image that appears when opening the model file, remove the slice plot and add streamlines for viewing the matrix and fracture velocities simultaneously. To do so, continue with these instructions:

- I Return to the Plot Parameters dialog box.
- 2 On the General page, clear the Slice check box and select the Streamline check box.
- **3** Click the **Arrow** tab. In the **Plot arrows on** list select **Boundaries**. Keep the settings on the **Boundary Data** page that you entered in Step 5 on page 127.
- 4 Click the Streamline tab. In the x component edit field, type u_matrix_lin; in the y component edit field, type v_matrix_lin; and in the z component edit field, type w_matrix_lin. On the Start Points page, set the Number of start points to 150. On the Line Color page, click first the Use expression button and then the Color Expression button. In the Streamline Color Expression dialog box, type U_matrix_lin in the Expression edit field. From the Unit list, select mm/s, then click OK.
- 5 Click OK to close the Plot Parameters dialog box and generate the plot.

Variably Saturated Flow

Introduction

This example uses the Richards' Equation application mode to assess how well geophysical irrigation sensors detect the true level of fluid saturation in variably saturated soils. Andrew Hinnell, Alex Furman, and Ty Ferre from the Department of Hydrology and Water Resources at the University of Arizona brought the example to us. They originally worked out the problem in COMSOL Multiphysics' PDE modes, but this discussion shares their elegant model reformulated in the Richards' Equation application mode.

A major challenge when characterizing fluid movement in variably saturated porous media lies primarily in the need to describe how the capacity to transmit and store fluids changes as fluids enter and fill the pore spaces. Experimental data for these properties are difficult to obtain. Moreover, the properties that change value as the soil saturates happen to be equation coefficients, which makes the mathematics notoriously nonlinear. The Richards' Equation application mode provides interfaces that automate the van Genuchten (Ref. 1) as well as the Brooks and Corey (Ref. 2) relationships for fluid retention and material properties that vary with the solution. This section discusses these interfaces and also explains how the application mode includes an interface that helps you use your own data or expressions to describe these properties (for details see "Interpolation for Unsaturated Flow" on page 114).



Figure 2-31: A variably saturated porous medium.

This example uses the model of Hinnell, Furman, and Ferre to characterize how the distribution of water changes around three impermeable sensors inserted into two different blocks of uniform soil partially saturated with water. The question for the model to address is this: Does the saturation localized around the sensor give a valid picture of the saturation within the total block?

This model demonstrates how to use the Richards' Equation application mode including the van Genuchten (Ref. 1) as well as the Brooks and Corey (Ref. 2) interfaces. The step-by-step instructions assist you in learning how to set up two separate equation systems in one COMSOL Multiphysics model file and then solve them simultaneously. It also explains a method to automate boundary and subdomain integrations using coupling variables—here the integrations estimate the average saturation around the sensors and within the soil blocks. For an example in which the soil retention and permeability properties are defined with experimental data, see the model "Interpolation for Unsaturated Flow" on page 114.

The following pages start with an overview of the problem setup and a review of the equations and boundary conditions. Then follow a few details about implementing the integration-coupling variables and a table of the model data. The subsequent section presents the results of the simulations, and the final section gives detailed instructions for how to set up the model in the COMSOL Multiphysics user interface.

Model Definition

The problem setup is as follows. Two homogeneous columns of soil, each 2 m-by-2 m on a face, are partially saturated with water. A plot of the hydraulic properties of the first soil (Soil Type 1) fits the van Genuchten retention and permeability formulas. The other soil (Soil Type 2) has material properties that suit the Brooks and Corey formulas. Within each soil column are three impermeable rods, each with a 0.1 m radius. The rods are spaced at 0.5 m increments so they run horizontally down the center line of each block; see Figure 2-32. Just after the rods are emplaced, the pressure head is still uniform, but water begins to move vertically downward in steady drainage. Because all vertical slices down a block are identical, you can model a 2D cross section and observe the changes in the flow field for 900 s or 15 minutes.


Figure 2-32: Soil block with three rods. The shaded plane represents a vertical cross section.

GOVERNING EQUATION

Richards' equation describes the unsaturated-saturated flow of water in the soils. In this problem you can use Richards' equation only for water because the air in the soil stays at atmospheric pressure because this model does not close the surface to the atmosphere or otherwise ramp up the air pressures. The governing equation for the model is

$$[C + SeS] \frac{\partial H_p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [-K\nabla (H_p + D)] = 0$$
(2-3)

Pressure head, H_p (m), is the dependent variable. C denotes specific moisture capacity (m⁻¹), Se is the effective saturation, S is a storage coefficient (m⁻¹), t is time, K denotes the hydraulic conductivity (m/s), and D is the coordinate (for example x, y, or z) for the vertical elevation (m). The equation does not show the volumetric fraction of water, θ , which is a constitutive relation that depends on H_p . Nonlinearities appear because C, Se, and K change with H_p and θ .

The first term in the equation explains that fluid storage can change with time during both unsaturated and saturated conditions. When the soil is unsaturated, the pores fill with (or drain) water. After the pore spaces completely fill, there is slight compression of the fluid and the pore space. The specific moisture capacity $C = \partial \theta / \partial H_p$ describes the change in fluid volume fraction θ with pressure head. The storage coefficient addresses storage changes due to compression and expansion of the pore spaces and the water when the soil is fully wet. To model the storage coefficient, this example uses the

specific storage option, which sets $S = \rho_f g(\chi_p + \theta \chi_f)$. Here, ρ_f is the fluid density (kg/m³), g is acceleration of gravity, while χ_p and χ_f are the compressibilities of the solid particles and fluid, respectively (m·s²/kg).

The van Genuchten and the Brooks and Corey formulas that describe the change in C, Se, K, and θ with H_p require data for the saturated and liquid volume fractions, θ_s and θ_r , as well as for other constants α , n, m, and l, which specify a particular type of medium. With the van Genuchten equations that follow, you consider the soil as being saturated when fluid pressure is atmospheric (that is, $H_p = 0$):

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \theta_{\rm r} + {\rm Se}(\theta_{\rm s} - \theta_{\rm r}) & H_p < 0\\ \theta_{\rm s} & H_p \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

Se =
$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\left[1 + \left|\alpha H_p\right|^n\right]^m} & H_p < 0\\ 1 & H_p \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

$$C = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha m}{1-m} (\theta_{s} - \theta_{r}) \mathbf{S} \mathbf{e}^{\frac{1}{m}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \mathbf{S} \mathbf{e}^{\frac{1}{m}} \end{pmatrix}^{m} & H_{p} < 0 \\ H_{p} \ge 0 \end{cases}$$
$$k_{r} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{e}^{l} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \left(1 - \mathbf{S} \mathbf{e}^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^{m} \end{bmatrix}^{2} & H_{p} < 0 \\ 1 & H_{p} \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

With the Brooks and Corey approach, an air-entry pressure distinguishes saturated $(H_p > -1/\alpha)$ and unsaturated $(H_p < -1/\alpha)$ soil as in

$$\begin{split} \theta &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \theta_{\mathrm{r}} + \mathrm{Se}(\theta_{\mathrm{s}} - \theta_{\mathrm{r}}) & H_{p} < -\frac{1}{\alpha} \\ \theta_{\mathrm{s}} & -\frac{1}{\alpha} \leq H_{p} \\ \end{array} \right. \\ \mathrm{Se} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{\left|\alpha H_{p}\right|^{n}} & H_{p} < -\frac{1}{\alpha} \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{\alpha} \leq H_{p} \\ \end{array} \right. \\ C &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{-n}{H_{p}}(\theta_{\mathrm{s}} - \theta_{\mathrm{r}}) \frac{1}{\left|\alpha H_{p}\right|^{n}} & H_{p} < -\frac{1}{\alpha} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\alpha} \leq H_{p} \\ \end{array} \right. \\ K &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{R_{\mathrm{s}}}{R_{\mathrm{s}}} \mathrm{Se}^{\frac{2}{n} + l + 2} & H_{p} < -\frac{1}{\alpha} \\ K_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Se}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq H_{p} \end{array} \right. \end{cases}$$

To find a unique solution to this problem, you must specify initial and boundary conditions. Initially, the column has uniform pressure head of H_{p0} . The boundary conditions are

$\mathbf{n} \cdot [-\underline{K}\nabla(H_p + D)]$	$\partial \Omega$	Sides
$\mathbf{n} \cdot [-\underline{K}\nabla(H_p + D)]$	$\partial \Omega$	Rings
$H_p = H_{p0}$	$\partial \Omega$	Base
$H_p = H_{p0}$	$\partial \Omega$	Surface

where **n** is the unit vector normal to the boundary.

COMSOL Multiphysics Implementation—Integration Coupling Variables

Integration coupling variables in COMSOL Multiphysics calculate integrals for arbitrary expressions on a given type of model domain (the source) and make that information available elsewhere in the model (the destination). As such, you can classify coupling variables by the source of the information. For example, boundaries, subdomains, and points are different types of sources that arise within every 2D model. To calculate the average value of the effective saturation, Se, at the rod and throughout the soil requires two types of integration coupling variables: boundary coupling variables for the rod-soil boundary, and subdomain coupling variables for the soil. In both cases the average saturation can be calculated as

$$\overline{\mathrm{Se}} = \frac{\int \mathrm{Se} d\sigma}{\int d\sigma},$$

where σ denotes the domain. The expression consists of two integrals. The numerator sums the effective saturation values along the boundary or within the subdomain. The denominator sums the boundary length or subdomain area (2D).

This model makes modest use of coupling variables to calculate saturations and compares them during postprocessing. Because coupling variables are so clever, it is worth mentioning a use or two. You can use them to evaluate, for example, a flux on an outlet and return the information as a source term on the inlet to link physics on separately meshed geometries within one model. And this is just the beginning. For more information on this topic, refer to "Using Coupling Variables" on page 269 in the *COMSOL Multiphysics User's Guide*.

MODEL DATA

The following table gives the data needed to complete the two example problems:

VARIABLE	UNITS	DESCRIPTION	VAN GENUCHTEN	BROOKS & COREY
g	m/s ²	Gravity	9.82	9.82
ρ_{f}	kg/m ³	Fluid density	1000	1000
χ _p	m∙s ² /kg	Compressibility of solid particles	10 ⁻⁸	10 ⁻⁸
χ _f	m∙s ² /kg	Compressibility of fluid	4.4·10 ⁻¹⁰	4.4·10 ⁻¹⁰
$K_{\rm s}$	m/s	Saturated hydraulic conductivity	8.25·10 ⁻⁵	5.83·10 ⁻⁵
$\theta_{\mathbf{s}}$		Porosity/void fraction	0.43	0.417
$\theta_{\mathbf{r}}$		Residual saturation	0.045	0.02
α	m ⁻¹	alpha parameter	14.5	13.8
n		n parameter	2.68	0.592
m		m parameter	I-I/n	n/a
l		Pore connectivity parameter	0.5	I
H_{p0}	m	Specified pressure	-0.06	-0.2
H_{p0}	m	Initial pressure	-0.06	-0.2

Results and Discussion

Figure 2-33 and Figure 2-34 are solutions to the Richards' equation problem of Prof. Ty Ferre, Andrew Hinnell, and Alex Furman from the University of Arizona's Department of Hydrology and Water Resources. Each figure gives results for similar variably saturated flow problem posed for different soil types. Each snapshot shows effective fluid saturation (surface plot), pressure head (contours), and fluid velocities (arrows). The flow field varies around the rods but remains largely uniform over the remainder of each block.



Figure 2-33: Solution for effective saturation (surface plot), pressure head (contours), and velocity (arrows) at 30 minutes for Soil Type 1 (van Genuchten).



Figure 2-34: Solution for effective saturation (surface plot), pressure head (contours), and velocity (arrows) at 30 minutes for Soil Type 2 (Brooks and Corey).

Figure 2-35 shows the effective saturation evolving over time at the rod-soil boundary. The intervals $(-180^\circ, 0^\circ)$ and $(0^\circ, 180^\circ)$ for the angular coordinate along the horizontal axis correspond to the boundary's lower and upper halves, respectively. In the figure, the solid lines denote the solution for Soil Type 1, and the dashed lines correspond to Soil Type 2. In the results, the initial value of effective saturation plots as a horizontal line. The soil is wetter just above the rods than below them. That the

saturation around Soil Type 1 extends to the lower half circle suggests that the entire boundary will get completely wet with time.



Figure 2-35: Effective saturation around the center rod in Soil Type 1 (solid lines) and Soil Type 2 (dashed lines). Results are for 0 s, 60 s, 300 s, 600 s, and 900 s.

Figure 2-36 compares the average fluid saturations at the rod boundary with the average within the two blocks of soil. The range of effective saturation estimates at the rod boundary appears as a scatter plot for different time steps. The solid line is the integral of the effective saturation at the rod boundary. The dashed line is the integral of effective saturation for the block. Clearly the average effective saturation at the rods increases with time, but the average for the block does not change. While the effects shown here are more pronounced in Soil Type 1 than Soil Type 2, the results call to question whether the sensors can accurately assess soil moisture if kept in situ for long times.



Figure 2-36: Average effective saturation at sensor circumference (solid lines) and overall soil block (dashed lines) for Soil Type 1 (top set) and Soil Type 2 (bottom set). Also shown is the range of effective saturation at the rod circumference for Soil Type 1 (circles) and Soil Type 2 (squares).

References

1. M.Th. van Genuchten, "A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic of conductivity of unsaturated soils," *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, vol. 44, pp. 892–898, 1980.

2. R.H. Brooks and A.T. Corey, "Properties of porous media affecting fluid flow," J. Irrig. Drainage Div., ASCE Proc, vol. 72 (IR2), pp. 61–88, 1966.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/ variably_saturated_flow

To begin the model, first set up a geometry with an *xz*-axis, then add two Richards' Equation application modes.

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator, and in the Space dimension list select 2D.
- 2 Click the Multiphysics button.
- **3** Click the **Add Geometry** button. In the **Independent variables** edit field change the entry to read x z y in that order. Click **OK**.
- 4 In the list of application modes select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Richards' Equation>Pressure head analysis>Transient analysis. In the Application mode name edit field replace the current data with bc (for the Brooks & Corey method). Click the Add button and leave the dialog box open.
- 5 With the application mode Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Richards' equation>Pressure head analysis>Transient analysis still selected, go to the Application mode name edit field and enter vg (for the van Genuchten analysis). Click Add, then click OK.

APPLICATION SCALAR VARIABLES

To set the vertical direction in the model, go to the **Physics** menu and select **Scalar Variables.** Make the following modifications to the defaults, and then click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION
D_vg	z
D_bc	z

GEOMETRY MODELING

Create the geometry by drawing a rectangle and subtracting three circles from it.

- I Press Shift and click the Rectangle/Square (Centered) button on the Draw toolbar.
- 2 In the Square dialog box that opens, draw a square centered at the origin, with the upper-left corner at x = -1, z = 1 and the lower-right corner at x = 1, z = -1. When done, click **OK** to close the dialog box.
- 3 Shift-click the Ellipse/Circle (Centered) button on the Draw toolbar.

4 In the **Circle** dialog box enter the following settings for the radius and centerpoint coordinates; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION
Radius	0.1
x	-0.5
z	0

- 5 Click the Array button on the Draw toolbar.
- 6 In the Array dialog box, go to the Displacement area and type 0.5 in the x edit field. In the Array size area, type 3 in the x edit field, then click OK.
- **7** Press Ctrl+A to select all the objects, then click the **Difference** button on the Draw toolbar.
- 8 Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar to center the geometry in the drawing area.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

Now set up variables to integrate the effective saturation in the subdomain and along the rod boundary.

- I Choose Options>Integration Coupling Variables>Subdomain Variables.
- 2 In the Subdomain Integration Variables dialog box, select Subdomain 1
- 3 Enter data from following table; when done, click OK.

NAME	EXPRESSION
A_soil	1
Se_mean_soil_vg	Se_vg/A_soil
<pre>Se_mean_soil_bc</pre>	Se_bc/A_soil

- 4 Choose Options>Integration Coupling Variables>Boundary Variables.
- 5 In the Boundary Integration Variables dialog box, select Boundaries 9–12.
- 6 Enter data from the following table; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION
s_rod	1
Se_mean_rod_vg	Se_vg/s_rod
Se_mean_rod_bc	Se_bc/s_rod

PHYSICS SETTINGS

Subdomain Settings—Soil Type 1 (van Genuchten)

First set up the model for Soil Type 1, which you build with the van Genuchten retention and permeability relationships.

- I From the Multiphysics menu, select Richards' Equation (vg).
- 2 Choose Physics>Subdomain Settings.
- 3 On the **Coefficients** page, enter the following settings:

PROPERTY	VALUE
Constitutive relation	van Genuchten
θ_{s}	0.43
θ _r	0.045
Storage term	Specific storage
χ _f	4.4e-10
χ _p	1e-8
K _S	8.25e-5
ρ _f	1000

4 On the van Genuchten page, enter the following settings:

PROPERTY	VALUE
α	14.5
n	2.68
I	0.5

- 5 Click the **Init** tab. In the **Initial value** edit field for **H**_{pt}(0) enter -0.06.
- 6 Click OK.

Boundary conditions

- I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.
- 2 Set the following boundary conditions; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARIES I, 4-16	BOUNDARIES 2, 3
Boundary condition	Zero flux/Symmetry	Pressure head
H _{p0}		-0.06

Subdomain Settings—Soil Type 2 (Brooks and Corey)

Create a second application in the same model file for Soil Type 2 using the Brooks and Corey parameterization approach.

- I From the Multiphysics menu, select Richards' Equation (bc).
- 2 From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings.
- 3 On the **Coefficients** page, enter the following expressions:

PROPERTY	VALUE
Constitutive relation	Brooks & Corey
θ_{s}	0.417
θ _r	0.02
Storage term	Specific storage
χ _f	4.4e-10
χ _p	1e-8
K _S	5.8333e-5
ρ _f	1000

4 On the Brooks & Corey page, then enter the following settings:

PROPERTY	VALUE
α	13.8
n	0.592
I	1

5 Click the lnit tab. In the lnitial value edit field for $H_{pt}(0)$ enter -0.2.

6 Click OK.

Boundary conditions

- I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.
- 2 Set these boundary conditions; when done, click OK.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARIES I, 4-16	BOUNDARIES 2, 3
Boundary condition	Zero flux/Symmetry	Pressure head
H _{p0}		-0.2

MESH GENERATION

I Choose Mesh>Free Mesh Parameters.

2 Click the **Boundary** tab. Select the three circles in the model domain; to do so, left-click the mouse in the user interface and draw a box around the circles. Then in the **Maximum element size** edit field enter 0.025. Click **OK**.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I Choose Solve>Solver Parameters.
- 2 Find the Solver list, and verify that Time dependent is selected.
- **3** Go to the **Time stepping** area and enter **0** 60 300 600 900 in the **Times** edit field to specify output times for the model. Click **OK**.
- 4 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate the plot in Figure 2-33 on page 103, follow these steps:

- I Choose Postprocessing>Plot Parameters.
- **2** Go to the **General** page. In the **Plot type** area select the **Surface**, **Contour**, and **Arrow** check boxes.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. On the Surface Data page, go to the Predefined quantities list and select Richards' Equation (vg)>Effective saturation.
- 4 Click the Contour tab. On the Contour Data page, go to the Predefined quantities list and select Richards' Equation (vg)>Pressure head.
- 5 In the Contour color area, clear the Color legend check box. Click first the Uniform color option button and then the Color button. In the Contour Color dialog box, select black, then click OK.
- 6 Click the Arrow tab. On the Subdomain Data page, go to the Predefined quantities list and select Richards' Equation (vg)>Velocity field.
- 7 Go to the Arrow positioning area. In the Number of points edit fields for both x points and z points enter 40. Click the Color button, then choose gray; click OK.
- 8 Click **Apply** to generate the plot.

To create Figure 2-34, repeat Steps 3, 4, and 6 for Figure 2-33 above, except this time select the application mode **Richards' equation (bc)** instead of **Richards' Equation (vg)**. Finish by clicking **OK** to close the **Plot Parameters** dialog box and generate the plot.

To generate Figure 2-35, continue with these steps:

- I Choose Postprocessing>Domain Plot Parameters.
- 2 Click the Line/Extrusion tab. From the Boundary selection list, select 9 through 12.

- **3** Go to the **y-axis data** area and in the **Expression** edit field enter Se_vg (the effective saturation for the application mode named vg).
- 4 In the x-axis data area, click first the lower option button and then the Expression button. In the X-Axis Data dialog box, enter the Expression atan2(z,x). Select ^o from the Unit list, then click OK. Click Apply to generate the first set of curves.
- 5 On the General page, select the Keep current plot check box.
- 6 Return to the Line/Extrusion page and in the Expression edit field enter Se_bc.
- 7 Click the Line Settings button. Set the Line style to Dashed line, then click OK.
- 8 Click **OK** to complete the plot you just created by adding the results for Soil Type 2.

Finally, generate Figure 2-36 with these instructions:

- I Choose Postprocessing>Domain Plot Parameters.
- 2 On the General page, select New figure from the Plot in list and select the Keep current plot check box.

First plot the distribution of effective saturation estimates at each output time:

- **3** On the Line/Extrusion page, go to the **y-axis data** area and in the Expression edit field enter Se_vg. In the Boundary selection list select **9** through **12**.
- **4** Go to the **x-axis data** area and click the **Expression** option button. In the **Expression** edit field enter **t**, then click **OK**.
- 5 Click the Line Settings button at the bottom of the dialog box. From the Line color list select Color, and set the Line marker to Circle. Click OK to close the Line Settings dialog box.
- 6 Click Apply.
- 7 In the y-axis data area, change the Expression to Se_bc.
- 8 Click the Line Settings button. Click the Color button. Select blue, then click OK.
- 9 From the Line marker list select Square, then click OK.
- IO Click Apply.
- **II** On the **Point** page, select an arbitrary point in the **Point selection** list or by clicking in the drawing area.
- **12** In the **y-axis data** area, enter the **Expression** Se_mean_rod_vg.
- **I3** Click the **Line Settings** button.
- 14 From the Line color list select Color, then click OK.
- **I5** Click **Apply**.
- **I6** In the **y-axis data** area, change the **Expression** to Se_mean_soil_vg.

- 17 Click the Line Settings button. From the Line style list select Dashed line. Click OK.
- **I8** Click **Apply**.
- **19** In the **y-axis data** area, change the **Expression** to Se_mean_soil_bc.
- **20** Click the Line Settings button. From the Line color list select Cycle. Click OK.
- 21 Click Apply.
- **2** In the **y-axis data** area, change the **Expression** to Se_mean_rod_bc.
- 23 Click the Line Settings button. From the Line style list select Dashed line. Click OK.
- 24 Click OK to generate the final plot and close the Domain Plot Parameters dialog box.
- **25** Click the **Edit Plot** toolbar button in the figure window and finalize the plot by editing the **x limits**, **Title**, **x label**, and **y label** as desired.

Interpolation for Unsaturated Flow

Variably saturated flow models are notoriously difficult to parameterize because several material and hydraulic properties change values as the pressure and saturation levels fluctuate. Ideally, experimentalists prefer to use their hard-earned data in a model rather than finding best-fit analytical expressions. This example demonstrates how to incorporate experimental data directly into a simulation. Nathan Miller of Michigan State University pioneered this approach in his COMSOL Multiphysics model of fluid, heat, and gas movement to a turtle nest.



Figure 2-37: Estimates of properties that depend on Hp. Shown for the upper soil layer (dashed line) and lower soil layer (solid line) are: hydraulic conductivity, K (a); liquid volume fraction, θ (b); effective saturation, Se, (c); and specific moisture capacity, C (d).

This example uses interpolation between experimental data points to estimate values of the equation coefficients Se, θ , K, and C (see Equation 2-3 on page 99) that fit the solution for the pressure head, H_p , during a flow simulation.

The interpolation model described here builds on the flow model described in "Variably Saturated Flow" on page 97. In variably saturated transport, you define the equation coefficients Se, θ , K, and C, which vary with pressure head, H_p , using analytic expressions from van Genuchten (Ref. 1) and that are available in the Richards' Equation application mode. For this interpolation model, the author parameterized the same basic flow problem by sampling from analytic calculations to obtain a fictitious experimental dataset and interpolating between the scattered points. At the close of the model, this discussion compares results from interpolated material properties to those obtained with analytical calculations.

Model Definition

Example 4 from the SWMS2D manual (Ref. 2) inspired the basic flow problem set out in this model. In that example, a ring of 0.25 m radius sits at the ground surface. Within it water ponds to a depth of 0.01 m. The ring is bottomless, so water moves from the ring into the soil. The problem examines the flow into a 1.3 m long soil column of radius 1.25 m.



Figure 2-38: Geometry showing infiltration ring on top of a soil column.

The soil is layered; the upper soil layer is 0.4 m thick, and the lower layer sits on top of relatively impermeable soil. At the ground surface beyond the ring, there is no entry. The only flow exit is a small amount of leakage from the base. The center line of the ring is an axis of symmetry. The distribution of pressure head is known at an initial time. For more information about this flow model, see the example "Variably Saturated Flow" on page 97.

FLUID FLOW

Richards' equation governs fluid flow in variably saturated porous media. A benefit of the form of Richards' equation used here is that it allows for changes in storage related to increasing and decreasing moisture as well as storage changes due to compression and expansion when the soil is fully wet. The equation is

$$(C + \text{Se}S)\frac{\partial H_p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[-K_{\text{s}}k_{\text{r}}\nabla(H_p + D)\right] = Q_s$$

where *C* is specific moisture capacity (m^{-1}) ; Se is the effective saturation; *S* denotes the storage coefficient (m^{-1}) ; H_p equals the pressure head (m); *t* is the time (d); K_s represents the hydraulic conductivity (m/d); k_r gives the relative permeability; *D* is the coordinate (for example *x*, *y*, or *z*) for the vertical elevation (m); and Q_s represents the fluid source defined by volumetric flow rate per unit volume of soil (d^{-1}) . Here *S* equals the difference between the liquid volume fraction at saturation, θ_s , and the residual liquid volume fraction, θ_r , or specific yield per unit length.

Changes in pressure head and elevation head drive fluid through the soil. K, θ , C, and Se vary under unsaturated conditions (for example, $H_p < 0$), and they reach a constant value when the system saturates (for example, $H_p \ge 0$). In a typical experimental station, you conduct simultaneous tests to identify how the hydraulic conductivity function $K = K_s k_r (m/d)$ and the volume fraction of fluid in the soil, θ , change with H_p . The specific moisture capacity, C, relates changes observed in the soil moisture, θ , to the change in pressure head, H_p . The relative permeability, k_r , explains how the transmissive properties of the soil, K, vary with pressure head, H_p . The effective saturation, Se, denotes the value of θ scaled to a maximum of 1.

This example employs interpolation functions with a fictional set of experimental data consisting of K and θ data for different values of H_p . It uses interpolation when the soil is unsaturated ($H_p < 0$) to estimate K and θ for different values of the solution, H_p . The model defines C by the "slope" in the θ versus H_p values. Se is a scaled version of the θ results. The parameterization is as follows:

$$\begin{split} \theta &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} f(H_p) & H_p < 0 \\ \theta_s & H_p \ge 0 \end{array} \right. \\ \mathrm{Se} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (\theta - \theta_\mathrm{r}) / (\theta_\mathrm{s} - \theta_\mathrm{r}) & H_p < 0 \\ 1 & H_p \ge 0 \end{array} \right. \\ \mathrm{C} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial \theta / \partial H_p & H_p < 0 \\ 0 & H_p \ge 0 \end{array} \right. \\ k_\mathrm{r} &= \frac{K}{K_\mathrm{s}} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} f(H_p) & H_p < 0 \\ 1 & H_p \ge 0 \end{array} \right. \\ \end{split}$$

where pressure head at saturation is atmospheric (that is, $H_p = 0$). Here, θ_s and K_s denote the soil's total porosity and the saturated hydraulic conductivity.

For the boundary conditions, the pressure head in the ring is constant, and no flow exits in the surface outside the pressure ring. The sides are impermeable. The vertical boundary on the inside of the ring is a line of symmetry, and the model approximates the small amount of leakage from the base, N_0 , as being $0.01K_s$. In summary, the boundary conditions are

$H_p = H_{p0}$	$\partial \Omega$ Ring
$\mathbf{n} \cdot [-K_{\rm s}k_{\rm r}\nabla(H_p + D)] = 0$	$\partial \Omega$ Surface
$\mathbf{n} \cdot [-K_{\rm s}k_{\rm r}\nabla(H_p + D)] = 0$	$\partial \Omega$ Sides
$\mathbf{n} \cdot [-K_{\rm s}k_{\rm r}\nabla(H_p + D)] = 0$	$\partial \Omega$ Symmetry
$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left[-K_{\rm s}k_{\rm r}\nabla(H_p + D)\right] = N_0$	$\partial \Omega$ Base

where **n** is the outward unit normal to the boundary. Initially, the column has a specified distribution of pressure head of H_{p0} . Here, you define the initial pressures with an equation that approximates the distribution shown for June 1982 in Example 4 from SWMS2D (Ref. 2).

Implementation: Interpolation from Scattered Data

The "experimental data" are 25 data points sampled at regular H_p intervals from K and θ values calculated with the following formulas (Ref. 1). The data also include repeats

of the constants for extreme pressure heads to prevent extrapolating beyond the range of physical property values. You can calculate the sampled distributions from

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \theta_{\rm r} + \operatorname{Se}(\theta_{\rm s} - \theta_{\rm r}) & H_p < 0\\ \theta_s & H_p \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

Se =
$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\left[1 + \left|\alpha H_p\right|^n\right]^m} & H_p < 0\\ H_p \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

$$C = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha m}{1-m} (\theta_{s} - \theta_{r}) \mathbf{S} \mathbf{e}^{\frac{1}{m}} \left(1 - \mathbf{S} \mathbf{e}^{\frac{1}{m}} \right)^{m} & H_{p} < 0\\ 0 & H_{p} \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

$$k_{\rm r} = \frac{K}{K_{\rm s}} \begin{cases} {\rm Se}^{l} \left[1 - \left(1 - {\rm Se}^{\frac{1}{m}} \right)^{m} \right]^{2} & H_{p} < 0\\ 1 & H_{p} \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

where α , *n*, and *l* are constants that characterize the type of medium, and m = 1 - 1/n.

Implementation: Interpolation from Experimental Data

This analysis finds the correct value of $K = K_s k_r$ and θ for the solution H_p using COMSOL Multiphysics commands to interpolate between experimental data points. You calculate Se based on the interpolated θ estimates, then use COMSOL Multiphysics' automated differentiation commands (for example, diff and pdiff) to define *C* as the derivative of θ with respect to H_p .

Interpolation in COMSOL Multiphysics is straightforward. You open a dialog box from the **Options** menu, import data from a text file, assign a name to the interpolation function, and use that name where the function is needed, here the **Subdomain Settings** dialog boxes.

The step-by-step instructions that follow create interpolation functions for each K and θ in two layers, giving a total of four functions. The example laid out here uses precreated files that contain the pressure head with corresponding property values. For

the hydraulic conductivity function, $K = K_s k_r$, in Subdomain 1, the name of the data file is K_sub1.txt. Within that file, the pressure-head data make up the first string of numbers, and the corresponding hydraulic-conductivity values follow. For example, the final five entries from K_sub1.txt read

%grid -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0.0 100 %data 0.0903 0.1376 0.2199 0.4540 0.454

In this sample from the data file, grid denotes that H_p data follow, and data denotes that corresponding K data come next.

Note: The file gives only unsaturated properties, but you repeat the saturated hydraulic conductivity, $K_{\rm s}$, of 0.454 m/d for a positive pressure head of 100 m to prevent interpolation beyond the range of the data.

To activate the new functions you just created, simply enter the function name (for example, K_sub1) along with the argument (for example, the pressure head Hp). For the relative permeability, k_r , the command is:

 $kr = K_sub1(Hp)/Ks$

Find out more about interpolation commands by referring to the *COMSOL Multiphysics User's Guide*. You can use the interpolation commands with spatial data as well. For a model that employs these interpolation commands to map out spatially varying material properties, see "A Rock Fracture Flow Model" on page 283 in the *COMSOL Multiphysics Model Library*.

DATA

The following table provides the constants and the expressions used in this model. The data come from the example in Ref. 2. The expressions for implementing the interpolation commands appear in the user-interface instructions.

VARIABLE	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	UPPER LAYER	LOWER LAYER
K _s	m/d	Saturated hydraulic conductivity	0.298	0.454
q_{s}		Porosity/void fraction	0.399	0.339
$q_{ m r}$		Residual saturation	0.0001	0.0001

VARIABLE	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	UPPER LAYER	LOWER LAYER
H_{ps}	m	Pressure head in ring	0.01	
H_{p0}	m	Initial pressure head	- (z+1.2)* (z<-0.4)+ (-(z+1.2)- .2*(z+0.4))* (-0.4<=z)	- (z+1.2)* (z<-0.4)+ (-(z+1.2)- .2*(z+0.4))* (-0.4<=z)

The next table summarizes the parameters used in calculating the van Genuchten distributions for Se, K, and θ .

VARIABLE	UNITS	DESCRIPTION	UPPER LAYER	LOWER LAYER
$K_{ m s}$	m/d	Saturated hydraulic conductivity	0.298	0.454
$q_{\rm s}$		Porosity/void fraction	0.399	0.339
$q_{\mathbf{r}}$		Residual saturation	0.0001	0.0001
α	m ⁻¹	alpha parameter	1.74	1.39
n		n parameter	1.3757	1.6024
m		m parameter	1-1/n	
l		Pore connectivity parameter	0.5	0.5

Results

Figure 2-37 on page 114 shows the values for K, θ , Se, and C estimated here by interpolation from "experimental" datasets consisting of 25 points sampled from analytic distributions (Ref. 1). The sets of interpolated and analytic estimates for the upper and the lower soil layers match closely.



Figure 2-39: Solution for variably saturated flow simulated with properties interpolated from experimental data. Results shown are effective saturation (surface plot), pressure head (contours), and velocity (arrows) at 0.3 days.



Figure 2-40: Solution for variably saturated flow simulated with material properties interpolated from experimental data. Results shown are effective saturation (surface plot), pressure head (contours), and velocity (arrows) at 1 day.

The two nearby figures show the solutions of variably saturated flow modeled with interpolated K, θ , Se, and C values at 0.25 days and 1 day. They show effective saturation (surface plot), pressure head (contours), and velocities (arrows). At day 0.25, the fluid moves primarily below the ponded region.

By the end of Day 1, the water moves deeper within the volume. Because very little fluid exits from the base, the soil gradually saturates upward with time. The results are so similar to values obtained with closed-form equations to define K, θ , Se, and C that this discussion does not repeat them; the curious reader should refer to "Variably Saturated Flow" on page 97.

Figure 2-41 is a plot of pressure heads simulated in COMSOL Multiphysics with linear and nearest neighbor interpolation to define K, θ , Se, and C from experimental data. It shows results at a selection of positions, and it also illustrates similar values for simulations with closed-form analytic estimates of K, θ , Se, and C. Which interpolation method is appropriate for a model depends on the property values and the number of data, among other things.



Figure 2-41: Pressure heads simulated with linear interpolation (solid lines) and analytic formulas (dashed lines) for retention and permeability functions. Results are for (x, y)-coordinates, in m, (0.2, -0.1), (0.6, -0.4), and (1.0, -0.7).

This example demonstrates how to simulate variably saturated flow using fluid-retention and permeability values obtained by interpolation from experimental data, which you here import into COMSOL Multiphysics as a text file. Then use the COMSOL Multiphysics interpolation functions and the Richards' equation user-definition options to parameterize the model. The user-definition options also allow you to enter arbitrary expressions for these properties, for example, to define how permeability and moisture content vary during unsaturated conditions, and COMSOL Multiphysics can automate finding the solution.

References

1. M.Th. van Genuchten, "A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic of conductivity of unsaturated soils," *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, vol. 44, pp. 892–898, 1980.

2. J. Simunek, T. Vogel, and M.Th. van Genuchten, *The SWMS_2D code for simulating water flow and solute transport in two-dimensional variably saturated media, Version 1.1*, Research Report No. 132, U.S. Salinity Laboratory, USDA, Riverside, CA, 1994.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/interpolation

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator. From the Space dimension list select Axial symmetry (2D).
- 2 From the list of application modes select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow> Richards' Equation>Pressure head analysis>Transient analysis, then click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

Create the geometry by drawing one rectangle and adding two lines.

- I From the Draw menu select Specify Objects>Rectangle.
- 2 Enter the following settings; when done, click **OK**.

PARAMETER	EXPRESSION
width	1.25
height	1.3

PARAMETER	EXPRESSION
r	0
z	-1.3

3 Click the Zoom Extents button on the Main toolbar.

4 Return to the Draw menu and select Specify Objects>Rectangle.

5 Specify the following shape; when done, click **OK**.

PARAMETER	EXPRESSION
width	1.25
height	0.4
r	0
z	-0.4

6 Return to the Draw menu and select Specify Objects>Line. In the r coordinate edit field enter 0 0.25, and in the z coordinate edit field enter 0 0. Click OK.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

Constants

I From the **Options** menu, select **Constants**.

2 Define the following constant that you will use later; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
SPD	1[d/s]	Seconds per day

Functions

Now set up the interpolation routines. You interpolate for six data sets. Import the data as files and assign a name that you use elsewhere to call for the interpolated values. In the data files, the H_p values are the "grid," and the corresponding parameter values are the "data." The datafiles are available once the Earth Science Module has been installed.

- I Choose Options>Functions. In the Defined Functions area, click the New button.
- 2 To define the hydraulic conductivity in Subdomain 1 (the lower layer), go to the Function name edit field and enter K_sub1. Select Interpolation and choose File from the Use data from list. Click Browse. Locate the file K_sub1.txt in Models/ Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow in the COMSOL installation directory, then click OK.

- 3 Repeat this process for the files th_sub1.txt, K_sub2.txt, and th_sub2.txt. Be sure to click **New** before starting to create each new function. After you have named and imported data for each function, click **Apply**.
- 4 Click OK to close the Functions dialog box.

PHYSICS SETTINGS

Application Scalar Variables

Next, set the vertical direction and gravitational constant in the model.

- I From the Physics menu, select Scalar Variables.
- **2** Make the following modifications to the defaults:

NAME	EXPRESSION
D_esvr	z
g_esvr	9.82[m/s^2]*SPD^2

Here you effectively convert the basic time unit in the model from seconds to days by multiplying by the gravitational acceleration measured in m/s^2 by the number of seconds per day squared. Thus, when the user interface displays seconds, you should read days instead. Note that this also applies to quantities that involve the time dimension implicitly, such as pressure with SI unit 1 Pa = 1 kg/(m·s²).

3 Click OK.

Subdomain Settings

Set up the material properties and how the interpolation should proceed.

I Choose **Physics>Subdomain Settings**. On the **Coefficients** page, enter the settings in the table below.

TERM	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAIN 2
Constitutive relation	User defined	User defined
θ_{s}	0.339	0.399
θ _r	0.0001	0.0001
Storage term	User defined	User defined
S	1e-8	1e-8
Ks	0.454	0.298
ρ _f	1000	1000

2 On the User Defined page, enter the settings in the table below. Make sure to click Apply after you have finished changing the values for each subdomain.

TERM	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAIN 2
θ	th_sub1(Hp_esvr[1/m])	th_sub2(Hp_esvr[1/m])
k _r	K_sub1(Hp_esvr[1/m])/0.454	K_sub2(Hp_esvr[1/m])/0.298

3 Click the **Init** tab. In the **Subdomain selection** list, choose both subdomains simultaneously. Then enter the following expression in the **Initial value** edit field and, when done, click **OK**.

INITIAL VALUE	SUBDOMAINS I, 2
Hp(t ₀)	- (z+1.2)*(z<-0.4)+(-(z+1.2)-0.2*(z+0.4))*(-0.4<=z)

Boundary Conditions

- I From the Physics menu, choose Boundary Settings.
- 2 Set the following conditions; when done, click OK.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARY I, 3, 6-8	BOUNDARY 2	BOUNDARY 5
Boundary condition	Zero flux/Symmetry	Inward flux	Pressure head
N ₀		-0.454/100	
H _{P0}			0.01

MESH GENERATION

- I Choose Mesh>Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 On the Global page, select Finer from the Predefined mesh sizes list.
- **3** On the **Boundary** page, select Boundaries 4 and 6. In the **Maximum element size** edit field, enter 0.02.
- 4 Select Boundary 5. In the Maximum element size edit field, enter 0.002.
- 5 Click OK.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I From the Solve menu, open the Solver Parameters dialog box.
- 2 In the Solver list, choose Time dependent if it is not already selected.
- 3 In the **Times** edit field, enter 0 10^{(range(-3,0.1,-1))} range(0.2,0.1,1) range(2,10) to generate outputs at the start time 0, then 21 exponentially

increasing outputs between 0.001 and 0.1 days followed by 0.1-day increments until the end of day 1, and finally 1-day increments through day 10.

- 4 Set the Relative tolerance to 0.001 and the Absolute tolerance to 1e9.
- 5 On the Time Stepping page, select the Manual tuning of step size check box. In the Initial time step edit field, type 1e-10.
- 6 Click OK.
- 7 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate the plot in Figure 2-39 on page 121, follow these steps:

- I From the Postprocessing menu, select Plot Parameters.
- 2 Click the General tab. In the Plot type area select the Surface, Contour, and Arrow check boxes. In the Solution at time list select 0.3.
- 3 Click the **Surface** tab. In the **Expression** edit field enter Se_esvr, typing over current information. Note that Se_esvr stands for effective saturation (Se) calculated with this application mode (named esvr).
- 4 Click the Contour tab. From the Predefined quantities list choose Pressure head. Select the option button next to the Vector with isolevels label, and in the associated edit field enter range(-2,0.1,2). Go to the Contour color area and click the Uniform color option button. Click the Color button. Change the color to white, then click OK. Clear the Color legend check box.
- 5 Click the Arrow tab. In the Predefined quantities list, select Velocity field. Go to the Arrow positioning area, and in the Number of edit points edit fields for both r points and z points enter 25. Go to the Arrow parameters area, click the Color button, choose white, and then click OK. Clear the Auto check box, and in the associated edit field enter 0.5.
- 6 Click Apply.

To generate Figure 2-40 on page 121, click the **General** tab, and in the **Solution at time** list select I. Click **OK**.

To generate Figure 2-41 on page 122, continue with these steps:

- I Choose Postprocessing>Cross-Section Plot Parameters.
- 2 Click the Point tab. In the Predefined quantities list, select Pressure head. Go to the Coordinates area; in the r edit field enter 0.2 0.6 1, and in the z edit field enter

-0.1 -0.4 -0.7. Click **OK**. You should now see a window designated **Figure 1**; do not close this window.

To add results from a simulation with the van Genuchten analytic formulas proceed as follows:

- 3 Choose Physics>Subdomain Settings. On the Coefficients page, go to the Subdomain selection list and choose both I and 2. Find the Constitutive relation list and select van Genuchten.
- 4 Click the van Genuchten tab, then enter the following settings; when done, click OK.

TERM	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAIN 2
α	1.39	1.74
n	1.6	1.38
I	0.5	0.5

- 5 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.
- 6 When the simulation is finished, return to the Postprocessing>Cross-Section Plot Parameters dialog box, click the General tab, and then select the Keep current plot check box. Go to the Plot in list and select Figure I. Click the Point tab, click the Line settings button, and in the Line style list select Dashed line; click OK, then click OK.

Two-Phase Flow

Introduction

The following example analyzes two-phase flow in porous media. Describing how fluids that do not mix then move simultaneously through one pore space is key to answering many environmental and industrial questions. Unfortunately, multiphase analyses are complicated by the need to solve for multiple dependent variables along with a variety of unknowns. Among them are hydraulic properties that depend on the pressure and saturation levels of each fluid phase.

This problem demonstrates two-phase flow following a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency experimental setup (Ref. 1). This straightforward experiment matches observations for a laboratory column to numerical estimates of two-phase flow. With these column experiments, the researchers evaluate flow for varying fluid pairs (air-water, air-oil, and oil-water) and then match the experimental results to those from computer simulations that employ analytic expressions for retention and permeability. This discussion addresses their work for the Lincoln soil and use formulas from Mualem (Ref. 2) and van Genuchten (Ref. 3) to give hydraulic properties.



Figure 2-42: Geometry of the two-phase flow column experiments in Hopmans and others (Ref. 1).

This is a multipart example. The first part sets up the two-phase flow model for water and air; the equations solve for pressures. Saturation varies with the solution. An underlying assumption is that at least some residual air and water exist throughout the soil column at all times. The model tracks the gas front as it displaces a wetting fluid by observing saturation rather than assuming a discrete interface. The second part modifies the air-water simulation for air-oil and oil-water systems.

Model Definition

In the experimental setup for air and water, the experiment injects air over the surface of a laboratory column filled with water and sand. The incoming air (the nonwetting phase for this fluid pair) forces the water (the wetting phase) toward the outlet at the base of the column. At the inlet, air pressure increases by steps in time, and no water exits through the column top. In moving to the outlet, the water passes through a disc that is impermeable to air flow. Neither the air nor the water can pass through the vertical column walls. The water pressure at the outlet, which changes in time, corresponds to the height of fluid rise in a receiving buret. The column has a total length of 8.34 cm, a 6-cm radius, and the disk is 0.74 cm thick. The experiment covers 170 hours.

This discussion lays out the two-phase flow simulation in the following order. First it reviews the governing equations and the analytic relationships (Ref. 2 and Ref. 3) that define fluid retention and permeability. Next comes a few implementation details and a table of the model data. The results follow. Finally come step-by-step instructions to build the model in the graphical user interface.

GOVERNING EQUATIONS AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Two-phase flow in porous media follows separate equations for the wetting (w) and nonwetting (nw) fluids:

$$\theta_{\rm s} \frac{\partial \mathrm{Se}_{\rm w}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[-\frac{\kappa_{\rm int} k_{\rm r,w}}{\eta_{\rm w}} (\nabla p_{\rm w} + \rho_{\rm w} g \nabla D) \right] = 0 \tag{2-4}$$

$$\theta_{\rm s} \frac{\partial \mathrm{Se}_{\rm nw}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[-\frac{\kappa_{\rm int} k_{\rm r, \, nw}}{\eta_{\rm nw}} (\nabla p_{\rm nw} + \rho_{\rm nw} g \nabla D) \right] = 0$$
(2-5)

where θ_s is the total porosity or saturated volume fraction; Se is effective saturation function; *t* is time (s); κ_{int} is the intrinsic permeability of the porous medium (m²); k_r is the relative permeability function for a given fluid; η is the fluid's dynamic viscosity (kg/(m·s)); *p* is pressure (kg/(m·s²)); ρ is the fluid density (kg/m³); *g* is acceleration of gravity; and *D* is the coordinate (for example, *x*, *y*, or *z*) of vertical elevation (m).

If the fluid distribution is continuous, neither fluid ever completely fills the soil, giving a volume fraction for the wetting phase, θ_{w} , and nonwetting phase, θ_{nw} , at all times. For the wetting phase, θ varies from zero or a small residual value θ_r to the total porosity, θ_s . The effective saturation, Se, comes from scaling θ with respect to θ_s and θ_r and so varies from 0 to 1. Both θ and Se are functions of the pressures of all fluids in the system. You define capillary pressure:

$$p_{\rm c} = p_{\rm nw} - p_{\rm w} \,. \tag{2-6}$$

The pore space can be completely filled with one fluid at a given time:

$$\operatorname{Se}_{w} + \operatorname{Se}_{nw} = 1.$$
 (2-7)

How effective saturation changes with capillary pressure, therefore, is

$$C_{p,w} = -C_{p,nw} = \theta_{s} \frac{\partial Se_{w}}{\partial p_{c}}$$
(2-8)

where C is the specific capacity, and the subscript "p" denotes units of pressure.

Using Equation 2-6, Equation 2-7, and Equation 2-8 in Equation 2-4 and Equation 2-5 simplifies the numerical model. The governing equations become:

$$C_{p, w} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (p_{\rm nw} - p_{\rm w}) + \nabla \cdot \left[-\frac{\kappa_{\rm int} k_{\rm r, w}}{\eta_{\rm w}} (\nabla p_{\rm w} + \rho_{\rm w} g \nabla D) \right] = 0$$
(2-9)

$$-C_{p,w}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(p_{nw} - p_w) + \nabla \cdot \left[-\frac{\kappa_{\text{int}}k_{r,nw}}{\eta_{nw}}(\nabla p_{nw} + \rho_{nw}g\nabla D)\right] = 0.$$
(2-10)

You can solve this system of equations simultaneously for p_w and p_{nw} . In this example, the two fluids are incompressible, but that need not be the case.

Initially, the water and air in the column follow hydrostatic distributions. The boundary conditions allow the water to exit only from the base of the soil column. For the wetting phase, the boundary conditions are

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left[-\frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p_{w} + \rho_{w} g \nabla D) \right] = 0 \quad \partial \Omega \quad \text{Inlet}$$
$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left[-\frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p_{w} + \rho_{w} g \nabla D) \right] = 0 \quad \partial \Omega \quad \text{Sides}$$
$$p_{w} = p_{w0}(t) \qquad \qquad \partial \Omega \quad \text{Base}$$
$$(2-11)$$

where **n** is the unit vector normal to the boundary.

Because air enters at the column top but never exits, the boundary conditions for the nonwetting phase are

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left[-\frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p_{nw} + \rho_{nw} g \nabla D) \right] = 0 \quad \partial \Omega \quad \text{Surface}$$
$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left[-\frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p_{nw} + \rho_{nw} g \nabla D) \right] = 0 \quad \partial \Omega \quad \text{Sides}$$
$$p_{nw} = p_{nw0}(t) \qquad \partial \Omega \quad \text{Base}$$
$$(2-12)$$

RETENTION AND PERMEABILITY RELATIONSHIPS

You can set up this two-phase flow analysis using interpolation from experimental data, arbitrary mathematical formulas, and results from other equations in the model to define how θ , C, Se, k_r , and p_c vary simultaneously. The existing model uses retention and permeability relationships from Ref. 2 and Ref. 3 that express changes in θ , C, Se, and k_r as a function of p_c . Because p_c is large and because changes in θ , C, Se, and k_r are small, these expressions transform capillary pressure to the equivalent height of water or capillary pressure head as in $H_c = p_c/(\rho_{water}g)$. The hydraulic properties relative to the wetting fluid are

$$\theta_{w} = \begin{cases} \theta_{r, w} + Se_{w}(\theta_{s, w} - \theta_{r, w}) & H_{c} > 0\\ \theta_{s, w} & H_{c} \le 0 \end{cases}$$

$$Se_{w} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\left[1 + \left|\alpha H_{c}\right|^{n}\right]^{m}} & H_{c} > 0\\ 1 & H_{c} \le 0 \end{cases}$$

$$C_{\rm w} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha m}{1-m} (\theta_{\rm s, w} - \theta_{\rm r, w}) \operatorname{Se}_{\rm w}^{\frac{1}{m}} \left(1 - \operatorname{Se}_{\rm w}^{\frac{1}{m}} \right)^m & H_c > 0 \\ 0 & H_c \le 0 \end{cases}$$
(2-13)

$$k_{\rm r, w} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Se}_{\rm w}^{L} \left[1 - \left(1 - \mathrm{Se}_{\rm w}^{\frac{1}{m}} \right)^{m} \right]^{2} & H_{c} > 0 \\ H_{c} \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

where α , *n*, *m*, and *L* are the van Genuchten parameters that denote soil characteristics. Note that with two-phase flow, the van Genuchten-Mualem formulas hinge on the value of H_c .

For the nonwetting fluid, the properties

ſ

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{nw} &= \qquad \theta_{s,w} - \theta_{w} \\ \mathrm{Se}_{nw} &= \qquad 1 - \mathrm{Se}_{w} \\ C_{nw} &= \qquad -C_{w} \end{aligned} \tag{2-14}$$

$$k_{r,nw} &= \qquad (1 - \mathrm{Se}_{w})^{L} \left(1 - \mathrm{Se}_{w}^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^{m2}$$

arise naturally from the definitions for the wetting phase.

DIFFERENT FLUID PAIRS

When switching between air-water, air-oil, and oil-water experiments, the authors used clever scaling with interfacial tensions according to Leverett (Ref. 4). The Leverett scaling adjusts the nonwetting phase pressure at the column top to produce the same volume of wetting fluid outflow at the column bottom regardless of the fluid pair. With Leverett scaling, switching between fluid pairs requires using the correct fluid properties ρ and η for the fluid pair and adjusting the boundary and initial pressures according to

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\mathrm{aw}} & p_{\mathrm{c, aw}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{aw}} & p_{\mathrm{c, aw}} \\ \sigma_{\mathrm{aw}} & p_{\mathrm{c, aw}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{aw}} & p_{\mathrm{c, ao}} \\ \sigma_{\mathrm{aw}} & p_{\mathrm{c, aw}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{aw}} & p_{\mathrm{c, ow}} \end{split}$$

In these equations, σ represents the interfacial tension between the different fluids, and the subscripts denote the fluid pair. These values appear in a table at the end of this section. For example, σ_{ao}/σ_{aw} equals 0.373, and σ_{wo}/σ_{aw} equals 0.534; further, the first nonwetting phase pressure head (in meters of water) is 0.4 m for the air-water system, 0.1 m for the air-oil system, and 0.2 m for the water-oil system.

Because relative permeability and retention properties for a porous medium depend on the fluid moving through it, switching fluid pairs also requires switching the retention and permeability properties in the model. This requirement can mean inserting new experimental data or adjusting mathematical formulas. In this model, the authors assessed the permeability and retention parameters were assessed by curve fitting to analytic formulas. They adjusted the parameters α , n, κ_s , and θ_r to get the best fit for each fluid. A review of the data tables that follow reveals that the ratios in the α values for the different fluid pairs roughly equals the σ ratios just given.
This example employs analytic expressions to estimate the specific moisture capacity, C. Because C is the slope of the curve q versus H_c , it also is possible to use the COMSOL Multiphysics differentiation operator pdiff to define C such as in

$$C_{p,w}(p_w) = pdiff(theta_w,Hc) \rho_{water}^{-1}g^{-1}$$

Thus you can write arbitrary expressions or use data for θ . This equation differentiates fluid volume fraction with respect to capillary pressure head. Here you divide by the weight of water to convert the expression for use in equations with dependent variable pressure. The same type of syntax works with the differentiation operator diff. The distinction between the two operators is that diff recognizes space and time derivatives already defined in COMSOL Multiphysics (for example, p_x , p_y , p_t), whereas pdiff is purely symbolic and does not apply the chain rule for dependent variables.

Implementation: Step Change on a Boundary

The following step-by-step instructions define the timing of the stepped nonwetting phase pressures at the inlet by using an interpolation function. Interpolating in COMSOL Multiphysics is straightforward. You open a dialog box in the **Options** menu, set up the table with the times and corresponding pressure heads, assign a name to the interpolation function, and use the name for where the function is needed, here in the **Subdomain Settings** dialog boxes. To activate the functions created, simply enter the function name (for example, p_nw) along with the argument, that is the time *t* in parenthesis. The command is

p_nw(t) = H_nw_t(t)*rho_water*g_w

The density of water appears in the equation because Ref. 1 defines the boundary pressure as a height of water.

Data

The data used in this model correspond to the air-water experiments for the Lincoln sand as reported in Ref. 1:

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	EXPRESSION
$g_{\rm r}$	Acceleration due to gravity	m/s ²	9.82
$ ho_{fw}$	Fluid density, water	kg/m ³	1000
$\eta_{\rm w}$	Dynamic viscosity, water	Pa∙s	1·10 ⁻³

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	EXPRESSION
ρ_{fg}	Fluid density, gas	kg/m ³	1.28
ηg	Dynamic viscosity, gas	Pa·s	1.81·10 ⁻⁵
κ _{int}	Intrinsic permeability, column	m ²	2.48·10 ⁻¹²
κ _s	Permeability, disc	m ²	1.33·10 ⁻¹⁴
$q_{\rm s,w}$	Saturated volume fraction, column		0.32
$q_{\rm s,w}$	Saturated volume fraction, disc		0.5
$p_{\rm nw,top}$	Initial nonwetting phase pressure head, inlet	m water	0.2

The van Genuchten parameters for the different fluid pairs are the following:

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	AIR-WATER	AIR-OIL	OIL-WATER
$q_{\mathrm{r,w}}$	Residual volume fraction		0.021	0.00001	0.0072
α	alpha parameter	m ⁻¹	1.89	5.29	3.58
n	n parameter, column		2.811	3.002	3.1365
L	L parameter, column		0.5	0.5	0.5
κ_s	Permeability, disc	m ⁻²	2.48·10 ⁻¹²	1.09·10 ⁻¹²	0.94·10 ⁻¹²

Pressure head at the air inlet increments in time according to the table below.

PRESSURE HEAD (m water)	START TIME (hours)
0.4	0
0.6	21.25
0.8	45.25
1.0	69
1.5	93
2	122.5
4	155

At the water outlet, the fluid level in the receiving buret increases linearly in time from 0 m to 0.1 m.

Results and Discussion

Figure 2-43 shows an early-time snapshot from the COMSOL Multiphysics solution for two-phase flow in a laboratory column. The shading depicts the effective saturation of the nonwetting phase (air), while the arrows give the wetting phase (water) velocities.



Figure 2-43: Solution to two-phase flow model at 0.1 hours: nonwetting phase saturation (surface plot), wetting-phase velocities (arrows). Results correspond to air-water experiment on Lincoln soil from the US EPA (Ref. 1).

The image illustrates the nonwetting fluid entering the soil column and displacing the wetting fluid. The nonwetting phase enters because it is being forced into the inlet with a multistep pressure change.

Figure 2-44 shows the stepped pressure head used at the inlet boundary along with the capillary pressure in the column at various elevations. Specifying the point locations during postprocessing circumvents the need to plan observation sites during input. The solution to the two-phase flow problem provided is an excellent match to the results of Ref. 1.

That the capillary pressure head and the air inlet pressure in Figure 2-44 track together is what made the laboratory setup successful. To get high resolution on the

permeability and retention behaviors, the authors in Ref. 1 set the pressure steps large enough that the impact is instantaneous in the soil column. As shown in Figure 2-45, the permeability changes instantaneously throughout the column.



Figure 2-44: Inlet air pressure head (solid line) and capillary pressure head (dashed lines) for air-water flow in Lincoln soil (taken from the US EPA, Ref. 1).



Figure 2-45: Permeability functions for water (solid lines) and air (dashed lines) for Lincoln soil at x = 0.03 m (taken from the US EPA, Ref. 1).

Solutions for two-phase flow for the air-oil and oil-water systems appear in Figure 2-46 and Figure 2-47, respectively.



Figure 2-46: Inlet-air pressure head (solid line) and capillary pressure head (dashed lines) for air-oil flow in Lincoln soil (taken from the US EPA, Ref. 1).



Figure 2-47: Inlet-air pressure head (solid line) and capillary pressure head (dashed lines) for oil-water flow in Lincoln soil (taken from the US EPA, Ref. 1).

The COMSOL Multiphysics results for the air-oil and oil-water two-phase flow problems prove to be excellent matches to the results shown in Ref. 1. Through Leverett scaling you set the inlet pressure so that the air-oil and oil-water systems would produce the volume outflow rate from the air-water experiment. As with the air-water system, the capillary pressure head and air-inlet pressure for the air-oil experiment track instantaneously. For the water-oil system, however, there is a lag between the nonwetting and wetting phase pressures.

References

1. J.W. Hopmans, M.E. Grismer, J. Chen, and Y.P. Liu, *Parameter estimation of two-fluid capillary pressure saturation and permeability functions*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EPA/600/R-98/046, Cincinnati, Ohio, 1998.

2. Y. Mualem, "A new model for predicting the hydraulic permeability of unsaturated porous media," *Water Res. Research*, vol. 12, 1976, pp. 513–522.

3. M.Th. van Genuchten, "A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic of conductivity of unsaturated soils," *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, vol. 44, 1980, pp. 892–898.

4. M.C. Leverett, "Capillary behavior in porous solids," *Trans. AIME*, vol. 142, 1941, pp. 152–169.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/ twophase_flow_air_water

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface: Air-Water System

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator to the New page, and in the Space dimension list select 2D.
- 2 From the list of application modes select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Pressure analysis>Transient analysis.
- **3** In the **Dependent variables** edit field enter p_w, and in the **Application mode name** edit field enter w.
- 4 Click the Multiphysics button, then click Add.
- **5** In the list of application modes once again select

Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Pressure analysis>Transient analysis.

- 6 Repeating the procedure you just followed, change the **Dependent variables** to p_nw and the **Application mode name** to nw. Click the **Multiphysics** button, then click **Add**.
- 7 Click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

Create the geometry by drawing two rectangles.

- I From the Draw menu select Specify Objects>Rectangle.
- 2 Enter the following settings; when done, click **OK**.

VALUE
0.06
0.0074
0
0

3 Repeat that process except with these settings:

PARAMETER	VALUE
Width	0.06
Height	0.0834
x	0
z	0

4 Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar.

OPTIONS

- I Choose Options>Constants.
- 2 Enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions (optional); when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
SPH	1[h/s]	Seconds per hour
rho_water	1000[kg/m^3]	Density, water
rho_w	1000[kg/m^3]	Density, wetting fluid
etaw	0.001[Pa*s]*SPH	Dynamic viscosity, wetting fluid
rho_nw	1.28[kg/m^3]	Density, nonwetting fluid
etanw	0.0000181[Pa*s]*SPH	Dynamic viscosity, nonwetting fluid

3 From the **Options** menu select **Expressions>Scalar Expressions**. Enter the following names and expressions (they go all on one line); when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION
p_nw_top	0.2[m]*rho_water*g_nw
p_nw_init	p_nw_top+(8.34[cm]-y)*rho_nw*g_nw
p_w_init	-rho_w*g_w*y
p_w0	0.1[m]*rho_water*g_w*(t/170[s])
Нс	(p_nw-p_w)/(rho_water*g_w)
Se_w	(1+abs(alpha*Hc)^N)^(-M)*(Hc>0)+1*(Hc<=0)
theta_w	(theta_r+Se_w*(theta_s-theta_r))*(Hc>0)+theta_s*(Hc<=0)
kr_w	((Se_w^L*(1-(1-Se_w^(1/M))^M)^2)+eps)*(Hc>0)+1*(Hc<=0)
Ср	((alpha*M/(1-M)*(theta_s-theta_r)*Se_w^(1/M)* (1-Se_w^(1/M))^M))/(rho_water*g_w)*(Hc>O)
Se_nw	1-Se_w

NAME	EXPRESSION
theta_nw	theta_s-theta_w
kr_nw	((1-Se_w)^L*(1-Se_w^(1/M))^(2*M))*(Hc>O)+eps

4 From the **Options** menu select **Expressions>Subdomain Expressions**. Enter the following names and expressions for the two subdomains; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAIN 2
theta_s	0.5	0.32
theta_r		0.0211
kap_s	1.34e-14	2.48e-12
alpha		1.89
Ν		2.811
М		1-1/N
L		0.5

Now set up the stepped pressures for the nonwetting phase boundary using interpolation.

- **5** From the **Options** menu, select **Functions**.
- **6** Click the **New** button.
- 7 In the Function name edit field enter Hp_nw_t and choose the option Interpolation.
- 8 Click OK.
- 9 Enter the following values in the table; when finished, click **OK**.

x	Y
0	0.4
21.20	0.4
21.25	0.6
45.20	0.6
45.25	0.8
68.95	0.8
69	1.0
92.95	1.0
93	1.5
122.45	1.5
122.5	2
154.95	2

x	Y
155	4
200	4

PHYSICS

In the following stage you first set up the material properties, initial conditions, and boundary conditions for each phase, then link the two equations.

Application Scalar Variables

To set the vertical direction and gravitational constant in the model, go to the **Physics** menu and select **Scalar Variables**. Make the following modifications to the defaults; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION
D_w	У
g_w	9.82[m/s^2]*SPH^2
D_nw	У
g_nw	9.82[m/s^2]*SPH^2

This step converts the basic time unit in the model from seconds to hours. Thus, whenever the time unit second appears in the user interface—either explicitly, as in m/s, or implicitly, as in Pa (= $kg/(m \cdot s^2)$)—read hour instead.

Subdomain Settings-Wetting Phase

- I From the Multiphysics menu select Darcy's Law (w).
- 2 From the Physics menu select Subdomain Settings. Enter the following settings, then click Apply.

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAIN 2
Storage term	User defined	User defined
S	0	Ср
ks	kap_s	kap_s*kr_w
ρ_{f}	rho_w	rho_w
η	etaw	etaw

3 Click the **Init** tab. Select Subdomains 1 and 2 simultaneously, and in the **p_w(t**₀) edit field for **Pressure** enter **p_w_init**. Click **OK**.

Boundary Conditions-Wetting Phase

From **Physics** choose **Boundary Settings**, then set the following conditions; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARY 2	BOUNDARIES I, 3, 5–7
Boundary condition	Pressure	Zero flux/Symmetry
Po	p_w0	

Subdomain Settings-Nonwetting Phase

- I From the Multiphysics menu select Darcy's Law (nw).
- 2 From the Physics menu select Subdomain Settings. In the Subdomain selection list choose I, then clear the Active in this domain check box.
- **3** Select Subdomain 2. Enter the following settings.

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAIN 2
Storage term	User defined
S	Ср
κ _S	kap_s*kr_nw
ρ_{f}	rho_nw
η	etanw

4 Click the Init tab. Select both Subdomains 1 and 2 simultaneously, and in the p_nw(t₀) edit field for Pressure enter p_nw_init. Click OK.

Boundary Conditions-Nonwetting Phase

- I From the Physics menu, choose Boundary Settings.
- 2 Set the following conditions; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARIES 3, 4, 7	BOUNDARY 5
Boundary condition	Zero flux/Symmetry	Pressure
Ро		Hp_nw_t(t)*rho_water*g_nw

Equation Systems

I From the Physics menu, select Equation System>Subdomain Settings.

With the information in this dialog box, COMSOL Multiphysics transforms the inputs you enter to coefficients it uses to solve the finite element model. The box contains entries for each type of model domain: subdomains, boundaries, and points in a 2D problem.

2 Click the d_a tab. Here is where the coefficients that are multiplied by the time rate of change in pressure appear. The 4-element matrix originally has entries on the diagonal: one for the wetting-phase pressure, p_w , for the wetting phase equation; and one for nonwetting phase pressure, p_{nw} , for the nonwetting phase equation. Because the two-phase problem has storage terms for both nonwetting and wetting phases in each equation, you fill in the matrix with the appropriate terms. Modify the matrix so it reads as follows:

PW	PNW
Cp+eps	-Cp+eps
-Cp+eps	Cp+eps

Note that eps is a very small number.

3 Click OK.

MESH GENERATION

- I From the Mesh menu, select Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 Click the **Boundary** tab. Using the Ctrl key select both Boundaries 4 and 5. In the **Maximum element size** edit field enter 0.001.
- 3 Select Boundary 2, and in the Maximum element size edit field enter 0.005.
- 4 Click the **Remesh** button, then click **OK**.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I From the Solve menu, open the Solver Parameters dialog box. In the Solver list select Time dependent (if it is not already selected).
- 2 In the Times edit field, enter range(0,0.01,0.1) range(0.2,0.1,1) range(2,170). Click **OK**.
- 3 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate the plot in Figure 2-43, follow these steps:

- I From the Postprocessing menu, open the Plot Parameters dialog box.
- 2 In the Plot type area, select the Surface and Arrow check boxes. In the Solution at time list choose 0.1.
- 3 Click the **Surface** tab, and in the **Expression** edit field enter Se_nw, which stands for effective saturation (Se) calculated with the application mode named nw.

- 4 Click the Arrow tab. In the Predefined quantities list select
 - Darcy's Law (w)>Velocity field. Go to the Arrow positioning area. In the Number of points column, and in both the x points and y points edit fields enter 25. Go to the Arrow parameters area. Click the Color button, change the color to black, and click OK. Clear the Auto check box, and in the Scale factor edit field enter 0.5.
- 5 Click OK.

To generate the plot in Figure 2-44, continue with these steps:

- I From the Postprocessing menu, open the Cross-Section Plot Parameters dialog box.
- Click the Point tab. In the Expression edit field, enter Hc. In the Coordinates section, in the x edit field enter 0.03 0.03 0.03, and in the y edit field enter 0.02 0.04 0.06.
- 3 Click the Line Settings button. Set the Line style to Dashed line, then click OK.
- 4 Click Apply.
- 5 In the Expression edit field, type p_nw/ (rho_water*g_nw). In the Coordinates area, in the x edit field enter 0.03, and in the y edit field enter 0.0834.
- 6 Click the Line Settings button. Set the Line style to Solid line, then click OK.
- 7 Click the General tab. Select the Keep current plot check box, then click Apply.

To generate the plot in Figure 2-45, continue with these steps:

- I Still on the General page, select New figure from the Plot in list.
- 2 Click the Point tab. In the Expression edit field enter kr_nw.
- 3 In the **Coordinates** section, in the **x** edit field enter 0.03 0.03 0.03, and in the **y** edit field enter 0.02 0.04 0.06.
- 4 Click the Line Settings button. Set the Line style to Dashed line, then click OK.
- 5 Click Apply.
- 6 In the Expression edit field, enter kr_w.
- 7 Click the Line Settings button. Set the Line style to Solid line, then click OK.
- 8 Click the General tab. Select the Keep current plot check box, then click OK.

Two-Phase Flow: Switching Fluid Pairs

This is the second part of the two-phase flow example. Here you modify the air-water model file created in the first part of this example to simulate two-phase flow for the air-oil and oil-water systems discussed in Ref. 1. In the air-oil system, oil is the wetting

phase and air is the nonwetting phase. In the oil-water system, water is the wetting phase.

Switching from the air-water system to the air-oil or oil-water systems requires changing the fluid properties and several porous-media parameters. To get the different fluid pairs to produce wetting-phase outflow rates that are similar to those produced with the air-water simulation, you scale the pressure increments at the inlet by interfacial tensions as discussed earlier.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/ twophase_flow_air_oil

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/ twophase_flow_oil_water

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface: Air-Oil and Oil-Water

In the following section you open the file twophase_flow_air_water.mph and modify it for the air-oil and oil-water systems. The following tables and instructions describe the changes needed to simulate both two-phase systems.

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator and click the Model Library tab.
- 2 In the list of library models select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>twophase flow air water.
- 3 Click OK.

OPTIONS

I From the **Options** menu, open the **Constants** dialog box. Modify the entries for density, viscosity, and add interfacial tensions as in the following table; when done, click **OK**.

CONSTANT	AIR-OIL	OIL-WATER
rho_w	800[kg/m^3]	1000[kg/m^3]
etaw	0.00392[Pa*s]*SPH	0.001[Pa*s]*SPH
rho_nw	1.28[kg/m^3]	800[kg/m^3]
etanw	0.0000181[Pa*s]*SPH	0.00392[Pa*s]*SPH
sigma_ao	0.0259	
sigma_aw	0.0681	0.0681
sigma_ow		0.0364

2 Choose Options>Expressions>Scalar Expressions.

3 Modify the following expressions; when done, click OK.

TERM	AIR-OIL	OIL-WATER
p_nw_top	0.2[m]*rho_water*g_nw* sigma_ao/sigma_aw	0.2[m]*rho_water*g_nw* sigma_ow/sigma_aw
p_w0	0.1[m]*rho_w*g_w*(sigma_ao/ sigma_aw)*(t/170[s])	0.1[m]*rho_w*g_w*(sigma_ow/ sigma_aw)*(t/170[s])

4 Choose Options>Expressions>Subdomain Expressions.

5 In the Subdomain selection list, choose 2.

6 Change the values for the following expressions; when done, click OK.

NAME	EXPRESSION
kap_s	0.94e-12
theta_r	0.0072
alpha	3.58
Ν	3.1365

PHYSICS – NONWETTING

From the Multiphysics menu, select Darcy's law (nw).

Boundary Conditions-Nonwetting Phase

- I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.
- 2 In the Boundary selection list choose 5.

3 Set the following conditions; when done, click OK.

BOUNDARY CONDITION	VARIABLE	EXPRESSION
Pressure (for Air/Oil model)	Po	Hp_nw_t(t)*rho_water* g_nw*sigma_ao/sigma_aw
Pressure (for Oil/Water model)	Po	Hp_nw_t(t)*rho_water* g_nw*sigma_ow/sigma_aw

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I From the Solve menu, open the Solver Parameters dialog box.
- 2 In the Solver list, select Time dependent (if it is not already selected).
- **3** Go to the **Time stepping** area. In the **Times** edit field, enter range(0,0.01,0.1) range(0.2,0.1,1) range(2,170). Click **OK**.
- 4 Click the **Solve** button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate the plots in Figure 2-46 and Figure 2-47, repeat the steps described for Figure 2-44.

Aquifer Characterization¹

Introduction

This model illustrates how you can use COMSOL Multiphysics' Optimization application mode in combination with a PDE or physics application mode to solve inverse-modeling problems (sometimes referred to as parameter-estimation or history-matching problems). The modeling techniques presented here in the context of flow in an aquifer with spatially variable hydraulic conductivity are generally applicable for solving underdetermined optimization problems with COMSOL Multiphysics.

Note: This model requires the Optimization Lab.

INVERSE-MODELING BACKGROUND

Consider data consisting of the following components:

- a model—for example, a PDE—of a natural or engineered system with a set of unknown coefficients or parameters
- a set of measurements or observations with associated measurement errors.

Inverse modeling is the practice of using data of this kind as input to estimate the unknown parameters of the model, which in this context is called the *forward model*. In particular, if the number of unknown parameters, *n*, is larger than the number of measurement values, *m*, the inverse problem is called *underdetermined*. The model in the present example belongs to this class of inverse problems.

When, as in this case, the forward model is a PDE and the unknown coefficient is a spatially varying field, the number of unknown parameters is infinite. Even an ordinary finite element discretization typically gives too many unknown parameters by some orders of magnitude. Obtaining a tractable problem that can be solved numerically instead requires a separate *regularization*.

For underdetermined inverse problems, data fitting alone is not sufficient to single out an optimal solution; achieving this aim requires some additional criterion and an

^{1.} The formulation of this model is courtesy of Mr. Michael A. Cardiff and Prof. Peter K. Kitanidis of Stanford University, who have kindly made their own model version available (Ref. 1).

associated *penalty function* that ranks the solutions according how well they satisfy the criterion in question.

The objective function for an underdetermined inverse problem can thus be written as the sum of a fitness term and a penalty term:

$$L(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) = L_{\text{fitness}}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) + L_{\text{penalty}}(\mathbf{s})$$
(2-15)

The fitness term

$$L_{\text{fitness}}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{s}))^T R^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{s}))$$
(2-16)

measures how well the model fits with the observations. Here **y** denotes an *m*-dimensional row vector of measurement values; **s** is an *n*-dimensional row vector of parameter values; **h**: $\mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m$ is the forward model, which maps from parameter values to expected measurements; and *R* is the *m*-by-*m* covariance matrix of measurement errors. Assuming, for the purpose of this model, that the measurement errors are independent and identically distributed with variance σ_R^2 , it follows that $R = \sigma_R^2 I$, where *I* denotes the *m*-by-*m* identity matrix.

The penalty term is relevant for problems where the number of parameters, n, exceeds the number of measurement values, m. It serves to discriminate between solutions with comparable fitness values. Through geostatistical reasoning, Kitanidis (Ref. 2) arrives at the penalty term

$$L_{\text{penalty}} = (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T Q^{-1} (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{X}\beta)$$
(2-17)

where Q^{-1} is the inverse of the spatial covariance matrix $Q \equiv E[(\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{X}\beta)(\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T]$, with E[] denoting the expectation value, **X** being an *n*-dimensional row vector whose elements all equal 1, and β referring to the scalar constant mean of the parameter field.

By averaging over β , it is possible to eliminate this unknown parameter from the penalty function (Ref. 2). This procedure results in the equivalent penalty function

$$L_{\text{penalty}} = \mathbf{s}^T G \mathbf{s}, \qquad G \equiv Q^{-1} - \frac{Q^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T Q^{-1}}{\mathbf{X}^T Q^{-1} \mathbf{X}}$$
(2-18)

which is the one used in Ref. 1, and also the one you will implement in this model. In practical applications, it has the advantage over the penalty function in Equation 2-17 of not requiring estimates of β during the optimization.

FORWARD MODEL

Consider a square zone in a confined 2D aquifer of side b = 100 m and with spatially variable hydraulic conductivity, K_s (m/s), which is of interest for transport modeling or other purposes. In a simple model, assume that the area outside of this zone is an area of large extent known to have roughly constant hydraulic conductivity, K_{s0} . Neglecting any differences in elevation, the hydraulic potential governing the flow through the aquifer and its surroundings can be represented by the pressure head $H \equiv p/(\rho_f g)$ (m), where p is the fluid pressure (Pa), ρ_f (kg/m³) is the (constant) fluid density, and g (m/s²) is the acceleration due to gravity. The pressure head, or the hydraulic head, obeys Darcy's Law

$$\nabla \cdot (-K_{\rm s} \nabla H) = Q_{\rm s}$$

where Q_s (1/s) represents sources and sinks. At the modeling domain's boundary, assumed to be a square of side a = 12,000 m, any effects of spatial inhomogeneities are negligible and you specify the condition H = 0 to complete the forward model. Note that this choice implies that the variable H in this model refers to the *change* in hydraulic head from a reference state where no pumping occurs rather than the hydraulic head itself.

INVERSE MODEL

The inverse problem you solve in this model is to estimate the hydraulic-conductivity field in the aquifer using experimental data in the form of hydraulic-head measurements from four *dipole-pump tests*. More specifically, consider eight wells (represented as points) at the corners and midpoints of the zone being characterized. While injecting fluid at one point, fluid is extracted at the same rate at the opposite point on the rim across the aquifer, and the hydraulic-head values at the six remaining points are recorded. Repeating this procedure for the other three cross-aquifer point pairs, gives a total of 4 sets of 6 observations each. The hydraulic-head measurements are assumed to be independent and have an accuracy on the order of $\Delta H = 1$ cm, resulting in the fitness term (see Equation 2-16)

$$L_{\text{fitness}} = \frac{\left\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{s})\right\|^2}{\Delta H^2}$$
(2-19)

To make use of the experimental data, you must reduce the infinite number of degrees of freedom in the hydraulic-conductivity field to a finite number of unknown

parameters. To this end, decompose the quadratic aquifer domain into a 10-by-10 grid of squares of side c = 10 m, and assume that the hydraulic conductivity takes a constant value in each square. The number of parameters characterizing the aquifer is then 100, which gives a well-defined underdetermined inverse model that can be solved quickly as an example problem.

A common assumption in the geological sciences is that spatially distributed parameters follow a geostatistical distribution defined by some *variogram*. Thus, for the penalty term, Equation 2-18, choose the elements of the covariance matrix Q as

$$Q_{ij} = 2\gamma(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|), \qquad i, j = 1, ..., n = 100$$
 (2-20)

where $\gamma(h) = \sigma^2(1 - e^{-h/l})$ is an exponential variogram with variance $\sigma^2 = 1$ (the *sill* parameter) and correlation length l = 50 m (the *range*). Furthermore, \mathbf{x}_i represents the centroid of the square affected by the parameter s_i . For the purpose of this model, assume that the parameters σ and l are known; Kitanidis (Ref. 2) gives methods for estimating their values in the case where they are unknown.

In Figure 2-48, the eight points on the rim of the area being characterized are numbered pairwise as $1\pm$, $2\pm$, $3\pm$, $4\pm$, with plus and minus signs denoting injection wells and pumping wells, respectively. The squares in the grid are indexed from 1 to 100 in an order determined by the internal mesh-element numbering in COMSOL



Multiphysics. You can access and inspect this numbering using the meshelement variable.

Figure 2-48: Discretization of the hydraulic-conductivity parameter field. Each 10 m-by-10 m square zone is associated with a degree of freedom encoding the constant $\log_{10} K_s$ value in the zone. The numbers in blue, outside the square grid, are labels for the dipole-pump pairs ("+" for injection wells and "-" for pumping wells).

MODEL DATA

The data required for setting up the model are supplied in text files included in your COMSOL installation:

• A text file with reference synthetically generated field data, containing the log₁₀ values of the regularized hydraulic-conductivity parameter field that is used to generate fictitious hydraulic-head measurements. This allows you to evaluate the optimization solver's performance and accuracy, and to test and calibrate the inverse model. For example, you can try out different penalty terms in the objective function and investigate the solution's dependence on the number of observations used.

The $\log_{10} K_{\rm s}$ field for the reference model is visualized in Figure 2-49. It was generated with the exponential variogram, γ , used to define the covariance matrix 2-20.

- Four text files contain the hydraulic-head measurement data series. These data sets were generated by solving the reference forward model for the four different dipole-pump configurations.
- The elements of the matrix *G* that appears in the penalty term (see Equation 2-18) are supplied in a text file.
- Because each parameter value is associated with a subdomain in the model geometry, calls of the *G* matrix data file are made through an intermediate 1-to-1 map associating subdomain numbers (as determined by the software's internal ordering) with parameter indices in the range 1, ..., 100. This map is supplied in a separate text file.



Figure 2-49: Discretized hydraulic-conductivity field for the reference forward model.

The four simulated dipole-pump tests for the reference forward model give the combined results listed in Table 2-3, here rounded off to the nearest millimeter; the model uses these numbers as fictitious measurement values.

1-3-4-TEST 1+ 2+ 2-3+ 4+ Т _ -1.425 -0.718 -0.028 0.333 1.197 -1.330 2 1.425 -0.641 0.568 -1.576 -1.176 -0.689 _ -

TABLE 2-3: HYDRAULIC HEAD (METERS) MEASURED AT WELLS FOR THE FOUR DIPOLE PUMP TESTS

TEST	1+	1-	2+	2-	3+	3-	4+	4-
3	-0.801	-0.439	1.187	-1.414	-	-	-1.565	0.958
4	1.636	-0.891	0.501	0.453	-1.272	1.251	-	-

TABLE 2-3: HYDRAULIC HEAD (METERS) MEASURED AT WELLS FOR THE FOUR DIPOLE PUMP TESTS

Although the normalization of the fitness term (see Equation 2-19) assumes a measurement accuracy of 1 cm, this model (in contrast to Ref. 1) adds no random error component to the above data. This is because the primary aim here is to investigate the software's performance rather than the viability of the method for aquifer characterization.

Results and Discussion

Figure 2-50 and Figure 2-51 on page 159 show the optimization results for the hydraulic conductivity obtained using only the first measurement series and all four measurement series, respectively. The corresponding values of the total mean square error are 0.3416 (0.316) and 0.0864 (0.087), where the values in parentheses are those obtained by Cardiff and Kitanidis (Ref. 1). Note that the error estimates are slightly different because Cardiff and Kitanidis include a synthetic measurement error of the order 1% in their observations. Figure 2-52 on page 160 compares the results from four different inverse-modeling simulations, using 1, 2, 3, and 4 measurement series, respectively. As the figure shows, the improvement in accuracy is most marked when going from 1 to 2 pump tests (that is, from 6 to 12 observations). Including additional measurements appears to yield comparatively small benefits in accuracy. However, ass discussed in Ref. 2, the posterior uncertainty in parameter estimates decreases as more measurements are added.



Figure 2-50: Inverse-modeling solution for the hydraulic conductivity logarithm parameter field obtained using only the first measurement series (dipole pair number 1).



Figure 2-51: Hydraulic conductivity obtained by inverse modeling using 24 observations.



Figure 2-52: Inverse-modeling results for the hydraulic conductivity field with different numbers of hydraulic-head observations taken into account: 6 (upper left), 12 (upper right), 18 (lower left), and 24 (lower right). For a color-scale legend, see Figure 2-49.

References

1. M. Cardiff and P.K. Kitanidis, "Efficient solution of nonlinear, underdetermined inverse problems with a generalized PDE model," *Computers & Geosciences*, vol. 34, pp. 1480–1491, 2008.

2. P.K. Kitanidis, "Quasi-linear geostatistical theory for inversing," *Water Resources Research*, vol. 31, no. 10, pp. 2411–2419, 1995.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/ aquifer_characterization

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator.
- 2 From the Space dimension list, select 2D.
- 3 In the Application Modes tree, select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Hydraulic head analysis. Click the Multiphysics button, then click Add.
- 4 Click the **Add Geometry** button. In the dialog box that opens, click **OK** to accept the default settings, which gives a second 2D geometry labeled Geom2.
- 5 In the Application Modes tree, select COMSOL Multiphysics>Optimization and Sensitivity>Optimization.
- 6 Click Add, then click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

First create the geometry for the forward model, which consists of the square aquifer domain of side 100 m and an outer domain of side 12,000 m.

- I Click the **GeomI** tab above the drawing area to place the primary geometry in focus.
- 2 Shift-click the **Point** button in the Draw toolbar to open the **Point** dialog box.
- 3 In the Coordinates area, set x to -50 and y to 0, then click OK.
- **4** Repeat Steps 2 and 3 to add three more points at the following coordinates:

SETTINGS	PT 2	РТ 3	РТ 4
x	0	0	50
у	- 50	50	0

- 5 Click the Zoom Extents button on the Main toolbar.
- 6 Shift-click the Rectangle/Square (Centered) button on the Draw toolbar.
- 7 In the Square dialog box, type 100 in the Width edit field and select Center from the Base list. Click OK.
- 8 Repeat Steps 6 and 7 to add a second square, but this time set the Width to 12000.

The primary geometry is now complete, and the view in the drawing area should look like that in the following figure:



The forward-model geometry.

Next, create the auxiliary geometry on which the Optimization application mode is defined. This geometry is a copy of the aquifer domain, except that you do not need to include vertices at the positions of the sources and sinks.

- I Click the Geom2 tab.
- 2 Shift-click the Rectangle/Square (Centered) button on the Draw toolbar.
- 3 In the Square dialog box, type 100 in the Width edit field and select Center from the Base list. Click OK.
- 4 Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

Constants

I From the **Options** menu, choose **Constants**.

2 Specify the following constants; when done, click OK.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
NO	1e-4[m^2/s]	Hydraulic head point-source strength
deltaH	0.01[m]	Hydraulic head measurement error
logKs0	- 5	Hydraulic conductivity, initial log10 value
th	1	Measurement-series index

Functions

As already mentioned, defining the model requires a number of external data files:

- The hydraulic-head measurement data series are stored in four text files included in your COMSOL installation.
- A text file with the forward-model data for the log-transmittivity parameter field is provided to allow you to evaluate the optimization solver's performance and accuracy, and to test and calibrate the inverse model. For example, you can try out different penalty terms in the objective function and investigate the solution's dependence on the number of observations used.
- The elements of the matrix G that appears in the penalty term (see Equation 2-17) are supplied in a text file. Alternatively, if you have a MATLAB license, you can run a script that generates the G matrix elements for the current mesh in the auxiliary geometry, and then import the result from the MATLAB workspace. This method is described in detail later; it has the advantages of being both flexible and general, making it easy to adapt and apply to your own inverse-modeling problems and to experiment with different meshes.

To make the data available in the COMSOL Multiphysics user interface, create a number of interpolation functions in the following way:

- I From the **Options** menu, open the **Functions** dialog box.
- 2 Click the New button to open the New Function dialog box.
- 3 In the Function name edit field, type H_obs1.
- 4 Click the Interpolation button, then select File from the Use data from list.
- **5** Click the **Browse** button.
- 6 In the Open Data dialog box, navigate to the directory models/ Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow in your COMSOL installation root directory. Select the file aquifer_characterization_H_obs1.txt, then click Open.
- 7 Optionally, select the **Store data in model** check box. This setting has the advantage of making the model MPH-file self-contained. The drawback is that you need to recreate the function if you modify the function data.
- 8 Click OK to close the New Function dialog box and return to the Functions dialog box.
- 9 From the Interpolation method list, select Nearest neighbor.
- **10** From the **Extrapolation method** list, select **Specific number**. In the **Value outside range** edit field, type **0**.

FUNCTION NAME	FILE NAME
H_obs2	aquifer_characterization_H_obs2.txt
H_obs3	aquifer_characterization_H_obs3.txt
H_obs4	aquifer_characterization_H_obs4.txt
G	aquifer_characterization_G.txt

II Repeat Steps 2–10 for the function names and data files in the following table; if you want to generate it in MATLAB you can skip G at this stage.

12 Repeat Steps 2–10 also for the following function except in Step 10 choose **Constant** as **Extrapolation method**:

FUNCTION NAME	FILE NAME
logKs_ref	aquifer_characterization_logKs_ref.txt

I3 Click **OK** to close the **Functions** dialog box.

Proceed with defining L_{fitness} , the fitness part of the objective function.

Point Expressions—Geometry 1

- I If it is not already selected, click the **Geom I** tab to activate the forward-model geometry.
- 2 Choose Options>Expressions>Point Expressions.
- **3** Specify expressions for the hydraulic-head measurements and their associated contributions to the fitness function according to the following table. Note that you must write each named expression on a separate line.

POINT SELECTION	NAME	EXPRESSION
4–9	H_obs1	H_obs1(x,y)
	q_fitness1	(H_obs1-H_esdl)^2/deltaH^2
3, 5–8, 10	H_obs2	H_obs2(x,y)
	q_fitness2	(H_obs2-H_esdl)^2/deltaH^2
3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10	H_obs3	H_obs3(x,y)
	q_fitness3	(H_obs3-H_esdl)^2/deltaH^2
3–5, 8–10	H_obs4	H_obs4(x,y)
	q_fitness4	(H_obs4-H_esdl)^2/deltaH^2

Integration Coupling Variables—Geometry I

I Choose Options>Integration Coupling Variables>Point Variables.

2 Define an integral (that is, a sum) for the fitness function with the Name L_fitness, and with contributions from the measurements at the wells according to the following table. Note that all entries in the Expression column go on the same line.

POINT SELECTION	EXPRESSION
3, 10	q_fitness2*(th==2)+q_fitness3*(th==3)+q_fitness4*(th==4)
4, 9	<pre>q_fitness1*(th==1)+q_fitness3*(th==3)+q_fitness4*(th==4)</pre>
5, 8	<pre>q_fitness1*(th==1)+q_fitness2*(th==2)+q_fitness4*(th==4)</pre>
6, 7	<pre>q_fitness1*(th==1)+q_fitness2*(th==2)+q_fitness3*(th==3)</pre>

The Boolean expressions ensure that each term is counted only once when the parametric solver sums over the measurement series parameter th.

Next turn to the objective function's penalty part, L_{penalty} , which is evaluated on the auxiliary geometry.

Subdomain Expressions—Geometry 2

- I Click the Geom2 tab, then choose Options>Expressions>Subdomain Expressions.
- **2** Select Subdomain 1, then define an expression for the reference forward model parameter field according to the following table; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION
logKs_ref	logKs_ref(x,y)

Integration Coupling Variables—Geometry 2

- I Choose Options>Integration Coupling Variables>Subdomain Variables.
- **2** Select Subdomain 1, then define integration coupling variables according to the table below; in all cases, set the **Integration order** to **0** (the expressions are constant).

NAME	EXPRESSION
A_aquifer	1
MSE	(logKs-logKs_ref)^2/A_aquifer
G_times_s	G(dest(meshelement),meshelement)*logKs/dvol
L_penalty	if(th==1,-logKs*G_times_s/dvol,0)

Here, A_aquifer is the area of the aquifer domain, and the acronym MSE stands for mean square error.

The last two integrals together evaluate the penalty function $L_{\text{penalty}} = -\mathbf{s}^T G \mathbf{s}$ in two steps. In the first step, G_times_s implements the matrix operation $G \mathbf{s}$. The

dest operator in the expression for this variable instructs the software to evaluate the enclosed argument on the destination side. This makes G_times_s an expression defined on the auxiliary geometry, rather than a globally defined scalar. To implement this restriction, change the destination for G times s as follows:

- 3 Clear the Global destination check box for G_times_s, then click the Destination tab.
- **4** On the **Destination** page, select **Geom2** from the **Geometry** list and **Subdomain** from the **Level** list, then select the **Use selected subdomains as destination** check box.

Also appearing in the expression for G_times_s, meshelement is a predefined variable for the mesh-element number; it is used here because the software's internal numbering of the mesh elements is ideal for indexing the parameter values in the vector **s**, that is, the degrees of freedom for the design variable logKs.

Dividing by the predefined variable dvol is necessary to cancel the mesh-element area resulting from the integration; more specifically, dvol is the volume scale factor that the software uses internally when mapping between dimensionless local element coordinates and the model geometry's global coordinate system shown in the user interface. The mesh-element volume in the local element coordinate system multiplied by dvol therefore gives the mesh-element volume in the global coordinates. In 2D, the mesh-element area in element coordinates is 1 for quadrilateral meshes and 1/2 for triangular meshes. Thus, for a triangular mesh in the auxiliary geometry, the correct area expression would be dvol/2.

Finally, in the expression for L_penalty, the if command ensures that this term gets the correct weight in the total objective function by calculating it only once also when the measurement-series parameter th runs over more than one value. Note that if you perform an optimization that does not include the first measurement series, you need to modify the measurement index in this factor.

5 Click OK to close the Subdomain Integration Variables dialog box.

Extrusion Coupling Variables

Use a subdomain extrusion coupling variable to connect the design variable on the auxiliary geometry that you shortly will define with the forward model.

- With the auxiliary geometry (Geom2) active, choose
 Options>Extrusion Coupling Variables>Subdomain Variables.
- **2** On the **Source** page, select Subdomain 1.
- 3 Define a variable with the Name logKs_darcy by the Expression logKs.
- **4** Click the **General transformation** button. Leave the source transformation settings at their default values.

- 5 Click the **Destination** tab; the forward-model geometry is automatically activated.
- 6 With Geom I selected in the Geometry list, specify the Level as Subdomain.
- 7 Select Subdomain 2 (the aquifer domain).
- 8 Select the Use selected subdomains as destination check box.

ubdomain Extrusion Variab	les	8
Source Destination Source	e Vertices Destination Vertices	
Geometry: *Geon1 Cevel: *Subdomain Subdomain selection 1 2 2	Variable: logKs_darcy V Use selected subdomains as destination Destination transformation x: x y: y	
Select by group	Саг	icel Apply Help

9 Click OK.

PHYSICS SETTINGS

Subdomain Settings-Darcy's Law

- I From the Multiphysics menu, select I GeomI: Darcy's Law (esdl) or click the GeomI tab.
- 2 From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings.
- **3** Select the outer subdomain (Subdomain 1), then type $10^{\log KS0}$ in the K_s edit field.
- 4 Select the aquifer subdomain (Subdomain 2), then type 10^{logKs_darcy} in the K_s edit field.
- 5 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions—Darcy's Law

- I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.
- 2 From the **Boundary selection** list, select 1, 2, 3, and 12 (the modeling domain's outer boundaries).
- **3** From the **Boundary condition** list, select **Hydraulic head**. Leave the default value 0 in the H_0 edit field.

4 Click OK.

Point Settings-Darcy's Law

I From the Physics menu, select Point Settings. Click the Flux tab.

2 Specify the following dipole-pump flux values; when done, click OK.

SETTING	POINT 3	POINT 4	POINT 5	POINT 6
N ₀	NO*(th==1)	NO*(th==2)	NO*(th==3)	NO*(th==4)
SETTING	POINT 7	POINT 8	POINT 9	POINT 10

The boolean factors allow you to control which dipole pump to activate through the parameter th. Note that a point-flux value of zero is the default condition, so setting N_0 to zero has no effect on the model equations.

Point Settings—Optimization

- I From the Multiphysics menu, select 2 Geom2: Optimization (opt) or click the Geom2 tab.
- 2 From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings.
- **3** Click the **Variables** tab.
- 4 Select Subdomain 1. On the first table row, enter the following settings:

NAME	INIT	ELEMENT
logKs	logKs0	Discontinuous - Constant

This gives discontinuous elements of order zero for the design variable logKs—a choice that ensures that each auxiliary-geometry mesh element carries a single degree of freedom.

- **5** Click the **Pointwise Constraints** tab.
- 6 On the first table row, enter the following constraint; when done, click **OK**.

LB	EXPRESSION	UB	CONSTRAINT ORDER
-7	logKs	-3	1

Scalar Settings—Optimization

- I From the Physics menu, select Scalar Settings.
- 2 On the **Objective** page, type L_fitness+L_penalty in the **Q**_s edit field.
- 3 Click OK.

MESH GENERATION—GEOMETRY I

- I Click the Geom I tab. From the Mesh menu, select Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 Click the Subdomain tab. Press Ctrl+A to select both subdomains, then set the Method to Triangle. Select only Subdomain 2 and set the Maximum element size to 5.
- **3** Click the **Point** tab. Select the dipole-pump vertices (Points 3–10).
- 4 In the Point mesh parameters area, set the Maximum element size to 0.1.
- 5 Click the Remesh button, then click OK to close the Free Mesh Parameters dialog box.

MESH GENERATION—GEOMETRY 2

- I Click the Geom2 tab. From the Mesh menu, select Mapped Mesh Parameters.
- 2 Click the **Boundary** tab, then select Boundaries 1 and 2.



- **3** Select the **Constrained edge element distribution**, then set the **Number of edge elements** to 10.
- 4 Click the **Remesh** button, then click **OK**.

If you already created the interpolation function G using the supplied text file, you can now skip to the section "Computing the Solution—Using a Single Measurement Series" on page 171. Otherwise, if you have a MATLAB license you can proceed as follows to generate the matrix elements of the penalty-function matrix G based on the actual mesh for the auxiliary geometry. The instructions assume that you run COMSOL with an active MATLAB connection.

I Choose File>Export>FEM Structure as 'fem' (or press Ctrl+F) to export the FEM structure to the MATLAB workspace.

2 If you are reading an electronic version of this document, use Ctrl+C to copy the following script, paste it on the command line with Ctrl+V, and then press Enter:

```
% Generate the penalty-function matrix G and place it in a struct.
fem.xmesh = meshextend(fem);
% Extract Gauss-point coordinates and mesh-element numbers from fem:
me_td = posteval(fem, 'meshelement', 'geomnum',2, 'spoint', postgp('tri',1));
me_qd = posteval(fem, 'meshelement', 'geomnum', 2, 'bpoint', postgp('quad', 1));
p = [me td.p, me qd.p]; % first-order Gauss-point coordinates
me numbers = [me td.d, me qd.d]; % mesh-element numbers
n = length(me numbers); % number of parameters
for i = 1:n
 pos(i) = find(me numbers == i);
end
[x1,x2] = meshgrid(p(1,pos(:)),p(1,pos(:)));
xdist2 = (x2-x1).^{2};
[y1,y2] = meshgrid(p(2,pos(:)),p(2,pos(:)));
ydist2 = (y2-y1).^{2};
dist = (xdist2 + ydist2).^{(1/2)};
% Calculate the covariance matrix and its inverse:
sigma2 = 1; 1 = 50; % variogram sill and range parameters
Q = 2*sigma2*(1-exp(-dist/1));
Q inv = inv(Q); Q inv = 0.5*(Q inv+Q inv'); % restore symmetry
% Calculate G:
X = ones(n,1);
G = Q inv - Q inv*X*X'*Q inv/(X'*Q inv*X); G = 0.5*(G+G');
% Define structs for Q inv and G:
rng = 1:n;
Q inv struct.x = rng; Q inv struct.y = rng; Q inv struct.data = Q inv;
G struct.x = rng; G struct.y = rng; G struct.data = G;
```

The script is also available as an M-file. To call it, type pwd on the command line to display the current work directory, then use the cd command to navigate to the directory models/Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow under the main COMSOL installation directory, and finally run the M-file with the command

```
aquifer_characterization_generate_G
```

Now G_struct is defined in the MATLAB workspace and ready for import to your COMSOL Multiphysics session:

- 3 Return to the COMSOL Multiphysics window and select **Options>Functions**.
- 4 Click the New button. In the Function name edit field, type G.
- 5 Click the Interpolation button, then go to the Use data from list and select MATLAB. In the Variable name edit field, type G_struct.
- 6 Click OK to close the New Function dialog box and return to the Functions dialog box.
- 7 From the Interpolation method list, select Nearest neighbor.
- 8 From the Extrapolation method list, select Specific number. In the Value outside range edit field, type 0.
- 9 Click **OK** to close the **Functions** dialog box.

The inverse covariance matrix is now available in the graphical user interface as the function **G** defined on the set of mesh elements for the auxiliary geometry.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION—USING A SINGLE MEASUREMENT SERIES

First solve the forward problem to verify that you have implemented the physics correctly. To do this, simply click the **Solve** button on the Main toolbar.

The solution takes a few seconds to compute. When the solver has finished, the default solution plot in the forward-model geometry should look like that in the figure below.



Hydraulic head field for dipole-pump configuration 1 and $K_{\rm s}$ = 10^{-5} m/s.

Next, turn to the optimization problem.

- I Click the Solver Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 Select the Optimization/Sensitivity check box.
- **3** Optionally, select the **Plot while solving** check box to view the optimization solver's progress after each model evaluation in a separate figure window. If you select this option, perform the steps in the section "Postprocessing and Visualization" on page

173 before starting the solver; because the software uses the current settings in the **Plot Parameters** dialog box for the plot, you can then monitor the inverse solution for the hydraulic conductivity field as it evolves.

- 4 Click the Optimization/Sensitivity tab. From the Analysis list, select Optimization.
- **5** In the **Tolerances** area, raise the **Optimality tolerance** to **0.1**. It is good modeling practice to begin by solving the optimization problem with a high tolerance value to get an idea of the convergence rate.
- 6 Click OK to close the Solver Parameters dialog box.
- 7 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar to start the optimization solver. The solver converges after about 125 model evaluations and a solution time around 2 minutes.
- 8 From the Postprocessing menu, select Data Display>Global. In the Expression edit field, type MSE, then click OK.

The result for the mean square error shown in the message log at the bottom of the user interface should be near the value 0.3491.

After this initial run, tighten the tolerance and solve again using the current solution as the starting point:

- I Click the Solver Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 Set the Optimality tolerance to 0.05, then click OK.
- 3 Click the Solver Manager button on the Main toolbar.
- **4** On the **Initial Value** page, go to the **Initial value** area and click the **Current solution** option button.
- 5 Click OK.
- 6 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

The solver finishes after just a few model evaluations.

7 From the **Postprocessing** menu, select **Data Display>Global**. Click **OK** to calculate the new mean square error.

The result in the message log should be close to 0.3416.

As the mean square error obtained with the smaller optimality tolerance value does not differ much from the previous value, it is reasonable to conclude that the tolerance value 0.05 is sufficiently small. For this model, tightening the tolerance further can increase the solution time considerably without any significant gain in accuracy.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

The default auxiliary-geometry plot shows the design variable, **logKs**. To reproduce the plot in Figure 2-50, follow these steps:

- I Click the **Geom2** tab.
- 2 Click the Plot Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 3 On the Surface page, click the Range button.
- 4 Clear the Auto check box. Set the Min value to -7 and the Max value to -3. Click OK.
- **5** Clear the **Smooth** check box.
- 6 Click Apply to reproduce the upper-left plot in Figure 2-52.
- 7 On the Max/Min page, select the Max/min marker check box.
- 8 Click **OK** to generate the plot in Figure 2-50.

The max and min markers appear in the lower-left corners of the squares where the respective extreme values are attained.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION—USING MULTIPLE MEASUREMENT SERIES

First solve the parametric forward model to ensure that its setup is correct.

- I Click the Solver Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 From the Solver list, select Parametric.
- 3 Enter the Parameter names th and the Parameter values 1 2 3 4.

This choice corresponds to incorporating all four measurement series. You can select any combination of the four series. However, if you do not include the first series (that is, the th value 1), you need to adapt the definition of $L_penalty$; see page 165.

- 4 Clear the **Optimization/Sensitivity** check box, then click **OK**.
- 5 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

The solution time is a few seconds. Next plot the hydraulic-head field for each parameter value to verify that you have defined the dipole sources correctly:

- 6 Click the Geom I tab, then click the Plot Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 7 On the Surface page, select Darcy's Law (esdl)>Hydraulic head from the Predefined quantities list.
- 8 Return to the **General** page. From the **Solution at time** list, select in turn the th parameter values 1, 2, 3, and 4, clicking **Apply** in between to generate the corresponding plots hydraulic-head field. When done, click **Cancel** or **OK**.

The resulting plots should closely resemble those in the following figure. Note that the the initial solution for the hydraulic-conductivity field was the one obtained in the optimization with respect to the first measurement series.



Hydraulic-head field for the four dipole-pump configurations and $K_{\rm s} = 10^{-5}$ m/s.

Proceed to solve the inverse model.

- I Click the Solver Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 Select the Optimization/Sensitivity check box, then click OK.
- **3** Click the **Solve** button on the Main toolbar.

Note: The solution time is roughly 22 minutes on a standard PC.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

Switch to the auxiliary geometry by clicking the **Geom2** tab. The plot in the drawing area should look like that in Figure 2-51.

Forchheimer Flow

Introduction

This is a tutorial model of the coupling between flow of a fluid in an open channel and a porous block attached to one of the channel walls. The flow is described by the Navier-Stokes equation in the free region and a Forchheimer-corrected version of the Brinkman equations in the porous region.



Figure 2-53: Depiction of the modeling geometry and domain. The 3D geometry can be reduced to a 2D representation assuming that changes through the thickness are negligible.

The coupling of free media flow with porous media flow is common in the fields of earth science and chemical engineering. Perhaps the most frequent way to deal with coupled free and porous media flow is to incorporate Darcy's law adjacent to Navier-Stokes because it is usually numerically easy to solve. However, this approach does not account for viscous effects arising from the free media flow, which may still be important in the region close to the free-porous structure interface. Depending on the pore size and pore distribution, but also the fluid's properties, it can therefore be an oversimplification to employ Darcy's law. The Brinkman equations account for momentum transport by macroscopic viscous effects as well as pressure gradients (stemming from microscopic shear effects inside each pore channel) and can be considered an extension of Darcy's Law.

Still, the Brinkman equations assume completely laminar flow. Looking at processes in relatively open structures, like gas flow through packed beds, there is also a turbulent contribution to the resistance to flow. In those cases, an additional term accounts for

the turbulent contribution to the resistance to flow in the porous domain. The Forchheimer equation (also accredited to Ergun) is widely used to predict pressure drops in packed beds. This equation can generally be written as

$$\frac{\Delta p}{\mathrm{L}} = \alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 u^2$$

The left-hand side is the pressure drop per unit length of traveled distance through the bed. The first term on the right-hand side represents the Blake-Kozeny equation for laminar flow. The pressure drop depends linearly on the average linear velocity u for laminar flow, corresponding to Darcy flow. The second term is from the purely turbulent Burke-Plummer equation where the pressure drop is proportional to the square of the velocity. To describe intermediate flow, where both the laminar and turbulent effects are important, requires the two-term Forchheimer equation. The coefficients α_1 and α_2 are functions of porosity, viscosity, average pore diameter, and fluid density.

Implementation in COMSOL Multiphysics

To implement the Forchheimer pressure drop relation in a differential equation framework, this example uses an approach suggested in Ref. 1 in which the Brinkman momentum balance is amended with a Forchheimer term. The system studied in this example is that of a 2D cross section of a rectangular channel with a porous layer attached to one of its walls. Flow enters the volume with a uniform velocity profile and develops throughout the length of the channel.

Model Definition

Figure 2-54 below shows the model domain and notations for the boundary conditions.



Figure 2-54: Modeled domain and boundary notations. Flow enters at the bottom and leaves at the top. The region of porous structure is not as long as the free channel.

Flow in the free channel is described by the Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\rho(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = -\nabla \cdot [-p\mathbf{I} + \eta(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T)]$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$
(2-21)

where η denotes the dynamic viscosity (Pa·s), **u** refers to the velocity in the open channel (m/s), ρ is the fluid's density (kg/m³), and *p* is the pressure (Pa). In the porous subdomain, the Brinkman equations with Forchheimer correction describe the flow:

$$\frac{\eta}{k}\mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \left[-p\mathbf{I} + \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon_p}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T)\right] - \frac{\rho\varepsilon_p C_f}{\sqrt{k}}\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}|$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$
(2-22)

Here *k* denotes the permeability of the porous medium (m²), and ε_p is the porosity (dimensionless), and the dimensionless friction coefficient is (Ref. 1)

$$C_{\rm f} = \frac{1.75}{\sqrt{150\epsilon_p^3}}$$
(2-23)

As Equation 2-21 and Equation 2-22 reveal, the momentum transport equations are closely related. The term on the left-hand side of the Navier-Stokes formulation corresponds to momentum transfered by convection in free flow. The Brinkman formulation replaces this term by a contribution associated with the drag force experienced by the fluid flowing through a porous medium. In addition, the last term in the right-hand side of Equation 2-22 presents the Forchheimer correction for turbulent drag contribution.

In COMSOL Multiphysics you can combine free flow and porous media flow effortlessly. However, the implementation of the extra Forchheimer terms requires use of the volume force terms that are available in the application mode. Thus, you set

$$F_{x} = -\frac{\rho \varepsilon_{p} C}{\sqrt{k}} u \sqrt{u^{2} + v^{2}}$$

$$F_{y} = -\frac{\rho \varepsilon_{p} C}{\sqrt{k}} v \sqrt{u^{2} + v^{2}}$$
(2-24)

The boundary conditions are

$$\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}_0)$$
Inlet (velocity) $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ Wall (no slip)(2-25) $p = 0, \ \eta(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T) = 0$ Outlet (pressure, no viscous stress)

where the pressure level at the outlet is used as a reference value.

The following table lists the input data for the model:

PROPERTY	VALUE	DESCRIPTION
η	10 ⁻³ kg/(m·s)	Dynamic viscosity
ρ	1000 kg/m ³	Density
k	10 ⁻⁷ m ²	Permeability
ϵ_p	0.4	Porosity
v_0	2 cm/s	Inlet velocity

Results and Discussion

Figure 2-55 shows the velocity field in the open channel and porous structure. The plot reveals that there are slight disturbances in the velocity at the porous wall, which suggests momentum transport by viscous effects.



Figure 2-55: Velocity field in both the free channel and the porous media.

The velocity profile along a horizontal cross section in the middle of the channel is depicted in Figure 2-56. The plot confirms the existence of velocity gradients in the porous structure in that the velocity is continuous across the interface between the free channel and the porous structure. Further postprocessing would show that the shear rate perpendicular to the flow is also continuous. This implies that there is significant viscous momentum transfer across the interface and into the porous material, a transport that is not accounted for by Darcy's law. Coupling Darcy's law to the Navier-Stokes equations in this example, would give a substantially different profile in which the velocity in the free channel falls to a much smaller value in the free channel. Furthermore, a constant velocity equal to the flat part of the curve in Figure 2-56 would describe all transport in the porous media.

Figure 2-56 contains a cross-sectional velocity plot. It shows that without the Forchheimer correction, the resistance to flow is underestimated in the porous



domain. The added correction gives a solution with slower flow in the porous domain and subsequently faster in the free domain, due to incompressibility.

Figure 2-56: Cross section of the velocity field (modulus of velocity) in the middle of the modeling domain with and without the Forchheimer correction (solid and dashed lines, respectively).

Reference

1. A. Amiri and K. Vafai, "Transient analysis of incompressible flow through a packed bed", *Int. J. Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 41, pp. 4259–4279, 1998.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Fluid_Flow/forchheimer_flow

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

MODEL NAVIGATOR

I Start COMSOL Multiphysics.

2 From the list of application modes, choose

Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Incompressible Navier-Stokes.

3 Click OK.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

- I From the **Options** menu, select **Constants**.
- 2 Define the following constants (the descriptions are optional); when done, click OK.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
rho	1000[kg/m^3]	Density
eta	1e-3[Pa*s]	Viscosity
epsilon	0.4	Porosity
k	1e-7[m^2]	Permeability
v0	2[cm/s]	Inlet velocity
Cf	1.75/sqrt(150*epsilon^3)	Friction coefficient
fs	1	Switch for Forchheimer terms

- **3** From the **Options>Expressions** menu, choose **Scalar Expressions**.
- **4** In the **Scalar Expressions** dialog box, enter the following names, expressions, and (optionally) descriptions:

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
fx	-Cf*epsilon*rho*u*sqrt(u^2+v^2)/sqrt(k)	Force term, x-component
fy	-Cf*epsilon*rho*v*sqrt(u^2+v^2)/sqrt(k)	Force term, y-component

Note that you get a double-check that you typed in the right expressions through the **Unit** column: fx and fy should compute to N/m^3 , which is the correct unit for a volume force.

5 Click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

- I Press the Shift key and click the **Rectangle/Square** button on the Draw toolbar.
- 2 Specify the following parameters in the corresponding edit fields:

PROPERTY	VALUE
Width	1e-3
Height	6e-3
Base	Corner

PROPERTY	VALUE
x	0
у	-3e-3

3 Click OK.

4 Press the Shift key and click the **Rectangle/Square** button.

5 Draw a second rectangle by entering the values below:

PROPERTY	VALUE
Width	1e-3
Height	8e-3
Base	Corner
x	-1e-3
у	-4e-3

6 Click OK.

7 Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar.

PHYSICS SETTINGS

Subdomain Settings

- I From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings.
- **2** Select Subdomain 1.
- 3 Set the Density to rho and the Dynamic viscosity to eta.
- **4** Select Subdomain 2.
- 5 Select the Flow in porous media (Brinkman equations) check box.
- 6 Set the Density to rho, the Dynamic viscosity to eta, the Porosity to epsilon, and the Permeability to k.
- 7 Set the force terms as follows: F_x to nojac(fs*fx) and F_y to nojac(fs*fy).

Note: COMSOL performs a symbolic differentiation of the different terms in the equations for the Jacobian contribution. In this case, the *F*-term contains a $\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ expression, of which the implicit derivative contains a $1/(\sqrt{u^2 + v^2})$ factor. When *u* and *v* approach 0 (for example, at walls), a division by zero occurs. The nojac operator tells COMSOL not to make any Jacobian contribution of its argument, thereby avoiding this risk of numerical breakdown. Note that convergence gets slower with the nojac operator, which is why it must be used with caution.

8 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions

- I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.
- **2** Select the appropriate entry from the **Boundary selection** list and enter boundary conditions according to the following table:

SETTINGS	BOUNDARY 2	BOUNDARY 3
Boundary type	Inlet	Outlet
Boundary condition	Velocity	Pressure, no viscous stress
u ₀	0	
v ₀	v0	
Ро		0

3 Click OK.

MESH GENERATION

To resolve the velocity profile close to the interface between the open channel and the porous domain, create a finer mesh at that boundary (Edge 5).

- I From the Mesh menu, select Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 Click the **Custom mesh size** button.
- 3 In the Maximum element size edit field, type 2e-4.
- 4 Click the **Boundary** tab.
- 5 Select Edge 5, then set the Maximum element size to 1e-4.
- 6 Click the **Remesh** button.
- 7 When the mesher has finished, click OK.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I Click the Solver Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- **2** Change the **Solver** to **Parametric**.
- 3 Type fs in the Parameter names edit field and 0 1 in the Parameter values edit field.
- 4 Click OK.
- 5 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

Postprocessing and Visualization

- I From the **Postprocessing** menu, select **Plot Parameters**.
- 2 Select the Arrow check box. In the lower left area, set Plot in to New Figure.
- 3 Click **OK** to reproduce the plot in Figure 2-55.

To visualize the velocity profile with and without Forchheimer correction, follow these steps:

- I From the **Postprocessing** menu, select **Cross-Section Plot Parameters**.
- 2 From the Solution to use list, select 0, then click the Line/Extrusion tab.
- **3** In the **y-axis data** area, leave the default entry in the **Predefined quantities** list but change the **Unit** to **mm/s**.
- **4** In the **x-axis data** area, click the lower option button and then click **Expression**.
- 5 In the X-Axis Data dialog box, select Geometry and Mesh>x-coordinate from the Predefined quantities list. Set the Unit to mm, then click OK.
- 6 In the Cross-section line data area, set x0 to -1e-3 and x1 to 1e-3; leave both y0 and y1 at their default zero values.
- 7 Click the Line Settings button. Change the Line style to Dashed line, then click OK.
- 8 Click **Apply** to plot the velocity profile computed without the Forchheimer correction.
- **9** Click the **General** tab. From the **Solution to use** list, select **I**. Select the **Keep current plot** check box.
- **10** Click the **Line/Extrusion** tab. Click the **Line Settings** button. Change the **Line style** back to **Solid line**, then click **OK**.
- II Click **OK** to plot the velocity profile computed with the Forchheimer correction, thus completing Figure 2-56.

Flow and Solid Deformation Models

This chapter contains models with a focus on compaction and poroelasticity.

Compaction and Poroelasticity

Introduction

Fluids that move through pore spaces in an aquifer or reservoir can shield the porous medium from stress because they bear part of the load from, for instance, overlying rocks, sediments, fluids, and buildings. Withdrawing fluids from the pore space increases the stress the solids bear, sometimes to the degree that the reservoir measurably compacts. The reduction in the pore space loops back and alters the fluid pressures. The feedback brings about more fluid movement, and the cycle continues.

In the Central Valley of California, only a few years of unmanaged pumping irrevocably lowered the ground surface by roughly **9** m and etched out extensive lateral fissures. This model describes the impacts of pumping for a deep basin filled with sediments draping an impervious bedrock step or fault block. That the step is impermeable to fluids is important because the contrast in material properties gives rise to steep pressure gradients and large strains with the potential to produce fissuring or collapse infrastructure elements such as well casings and pipes.



Figure 3-1: Fluid movement in a sedimentary basin with bedrock fault blocks.

This example demonstrates two methods for analyzing ground displacement associated with changing subsurface fluid flow. Both models come directly from the bedrock step problem of Leake and Hsieh (Ref. 1). The first analysis, Terzaghi Compaction, describes a conventional flow model and uses the results in postprocessing to calculate vertical compaction following Terzaghi theory (Ref. 2). The second example, Biot Poroelasticity, models the two-way interaction between the fluid movement and the solid displacement following the linear poroelastic theory of Biot (Ref. 3). The poroelasticity analysis predicts a similar vertical compaction and also predicts the horizontal displacements that compensate for the change in vertical thickness. The results from poroelastic analyses such as these ones naturally fold into criteria that predict fissuring and compaction at the soil surface as well as failure of wells, pipes, and other infrastructure elements.

Following these two example models, this section shows how to use COMSOL Multiphysics' Darcy's Law application mode and the Plane Strain application mode.

Model Definition

The two models that follow analyze fluid and solid behavior within three sedimentary layers overlying impermeable bedrock in a basin. The bedrock is faulted, which creates a step near a mountain front. The sediment stack totals 420 m at the centerline of the basin (x = 0 m) and thins to 120 m above the step (x > 4000 m). The top two layers are each 20 m thick.



Figure 3-2: Model geometry showing boundary segments (from Leake and Hsieh, Ref. 1).

Pumping from the lower aquifer reduces hydraulic head down the centerline of the basin by 6 m per year. The head drop moves fluid away from the step. The middle layer is relatively impermeable. The pumping does not diminish the supply of fluids in the unpumped reservoir above it. The flow field is initially at steady state. The period of interest is 10 years.

This discussion first covers the Terzaghi Compaction model and follows with the Biot Poroelasticity analysis. Each model description begins with governing equations and boundary conditions followed by particulars related to the COMSOL Multiphysics implementation. A data table comes next, concluding with step-by-step instructions that describe how to build the model in COMSOL Multiphysics.

References

1. S.A. Leake and P.A. Hsieh, Simulation of Deformation of Sediments from Decline of Ground-Water Levels in an Aquifer Underlain by a Bedrock Step, U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 97-47, 1997.

2. K. Terzaghi, Theoretical Soil Mechanics, Wiley, 1943, p. 510.

3. M.A. Biot, "Theory of elasticity and consolidation for a porous anisotropic solid," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 26, no. 182, 1955.

4. H.F. Wang, *Theory of Linear Poroelasticity with Application to Geomechanics and Hydrogeology*, Princeton Univ. Press, 2000.

Terzaghi Compaction

Introduction

This example sets up a traditional flow model and analyzes the vertical displacement during postprocessing. The flow field is fully described using the Darcy velocity in an equation of continuity

$$S\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-K\nabla H) = 0$$

where *S* is the storage coefficient (m⁻¹), *K* equals hydraulic conductivity (m/s), and *H* represents hydraulic head (m). In most conventional flow models, *S* represents small changes in fluid volume and pore space in that it combines terms that describe the fluid's compressibility, the solids' compressibility, and the reservoir's porosity. In the original research (Ref. 1) and in this model, *S* is the compressibility of the solid skeleton, S_{sk} ; the original study does not consider fluid compressibility.

Because the aquifer is at equilibrium prior to pumping, you set up this model to predict the change in hydraulic head rather than the hydraulic head values themselves. The main advantage to this approach lies in establishing initial and boundary conditions. Here you specify that the hydraulic head decreases linearly by 60 m over ten years, then simply state that hydraulic head H_0 is zero and remains so where heads do not change in time.

The boundary and initial conditions are

$\partial \Omega$ base	A
$\partial \Omega$ other	B
$\partial \Omega$ upper edge	C
$\partial \Omega$ surface	D
$\partial \Omega$ outlet	E
	$\partial \Omega$ base $\partial \Omega$ other $\partial \Omega$ upper edge $\partial \Omega$ surface $\partial \Omega$ outlet

where **n** is the normal to the boundary. The letters A through E, taken from Leake and Hsieh (Ref. 1), denote the boundary (see Figure 3-2).

Terzaghi theory uses skeletal specific storage or aquifer compressibility to calculate the vertical compaction Δb (m) of the aquifer sediments in a given representative volume as

$$\Delta b = S_{\rm sk} b (H_0 - H)$$

where b is standard notation for the vertical thickness of aquifer sediments (m).

Model Data

The following table gives the data for the Terzaghi compaction model:

TABLE	3-1:	MODEL	DATA
===			

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION	VALUE
g	Acceleration due to gravity	9.82 m/s ²
ρ_{f}	Fluid density	1000 kg/m ³
$S_{ m sk}$	Skeletal specific storage, aquifer layers	I · I 0 ⁻⁵ m ⁻¹
$S_{ m sk}$	Skeletal specific storage, confining layer	I · I 0 ⁻⁴ m ⁻¹
$K_{ m s}$	Hydraulic conductivity, aquifer layers	25 m/d
$K_{ m s}$	Hydraulic conductivity, confining layer	0.01 m/d
H_0	Initial hydraulic head	0 m
H(t)	Declining head boundary	(6 m/year)∙t

Results and Discussion

Figure 3-3 shows a Year-10 snapshot from the COMSOL Multiphysics solution to the Terzaghi compaction example. The results describe conventional Darcy flow toward the centering of a basin, moving away from a bedrock step (x > 4000 m). The shading represents the change in hydraulic head brought on by pumping at x = 0 m. The streamlines and arrows denote the direction and magnitude of the fluid velocity. The flow goes from vertical near the surface to horizontal at the outlet. Where the sediments thicken at the edge of the step, the hydraulic gradient and the fluid velocities change abruptly.



Figure 3-3: COMSOL Multiphysics solution to a Terzaghi flow problem. The figure shows change in hydraulic head (surface plot) and fluid velocity (streamlines and arrows). Note that the vertical axis is expanded for clarity.

Figure 3-4 depicts the vertical compaction predicted for the flow field in Figure 3-3 according to Terzaghi theory. In COMSOL Multiphysics you can define arbitrary expressions that the software evaluates throughout the solution. The vertical-compaction estimates from this analysis are based on such user-defined expressions. The predicted compaction is greatest at the basin centering and declines gradually to the bedrock step. The apparent discontinuity at the step results because the Terzaghi formulas depend linearly on the sediment thickness.



Figure 3-4: Vertical compaction (surface plot and contours) from Terzaghi theory for the Year-10 flow field in Figure 3-3. The vertical axis is expanded for clarity. The coordinate h denotes the height above the bedrock.

References

Reference numbers for this model refer to the reference list on page 188.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Flow_and_Deformation/ terzaghi_compaction

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator.
- 2 In the Application Modes tree, select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Hydraulic head analysis>Transient analysis. Click OK.

GEOMETRY

I From the **Options** menu, select **Axes/Grid Settings**. On the **Axis** page, clear the **Axis** equal check box and then enter the following **x-y limits**:

TERM	EXPRESSION
x min	-100
x max	5300
y min	-500
y max	50

2 Click the Grid tab. Clear the Auto check box, then enter the following settings; when done, click OK.

EXPRESSION
1000
4000 5200
100
-20 -40

3 Choose Draw>Specify Objects>Rectangle (or shift-click the Rectangle/Square button on the Draw toolbar). In the Rectangle dialog box, enter the following properties; when done, click OK.

PROPERTY	VALUE
Width	5200
Height	440
Base	Corner
x	0
у	-440

4 Follow the same procedure to create another rectangle with these properties:

PROPERTY	VALUE
Width	1200
Height	320
Base	Corner
x	4000
у	-440

- 5 Choose Draw>Create Composite Object or click the Create Composite Object button on the Draw toolbar. In the Set formula edit field, type R1-R2, then click OK.
- 6 Click the Zoom Extents button on the Main toolbar.
- 7 Create a break between the layers by adding lines across the rectangle. Go to the Draw toolbar on the left of the COMSOL Multiphysics user interface and click the Line symbol. Draw a line across the rectangle at y = -40; right-click to end the line. Draw another line across the rectangle at y = -20.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

Constants

- I Choose Options>Constants.
- **2** In the **Constants** dialog box, enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions (optional:.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
rho_f	1000[kg/m^3]	Fluid density
SPY	1[year/s]	Seconds per year

The constant SPY is useful for changing the time unit for the model from the default unit second to the more convenient unit year, as you do in this model. This means that wherever the time appears explicitly in the user interface, you should read years instead.

3 Click OK.

Subdomain Expressions

Next define expressions for the skeletal specific storage, $S_{\rm sk}$, and the hydraulic conductivity, $K_{\rm s}$.

Choose **Options>Expressions>Subdomain Expressions** and enter the following names and expressions for the designated subdomains; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	SUBDOMAINS I, 3	SUBDOMAIN 2
S_sk	1e-5	1e-4
K_s	25*365	0.01*365

The factor **365** in the expressions for the hydraulic conductivity gives this property in the unit m/year (see Table 3-1).

PHYSICS

Application Scalar Variables

- I Choose Physics>Scalar Variables.
- 2 In the Expression edit field for Elevation/vertical axis, type y, then click OK.

Model Settings

Choose Physics>Model Settings. Clear the Simplify expressions check box, then click OK.

Subdomain Settings

- I Choose Physics>Subdomain Settings.
- **2** On the **Coefficients** page, select all three subdomains, then enter data according to the table below.

Subdomain Settings - Darcy's	Law (esdl)			X
Equation				
$\delta_{S} \: S\partialH/\partial t \: + \: \nabla \cdot \left[\cdot \delta_{K} K_{s} / (\rho_{f} g) \left(f_{f} g \right) \right] $	⊽p + ρ _f g∇D)] = δ	$Q_Q Q_{S'} H = p/(\rho_{\beta}g) + D$		
Subdomains Groups	Coefficients Sc	aling Factors Init E	ement	Color
Subdomain selection	Coefficients			
1 🔺	Library materia	al: 💽 🗸	Lo	ad
3	Quantity	Value/Expression	Unit	Description
	Storage term:	User defined 🛛 👻		
	S	S_sk	1/m	Storage term
	θ _s	0.25		Volume fraction, fluids
	X _F X _p	1 1	1/Pa	Compressibility of fluid and solid
		Hydraulic conductivit	y -	
	К _s	K_s	m/s	Saturated hydraulic conductivity
	κ _s	1	m ²	Saturated permeability
T	A _r	1001		Anisotropy ratios
Group:	PF	rho_f	kg/m ³	Density, fluid
Select by group	η	0.001	Pais	Viscosity, fluid
Active in this domain	Q _s	0	1/s	Liquid source
		OK	Ca	ncel Apply Help

QUANTITY	SELECTION/DESCRIPTION	VALUE
S	User defined	S_sk
Ks	Hydraulic conductivity	K_s
ρ_{f}	Density, liquid	rho_f

Because the default initial condition is zero, you need not specify it explicitly.

3 Click the Scaling Factors tab. In the δ_S edit field, type 1/SPY.

This scaling of the time-derivative effectively changes the time unit from seconds to years.

- 4 Click OK to close the Subdomain Settings dialog box.
- 5 From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.
- 6 Specify boundary conditions according to the table below.

Boundary Settings - Darcy's L	aw (esdl)			23
Equation				
$H = H_0$				
Boundaries Groups	Coefficients Color/St	yle		
Boundary selection	Boundary sources an	d constraints		
1	Boundary condition:	Hydraulic head] -	
3 =	Quantity	Value/Expression	Unit	Description
4	Ho	-6[m/s]*t	m	Hydraulic head
5	N ₀	0	m/s	Inward flux
7	Rb	0	1/s	External conductance
	нь	0	m	External head
Group:				
Select by group				
Interior boundaries				
				/
		OK Cance		Apply Help

SETTINGS	BOUNDARY I	BOUNDARIES 2, 3, 8–11	BOUNDARIES 5, 7, 12
Boundary condition	Hydraulic head	Zero flux/Symmetry	Hydraulic head
H ₀	-6[m/s]*t	-	0

In the expression for H_0 on Boundary 1 (the outlet), the rate of decline in hydraulic head is 6 m/year, but because of the time-unit rescaling you enter seconds instead of years. (If you leave out the unit bracket, you get a warning message but the result will still be correct because the numerical constant is specified so that the resulting expression has the unit displayed to the right of the edit field.)

7 Click OK.

MESH GENERATION

Click the **Initialize Mesh** button on the Main toolbar to generate a mesh with the default settings.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I Click the Solver Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 On the **General** page, go to the **Time stepping** area and in the **Times** edit field type range(0,10). Because the effective time unit for the model is 1 year, this setting instructs COMSOL Multiphysics to compute the solution for years 0 to 10 in 1-year intervals.

3 Click OK, then click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING

To generate Figure 3-3 on page 191, proceed as follows:

- I Click the Plot Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 On the General page, verify that the selection in the Solution at time list is 10. In the Plot type area, select the Surface, Arrow, and Streamline check boxes. Clear all the others except for Geometry edges.
- **3** Click the **Surface** tab. On the **Surface Data** page, find the **Predefined quantities** list and select **Hydraulic head**.
- 4 Click the **Range** button. Clear the **Auto** check box, then click **OK**. This step keeps the current range when plotting earlier time steps.
- 5 In the Surface color area, select Rainbow from the Color table list.
- 6 Click the Streamline tab.
- 7 Go to the Streamline Data page, and in the Predefined quantities list select Velocity field. On the Start Points page, click the Specify start point coordinates option button. In the x edit field, type 0, and in the y edit field, type range (-450,50,-50).
- 8 At the bottom of the Streamline page, click the Advanced button. In the Maximum number of integration steps edit field, type 10000, and in the Stationary point stop tolerance edit field, type 1e-5. Click OK.
- **9** Click the **Line Color** tab, then click the **Color** button. In the **Streamline Color** dialog box, select an appropriate color, then click **OK**.
- 10 Click the Arrow tab. Go to the Subdomain Data page, and in the Predefined quantities list choose Velocity field. In the Number of points edit fields for x and y enter 25 and 15, respectively. Go to the Arrow parameters area. Clear the Auto check box for the Scale factor, then in the associated edit field type 0.2.
- II Click the **Color** button. In the **Arrow Color** dialog box, select an appropriate color, then click **OK**.
- **12** Click **Apply** to generate the plot.

To animate all the time steps, proceed with the following instructions:

- **B** Click the **Animate** tab. In the **Movie settings** area, change the resolution as desired, then click **Start Animation**.
- 14 Click OK to close the Plot Parameters dialog box.

To reproduce Figure 3-4 on page 192 you need MATLAB to cumulatively sum the compaction along a vertical column and then contour the results.

To generate the plot, first create a data matrix where each entry represents a square 10 m on a side. Then, cumulatively sum the results going up a column. Finally, contour the cumulative. In more detail, the procedure for this task is as follows:

- I To export the data as a matrix, choose File>Export>Postprocessing Data.
- 2 Click the Subdomain tab. In the Expression edit field, type -S_sk*H_esdl*10. Go to the Location area and click the Regular grid option button. In the Regular grid specification area, find the x points edit field and enter 520; then in the y points edit field enter 44. Now each entry represents the compaction in a thickness of 10 m.
- 3 Click the General tab. For Solution to use, select only the final time step, 10. In the Export to file edit field, type the file name terzaghi.txt. Click the Browse button so you can save it in your MATLAB working directory, then click OK.

If you are to perform the cumulative sum, all entries must have a numeric value. However, the terzaghi.txt file you just created contains headers, and NaN (not-a-number) appears where the geometry is not defined, such as at the bedrock step. To remove the headers and replace the NaNs with zeros, follow these steps:

- I Open terzaghi.txt with a text editor.
- 2 Delete the first few lines of text down to and including the second comment line beginning with % Data.
- **3** Use the editor's Find/Replace function to replace all instances of NaN with a number **0**.
- **4** Save the file.

To perform the cumulative summation and contour the results proceed as follows:

I Go to the MATLAB command line and enter the following command sequence:

```
load terzaghi.txt;
compact = cumsum(terzaghi);
contourf(compact,[min(min(compact)):0.02:max(max(compact))])
colorbar; hold on
contour(compact,[min(min(compact)):0.02:max(max(compact))],'k')
```

2 Use the tools in the figure window to modify the plot title and axis labels.

To save the compaction data in a text file, compact.txt, give the following command:

save -ascii compact.txt compact

Biot Poroelasticity

Introduction

Poroelastic models describe the linked interaction between fluids and deformation in porous media. The fluids in a reservoir absorb stress, which registers as fluid pressure or equally hydraulic head. For example, if pumping significantly reduces pore fluid pressures, sediments could shift due to the increased load. Because the reduction in the pore space brings about more fluid movement, the reservoir could compact further. It follows that lateral stretching must compensate for the vertical compaction.

The Biot poroelasticity analysis in this example uses the Poroelasticity predefined multiphysics coupling that bidirectionally couples the Darcy's Law application mode in the Earth Science Module with the Plane Strain application mode in the Structural Mechanics Module or the MEMS Module.

The U.S. Geological Survey report of Leake and Hsieh (Ref. 1) inspired the Biot poroelasticity model of flow that produces vertical and horizontal displacements as well as the flow-based Terzaghi vertical compaction study already described (see "Terzaghi Compaction" on page 189). The geometry and model description for both analyses are identical; what changes is the link to the solid deformation.



Figure 3-5: Model geometry showing boundary segments (based on Leake and Hsieh, Ref. 1).

Model Definition

Three sedimentary layers overlay impermeable bedrock in a basin where faulting creates a bedrock step near the mountain front. The sediment stack totals 420 m at the deepest point of the basin (x = 0 m) but thins to 120 m above the step (x > 4000 m). The top two layers of the sequence are each 20 m thick. The first and third layers are aquifers; the middle layer is relatively impermeable to flow. As given by the problem statement, the materials here are homogeneous and isotropic within a layer.

The flow field is initially at steady state, but pumping from the lower aquifer reduces hydraulic head by 6 m per year at the basin center. The head drop moves fluid away from the step. The fluid supply in the upper reservoir is limitless. The period of interest is 10 years.

Governing Equations

When creating this model, begin the Biot poroelasticity analysis by opening the Terzaghi Compaction model file. Rather than reusing that model's Darcy's Law application mode, remove it and select the Poroelasticity predefined multiphysics coupling, which includes the time rate of change in strain from the solid-deformation equations in the Darcy's Law application mode and the fluid pressure gradient in the Plane Strain application mode. The following sections describe the equations that are built into the application modes when using the Poroelasticity predefined multiphysics coupling as well as the boundary conditions and initial conditions for this model.

FLUID FLOW

Use the Darcy's Law application mode to estimate the flow field in the poroelastic model. The fluid equation is

$$S_{\alpha}\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[-K\nabla H\right] = -\alpha_{\rm b}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varepsilon_{\rm vol} \tag{3-1}$$

where $\partial \varepsilon_{vol}/\partial t$ is the time rate of change of volumetric strain (s⁻¹) from the equation for solid displacements and α_b is an empirical constant usually termed the Biot-Willis coefficient; in this model the Biot-Willis coefficient equals 1, which is the default value in the Darcy's Law application mode for the Poroelasticity predefined multiphysics coupling. You can interpret the term on the right-hand side as the time rate of expansion of the solid matrix. The volume fraction available for liquid will increase and thereby give rise to liquid sink, which is why the sign is reversed in the source term. Leake and Hsieh (Ref. 1) defined S_{α} using coefficients from the solids equation, the Young's modulus, E, and Poisson's ratio, v. For a further discussion about the quantities in the above equation, see the section "Terzaghi Compaction" on page 189. Debate over poroelastic storage coefficients is heated (Ref. 4), and the subscript α here denotes that conventional storage terms might need redefinition for poroelasticity models.

The only differences between the Terzaghi Compaction model already described and this poroelastic analysis lie in material coefficients and sources; the boundary conditions are identical. As in the Terzaghi Compaction model, H is the offset in hydraulic head since an initial steady-state distribution of H_0 . This subtle twist simplifies describing the boundary conditions: the value at the outlet boundary becomes the decline in hydraulic head with time, and at the upper aquifer, the hydraulic head is fixed at H_0 . All other boundaries have symmetry or no-flow conditions. The boundary and initial conditions for the Darcy's law analysis are

$\mathbf{n} \cdot K \nabla H = 0$	∂Ω ba	ase A	
$\mathbf{n} \cdot K \nabla H = 0$	$\partial \Omega$ of	ther B	
$H = H_0$	∂Ω uj	pper edge C	
$H = H_0$	∂Ω su	irface D)
H = H(t)	ο Ω6	utlet E	

where **n** is the normal to the boundary. The letters *A* through *E* come from Leake and Hsieh (Ref. 1), each letter denoting a specific boundary (see Figure 3-2).

SOLIDS DEFORMATION

You can define the solids deformation on plane strain. The governing equation in Leake and Hsieh (Ref. 1) is

$$\frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}\nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)}\nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{u}) = \alpha_{\rm b}\rho_{\rm f}g\nabla H$$
(3-2)

where *E* is Young's modulus $(kg/(m \cdot d^2))$, v is Poisson's ratio, and **u** is the displacement vector composed of orthogonal displacements *u* and *v* (m). The term $\alpha_b \rho_f g \nabla H (N/m^3)$ amounts to the fluid pressure gradient in the *x* and *y* directions multiplied by the poroelastic constant and is often described as the fluid-to-structure coupling expression. COMSOL Multiphysics efficiently solves the plane-strain problem by converting it to a convenient system of equations as described in the next section.

Parallel to the flow model, the poroelasticity model uses Equation 3-2 to describe change in stresses, σ , strains, ε , and displacement, **u**, from an initial state. This focus

on change in displacement is standard in solids modeling and greatly simplifies specifying loads, boundaries, and initial conditions. For example, a separate body force for gravity need not appear because the changes in load related to the shifting of the water are already described in the coupling term. Moreover, Equation 3-2 defines a state of static equilibrium because the changes in the solid equilibrate quickly, unlike vibrations or waves. Still the time rate of change in strain appears as a coupling term in the poroelastic model because the solids equation becomes quasi-static when solved simultaneously with a time-dependent flow model.

The boundary conditions are a series of constraints on displacement that allow for horizontal movement at the surface and throughout the basin. The base of the sediments is fixed, which means you constrain horizontal and vertical displacement to zero. The upper surface is free to vary in the horizontal and the vertical directions. There is otherwise no horizontal displacement. These conditions result in the following boundary expressions

u = v = 0	$\partial \Omega$	base	A
u = 0	$\partial \Omega$	other	В
u = 0	$\partial \Omega$	upper edge	C
free	$\partial \Omega$	surface	D
u = 0	$\partial \Omega$	outlet	E

where, once again, the letters A through E come from Leake and Hsieh, and denote the boundaries in Figure 3-2.

THE PLANE STRAIN APPLICATION MODE

The governing equation for the bedrock step problem describes the deformation state of plane strain, which is the norm for 2D poroelasticity problems (Ref. 1 and Ref. 4). With plane strain, the directional displacements u and v are functions of location in the model plane. The strain, ε , normal to the plane or "into the page" equals zero.

With the Plane Strain application mode, COMSOL Multiphysics solves Equation 3-2 using Navier's equations, a system of expressions that relate stresses, σ , strains, ϵ , and displacements, **u**, in solids. For equilibrium conditions, the stresses are

$$\nabla \cdot [\sigma] = \mathbf{F} \tag{3-3}$$

where $[\sigma]$ is the stress tensor, and **F** is the vector that contains the fluid pressure gradient (that is, the fluid-to-structure coupling term) and other body forces when present.

The stress-strain relationship for an isotropic material under plane strain conditions reads

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{E}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)} \begin{bmatrix} 1-\nu & \nu & 0 \\ \nu & 1-\nu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-2\nu}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{bmatrix}$$

where τ and γ denote shear stress and shear strain, respectively.

With small deformations, the normal strains ε_{xx} , ε_{yy} , ε_{zz} and shear strains ε_{xy} , ε_{yz} , ε_{xz} relate to the directional displacements *u* and *v* for plane strain analyses as

$$\varepsilon_{x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \qquad \varepsilon_{y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \qquad \varepsilon_{xy} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \qquad \varepsilon_{xy} = \varepsilon_{yx} \qquad \varepsilon_{xz} = \varepsilon_{yz} = \varepsilon_{yz} = 0 \quad (3-4)$$

where strains are defined on directional displacements.

Inserting the relationships from these equations into Equation 3-3 gives the equation that COMSOL Multiphysics solves:

$$-\nabla \cdot (c\nabla \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{F}$$

Here *c* is a tensor defined on the relationships between stresses, σ , strains, ε , and displacements, **u**.

Readers curious about the impacts of different assumptions for poroelastic analyses should try switching to one of the time-dependent analyses or even testing large deformations or elastoplastic material laws if working in the Structural Mechanics Module. To find out more about solids analyses, see the section dedicated to Structural Mechanics in the *COMSOL Multiphysics Modeling Guide*, or examine the *Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide* for advanced deformation analysis.

Model Data

The coefficients and parameters for the poroelasticity model are as follows:

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION	VALUE
g	Gravity	9.82 m/s ²
ρ_{f}	Fluid density	1000 kg/m ³
$\rho_{\rm s}$	Solids density	2750 kg/m ³
S_{lpha}	Poroelastic storage coefficient, aquifer layers	I · I 0 ⁻⁶ m ⁻¹

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION	VALUE
S_{α}	Poroelastic storage coefficient, confining layer	I·10 ⁻⁵ m ⁻¹
Κ	Hydraulic conductivity, aquifer layers	25 m/d
Κ	Hydraulic conductivity, confining layer	0.01 m/d
α_b	Biot-Willis coefficient	I
H_0	Initial hydraulic head	0 m
H(t)	Declining head boundary	(6 m/y)∙t
E	Young's modulus, aquifer layers	8·10 ⁸ N/m
Ε	Hydraulic conductivity, confining layer	8·10 ⁷ N/m
ν	Poisson's ratio, all regions	0.25

Results and Discussion

Figure 3-6, Figure 3-7, and Figure 3-8 are Year 2, Year 5, and Year 10 snapshots, respectively, from the COMSOL Multiphysics solution to a well-known problem of linked fluid flow and solid deformation near a bedrock step in a sedimentary basin (Ref. 1). The shading and arrows, respectively, represent the change in hydraulic head and velocities brought about by pumping from the basin interior at x = 0 m. The fluid moves from the surface toward the well screens in the lower aquifer, with an abrupt change in direction and velocity near the bedrock step. In this way, the flow solution here is remarkably similar to the Terzaghi results in Figure 3-3.

The results for the solids displacement tell another story. In Figure 3-6, Figure 3-7, and Figure 3-8, contours and deformed shapes illustrate the total displacement. The plot sequence illustrates the evolution of lateral deformations that compensate for the changing surface elevation above the bedrock step.



Figure 3-6: Solution for a poroelasticity analysis of the bedrock step problem of Leake and Hsieh (Ref. 1): Hydraulic head (surface plot), displacement (contours and deformations), and fluid velocities (arrows) at Year 2. The vertical axis is expanded for clarity.



Figure 3-7: Hydraulic head (surface plot), displacement (contours and deformations), and fluid velocities (arrows) at Year 5.



Figure 3-8: Hydraulic head (surface plot), displacement (contours and deformations) and fluid velocities (arrows) at Year 10.

Figure 3-9 directly compares the 10-year solutions from the Biot analysis (dashed lines) and Terzaghi analysis (solid lines). Even at a glance you can notice the similarities in the deep part of the basin as well as the marked departure between the two solutions near the impermeable steep at the mountain front. The results shown here almost perfectly match Figure 3 from Leake and Hsieh (Ref. 1).


Figure 3-9: COMSOL Multiphysics estimates of displacement from poroelastic analysis (dashed lines) and Terzaghi compaction (solid lines) for the bedrock step problem of Leake and Hsieh (Ref. 1).

In Figure 3-10, streamlines and shading represent the coupling terms that link the fluid and structure equations. The shading gives the structure-to-fluid link, which is the negative of the time rate of change in strain (see Equation 3-1). The streamlines depict the fluid-to-structure couplings that depend on the fluid pressure gradient (see Equation 3-2).



Figure 3-10: The fluid-to-solid (surface plot) and solid-to-fluid (streamlines) coupling terms evaluated at Year 10 with the poroelastic analysis. Note that the actual unit for the liquid source is 1/year (not 1/s) because of the change in time scale.

The Terzaghi and Biot solutions differ most when it comes to predicting the horizontal strain at the edge of the bedrock step. The Biot poroelasticity analysis predicts horizontal strain; the Terzaghi compaction analysis does not. The horizontal strains at the ground surface from the Biot poroelasticity approach appear in Figure 3-11. It depicts negative strain or compaction immediately on the basin side of the step; the positive strains correspond to tension or lengthening on the mountain side.



Figure 3-11: COMSOL Multiphysics estimates of horizontal strain from poroelastic analysis for the bedrock step problem of Leake and Hsieh: Year 2 (blue, solid line), Year 5 (green, dotted line), and Year 10 (red, dashed line).

Failure criteria or expressions defining a critical threshold for stress, strain, or displacements facilitate evaluating whether the strain differential at the bedrock steps is big enough to produce fissures. Figure 3-12 plots von Mises stresses (surface plot), fluid velocities (streamlines), and total displacement (deformation). The von Mises stresses are postprocessing variables defined by COMSOL Multiphysics in all structural-deformation analyses. The von Mises stresses are variables in many failure expressions and you can also use them as yield functions in the elasto-plastic materials dialog boxes.



Figure 3-12: COMSOL Multiphysics estimates of von Mises stresses (surface plot), fluid velocities (streamlines), and displacement (deformation) at Year 10. These results correspond to the porelastic analysis from Leake and Hsieh (Ref. 1). The vertical axis and deformation are exaggerated for clarity.

References

Reference numbers for this model refer to the reference list on page 188.

Note: This model requires the Earth Science Module and the Structural Mechanics Module or the MEMS Module.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Flow_and_Deformation/ biot_poroelasticity

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I From the File menu, select Open Model Library. From the Model Library tree, select Earth Science Module>Flow and Deformation>terzaghi compaction. Click OK.
- 2 From the Multiphysics menu, select Model Navigator. In the Multiphysics area, select Darcy's Law (fluid), then click Remove.
- **3** From the list of application modes, select

Earth Science Module>Poroelasticity>Plane Strain, Hydraulic head.

- 4 Edit the text in the Application mode name edit field so that it reads darcy strain.
- 5 Click Add, then click OK to close the Model Navigator.
- **6** From the **Multiphysics** menu, select **Darcy's Law (darcy)** (you set up the flow model before beginning with the solids-deformation problem; note that the application mode name you specified in the Model Navigator now appears in the user interface).

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

I Choose Options>Constants.

2 Add the following name, expression, and description (optional) to those already present in the table; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
rho_s	2750[kg/m^3]	Solid density

3 Choose **Options>Expressions>Subdomain Expressions**. Add the following expressions to the table; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	SUBDOMAINS 1, 3	SUBDOMAIN 2
S_alpha	1e-6	1e-5
nu	0.25	0.25
E	800[MPa]	80[MPa]

PHYSICS

Subdomain Settings-Darcy's Law

- I Choose Physics>Subdomain Settings.
- 2 In the Subdomain selection list, select all three subdomains.

3 On the **Coefficients** page, enter the following quantities:

QUANTITY	SELECTION/DESCRIPTION	VALUE
S	User defined	S_alpha
Ks	Hydraulic conductivity	K_s
ρ _f	Density, liquid	rho_f

- 4 Click the **Scaling Factors** tab. In the δ_s edit field, type 1/SPY to change the time unit in the model from seconds to years. (Because of the vast difference in time scales between the fluid and solid equations, the Plane Strain application mode uses static analysis; therefore, no further time-derivative scalings are necessary.)
- 5 Click **OK** to close the dialog box.

Boundary Conditions-Darcy's Law

- I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.
- 2 Specify boundary conditions according to the table below.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARY I	BOUNDARIES 2, 3, 8-11	BOUNDARIES 5, 7, 12
Boundary condition	Hydraulic head	Zero flux/Symmetry	Hydraulic head
H ₀	-6[m/s]*t	-	0

In the expression for H_0 on Boundary 1 (the outlet), the rate of decline in hydraulic head is 6 m/year, but because of the time-unit rescaling you enter seconds instead of years. (If you leave out the unit bracket, you get a warning message but the result will still be correct because the numerical constant is specified so that the resulting expression has the unit displayed to the right of the edit field.)

3 Click OK.

Subdomain Settings-Plane Strain

- 4 Return to the Multiphysics menu and select Plane Strain (strain).
- 5 Choose Physics>Subdomain Settings.
- **6** Go to the **Material** page. In the **Subdomain selection** list select all three subdomains and then enter these settings:

QUANTITY	VALUE/EXPRESSION
E	E
ν	nu
ρ	rho_s

7 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions

- I Choose Physics>Boundary Settings and then click the Constraints tab.
- 2 Select Boundaries 2, 8, and 9 (the bottom boundaries). Select Fixed from the Constraint condition list.
- 3 Similarly select Boundaries 1, 3, 5, and 10–12 (the sides of the domain). Select Roller from the Constraint condition list. When done, click OK.

Note that the surface and sides of the upper aquifer are free, so they need no boundary conditions.

MESH GENERATION

- I From the Mesh menu, select Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 From the Predefined mesh sizes list, select Fine. Click OK.
- 3 Click the Initialize Mesh button on the Main toolbar.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

The solver time steps should already be specified. If you want to check this, open the **Solver Parameters** dialog box. Having verified that the entry in the **Times** edit field is range(0,10)—corresponding to a 10-year range divided into 1-year intervals—click **OK**.

Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING

To generate the plots in Figure 3-6, Figure 3-7, and Figure 3-8, proceed as follows:

- I Click the Plot Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 On the General page, select 2 from the Solution at time list.
- **3** In the **Plot type** area, select the **Surface**, **Contour**, **Arrow**, and **Deformed shape** check boxes. Clear the **Streamline** check box.
- 4 Click the Surface tab. On the Surface Data page, verify that the selection in the Predefined quantities list is Darcy's Law (darcy)>Hydraulic head.
- 5 Click the Range button, select the Auto check box, and then click OK.
- 6 Click the Contour tab. On the Contour Data page, select Plane Strain (strain)>Total displacement from the Predefined quantities list.

- 7 Go to the **Contour levels** area and in the **Vector with isolevels** edit field, type range(0,0.02,0.4). In the **Contour color** area, click first the **Uniform color** option button and then the **Color** button. Select black, then click **OK**.
- 8 Click the Arrow tab. On the Subdomain Data page, verify that the selection in the Predefined quantities list is Darcy's Law (darcy)>Velocity field. Keep also the other settings imported from the Terzaghi Compaction model.
- 9 Click the Deform tab. From the Predefined quantities list on the Subdomain Data page, select Plane Strain (strain)>Displacement.
- 10 Clear the Auto check box for the Scale factor, and in the associated edit field type 100.
- II Click Apply to generate the plot in Figure 3-6.
- 12 Generate the plots in Figure 3-7 and Figure 3-8 by changing the selection in the Solution at time list in Step 2 to 5 and 10, respectively, and then clicking Apply.

To animate all the time steps, do as follows:

- I Back in the **Plot Parameters** dialog box, click the **Animate** tab.
- 2 In the Movie settings area, change the resolution as desired, then click Start Animation.

Note: To generate the following figure you must run COMSOL Multiphysics with MATLAB.

To generate Figure 3-9 on page 207, contour the Terzaghi compaction estimates in the file compact.txt and then overlay the plot of total displacement estimates from the Biot poroelasticity analysis by completing the following steps:

- I Choose File>Export>Postprocessing Data.
- 2 Click the General tab. As the Solution to use, select only the final time step, 10. Browse to your MATLAB working directory and specify the export file name displacement.txt.
- 3 Click the Subdomain tab. In the Expression edit field, type -disp_strain (the negative of the total displacement). In the Location area, click the Regular grid option button. Locate the Number of points column in the Regular grid specification area and enter 520 in the x points edit field and 44 in the y points edit field.

The resulting file, displacement.txt, contains headings, and NaN (not a number) appears where the geometry is not defined. You must clear these items before

plotting the data. To do so, open displacement.txt in a text editor, delete the upper headers up to and including the line beginning with % Data, replace all NaN entries with the number 0, and then save the file.

4 To contour the compaction and displacement results, go to the MATLAB command line; if necessary, change the current working directory to the directory where you saved the data files compact.txt (see page 198) and displacement.txt; and then enter the following sequence:

```
load compact.txt
load displacement.txt
min_d = min(min(displacement));
max_d = max(max(displacement));
contour(compact,[min_d:0.02:max_d],'k-')
hold on
contour(displacement,[min_d:0.02:max_d],'b--')
```

5 Uset the edit tools in the figure window to modify the plot title and axis labels.

To generate Figure 3-10 on page 208, perform these steps:

- I Click the **Plot Parameters** button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 On the General page, examine the Solution at time list and verify that the final output time 10 is selected. In the Plot type area, select the Streamline check box and clear the Contour, Arrow, and Deformed shape check boxes; keep the selections for the other plot types.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. In the Predefined quantities list on the Surface Data page, select Darcy's Law (darcy)>Liquid source.
- 4 Click the **Streamline** tab. In the **Predefined quantities** list on the **Streamline Data** page, select **Plane Strain (strain)>Global force**. Keep the other settings imported from the Terzaghi Compaction model.
- 5 Finally, click **OK** to close the **Plot Parameters** dialog box and generate the plot.

To reproduce the plot in Figure 3-11 on page 209, continue with these steps:

- I Choose Postprocessing>Cross-Section Plot Parameters.
- 2 On the General page, in the Solutions to use list select 2, 5, and 10.
- 3 Click the Line/Extrusion tab. In the y-axis data area select Plane Strain (strain)>ex normal strain global sys. from the Predefined quantities list.
- **4** In the **x-axis data area**, click the lower option button, then click the **Expression** button. In the **X-Axis Data** dialog box, type **x** in the **Expression** edit field. Click **OK**.

5 In the Cross-section line data area, set x0 to 1000 and x1 to 5000; leave both y0 and y1 at 0. Click OK.

Finally, to generate Figure 3-12 on page 210, follow these instructions:

- I Click the **Plot Parameters** button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 On the General page, select the Deformed shape check box in the Plot type area.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. In the Predefined quantities list on the Surface Data page, select Plane Strain (strain)>von Mises stress.
- 4 Click the Streamline tab. In the Predefined quantities list on the Streamline Data page, select Darcy's Law (darcy)>Velocity field. Keep the previously specified start points.
- 5 Click OK.

Poroelastic Flow and Deformation: Open-Hole Multilateral Well

Introduction

Multilateral wells—those with multiple legs that branch off from a single conduit—can produce oil efficiently because the legs can tap multiple productive zones and navigate around impermeable ones. Unfortunately, drilling engineers must often mechanically stabilize multilateral wells with a liner or casing, which can cost millions of dollars. Leaving the wellbore uncased reduces construction costs, but it runs a relatively high risk of catastrophic failure both during installation and after pumping begins.

This model examines the mechanical stability of an open-hole multilateral well during pumping using poroelastic simulations with Coulomb failure criteria. The data and geometry come from *E-base*, a proprietary database from TerraTek (www.terratek.com) that holds data concerning well and rock mechanics. The model itself builds on theory outlined by Roberto Suarez-Rivera of TerraTek (Ref. 1).



Figure 3-13: Geometry for an analysis of a horizontal open-hole multilateral well.

The poroelastic simulations estimate 3D compaction related to pumping by taking subsurface fluid flow with Darcy's law and coupling it to structural displacements with a stress-strain analysis. The simulation results feed into the Coulomb expression that maps where the compaction from the pumping is enough to have the well fail. The discussion in Ref. 1 couples flow and deformation analyses with failure criteria to predict if the well fails both during emplacement and as a result of pumping. This model focuses on elastic displacements brought on by changing fluid pressures when pumping begins. Related analyses for elasto-plastic deformations are straightforward using material laws automated in the Structural Mechanics Module.

Model Definition: Flow and Deformation Simulation

The modeled geometry (Figure 3-13) is the lower half of a branching junction, a segment from a larger well network. The junction lies roughly 25 feet from the start of the well. The entire well network extends much further, perhaps hundreds of feet. The well is 8.5 inches in diameter and sits in a cube 80 inches on each side. Pumps move fluid from the reservoir into the well. Fluid exits the geometry only through the well. The displacement at the reservoir edge is constrained. The walls of the well, however, deform freely. The goal is to solve for the change in fluid pressure, stress, strain, and displacement that the pumping causes rather than their absolute values.

FLUID FLOW

To describe fluid flow, you insert the Darcy velocity into an equation of continuity

$$\nabla \cdot \left[-\frac{\kappa}{\eta} \nabla p \right] = 0$$

where κ is the permeability, η is the viscosity, and *p* equals the pressure of the oil in the pore space.

For the flow boundaries, you already know the change in fluid pressure from the well to the reservoir edge. The planar surface adjacent to the well (between the upper and lower blocks) is a symmetry boundary. Because the well is the only exit for the fluid, there is no flow to or from connecting well segments. In summary,

$$p = p_{\mathbf{r}} \qquad \partial \Omega \text{ reservoir}$$
$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left(-\frac{\kappa}{\eta} \nabla p\right) = 0 \qquad \partial \Omega \text{ symmetry face}$$
$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left(-\frac{\kappa}{\eta} \nabla p\right) = 0 \qquad \partial \Omega \text{ connecting segments}$$
$$p = p_{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \partial \Omega \text{ well}$$

where **n** is the normal to the boundary.

SOLID DEFORMATION

The system of equations that describes the solids deformation is

$$-\nabla \cdot (c\nabla \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{F} = \alpha \nabla p$$

where **u** is a vector of the directional displacements u, v, and w, and the directional components of the gradient in fluid pressure, p, make up a vector forces, **F**. The coefficient c relates the displacements to the stresses and the strains in this way:

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_{x} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \epsilon_{xy} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \\ \epsilon_{y} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} & \epsilon_{yz} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right) & \sigma &= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{x} & \tau_{xy} & \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{yx} & \sigma_{y} & \tau_{yz} \\ \tau_{zx} & \tau_{zy} & \sigma_{z} \end{bmatrix} \\ \epsilon_{z} &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} & \epsilon_{xz} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \end{split}$$

where ε is the strain tensor, and σ denotes the stress tensor. The terms ε and σ are related by $\sigma = D\varepsilon$. *D*, a 36-entry tensor, is a function of Young's modulus, *E*, and Poisson's ratio, v.

For the boundary conditions, the model constrains movement at all external boundaries. The well opening is free to deform. In summary:

u = v = w = 0	$\partial \Omega$ reservoir	
w = 0	$\partial \Omega$ symmetry face	
v = 0	$\partial \Omega$ connecting segme	ents
free	$\partial \Omega$ well	

The following figures show results from simulations for coupled fluid flow and reservoir deformation following a poroelastic approach for the horizontal multilateral well reported in Ref. 1.

The isosurfaces in Figure 3-14 indicate the fluid pressure throughout the well's lower half. The streamlines show the fluid paths and velocities. Fluid pressure drops from the reservoir toward the well opening. The velocity typically increases toward the well but remains close to zero near the branching legs of the junction.





Results for elastic deformation appear in Figure 3-15. The surface shading denotes total displacement. The plot illustrates directional displacements by shifting the shading relative to an outline of the original geometry. For a clear view, the displacements are exaggerated. The uncased surface yields slightly because the deformed shading fills in the hollows of the well. The largest displacements occur just above the split in the well.



Figure 3-15: COMSOL Multiphysics estimates of displacement. Shading indicates the total displacement, and the geometry appears as lines. Even as the deformed shape shifts, those lines remain steady; the shaded image shows movement relative to the geometry outlines.

Figure 3-16 plots the pressure isosurfaces and the velocity streamlines from Figure 3-14 with the displacements from Figure 3-15 using wire-mesh options directly available from the COMSOL Multiphysics postprocessing user interface.



Figure 3-16: Poroelastic analysis near a branch in a multilateral well showing fluid pressures (the isosurfaces), velocity (streamtubes), total displacement (the mesh), and directional displacements (the deformed shape).

Failure Criterion

This model allows the evaluation of failures during postprocessing using results from the fluid-flow and solid-deformation simulations shown in the preceding figures. This discussion follows calculations that Ref. 1 uses to map calculations indicating where pumping could compact the reservoir enough (see Figure 3-15) that the well will fail. Refer to Ref. 1 to estimate the critical rock strength required to successfully emplace the well, and also to learn more about calibration to data.

The 3D Coulomb failure criterion in Ref. 1 relates rock failure, the three principal stresses (σ_1 , σ_2 , and σ_3), and the fluid pressures as follows:

$$fail = (\sigma_3 + p) - Q(\sigma_1 + p) + N\left(1 + \frac{(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)}{(\sigma_3 - \sigma_1)}\right)$$

$$Q = \frac{1 + \sin\phi}{1 - \sin\phi}, \qquad N = \frac{2\cos\phi}{1 - \sin\phi}So$$
(3-5)

Here So is the Coulomb cohesion and ϕ is the Coulomb friction angle. When properly calibrated, fail = 0 indicates the onset of rock failure; fail < 0 denotes catastrophic failure; and fail > 0 predicts stability. Because this model solves for the pressure change brought on by pumping as well as the stresses, strains, and displacements that the pressure change triggers, it calculates the expression just given using the change in pressure than its absolute value.

Results: Failure Criterion

The values for the fail function appear in Figure 3-17. When the fail values become increasingly negative, the potential for failure is higher. As expected, the fail function estimates show the greatest potential for failure just above the split in the well.



Figure 3-17: Values of the fail function calculated with results from a poroelastic model for the branching junction in an open-hole multilateral well. A negative value for the fail function denotes greater potential for failure.

Conclusions

This example couples fluid flow and solid deformation for a poroelastic analysis using easy-to-use application modes from COMSOL Multiphysics. The analysis provides estimates of the pressure change brought on by pumping as well as the stresses, strains,

and displacements that the pressure drop triggers. Combining the simulation results with a 3D Coulomb failure expression, maps vulnerability to mechanical failure from the pumping. The data and geometry for this model come from petroleum industry analyses by TerraTek (Ref. 1), which in turn use failure criteria to map the potential for failure during emplacement of the well in addition to the potential for failure when the well is pumped.

Data

TABLE 3-2: MODEL DATA

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION	VALUE
$\rho_{\rm f}$	Fluid density	0.0361 lb/in ³
κ	Permeability	1.10 ⁻¹³ in ²
η	Viscosity	I·10 ⁻⁷ psi∙s
Ε	Young's modulus	0.43·10 ⁶ psi
ν	Poisson's ratio	0.16
ρ _s	Solids density	0.0861 lb/in ³
$p_{\rm r}$	Pressure in reservoir	122.45 psi
$p_{ m w}$	Pressure in well	0 psi
So	Coulomb cohesion	850 psi
φ	Coulomb friction angle	31 degrees
C_1	Calibration constant I	14.7
C_2	Calibration constant 2	40

This model uses the coefficients and parameters listed in Table 3-2.

Reference

1. R. Suarez-Rivera, B.J. Begnaud, and W.J. Martin, "Numerical analysis of open-hole multilateral completions minimizes the risk of costly junction failures," Rio Oil & Gas Expo and Conference (IBP096_04), 2004.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Flow_and_Deformation/ multilateral_well

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator.
- 2 From the Space dimension list, choose 3D.
- 3 In the list of application modes, select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Pressure analysis. Click the Multiphysics button, then click Add.
- 4 In the list of application modes select COMSOL Multiphysics>Structural Mechanics> Solid, Stress-Strain. Click Add.
- 5 Click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

In this example, the geometry already exists in a COMSOL Multiphysics model file.

- I Choose File>Import>CAD Data From File.
- 2 Browse to the folder Earth_Science_Module>Flow_and_Deformation in the Model Library root directory.
- 3 Select the file multilateral_well.mphbin, then click Import.

The length unit used for the CAD geometry is 1 in. Because the default base unit system is SI, with basic length unit 1 m, you need to scale the geometry by the factor 0.0254 along all three coordinate axes to keep the default base unit system. Alternatively, you can change the base unit system to Gravitational IPS, which uses the inch as basic length unit.

As a third option, which is the one exemplified in these modeling instructions, you can scale the geometry by a factor 1/12 and change the base unit system to British engineering units, with basic length unit 1 ft. Thus, complete the geometry-modeling stage with the following steps:

- I Click the Scale button on the Draw toolbar.
- **2** In the Scale factor edit fields for \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} , and \mathbf{z} , type 1/12. Leave the Scale base point at (0, 0, 0).
- 3 Click OK.
- **4** Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar.

The length unit in the drawing area is now 1 ft.

MODEL SETTINGS

The model data in Table 3-2 is given in pounds and inches. As long as you include the units when entering the data and scale the geometry if required, as just discussed, the unit-handling functionality in COMSOL Multiphysics allows you choose whether to use these units also when analyzing the model or change to some other system. Here, to change to British engineering units, proceed as follows:

- I From the Physics menu, select Model Settings.
- 2 From the Base unit system list, select British engineering units.
- 3 Click OK.

OPTIONS

Constants

Define constants you need in the model or during postprocessing.

- I From the **Options** menu, open the **Constants** dialog box.
- **2** Enter the following constants in the following table:

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
kappa_s	1e-13[in^2]	Permeability
rho_f	0.0361[lb/in^3]	Fluid density
eta	1e-7[psi*s]	Dynamic viscosity
E	0.43e6[psi]	Young's modulus
nu	0.16	Poisson's ratio
rho_s	0.0861[lb/in^3]	Solids density
p_r	122.45[psi]	Pressure in reservoir
p_w	O[psi]	Pressure in well
So	850[psi]	Coulomb cohesion
phi	31*pi[rad]/180	Friction angle
C1	14.7	Calibration constant 1
C2	40	Calibration constant 2

Name	Expression	Value	Description	
kappa_s	1e-13[in^2]	(6.944444e-16)	Permeability	
rho_f	0.0361[lb/in^3]	1.938855[slug/	ftFluid density	1
eta	1e-7[psi*s]	(1.44e-5)[slug/	Dynamic viscosity	
E	0.43e6[psi]	6.192e7[lbf/ft ²	Young's modulus	_
nu	0.16	0.16	Poisson's ratio	1
rho_s	0.0861[lb/in^3]	4.624249[slug/	ftSolids density	
p_r	122.45[psi]	17632.799993[lePressure in reservoir	
p_w	0[psi]	O[lbf/ft ²]	Pressure in well	
So	850[psi]	1.224e5[lbf/ft ²	Coulomb cohesion	
phi	31*pi[rad]/180	0.541052[rad]	Coulomb friction angle	-

3 Click OK to close the Constants dialog box.

Scalar Expressions

I From the **Options** menu, choose **Expressions>Scalar Expressions**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
Ν	2*So*cos(phi)/(1-sin(phi))	Fail parameter
Q	(1+sin(phi))/(1-sin(phi))	Fail parameter
fail	(((s3_sld+C1*(p_r-p))- Q*(s1_sld+C1*(p_r-p))+ N*(1+(s2_sld-s1_sld)/ (s3_sld-s1_sld)))/C2)[1/psi]	Fail expression
<pre>support_x</pre>	0	Well support, x-component
<pre>support_y</pre>	0	Well support, y-component
<pre>support_z</pre>	0	Well support, z-component

2 Define the following scalar expressions (the descriptions are optional):

Note that the expression fail defined in Equation 3-5 has the dimension of stress. By appending the operator [1/psi] to the dimensionful expression enclosed within parentheses you extract its value in psi, which is what Ref. 1 uses. This way, you can analyze the risk for rock failure using the same criteria as in Ref. 1 independently of your choice of base unit system.

3 Click OK.

PHYSICS SETTINGS—DARCY'S LAW

Subdomain Settings

- I From the Multiphysics menu, select Darcy's Law (esdl).
- 2 From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings.

- 3 Select Subdomain 1.
- 4 On the Coefficients page, select Permeability from the drop-down list.

5 Enter the following settings; when done, click OK.

QUANTITY	VALUE
κ _s	kappa_s
ρ_{f}	rho_f
η	eta

6 On the lnit page, type p_r in the p(t₀) edit field, then click OK.

Boundary Conditions

I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.

2 Enter the following settings; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARIES 1, 3, 13	BOUNDARIES 6-10	BOUNDARIES 2, 4, 5, 11, 12
Boundary condition	Pressure	Pressure	Zero flux/Symmetry
Ро	p_r	p_w	

PHYSICS SETTINGS—SOLID STRESS STRAIN

Subdomain Settings

- I From the Multiphysics menu, select Solid, Stress-Strain (sld).
- 2 From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings.
- 3 On the Material page, enter the following settings:

QUANTITY	VALUE/EXPRESSION
E	E
ν	nu
ρ	rho_s

4 On the Load page, enter these settings:

QUANTITY	VALUE/EXPRESSION
F _x	-px
Fy	-ру
F _z	-pz

5 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions

- I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.
- 2 On the **Constraints** page, enter these settings:

CONSTRAINT	BOUNDARY	EXPRESSION
R _x	1, 3, 13	0
R _y	I-3, 5, I3	0
R _z	1, 3, 4, 11–13	0

3 On the **Load** page, enter the following settings:

QUANTITY	BOUNDARIES	EXPRESSION
F _x	6–10	-support_x
Fy	6–10	-support_y
Fz	6–10	-support_z

Boundary Settings - Solid, Stress-Strain (sld)				
Boundaries Groups	Constraint Load	lor		
Boundary selection	Constraint settings			
	Constraint	Value/Expression	Unit	Description
4 5	R _x	0	ft	Constraint x-dir.
6	🔽 R _y	0	ft	Constraint y-dir.
8	R _z	0	ft	Constraint z-dir.
Group: -	General notation	n, Hu=R		
Select by group	н	Edit		H Matrix
Interior boundaries	R	Edit	ft	R Vector
	[OK Cancel		Apply Help

4 Click OK.

MESH GENERATION

- I Choose Mesh>Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 On the Global page, select Coarse from the Predefined mesh sizes list. Click OK.
- 3 Click the Initialize Mesh button on the Main toolbar.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

Click the **Solve** button on the Main toolbar to start the analysis with the default solver settings.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate Figure 3-14 on page 220:

- I Click the Plot Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- **2** On the **General** page, go to the **Plot type** area and select the **Isosurface** and **Streamline** check boxes. Clear the **Slice** check box.
- **3** Click the **Isosurface** tab. On the **Isosurface Data** page, set the **Expression** to p and the **Unit** to psi.
- 4 Click the Streamline tab. On the Streamline Data page, choose Darcy's Law (esdl)>Pressure gradient from the Predefined quantities list. On the Start Points page, type 60 in the Number of start points edit field. On the Line Color page, click the Use expression button, then click the Color Expression button. From the Predefined quantities list, choose Darcy's Law (esdl)>Velocity field. Clear the Color legend check box, then click OK. Select Tube in the Line type list. Click the Tube Radius button, then clear the Auto check box for Radius scale factor and type 0.4 in the associated edit field. Click OK to close the Tube Radius Parameters dialog box.
- **5** Click **Apply** to generate the plot.
- 6 Click the Headlight button on the Camera toolbar to add directed light.

To generate Figure 3-15 on page 221:

- I In the Plot Parameters dialog box, click the General tab.
- 2 Clear the Isosurface and Streamline check boxes and select the Boundary and Deformed shape check boxes in the Plot type area.
- 3 Click the Boundary tab.
- 4 In the Boundary data area, select Solid, Stress-Strain (sld)>Total displacement from the Predefined quantities list. From the Unit list, select in.
- 5 Click the Deform tab and select Solid, Stress-Strain (sld)>Displacement from the Predefined quantities list on the Subdomain Data page. Click Apply.

To generate Figure 3-16 on page 222:

- I Click the General tab, then select the Isosurface and Streamline check boxes in the Plot type area. Leave the Boundary and Deformed shape check boxes unchanged.
- 2 Click the Boundary tab. From the Fill style list, select Wireframe. Click Apply.
- 3 Click the Zoom In button on the Main toolbar.

To generate Figure 3-17 on page 223:

- I Click the General tab. In the Plot type area, clear the Streamline, Boundary, and Deformed shape check boxes. Select the Slice and Subdomain check boxes.
- 2 Click the Isosurface tab. On the Isosurface Data page, enter fail in the Expression edit field. Click the Vector with isolevels option button and enter range (-20,10,20) in the associated edit field. From the Color table list, choose WaveLight. With this choice, negative values, zero, and positive values appear in shades of blue, white, and shades of red, respectively.
- Click the Subdomain tab. In the Color data area, type fail<=-20 in the Expression edit field. Click the Range button. Clear the Auto check box. For min and max enter 0.001 and 1.00, respectively. Click OK. In the Element color area, click the Uniform color option button, the click the Color button. Choose black, then click OK.

This string of steps begins with the expression that assigns fail values less than or equal to -20 with a value of 1 and those greater than -20 with a value of zero. The minimum and maximum data levels serve to cut off values of zero from the plot, so only fail less than or equal to -20 are denoted with a uniform color of black.

- 4 Finally go to the Slice page. In the Slice data area, type fail>21 in the Expression edit field. Click the Range button. Clear the Auto check box. For min and max enter 0.001 and 1.00, respectively. Click OK. In the Number of levels edit fields in the Slice positioning area, set the number of x levels and z levels to 0, and the number of y levels to 5. In the Slice color area, click the Uniform color option button, then click Color. Choose a gray shade, then click OK. Choose Wireframe from the Fill style list.
- 5 Click OK.

Solute Transport Models

4

In this chapter you find models of solute transport, including solute injection, variably saturated flow, and pesticide transport and reaction.

Solute Injection

Introduction

Predicting the transport of contaminants that move with subsurface fluids generally means analyzing at least two physics. This model tracks a contaminant that enters an

at a point, such as an injection well or toxic spill, and spreads through the aquifer with time. The model has an analytic solution developed by Wilson and Miller (Ref. 1), which has been used to test several dedicated fluid flow and transport codes. The particular problem in this model comes from the MT3DMS manual of Zheng and Wang (Ref. 2).

The analysis models steady-state fluid flow and follows up with a transient solute-transport simulation; it employs the Darcy's Law application mode and the Solute Transport application mode from the Earth Science Module. In COMSOL Multiphysics it is straightforward to specify a fluid velocity without solving a flow problem. This example solves for the velocities to demonstrate the mechanics of coupling flow and transport simulations in one model file.

The example also shows how to use the solver settings for the combined steady-state and transient solution. The instructions detail how to model a point source using the point flux settings available in the Earth Science Module.

The first section in this discussion gives an overview of the problem. Next it gives the equations and describes how the fluid flow and the solute transport application modes link in COMSOL Multiphysics. Next come a few implementation details including a table of model data. The results shown next then illustrate various postprocessing options. The last section describes how to build the model using the COMSOL Multiphysics graphical user interface.

Model Definition

In this example, there is regional flow from left to right across a 450 m-by-300 m aquifer. The fluid moves at a Darcy velocity of 0.11 m/d. The aquifer has homogeneous and isotropic material properties. A point source releases a small amount of fluid into the aquifer at $1 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$, a release rate small enough for the flow field to remain uniform. The injected fluid carries a nonreactive solute at a concentration of 1000 ppm. The contaminant migrates by advection and dispersion and never reaches

a boundary. The aquifer is initially pristine with concentrations everywhere equal to zero. The only source of contaminant is the injection, so flow through the inlet has zero concentration. The period of interest is one year.

FLUID FLOW

Darcy's law describes the fluid flow in this problem. With the hydraulic-head formulation, the governing equation is

$$\nabla \cdot (-K\nabla H) = Q_{\rm s}$$

where *K* is hydraulic conductivity (m/d), *H* is hydraulic head (m), and Q_s is the volume flow rate of fluid per unit volume of aquifer (d^{-1}) .

The point source is

$$Q_{\rm s} = \frac{W}{b} \delta(x - x_i, y - y_i)$$

where *W* is the volumetric pumping rate W (m³/d); *b* is the aquifer thickness (m); and δ denotes the Dirac delta function, which is nonzero only in the point (x_i , y_i), where x_i and y_i are the well coordinates.

Because the flow field is at steady state, you can obtain a unique solution by specifying the model geometry, the point source, the material properties, and the boundary conditions. The problem statement gives the hydraulic head at the inlet and the outlet and indicates symmetry conditions on the sides. In the Darcy's Law application mode, you express these boundary conditions as

$\mathbf{n} \cdot (K\nabla H) = 0$	$\partial \Omega$	Sides
$H = H_{in}$	$\partial \Omega$	Inlet
H = 0	$\partial \Omega$	Outlet

where **n** is the unit vector normal to the boundary.

COUPLING

The groundwater-flow and solute-transport equations given here are linked by the Darcy velocity, $\mathbf{u} = -K\nabla H$, which gives the specific flux (m/d) of fluid across an infinitesimal surface representing both the solids and the pore spaces. COMSOL Multiphysics computes the Darcy velocity vector \mathbf{u} , which consists of the *x* and *y* directional velocities denoted by *u* and *v*, respectively.

SOLUTE TRANSPORT

The advection-dispersion equation governs solute transport in this problem:

$$\theta_{\rm s} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-\theta_{\rm s} D_L \nabla c + \mathbf{u}c) = S_{\rm c}$$

Here D_L is the hydrodynamic dispersion tensor (m²/d); θ_s denotes the fluid volume fraction; *c* gives the dissolved concentration (kg/m³); **u** is the Darcy velocity (m/d); and S_c represents the quantity of solute added per unit volume of porous medium per unit time (kg/(m³·d)).

The entries for the dispersion tensor are

$$\begin{split} \theta D_{Lii} &= \alpha_1 \frac{u_i^2}{|\mathbf{u}|} + \alpha_2 \frac{u_j^2}{|\mathbf{u}|} \\ \theta D_{Lij} &= \theta D_{Lji} = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \frac{u_i u_j}{|\mathbf{u}|} \end{split}$$

where D_{Lii} are the principal components of the dispersion tensor; D_{Lij} are the cross terms; α represents the dispersivity (m); and the subscripts "1" and "2" denote longitudinal and transverse flow, respectively.

In this problem, S_c represents the solute injected at the point well, which is defined by

$$S_{c} = Q_{s}c_{Q} = \frac{W}{b}c_{Q}\delta(x-x_{i}, y-y_{i})$$

where c_Q is the concentration of the solute in the water (kg/m³). You implement the solute injection with the same logic as the fluid point source.

The problem statement specifies that the only contaminant source in the aquifer is the point well, and that the boundaries far enough from the injection well for the contaminant never to leave the model domain. You thus set the inlet concentration to zero and assign the other boundaries an advective flux. The expressions for these boundary conditions are

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot (-\theta_{s} D_{L} \nabla c) = 0 \quad \partial \Omega \text{ Sides}$$

$$c = 0 \qquad \partial \Omega \text{ Inlet}$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot (-\theta_{s} D_{L} \nabla c) = 0 \quad \partial \Omega \text{ Outlet}$$

where **n** is the unit vector normal to the boundary.

Model Data

Build the model with the following data:

PARAMETER	NAME	VALUE
Κ	Hydraulic conductivity	l m/d
ρ_{f}	Fluid density	1000 kg/m ³
g	Gravity	9.82 m/s ²
u	Darcy velocity	0.11 m/d
W	Pumping rate	I m ³ /d
b	Aquifer thickness	10 m
$H_{\rm in}$	Inlet head	45 m
$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{s}}$	Porosity	0.3
α_1	Longitudinal dispersivity	10 m
α_2	Transverse horizontal dispersivity	3 m
$c_{\rm s}$	Solute concentration at source	1000 ppm

Results

Figure 4-1 shows the solution to the steady-state flow problem. The hydraulic head drops from the inlet to the outlet, and the velocity field is almost uniform, as required in the problem statement (Ref. 1).



Figure 4-1: COMSOL Multiphysics solution of almost uniform Darcy flow in a domain with a small point leak. Shown are the hydraulic head (surface plot) and velocity field (arrows).



Figure 4-2: COMSOL Multiphysics results for the solute-transport problem are a near perfect match to the analytic solution of Ref. 1, which is shown with the numerical estimates of Ref. 2.

Figure 4-3 illustrates the evolution of the contaminant plume with snapshots at 30 days, 100 days, and 360 days. The solute plume spreads with time but never reaches the boundary. In creating these plots, the author clipped off concentrations below 0.1 ppm and used an expression containing logical operators to give the same shading to zones with concentrations of 50 ppm or greater.



Figure 4-3: Concentrations at 30 days (top left), 100 days (top right), and 360 days (bottom) for 2D fluid flow and solute transport for a continuously injecting point source; shown are concentrations from 0.1 ppm to 50 ppm or greater.

In the user interface you can interactively view the value at a given point by either clicking at the point of interest or entering the point coordinates to trigger a numeric readout. You can also obtain numeric results for the arbitrary expressions along a line or within a subdomain using cross-section and domain plots. The cross sections in Figure 4-4 and Figure 4-5, for example, illustrate the advective and dispersive components of the solute flux. The flux from chemical diffusion is zero. The COMSOL Multiphysics solution shown here gives results along the line y = 150 m at 10, 30, 90, 180, and 360 days.



Figure 4-4: Advective flux along y = 150 m at 10, 30, 90, 180, and 360 days.



Figure 4-5: Dispersive flux along y = 150 m at 10, 30, 90, 180, and 360 days.



Figure 4-6 gives the solute concentration as a combined color and height plot.

Figure 4-6: Solute concentrations from 0.1 ppm to 50 ppm at 360 days.

References

1. J.L. Wilson and P.J. Miller, "2D plume in uniform ground-water flow," *J. Hyd. Div., ASCE*, vol. 4, pp. 503–514, 1978.

2. C. Zheng and P. Wang, *MT3DMS: A Modular Three-Dimensional Multispecies Transport Model for Simulation of Advection, Dispersion and Chemical Reactions of Contaminants in Groundwater Systems*, University of Alabama, 1998.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Solute_Transport/ solute_injection

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

The first step is to open COMSOL Multiphysics and set up two application modes.
MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator, and from the Space dimension list select 2D.
- **2** From the list of application modes choose

Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Hydraulic head analysis.

- 3 Click the Multiphysics button, then click Add.
- **4** From the list of application modes choose

Earth Science Module>Solute Transport>Saturated Porous Media>Transient analysis.

5 Click Add, then click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

- I Go to the Draw menu and select Specify Objects>Rectangle.
- 2 Specify a Width of 450 and Height of 300. Click OK.
- **3** Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar to center the rectangle in the field of view.
- 4 Choose the menu item Draw>Specify Objects>Point. In both the x and y edit fields enter 150. Click OK.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

Constants

- I From the **Options** menu, select **Constants**.
- 2 Enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions (the descriptions are optional); when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
W	1[m^3/s]	Pumping rate
b	10[m]	Aquifer thickness
H_in	45[m]	Inlet head
rho_f	1000[kg/m^3]	Fluid density
c_s	1[kg/m^3]	Solute concentration at source
SPD	1[day/s]	Seconds per day

Scalar Expressions

I From the **Options** menu, select **Expressions>Scalar Expressions**.

2 Define the following expression for the solute concentration expressed in parts per million:

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
c_ppm	1e6*c/rho_f	Solute concentration in ppm

3 Click OK.

APPLICATION SCALAR VARIABLES

In the Darcy's Law application mode (**esdl**), the gravitational acceleration **g_esdl** is 9.82 m/s^2 . To change the time unit to 1 day, you need to modify the value of **g_esdl**.

- I From the Physics menu, choose Scalar Variables.
- 2 In the Expression edit field for g_esdl, type 9.82[m/s^2]*SPD^2.

With this change of **g_edsl**, the effective time unit in the model becomes 1 day. Thus, wherever the user interface displays the time unit 1 s, read 1 day instead. This also applies in postprocessing, so you must multiply any quantity containing the dimension time to some power *n* by SPD^{*n*} for the units displayed in the user interface and in plots to be correct. For example, when plotting the pressure, *p*, use the expression p/SPD², because pressure scales with time, *T*, as T^{-2} .

3 Click OK.

PHYSICS SETTINGS—DARCY'S LAW

To set up the steady-state flow model you activate the Darcy's Law application mode, enter material properties for the subdomain, give the boundary conditions, and then set the point flux.

Subdomain Settings

- I From the Multiphysics menu, select Darcy's Law (esdl).
- 2 From the Physics menu select Subdomain Settings.
- **3** Verify that the model defaults are the coefficient values you need; when done, click **OK**.

PROPERTY	VALUE
Ks	1
ρ_{f}	1000

Boundary Conditions

From the **Physics** menu select **Boundary Settings**, then enter the following data; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARY I	BOUNDARIES 2, 3	BOUNDARY 4
Boundary condition	Hydraulic head	Zero flux/ Symmetry	Hydraulic head
H ₀	H_in		0

Point Settings

- I From the Physics menu, select Point Settings.
- 2 Click the Flux tab and Select Point 3.
- **3** In the **N**₀ edit field for the flux, type W/b.
- 4 Click OK.

PHYSICS—SOLUTE TRANSPORT

To set up the transient solute-transport model, you activate the corresponding application mode, enter material and solute properties for the subdomain, specify boundary conditions, and finish with the point flux.

Subdomain Settings

- I From the Multiphysics menu, select Solute Transport (esst).
- 2 From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings.
- **3** On the **Flow and Media** page specify information about the flow field and velocities from the **Darcy's Law (esdl)** application mode.

PARAMETER	EXPRESSION
θ_{s}	0.3
u	u_esdl
v	v_esdl

Unless you specify otherwise, COMSOL Multiphysics denotes the x- and y-velocities as u and v. Because velocities are not dependent variables in the Darcy's Law application mode, they are application mode variables that COMSOL Multiphysics evaluates using information from the solution. The software denotes application mode variables according to application mode name; here it is **u_esdl** for the x-velocity and **v_esdl** for the y-velocity.

4 On the **Liquid** page, set the dispersivities that describe spreading for solutes in the liquid phase as follows:

PARAMETER	EXPRESSION
αι	10
α2	3

You normally set initial conditions on the **lnit** page. However, the default initial value for solute concentrations is zero, which is the value that applies here.

5 Click OK to close the Subdomain Settings dialog box.

Boundary Conditions

I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.

2 Enter the following settings; when done, click OK.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARY I	BOUNDARIES 2-4
Boundary condition	Concentration	Advective flux
c ₀	0	

Point Settings

- I From the Physics menu, select Point Settings.
- 2 On the Flux, c page, select Point 3.
- 3 In the N₀ edit field for the flux, type c_s*W/b.
- 4 In the t_{N0} edit field for the starting time, type 0.
- 5 In the t_{Nf} edit field for the ending time, type 360.
- 6 Click OK.

MESH GENERATION

- I Select the menu item Mesh>Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 Click the **Subdomain** tab, then select Subdomain 1. In the **Maximum element size** edit field enter 20.
- 3 Click the Point tab. Select Point 3, then set the Maximum element size to 1.
- 4 Click OK.
- **5** Go to the Main toolbar and click the **Initialize Mesh** button.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

The solution requires two steps: First compute the steady-state solution to the flow problem. Then, using the velocities from the first solution step, solve the transient solute-transport model.

To solve the flow problem, proceed as follows:

- I Click the Solver Manager button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 On the Solve For page, select Darcy's Law (esdl); make sure it is the only application mode selected, then click OK.
- 3 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

To solve for solute concentrations, continue with these steps:

- I Click the Solver Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 From the Analysis list, select Transient. To generate outputs in 10-day increments from time 0 to time 360, go to the Times edit field and enter range(0,10,360). Click OK.
- 3 Click the Solver Manager button on the Main toolbar.
- 4 On the Solve For page, select only Solute Transport (esst). Click OK.
- 5 Click the **Restart** button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate Figure 4-1 proceed as follows:

- I Click the Plot Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- **2** On the **General** page, go to the **Plot type** area and select the **Surface** and **Arrow** check boxes.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. On the Surface Data page, choose Darcy's Law (esdl)>Hydraulic head from the Predefined quantities list.
- 4 On the Arrow page, click the Subdomain Data tab. From the Predefined quantities list choose Darcy's Law (esdl)>Velocity field. Go to the Arrow positioning area, and in the Number of points edit fields for both x points and y points enter 15. Click the Color button. Change the selection to black, then click OK.
- **5** Click **Apply** to generate the plot.

To generate the plot in the upper left panel of Figure 4-3, continue with these steps:

I On the General page, find the Plot type area and clear the Arrow check box. In the Solution at time list select 30.

- 2 Click the Surface tab. On the Surface Data page, enter c*(c<50)+50*(c>=50) in the Expression edit field. Click the Range button and clear the Auto check box. In the Min edit field enter 0.1, and in the Max edit field keep the default value (50). Click OK.
- 3 Click OK.

To generate the other two plots, follow these steps:

- I Choose Postprocessing>Plot Parameters and click the General tab.
- 2 From the Solution at time list select 90. Click Apply.
- **3** Repeat Step 2 but this time with a value of **360**.

To generate Figure 4-4 continue with these steps:

- I From the Postprocessing menu select Cross-Section Plot Parameters.
- 2 In the Solution to use list select 10, 30, 90, 180, and 360. (You can choose multiple solution times by pressing the Ctrl key.)
- 3 Click the Line/Extrusion tab. Go to the y-axis data area and from the Predefined quantities list choose Solute Transport (esst)>Advective flux c. Edit the resulting entry in the Expression edit field to read adflux_c_esst/SPD. Edit the entry in the Unit edit field to read kg/(m^2*day). With these modifications, the y-axis gets the correct unit in the plot (recall the discussion under "Application Scalar Variables" on page 244).
- 4 In the Cross-section line data area, set x0 to 0, x1 to 450, and both y0 and y1 to 150.
- 5 In the x-axis data area, click first the lower option button and then the Expression button. In the X-Axis Data dialog box, type x in the Expression edit field. Click OK.
- 6 Click Apply.

To generate Figure 4-5, continue with these steps:

- I From the Postprocessing menu, choose Cross-Section Plot Parameters.
- 2 Click the Line/Extrusion tab. Go to the y-axis data area and in the Predefined quantities list choose Solute Transport (esst)>Dispersive flux, c. Edit the resulting entry in the Expression edit field to read dflux_c_esst/SPD. Edit the entry in the Unit edit field to read kg/(m^2*day).
- 3 Click OK.

To generate Figure 4-6, proceed as follows:

I From the **Options** menu select **Axes/Grid Settings**. Click the **Grid** tab and clear the **Auto** check box. Clear the **Auto** check box in the **z** grid area. In the **z** spacing edit field

enter 10. Although z is not a model coordinate, you need z gridding to make a height plot. Click **OK**.

- 2 From the Postprocessing menu, choose Plot Parameters.
- 3 On the General page, click the Title button. In the edit field enter the title Solute concentrations in the range 0.1 ppm to 0.5 ppm [kg/m³]. Click OK.
- 4 Click the Surface tab. On the Surface Data page, the entry in the Expression edit field should read c_ppm*(c_ppm<50)+50*(c_ppm>=50). Click the Range button, then verify that the Auto check box is cleared and that the settings are 0.1 for Min and 50 for Max. Click OK.
- 5 Still on the Surface page, click the Height Data tab and then select the Height data check box. In the Expression edit field use the same one as you entered on the Surface Data page. You can copy and paste the expression c_ppm*(c_ppm<50)+50*(c_ppm>=50) into the edit field.
- 6 Click Apply.
- 7 To view solutions for all time steps as a movie, click the **Animate** tab and then click the **Start Animation** button.

Buoyancy Flow with Darcy's Law: The Elder Problem

Introduction

Density variations can initiate flow even in a still fluid. In earth systems, density variations can arise from naturally occurring salts, subsurface temperature changes, or migrating pollution. This buoyant or density-driven flow factors into fluid movement in salt-lake systems, saline-disposal basins, dense contaminant and leachate plumes, and geothermal reservoirs, to name just a few.

This example duplicates a benchmark problem for time-dependent buoyant flow in porous media. Known as the Elder problem (Ref. 1), it follows a laboratory experiment to study thermal convection. When Voss and Souza (Ref. 2) recast the Elder problem for salt concentrations, it became a benchmark that many researchers have used to test a number of variable-density flow codes including SUTRA (Ref. 3) and SEAWAT (Ref. 4).

This model examines the Elder problem for concentrations through a 2-way coupling of two application modes from the Earth Science Module: Darcy's Law and Solute Transport.



Figure 4-7: Geometry for modeling the Elder problem. In this cross section of water-saturated porous media, high salt concentrations exist in the top-right region.

In this model (Figure 4-7) a vertical cross section of water-saturated porous media extends 300 m in the *x* direction and 150 m in the *y* direction. The material properties are homogeneous and isotropic. A vertical line at x = 300 m represents a symmetry boundary with a mirror image of the cross section extending beyond it. There is no flow across the geometry edges. High salt concentrations exist at the upper boundary (along y = 150 m) from x = 150 to 300 m. Salt concentration is zero along the lower boundary. The water is initially stationary (with a hydrostatic pressure distribution) and pristine (with zero concentration). When the density increases near the high-concentration boundary, flow develops. The period of interest is 20 years.

FLUID FLOW

You can describe the fluid flow in this problem using Darcy's law with an extra term:

$$\rho S \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \theta \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial c} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[-\rho \frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p + \rho g \nabla D) \right] = 0$$

where the pressure, *p* (in units of kg/(s²·m)), and the concentration, *c* (kg/m³), are dependent variables. In this equation ρ is the density (kg/m³); *S* is the storage coefficient (s²·m/kg); *t* is the time (s); and θ is the porosity. The divergence operator has a velocity multiplied by a fluid density where κ equals the permeability (m²), η is the viscosity (kg/(s·m)), *g* is gravity (m/s²); and *D* is the vertical coordinate, *y* (m).

Now define density as a function of concentration according to

$$\rho = \rho_0 + \gamma (c - c_0) = \rho_0 + \frac{\rho_{\rm s} - \rho_0}{c_{\rm s} - c_0} (c - c_0)$$

Multiplying the time-rate change in concentration by γ gives the change in mass stored per time as a function of concentration.

The density, ρ , appears as a multiplier to the time-rate change in pressure and also as a scalar multiplier of the velocity

$$\mathbf{u} = -\frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p + \rho g \nabla D)$$

where \mathbf{u} is the vector of directional seepage rates, also known as Darcy velocities. Storage is negligible in the Elder problem, and storage changes come from variations in density as a function of concentration.

The symmetry or zero flow on all boundaries fix only the change in pressure. For a unique solution, you must also specify a reference pressure. In this case, choose a point. Then, with the Darcy's Law application mode, you express all these conditions as

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left[\frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\nabla p + \rho g \nabla D)\right] = 0 \quad \partial \Omega \quad \text{Sides}$$
$$p = 0 \qquad \qquad \partial^2 \Omega \quad \text{Point}$$
$$p(x, y, 0) = \rho_0 g D \qquad \qquad t = 0$$

where **n** is the unit vector normal to the boundary.

SOLUTE TRANSPORT

The governing equation for this problem is the conservative form of the Solute Transport application mode

$$\theta_{\rm s} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[-\theta_{\rm s} D_L \nabla c + \mathbf{u} c \right] = 0$$

where D_L is the hydrodynamic dispersion tensor (m^2/d) ; θ_s is the fluid volume fraction; *c* is the dissolved concentration (kg/m^3) ; **u** is the Darcy velocity (m/d); and S_c is the quantity of solute added per unit volume of porous medium per unit time $(\text{kg/(m}^3 \cdot d))$.

In the Elder problem, the contaminant spreads only by advection and molecular diffusion. With a typical transport problem, the hydrodynamic dispersion tensor, D_L ,

also contains mechanical mixing owing to variations in velocity. The diagonal components, D_{Lii} , of the tensor are

$$\Theta D_{Lii} = \alpha_1 \frac{u_i^2}{|\mathbf{u}|} + \alpha_2 \frac{u_j^2}{|\mathbf{u}|} + \tau D_m$$

where α is the dispersivity (m); the subscripts "1" and "2" denote longitudinal and transverse flow, respectively; τ is tortuosity; and D_m is the coefficient of molecular diffusion (m²/s). Because the Elder problem requires diffusion alone, the first two terms in this expression equal zero.

The only contaminant source in the model domain is the salt concentration along the right half of the upper boundary. The vertical edge at x = 300 m is a symmetry boundary. The remaining boundaries have zero flux. The initial concentration is zero. The following equations represent these conditions:

$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left[-\theta_s D_L \nabla c + \mathbf{u}c\right] = 0$	$\partial \Omega$	Sides
$c = c_s$	$\partial \Omega$	Salt
c(x,y,0)=0	t =	0

where **n** is the unit vector normal to the boundary.

Model Data

The model works with the following data:

PARAMETER	NAME	VALUE
ρ ₀	Fresh-water density	1000 kg/m ³
ρ_{s}	Salt-water density	1200 kg/m ³
κ	Permeability	4.85·10 ⁻¹³ m ²
η	Dynamic viscosity	0.001 kg/(m·s)
g	Gravity	9.81 m/s ²
$\theta_{\mathbf{s}}$	Porosity	0.1
τD_m	Molecular diffusion rate	3.56·10 ⁻⁶ m ² /s
c_{s}	Salt-water concentration	285.7 kg/m ³
c_0	Fresh-water concentration	0 kg/m ³

Results and Discussion

The following results come from the COMSOL Multiphysics solution to a benchmark buoyancy problem that is often used for both temperatures (Ref. 1) and concentrations (Ref. 2).

Figure 4-8 gives snapshots of concentrations at six times during the 20-year simulation period. Initially the water is pristine. By the end of the first year, concentrations spread by diffusion, creating a density gradient. The buoyancy flow begins at the edge of the salt contact, where there is a sharp contrast in fluid density. By the end of year three, the fingering of high concentrations into the reservoir is mature. By year 10, the salt concentrations have spread over roughly 60% of the model domain.



Figure 4-8: Snapshots of concentrations from the COMSOL Multiphysics solution to the buoyancy-flow benchmark developed by Voss and Souza (Ref. 2) for the Elder problem.

Figure 4-9 shows results from Elder (Ref. 1) along with numerical estimates from the SUTRA (Ref. 3) and SEAWAT (Ref. 4) manuals. The COMSOL Multiphysics solution in Figure 4-8 is in excellent agreement with that from Elder.



Figure 16. Comparison between SEAWAT, SUTRA, and Elder's solution for the Elder problem over time.

Figure 4-9: Results from the Elder problem shown with concentration estimates from SEAWAT and SUTRA (adapted from Ref. 4).

Of interest in the Elder problem is the development of convection cells. The COMSOL Multiphysics plots in Figure 4-10 reveal the convection cells with the help of velocity streamlines, which the figure shows simultaneously with concentrations for Years 5, 10, 15, and 20. At early times, small convection cells develop between the individual fingers of the plume. At late times, a single convection cell covers the model domain.



Figure 4-10: Salt concentrations (surface plot) and velocities (streamlines) from the COMSOL Multiphysics solution to a buoyancy benchmark problem (Ref. 2).

This example shows COMSOL Multiphysics applied to a well-known benchmark problem applicable to flow driven by density variations related to either temperature or concentration. The COMSOL Multiphysics results, here for concentration, closely match the benchmark solution (Ref. 2). This buoyant flow is straightforward to set up directly on top of a standard fluid flow and solute-transport model.

References

1. J.W. Elder, "Transient convection in a porous medium," J. Fluid Mechanics, vol. 27, no. 3, 1967.

2. C.I. Voss and W.R. Souza, "Variable density flow and solute transport simulation of regional aquifers containing a narrow freshwater-saltwater transition zone," *Water Resources Research*, vol. 23, no. 10, 1987.

3. C.I. Voss, A finite-element simulation model for saturated-unsaturated, fluid-density-dependent ground-water flow with energy transport or chemically-reactive single-species solute transport, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigation Report 84-4369, 198.

4. W. Guo and C.D. Langevin, User's Guide to SEAWAT: A Computer Program for Simulation of Three-Dimensional Variable-Density Ground-Water Flow, U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations 6-A7, 2002.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Solute_Transport/ buoyancy_darcy_elder

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator, click the New tab, and in the Space dimension list select 2D.
- **2** From the list of application modes choose

Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Pressure analysis>Transient analysis.

- 3 Click the Multiphysics button. Click Add.
- 4 From the list of application modes choose Earth Science Module>Solute Transport>Saturated Porous Media>Transient analysis. Click Add.
- 5 Click the Application Mode Properties button.
- **6** In the **Equation form** list select **Conservative** (this step moves the velocity term inside the divergence operator). In the **Material** list select **Liquid**. Click **OK**.
- 7 Click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

- I Press Shift and click the Rectangle/Square button on the Draw toolbar.
- 2 In the Rectangle dialog box that opens, specify the Width as 300 and the Height as 150. Click OK to confirm the settings and close the dialog box.
- **3** Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar to center the rectangle in the field of view.
- 4 Shift-click the Line button on the Draw toolbar.
- **5** In the Line dialog box, specify a line from (x, y) = (150, 150) to (300, 150) by entering the space-separated values 150 300 for x and 150 150 for y, and then clicking **OK**.

APPLICATION SCALAR VARIABLES

The **Darcy's Law (esdl)** application mode assumes that gravity **g_esdl** equals 9.82 m/s^2 and that the vertical dimension **D_esdl** is the screen's *y*-axis. To set up these conditions, select the menu item **Physics>Scalar Variables**. In the **g_esdl** edit field enter 9.81, and for **D_esdl** enter y. Click **OK**.

Name	Expression	Unit	Description
scale_esdl	1e-5	s	Heaviside scaling factor
g_esdl	9.81	m/s ²	Gravity
D_esdl	У	m	Elevation/vertical axis
tscale esst 1e-5 s Heaviside scaling factor			
		-	rieaviside scaling ractor

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

- I From the **Options** menu, choose **Constants**.
- **2** In the **Constants** dialog box, enter the following names, expressions, and (optionally) descriptions; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
rho0	1000[kg/m^3]	Fresh-water density
rho_s	1200[kg/m^3]	Salt-water density
kappa	4.85e-13[m^2]	Permeability
eta	0.001[kg/(m*s)]	Dynamic viscosity
theta_s	0.1	Porosity
tauD_m	3.56e-6[m^2/s]	Molecular diffusion rate
c_s	285.7[kg/m^3]	Salt-water concentration at upper right boundary
c0	0[kg/m^3]	Fresh-water concentration at upper right boundary

3 From the Options menu, select Expressions>Scalar Expressions.

4 In the **Scalar Expressions** dialog box, enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions; when done, click **OK**.

Name	Expression	Unit	Description	
gamma	(rho_s-rho0)/(c_s-c0)	1	Density-concentration ratio	
rho	rho0+gamma*c*(c>0)	kg/m ³	Density	h
u	-kaps_esdl/eta_esdl*px	m/s	Darcy velocity, x-component	
v	-kaps_esdl/eta_esdl*(py+r	m/s	Darcy velocity, y-component	
c_scaled	c/c_s*(c>0)	1	Normalized dissolved conce	-

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
gamma	(rho_s-rho0)/(c_s-cO)	Density-concentration ratio
rho	rhoO+gamma*c*(c>O)	Density
u	-kaps_esdl/eta_esdl*px	Darcy velocity, x-component
v	-kaps_esdl/eta_esdl* (py+rho*g_esdl)	Darcy velocity, y-component
c_scaled	c/c_s*(c>0)	Normalized dissolved concentration

PHYSICS SETTINGS—DARCY'S LAW

To set up the flow model, perform these steps: activate the Darcy's Law application mode; enter material properties for the subdomain; insert the varying density as a scaling term; give the boundary conditions; and then add to the equation the time change in density with concentration.

Multiphysics

From the Multiphysics menu, select Darcy's Law (esdl).

Subdomain Settings

I From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings. Select Subdomain 1.

PROPERTY	VALUE
S	eps
κ _s	kappa
ρ_{f}	rho
η	eta
Qs	0

2 On the **Coefficients** page, enter the following values:

Here, you use the machine precision constant eps to get a small transient term that helps the solver to converge on a solution. The term is sufficiently small not to affect the solution obtained.

3 On the Scaling Factors page, enter the following settings:

PROPERTY	VALUE
δ_{S}	rho[m^3/kg]
δ_{K}	rho[m^3/kg]

Note that these settings only scale the complete equation by an overall factor (δ_Q is irrelevant because this model does not include a distributed source). This is done to improve convergence.

Subdomain Settings - Darcy's	Law (esdl)	×
Equation		
$\boldsymbol{\delta}_{S} \; S \partial p / \partial t + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left[- \boldsymbol{\delta}_{K} (\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{S} / \boldsymbol{\eta}) \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{K} \right) \right]$	$p + \rho_{fg} \nabla D)] = \delta_Q Q_S$	
Subdomains Groups	Coefficients Scaling Factors Init Element Color-	
Subdomain selection	Scaling factors	
1	Quantity Value/Expression Unit Description	
	δ _S rho[m^3/kg] Scaling coefficient - Storage	
	δ _K rho[m^3/kg] Scaling coefficient - Flux	
	δ _Q 1 Scaling coefficient - Source	
_		
Group:		
Select by group		
Active in this domain		
Mactive in this domain		
	OK Cancel Apply Help	
	Сынсы Арруу Пар	

- 4 On the **lnit** page, define the initial hydrostatic pressure distribution by entering rho0*g_esdl*(150[m]-y) in the **p(t₀)** edit field.
- 5 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions

From the **Physics** menu, select **Boundary Settings**. Verify that all the boundaries (numbers 1 through 5) are no-flow; for each boundary, the entry in the **Boundary condition** list should read **Zero flux/Symmetry**. When done, click **OK**.

Point Settings

With all boundaries being flux statements, you must set the pressure at a point to get a unique solution.

- I From the Physics menu, select Point Settings.
- 2 In the Point Settings dialog box, click the Pressure tab.
- Select Point 2. For each of the variables p₀ and t_{p0}, go the corresponding Value/ Expression edit field and enter 0.
- 4 In the Value/Expression edit field for t_{pf} type 20*360[d].

Point Settings - Darcy's L	w (esdl)
Points Groups Point selection	$eq:pressure_$
	OK Cancel Apply Help

5 Click OK.

Equation System Settings

I Select the menu item Physics>Equation System>Subdomain Settings.

Here you enhance the flow equation with the time change in density with concentration. Entries in the **Subdomain Settings - Equation System** dialog box actually change the way COMSOL Multiphysics solves a problem, so following any changes, COMSOL Multiphysics displays a lock on the subdomain number.

2 Click the d_a tab to go where COMSOL Multiphysics picks up coefficients that multiply the time change in the dependent variables in this problem. Edit the mass-coefficient entries so they read as follows:

Р	c
S_esdl	gamma*theta_s
0	Cts_esst*theta_s_esst

3 Click the **Differentiate** button to distribute the change throughout the equation system.

a ^{∂2} u/∂t ² + d _a ∂u/∂t + ⊽	Γ = F; c = -∂Γ/∂∇ u , a = -∂F/∂	$\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\beta}=-\partial\boldsymbol{F}/\partial\nabla\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}=-\partial\boldsymbol{\Gamma}/\partial\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{f}=\boldsymbol{F}$	
ubdomain selection	c a f e _a d _a α β	γ Init Element Weak Variables	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Damping/Mass coefficient		
	p C and	C	
	0	Cts esst*theta s esst	
	05		
T Select by group			
Peret Equation			
Differentiate			
Dirrerentiate			

4 Click OK.

PHYSICS SETTINGS—SOLUTE TRANSPORT

To set up the solute-transport model, you activate the application mode, enter material and solute properties for the subdomain, and specify boundary conditions. You must also change how COMSOL Multiphysics defines the dispersion tensor to exclude mechanical mixing.

Multiphysics

From the Multiphysics menu, select Solute Transport (esst).

Subdomain Settings

I From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings.

Next specify the flow field and the velocities you defined earlier in the **Scalar Expressions** dialog box. You do not use the predefined velocities **u_esdl** and **v_esdl** from the **Darcy's Law (esdl)** application mode. The reason is that with the extra density term added inside the divergence operator, the predefined variables now represent mass flux instead of velocity.

2 Select Subdomain 1. On the **Flow and Media** page, enter the expressions in the following table:

PROPERTY	VALUE
θ_{s}	theta_s
u	u
v	v

3 Click the **Solute** tab to set the dispersivities that describe spreading for solutes in the liquid phase. Enter the expressions in the following table:

Subdomain Settings - Solute Transport (esst)				
Equation				
$\theta D_L = f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \tau_L, D_{mL}), \ S_c = Q$	2 ₅ c _Q + 5 ₀			
Subdomains Groups	Flow and Media Solute	Init Element Color		
Subdomain selection	Solute properties			
1	Quantity c	Description		
	a ₁ 0	m Dispersivity, direction 1		
	a ₂ 0	m Dispersivity, direction 2		
	TL 1	Tortuosity factor		
	D _{mL} tauD_m	m ² /s Coefficient of molecular diffusion		
T	R _L 0	kg/(m ³ ·s) Reaction, liquid		
Group: 🚽	с _Q 0	kg/m ³ Liquid source concentration		
Select by group	5 ₀ 0	kg/(m ³ ·s) Other solute source		
Active in this domain				
		OK Cancel Apply Help		

PROPERTY	VALUE
αι	0
α2	0
TL	1
D _{mL}	tauD_m

- **4** You can always set initial conditions on the **Init** page. However, the default initial value for solute concentrations is zero, which is the value needed here.
- 5 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions

From the **Physics** menu, select **Boundary Settings**. Set the conditions and enter expressions for the various boundaries as in this table; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARY 2	BOUNDARY 4	BOUNDARIES 1, 3, 5
Boundary condition	Concentration	Concentration	No flux/Symmetry
c ₀	c0	c_s	

Equation System Settings

I Choose Physics>Equation System>Subdomain Settings, then click the Variables tab.

Here you change the preset definitions for the **Application mode subdomain variables** that dictate how COMSOL Multiphysics calculates the dispersion tensor components.

2 Scroll down the list of predefined variables until you find the following and edit them so they read as follows:

NAME	EXPRESSION
thDLxx_c_esst	theta_s_esst*tauL_c_esst*DmL_c_esst
thDLxy_c_esst	0
thDLyx_c_esst	0
thDLyy_c_esst	theta_s_esst*tauL_c_esst*DmL_c_esst

3 Click Differentiate, then click OK.

MESH GENERATION

- I From the Mesh menu, select Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 From the **Predefined mesh sizes** list, select **Fine**. Click the **Custom mesh size** option button and in the **Element growth rate** edit field type 1.15.
- 3 Click the Boundary tab, then select Boundaries 1–3 and 5. In the Maximum element size edit field type 10. For Boundary 4, enter a Maximum element size of 5.
- 4 Click the Point tab. Select Point 3, then enter a Maximum element size of 1. For Point 5 enter a Maximum element size of 3.
- 5 Click Remesh. When the mesher has finished, click OK.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

COMSOL Multiphysics solves the flow and transport problems simultaneously.

- I From the Solve menu, choose Solver Parameters. Look in the Solver list and verify that the Time dependent solver is selected.
- 2 In the **Times** edit field, type 360*86400*range(0,24) to generate outputs from 0 to 20 years in 1-year increments.
- **3** As the **Absolute tolerance** expression, enter p 0.1 c 0.001. Doing so sets a different error tolerance for pressure than concentration because their magnitudes differ significantly.

Analysis types	General	Time Stepping	Advanced		
Darcy's Law (esdl)	Time s	Time stepping			-7
Iransient v	Times:	3	60*86400*range(0,20)	Edit	1
Solute Transport (esst)	Relativ	Relative tolerance:	0.01		1
	Absolu	te tolerance:	p 0.1 c 0.001		Ę.
		low complex nur	nbers		
Auto select solver	Linear	system solver			
lver:	Linear	system solver:	Direct (UMFPACK)	~]	
ationary	Precor	ditioner:			
me dependent					
genvalue					
ationary segregated				Sattinas	
arametric segregated				becongs	
me dependent segregated	Matrix	symmetry:	Automatic	•]	
	•				
Adaptive mesh refinement					
Optimization/Sensitivity					
Plot while solving					
Plat Sattings					
noc socialitys in					

4 Click **OK** to close the dialog box, then click the **Solve** button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate the images in Figure 4-8 proceed as follows:

- I From the **Postprocessing** menu, select **Plot Parameters**.
- 2 Click the **Surface** tab. In the **Expression** edit field on the **Surface Data** page, type c_scaled.
- 3 Click the **Contour** tab. Select the **Contour plot** check box. In the **Expression** edit field on the **Contour Data** page, type c_scaled.

- **4** In the **Contour levels** area, click the **Vector with isolevels** option button, and in the corresponding edit field type **0.2 0.6 0.8**.
- **5** Click the **General** tab.
- **6** From the **Solution at time** list, choose the first nonzero entry then click **Apply** to generate the plot for Year 1.

To generate the plot for the subsequent years, recall that the solutions in the list correspond to 0 to 20 years with 1-year increments.

7 To view the solutions for all time steps as a movie, go to the **Animate** page and click **Start Animation**.

To generate the images in Figure 4-10, follow these steps:

- I Return to the **Plot Parameters** dialog box and click the **General** tab. In the **Plot type** area, clear the **Contour** check box and select the **Streamline** check box. Make a selection in the **Solution at time** list as desired.
- 2 Click the Streamline tab. From the Predefined quantities list on the Streamline Data page, select Darcy's Law (esdl)>Velocity field.
- **3** From the **Streamline plot type** list, select **Magnitude controlled**. On the **Density** page, set the **Density** to 10.
- **4** Click the **Line Color** tab. Click the **Uniform color** option button, then click the **Color** button. In the **Streamline Color** dialog box, select black, then click **OK**.
- **5** Click **Apply** to generate the first plot.
- 6 Return to the General page and select the desired solutions in the Solution at time list, clicking Apply in-between to generate the plots.
- 7 To view the solutions for all time steps as a movie, go to the **Animate** page and click **Start Animation**.

Variably Saturated Flow and Transport: Sorbing Solute

Introduction

This example details two assessments of time-dependent fluid flow and solute transport for a variably saturated system. In the first transport scenario, "Sorbing Solute," a chemical sorbs to soil particles and biodegrades. In the second scenario, "Pesticide Transport and Reaction in Soil" on page 288, the pesticide aldicarb transforms to daughter products, which you then track. The inspiration for the two problems comes from the manuals of SWMS_2D (Ref. 1) and HYDRUS-2D (Ref. 2).



Figure 4-11: Geometry of the infiltration ring and soil column.

The two chemical-transport scenarios from this example build on top of the same variably saturated flow model. It uses the Richards' equation interfaces to define nonlinear relationships with retention and permeability properties according to van Genuchten (Ref. 3). In the Richards' Equation application mode you can also define these material properties by interpolation from experimental data (see "Interpolation

for Unsaturated Flow" on page 114) and the Brooks and Corey analytic permeability and retention formulas (Ref. 4).

The two variably saturated flow and solute-transport models included here are inspired by but do not exactly duplicate the SWMS2D and HYDRUS2D examples. The main distinction lies in the governing equations. SWMS2D and HYDRUS2D use a form of Richards' equation that accounts for change in fluid volume fraction with time. The Richards' Equation application mode additionally accounts for changes in storage related to variations in pressure head according to Bear (Ref. 5) among others. Another point of departure is that with the storage terms, the solute-transport equations in the Earth Science Module also account explicitly for time change in liquid and air content. Moreover, the problems here address isothermal conditions. For nonisothermal flow see "Free Convection in Porous Media" on page 338.

Model Definition—Sorbing Solute

In the fluid-flow model, water moves from a ring on the ground into the subsurface. The 0.25-m radius ring ponds the water to a depth of 0.01 m but is open to the ground surface. Permeable soils exist to a depth of 1.3 m. The soil in the uppermost 0.4 m is slightly less permeable than the one 0.9 m below it. The lower layer sits above relatively impermeable soil, so only a very small amount of leakage exits from the base. The flow is symmetric about the line x = 0. No flow crosses the surface outside the ring. According to the problem statement there is no flow across the line x = 1.25 m. The initial distribution of pressure heads is known.

The water in the ring contains a dissolved solute at a constant concentration, c_0 . The solute enters the ground with the water and moves through the subsurface by advection and dispersion. Additionally, the solute sorbs or attaches to solid surfaces, which reduces the aqueous concentrations and also slows solute movement relative to the water. Microbial degradation also reduces both the liquid- and the solid-phase concentrations. The sorption and the biodegradation are linearly proportional to aqueous concentrations. The fluid in the ring is the only chemical source, and the solute is free to leave the soil column with the fluid flux. Initially the soil is free of the solute. You track its transport for ten days.

FLUID FLOW

Richards' equation governs the saturated-unsaturated flow of water in the soil. The soil air is open to the atmosphere, so you can assume that pressure changes in air do not affect the flow and use Richards' equation here for single-phase flow. Given by Ref. 5, Richards' equation reads

$$(C + \operatorname{Se} S)\frac{\partial H_p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-K\nabla(H_p + D)) = Q_s$$

where *C* denotes specific moisture capacity (m^{-1}) ; Se is the effective saturation of the soil (dimensionless); *S* is a storage coefficient (m^{-1}) ; H_p represents the dependent variable pressure head (m); *t* is time (d); *K* equals the hydraulic conductivity function (m/d); *D* is the coordinate (for example, *x*, *y*, or *z*) that represents vertical elevation (m); and Q_s is a fluid source defined by volumetric flow rate per unit volume of soil (d^{-1}) . In this problem, $S = (\theta_s - \theta_r)/(1 \text{ m})$ where θ_s and θ_r denote the volume fraction of fluid at saturation and after drainage, respectively.

With variably saturated flow, fluid moves through but may or may not completely fill the pores in the soil, and θ denotes the volume fraction of fluid within the soil. The coefficients C, Se, and K vary with the pressure head, H_p , and with θ , making Richards' equation nonlinear. The specific moisture capacity, C, relates variations in soil moisture to pressure head as $C = \partial \theta / \partial H_p$. In the governing equation, C defines storage changes produced by varying fluid content because $C \partial H_p / \partial t = \partial \theta / \partial t$. Because C, the first term in the time coefficient, goes to zero at saturation, time change in storage relates to compression of the aquifer and water under saturated conditions. The saturated storage comes about with the effective saturation, as represented by the second term in the time-coefficient. Furthermore, K is a function that defines how readily the porous media transmits fluid. The relative permeability of the soil, k_r , increases with fluid content giving $K = K_s k_r$, where K_s (m/d) is the constant hydraulic conductivity at saturation.

This example uses predefined interfaces for van Genuchten (Ref. 3) formulas to represent how the four retention and permeability properties θ , *C*, Se, and $k_r = K/K_s$ vary with the solution H_p . The van Genuchten expressions read as follows:

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \theta_{\rm r} + {\rm Se}(\theta_{\rm s} - \theta_{\rm r}) & H_p < 0\\ \theta_s & H_p \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

Se =
$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\left[1 + \left|\alpha H_p\right|^n\right]^m} & H_p < 0\\ 1 & H_p \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

$$C = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha m}{1-m} (\theta_{\rm s} - \theta_{\rm r}) \mathrm{Se}^{\frac{1}{m}} \left(1 - \mathrm{Se}^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^m & H_p < 0\\ 0 & H_p \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

$$k_{r} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Se}^{L} \left[1 - \left(1 - \operatorname{Se}^{\frac{1}{m}} \right)^{m} \right]^{2} & H_{p} < 0 \\ 1 & H_{p} \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

ſ

where α , n, m, and l are constants that specify the type of medium, with m = 1 - 1/n. In the equations, the system reaches saturation when fluid pressure is atmospheric (that is, $H_p = 0$). When the soil fully saturates, the four parameters reach constant values.

The problem statement records all the boundary conditions you need for this model. The level of water in the ring is known at 0.01 m, giving a Dirichlet constraint on pressure head. Approximate the small leak from the base, N_0 , as $0.01K_s$. With no flow crossing the surface outside of the pressure ring or the vertical walls, the following expressions summarize the boundary conditions:

$H_p = H_{p0}$	$\partial \Omega$ Ring	
$\mathbf{n} \cdot [-K_{\rm s}k_{\rm r}\nabla(H_p + D)] = 0$	$\partial \Omega$ Surface	
$\mathbf{n} \cdot [-K_{\rm s}k_{\rm r}\nabla(H_p + D)] = 0$	$\partial \Omega$ Sides	
$\mathbf{n} \cdot [-K_{\rm s}k_{\rm r}\nabla(H_p + D)] = 0$	$\partial \Omega$ Symmet	ry
$\mathbf{n} \cdot [-K_{\rm s}k_{\rm r}\nabla(H_p + D)] = N_0$	$\partial \Omega$ Base	

In these expressions, \mathbf{n} is the unit vector normal to the bounding surface.

A unique solution to a time-dependent problem requires an initial condition. In the problem statement, the initial condition came from results of another simulation. For this example model, you fit a simple equation to the June 1982 results shown in Example 4 from SWMS2D (Ref. 1) and run a short simulation without the ring to warm up the flow field. That simulation also assumes that there is no flow across the surface beneath the ring.

MULTIPHYSICS COUPLING

Groundwater flow and solute transport are linked by fluid velocities. With the form of the transport equation that follows, the fluid velocities need to come from Darcy's law:

$$\mathbf{u} = K_{\mathrm{s}}k_{\mathrm{r}}\nabla(H_{\mathrm{p}} + D) \tag{4-1}$$

In this expression, **u** is a vector of directional velocities often termed specific discharge (m/d). In COMSOL Multiphysics, *u* and *v* denote the velocities in the *x* and *y* directions, respectively, that make up **u**.

SOLUTE TRANSPORT

The equation that governs advection, dispersion, sorption, and decay of solutes in groundwater is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\theta c) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_{\rm b}c_P) + \nabla \cdot \left[-\theta D_L \nabla c + \mathbf{u}c\right] = \Sigma R_L + \Sigma R_P + S_{\rm c}$$

It describes time rate of change in two terms: *c* denotes dissolved concentration (kg/m), and *c*_{*P*} is the mass of adsorbed contaminant per dry unit weight of solid (mg/kg). Further, θ denotes the volume fluid fraction (dimensionless), and ρ_b is the bulk density (kg/m³). Because ρ_b amounts to the dried solid mass per bulk volume of the solids and pores together, the term $\rho_b c_P$ gives solute mass attached to the soil as a concentration. In the equation, D_L is the hydrodynamic dispersion tensor (m²/d); R_L represents reactions in water (kg/(m³·d)); and R_P equals reactions involving solutes attached to soil particles (kg/(m³·d)). Finally, S_c is solute added per unit volume of soil per unit time (kg/(m³·d)).

It is far more convenient to solve the above equation only for dissolved concentration. This requires expanding the left side to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\theta c) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_{\rm b} c_P) = \theta \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + c \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \rho_{\rm b} \frac{\partial c_P}{\partial c} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}$$

and inserting a few definitions.

In this problem, solute mass per solid mass, c_P , relates to dissolved concentration, c, through a linear isotherm or partition coefficient $k_P(\text{m}^3/\text{kg})$ where $c_P = k_P c$. Because the relationship is linear, the derivative is $k_p = \partial c_P/\partial c$. Making those substitutions gives the form of the solute transport problem you solve:

$$[\theta + \rho_{\rm b}k_p]\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + c\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [-\theta D_L \nabla c + \mathbf{u}c] = \theta \phi_L c + \rho_{\rm b}k_p \phi_P c + S_{\rm c}$$

In the equation, ϕ_L and ϕ_P denote the decay rates (d^{-1}) for the dissolved and sorbed solute concentrations, respectively.

Note that you employ results from the flow equation in the solute-transport model as

$$c\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = cC\frac{\partial H_p}{\partial t}$$

You enter this directly into the COMSOL Multiphysics user interface using the time-rate change in pressure head. Note that COMSOL Multiphysics solves for pressure, p, and converts to H_p based on the fluid weight.

The hydrodynamic dispersion tensor, D_L , describes mechanical spreading from groundwater movement in addition to chemical diffusion:

$$\begin{split} \theta D_{Lii} &= \alpha_1 \frac{u_i^2}{|\mathbf{u}|} + \alpha_2 \frac{u_j^2}{|\mathbf{u}|} + \theta D_{\mathrm{m}} \tau_L \\ \theta D_{Lij} &= \theta D_{Lij} = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \frac{u_i u_j}{|\mathbf{u}|} \end{split}$$

where D_{Lii} are the diagonal entries in the dispersion tensor; D_{Lij} are the cross terms; α is the dispersivity (m); the subscripts "1" and "2" denote longitudinal and transverse flow, respectively; $D_{\rm m}$ denotes the coefficient of molecular diffusion (m²/d); and τ_L is a tortuosity factor that reduces impacts of molecular diffusion for porous media relative to free water. Here $\tau_L = \theta^{7/3} \theta_{\rm s}^{-2}$.

The boundary and initial conditions in the sorbing-solute problem are straightforward. The solute enters only with the water from the ring at a concentration c_0 . The solute is free to leave, but there is only minimal leakage from the lower boundary and no flow from the sides. Transport is symmetric about the line x = 0. The boundary conditions in this problem are:

$c = c_0$	$\partial \Omega$	Ring
$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left[-\theta D_L \nabla c\right] = 0$	$\partial \Omega$	Surface
$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left[-\theta D_L \nabla c\right] = 0$	$\partial \Omega$	Sides
$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left[-\theta D_L \nabla c + \mathbf{u}c\right] = 0$	$\partial \Omega$	Symmetry
$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left[-\theta D_L \nabla c\right] = 0$	$\partial \Omega$	Base

where \mathbf{n} is the unit vector normal to the boundary. Because the soil is pristine at the start of the experiment, the initial condition is one of zero concentration.

IMPLEMENTATION-LOGICAL OPERATORS

This analysis uses logical operators to "warm up" the flow model for 0.1 days. The warm-up time smooths out the approximate equation for initial head to produce the smoothly varying, physically based velocity field needed to yield reasonable solute concentrations. You can use the **Solver Parameters** and **Solver Manager** dialog boxes to run a warm-up flow simulation first and use those results as initial conditions in the coupled-flow and transport problem as a follow-up step. The virtue of using logical operators here is that they circumvent the staged or multistep process.

Logical statements involve relational operators, which can be powerful tools for modeling in COMSOL Multiphysics. Multiplication of a constant or expression by a logical statement qualifies when, where, and how a model uses that expression or constant. For example, specifying *c* as c0*(t>1) means that the concentration equals c0 for all times greater than 1, whereas multiplication by (t>1)*(t<5) means all times between t = 1 day and t = 5 days.

This model uses the logical expressions as boundary conditions. For the flow problem, you need zero flow across the boundary during the warm-up time and a constant pressure head of 0.01 m thereafter. If you start the simulation at t = -0.1 days, you then turn on the constant pressure head and concentration at the ring at t = 0 with these equations:

$$H_{p} \begin{cases} -\mathbf{n} \cdot [-K_{s}k_{r}\nabla(H_{p}+D)] = 0 & t < 0 \\ H_{p} = 0.01 \text{ m} & 0 \le t \end{cases} \partial\Omega \text{ Ring}$$

$$c \begin{cases} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\theta_{s}D_{L}\nabla c - \mathbf{u}c) = 0 & t < 0 \\ c = 1 \text{ kg/m}^{3} & 0 \le t \end{cases} \partial\Omega \text{ Ring}$$

Using logical operators, you can implement the expressions for pressure head. Use the general Neumann boundary condition

$$-\mathbf{n} \cdot [-K_{\rm s}k_{\rm r}\nabla(H_{\rm p}+D)] = q_0 + R_{\rm b}[(H_{\rm pb}-H_{\rm p}) + (D_{\rm b}-D)]$$

On the right side of the equation, H_p is the solution, D is the current elevation, and all other terms are constants that you can define arbitrarily. Here set $q_0 = 0$, $R_b = 1 \text{ d}^{-1}$, $H_{pb} = 0.01 \text{ m}$, and $D_b = D$ for t > 0 by multiplication with logical operators. Hpb=0.01*(t>=0) means H_{pb} has a value of 0.01 m for all times greater than or equal to zero.

For concentration, use modified logic with the flux boundary expression

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{\theta}_{\mathrm{s}} D_L \nabla c - \mathbf{u} c) = N_0$$

During the flow model's warm-up there is no solute moving from the pressure ring $N_0 = 0$. This is a Neumann condition on flux. Throughout the coupled-flow and transport problem, however, the solute concentration $c_{\text{ring}} = 1 \text{ kg/m}^3$, which is a Dirichlet condition on concentration. The logical operators use what is known as a "stiff-spring" condition to implement the switch between the two boundary types.

IMPLEMENTATION—STIFF-SPRING CONDITION

A stiff-spring condition uses weighting to make a Neumann statement about flux but achieves a Dirichlet condition on pressure head. The stiff-spring expression

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot [\theta_s D_L \nabla c - \mathbf{u}c] = N_0 = 10^6 (c_{\text{ring}} - 1 \text{ kg/m}^3) \frac{\text{m}}{\text{d}}$$

specifies the flux using a heavily weighted equivalence for concentration. Rephrased, the stiff-spring statement

$$c_{\rm ring} = 1 \text{ kg/m}^3 + \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot (\theta_s D_L \nabla c - \mathbf{u}c)}{10^6 \text{ m/d}}$$

sets $c_{\rm ring}$ to 1 kg/m^3 plus some small number. Because this offers virtually no information about the flux, it varies freely. In this model, set $N_0 = (10^6 \text{ m/d}) \cdot (c - 1 \text{ kg/m}^3) (t \ge 0)$.

MODEL DATA

VARIABL E	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	UPPER LAYER	LOWER LAYER
$K_{ m s}$	m/d	Saturated hydraulic conductivity	0.298	0.454
$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{s}}$		Porosity/void fraction	0.399	0.339
$\theta_{\mathbf{r}}$		Residual saturation	0.0001	0.0001
α	m ⁻¹	alpha parameter	1.74	1.39
n		n parameter	1.38	1.60
m		m parameter	I-I/n	I-1/n
l		Pore connectivity parameter	n/a	
H_{ps}	m	Pressure head in ring	0.01	
H_{p0}	m	Initial pressure head	-(y+1.2)* (y<-0.4)+ (-(y+1.2)-0.2* (y+0.4))* (-0.4<=y)	-(y+1.2)* (y<-0.4)+ (-(y+1.2)-0.2* (y+0.4))* (-0.4<=y)

The following table provides data for the fluid-flow model:

The inputs needed for the solute-transport model are:

VARIABL E	UNITS	DESCRIPTION	VALUE
$r_{\rm b}$	kg/m ³	Bulk density	1400
k_p	m ³ /kg	Partition coefficient	0.0001
D_m	m ² /d	Coefficient of molecular diffusion	0.00374
τ_L		Tortuosity factor	$\theta^{7/3} \theta_s^{-2}$
α_1	m	Longitudinal dispersivity	0.005
α_2	m	Transverse dispersivity	0.001
ϕ_L	d-I	Decay rate in liquid	0.05
ϕ_P	d-I	Decay rate on solid	0.01
c_s	kg/m ³	Solute concentration in ring	1.0
c_0	kg/m ³	Initial solute concentration in soil	0

Results and Discussion

Figure 4-12 and Figure 4-13 give the solution to the fluid-flow problem at 0.3 and 1 day, respectively. The images show effective saturation (surface plot), pressure head (contours), and velocities (arrows). The figures illustrate the soil wetting with time. As



the arrows indicate, the velocities just below the ring are high relative to the remainder of the soil column.

Figure 4-12: Estimates of effective saturation (surface plot), pressure head (contours), and velocity (arrows) in variably saturated soil after 0.3 days.



Figure 4-13: Estimates of effective saturation (surface plot), pressure head (contours), and velocity (arrows) in variably saturated soil after 1 day.

Figure 4-14 and Figure 4-15 give the concentrations for 0.3 days and 1 day, respectively, along with the retardation factor. They illustrate how the solute concentrations (surface plot) enter and move through the soil. Because the retardation factor depends on soil moisture, its value varies with the solution.



Figure 4-14: Solution for dissolved concentrations (surface plot) and retardation factor (contours) at 0.3 days.


Figure 4-15: Solution for dissolved concentrations (surface plot) and retardation factor (contours) at 1 day.

Figure 4-16 shows an image of the retardation factor at the end of the simulation time interval. For variably saturated solute transport, the retardation factor changes with time. As shown in this image, the process of sorption has the greatest potential to slow the contaminant where the soils are relatively dry for this example. The retardation coefficient here ranges from roughly 1.35 to 1.55, and the solute moves at approximately the velocity of the groundwater.



Figure 4-16: Snapshot of the retardation factor (surface and contours) at 5 days.

References

1. J. Simunek, T. Vogel and M.Th. van Genuchten, *The SWMS_2D code for simulating water flow and solute transport in two-dimensional variably saturated media*, ver. 1.1., Research Report No. 132, U.S. Salinity Laboratory, USDA, 1994.

2. J. Simunek, M. Senja, and M.Th. van Genuchten, *The HYDR US-2D software package for simulating the two-dimensional movement of water, heat, and multiple solutes in variably-saturated media*, ver. 2.0, International Ground Water Modeling Center IGWMC-TPS 53, Colorado School of Mines, 1999.

3. M.Th. van Genuchten, "A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic of conductivity of unsaturated soils," *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, vol. 44, pp. 892–898, 1980.

4. R.H. Brooks and A.T. Corey, "Properties of porous media affecting fluid flow," J. Irrig. Drainage Div., ASCE Proc. 72(IR2), pp. 61–88, 1966.

5. J. Bear, J, Hydraulics of Groundwater, McGraw-Hill Inc., 1978.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Solute_Transport/ sorbing_solute

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

The following steps walk you through this model, setting up both the Richards' Equation application mode and the Solute Transport application mode. You can easily bypass a few of the details by using the example "Interpolation for Unsaturated Flow" on page 114 and adding to it the transport application. To do so, open the model file, add the Solute Transport application mode as described in the following description and then skip to the section "Physics Settings—Solute Transport".

To start from scratch, first add the Richards' Equation application mode:

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator. From the Space dimension list, select Axial symmetry (2D).
- 2 In the list of application modes, select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Richards' Equation>Pressure head analysis>Transient analysis.
- 3 Click the Multiphysics button, then click Add.
- 4 In the list of application modes, select Earth Science Module>Solute Transport> Variably Saturated Porous Media>Transient analysis. Click Add.
- 5 Click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

You create the geometry by drawing two rectangles and then adding line.

I Choose Draw>Specify Objects>Rectangle.

2 Specify the following settings; when done, click OK.

PARAMETER	EXPRESSION
Width	1.25
Height	1.3
r	0
z	-1.3

3 Click the Zoom Extents button on the Main toolbar.

- 4 Again choose Draw>Specify Objects>Rectangle.
- 5 Specify the following settings; when done, click OK.

PARAMETER	EXPRESSION
Width	1.25
Height	0.4
r	0
z	-0.4

6 Choose Draw>Specify Objects>Line. In the r edit field enter 0 0.25, and in the z edit field enter 0 0. Click OK.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

- I Choose Options>Constants.
- 2 In the **Constants** dialog box, enter the following data (the descriptions are optional); when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
phiL	0.05[1/s]	Decay rate in liquid
phiP	0.01[1/s]	Decay rate on solid
rhob	1400[kg/m^3]	Bulk density
kp	0.0001[m^3/kg]	Partition coefficient

PHYSICS SETTINGS—RICHARDS' EQUATION

Application Scalar Variables

To set the vertical direction and gravitational constant in the model, go to the **Physics** menu and open the **Scalar Variables** dialog box. Make the following modifications to the defaults. Here you convert from seconds to days and square the quantity because you are dealing with acceleration. When done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION
D_esvr	z
g_esvr	9.82*86400^2

Subdomain Settings-Richards' Equation

- I From the Multiphysics menu, choose Richards' Equation (esvr).
- 2 Choose Physics>Subdomain Settings.

3 On the **Coefficients** page, enter the following settings:

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAIN 2
Constitutive relation	van Genuchten	van Genuchten
θ_{s}	0.339	0.399
θ _r	0	0.0001
Storage term	User defined	User defined
S	0.339	0.399
K _s	0.454	0.298
ρ _f	1000	1000

4 On the van Genuchten page, make the following changes:

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAIN 2
α	1.39	1.74
n	1.6	1.38
I	0.5	0.5

5 Click the **Init** tab. Select both subdomains simultaneously using the Ctrl key, then enter the following expression:

SETTING	SUBDOMAINS I, 2
H _P (t ₀)	- (z+1.2)*(z<-0.4)+(-(z+1.2)-0.2*(z+0.4))*(-0.4<=z)

6 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions—Richards' Equation

I From the Physics menu, choose Boundary Settings.

2 In the Boundary Settings dialog box, enter these settings; when done, click OK.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARIES 1, 3, 4, 6-8	BOUNDARY 2	BOUNDARY 5
Boundary condition	Zero flux/Symmetry	Inward flux	Mixed
N ₀		-0.454/100	0
R _b			1*(t>=0)
H _{pb}			0.01*(t>=0)
D _b			D_esvr*(t>=0)

PHYSICS SETTINGS—SOLUTE TRANSPORT

Subdomain Settings—Solute Transport

I From the Multiphysics menu, select Solute Transport (esst).

2 Choose Physics>Subdomain Settings.

3 On the Flow and Media page, enter the following settings:

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAINS 1, 2
θ	theta_esvr
θ_{s}	thetas_esvr
u	u_esvr
v	v_esvr

4 On the Liquid page, make the following changes:

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAINS I, 2
αι	0.005
α2	0.001
D _{mL}	0.00374
RL	-phiL*theta_esvr*c
So	-c*C_esvr*pt

5 On the Solid page, make the following changes;

TERM	SUBDOMAINS 1, 2	
ρ_{b}	rhob	
К _Р	kp	
R _P	phiP*kp*rhob*c	

6 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions-Solute Transport

I From the Physics menu, choose Boundary Settings.

2 In the **Boundary Settings** dialog box enter the following settings:

SETTINGS	BOUNDARIES 1, 3, 6	BOUNDARIES 2, 7, 8	BOUNDARY 5
Boundary condition	No flux/Symmetry	Advective flux	Flux
N ₀			1e6[m/s]* (-c+1)*(t>=0)

The factor 10^6 m/d is a large velocity that implements the stiff-spring condition (see "Implementation—Stiff-Spring Condition" on page 274); it should not be interpreted as a physical velocity. The added unit makes the flux expression dimensionally correct.

3 Click OK.

MESH GENERATION

- I Choose Mesh>Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 Click the **Boundary** tab. Select the upper surface and the break between the two soil layers (Boundaries 4–6). Set the **Maximum element size** to 0.02, then click **OK**.
- 3 Click the Initialize Mesh button on the Main toolbar.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I Select the menu item Solve>Solver Parameters.
- 2 On the General page, find the Time stepping area and in the Times edit field enter
 -0.1 0 10^(range(-3,0.1,-1)) range(0.2,0.1,1) range(2,5).

This gives you 21 exponentially increasing inputs from 0.001 to 0.1 day; 0.1-day increments through Day 1; and 1-day increments until Day 5.

- **3** Click the Advanced tab. Set the Type of scaling to Manual. Enter p 1e10 c 1 in the Manual scaling edit field. Click OK.
- 4 Click the **Solve** button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate the plot in Figure 4-12, follow these steps:

- I Choose Postprocessing>Plot Parameters.
- 2 On the General page, go to the Plot type area and select the Surface, Contour, and Arrow check boxes. In the Solution at time list select 0.3.
- 3 Click the **Surface** tab. In the **Expression** edit field, type Se_esvr, which stands for the effective saturation, Se, calculated with this application mode, named esvr.

- 4 Click the Contour tab. From the Predefined quantities list, select Richards' Equation (esvr)>Pressure head. In the Contour levels area, click the option button associated with Vector with isolevels, then type range(-2,0.1,2) in the associated edit field. In the Contour color area, click first the Uniform color option button and then the Color button. Change the color to black, then click OK. Clear the Color legend check box.
- 5 Click the Arrow tab. From the Predefined quantities list, select Richards' Equation (esvr)>Velocity field. In the Arrow parameters area, click the Color button. Choose black as the color, then click OK. Clear the Auto check box and change the Scale factor to 0.5. Click Apply.

To generate Figure 4-13 on page 277, proceed as follows:

- I Still in the Plot Parameters dialog box, click the General tab.
- 2 In the Solution at time list select I, then click Apply.

To generate the plot in Figure 4-14 and Figure 4-15, continue with these steps:

- I On the General page, clear the Arrow check box and choose 0.3 from the Solution at time list.
- 2 Click the Surface tab. From the Predefined quantities list, select Solute Transport (esst)>Concentration, c.
- Click the Contour tab. From the Predefined quantities list, select
 Solute Transport (esst)>Retardation factor of c. In the Contour levels area, click the
 Number of levels option button and then type 10 in the associated edit field. In the
 Contour color area, click the Color button. Change the color to white, then click OK.
- **4** Click **Apply** to generate the plot in Figure 4-14.
- 5 Return to the General page. In the Solution at time list select I.
- 6 Click **Apply** to generate the plot in Figure 4-15.

Finally, to generate Figure 4-16 on page 280, follow these steps:

- I While still on the General page, select 5 from the Solution at time list.
- 2 Click the Surface tab. From the Predefined quantities list, select Solute Transport (esst)>Retardation factor of c.
- **3** Click the **Contour** tab. In the **Contour color** area, click the **Color** button. Change the color to black, then click **OK**. Click **OK** to generate the plot.

SAVING THE MODEL

This completes the model. In the following sections you add new equations and terms. To save the current model, choose **File>Save As**, enter a file name, and then click **Save**.

Pesticide Transport and Reaction in Soil

Introduction

Aldicarb is a commercial pesticide, used on a variety of crops, including cotton, sugar beet, citrus fruits, potatoes, and beans. The general population may be exposed to aldicarb primarily through the ingestion of contaminated water and foods.

This example looks at the degradation kinetics of aldicarb and its toxic by-products, investigating both the degradation time-scale as well as the spatial concentration distribution of toxic components. In the first model the chemicals are contained in a water pond, treated as a perfectly mixed system. The second model tracks the detailed distribution of chemicals in soil as the pesticide leaches out of the pond and is transported in water through the ground.

The reaction kinetics describing the degradation pathways of aldicarb is exported to the Solute Transport application mode of the Earth Science Module. In COMSOL Multiphysics the solute transport is coupled to fluid flow as described by Richards' equation.

Note: This model requires the COMSOL Reaction Engineering Lab and the Earth Science Module.

Model Definition

Aldicarb degrades by transformation to the corresponding sulfoxide and the sulfone (both of which are toxic), and is detoxified by hydrolysis to oximes and nitriles. The chain of reactions is illustrated in Figure 4-17. The toxicity of a chemical species is indicated by its LD_{50} value, signifying the dose (mg/kg) lethal to half of a test population of rats. As indicated, both the sulfoxide and solfone analogues of aldicarb are also relatively toxic.



Figure 4-17: Reaction pathways of aldicarb degradation.

Each of the j unimolecular reactions outlined above has a rate expression of the form

$$r_j = k_j c_i$$

Note that in this example the concentration unit is kg/m^3 and the rate constants are expressed in 1/day.

PERFECTLY MIXED SYSTEM

The first model solves for the decomposition kinetics of aldicarb occurring in a water pond. The pond is treated as a closed and perfectly mixed system. The reaction mechanism illustrated in Figure 4-17 translates into the following mass balance equations:

• For aldicarb (a)

$$\frac{dc_a}{dt} = -r_1 - r_3$$

• For aldicarb sulfoxide (asx)

$$\frac{dc_{asx}}{dt} = r_1 - r_2 - r_4$$

• For aldicarb sulfone (asn)

$$\frac{dc_{\rm asn}}{dt} = r_2 - r_5$$

• For aldicarb oxime (ao)

$$\frac{dc_{\rm ao}}{dt} = r_3$$

• For aldicarb sulfoxide oxime (asxo)

$$\frac{dc_{asxo}}{dt} = r_4$$

• For aldicarb sulfone oxime (asno)

$$\frac{dc_{asno}}{dt} = r_5$$

Solving this set of coupled ODEs outlined above provides information on the time scale of the degradation processes.

SPACE- AND TIME-DEPENDENT SYSTEM

In a more detailed model, you assume that aldicarb moves from the pond into relatively dry soil. In the soil, the aldicarb decomposes according to the mechanism illustrated in Figure 4-17. In addition, the pesticide and its decay products are transported by advection, dispersion, sorption, and volatilization.

Geometry

Water is ponded by a ring sitting on the ground. The soil is layered and rests on rocks. Water moves through the bottom of the ring into the soil. The water level in the ring is known. There is no flow through the vertical walls or the surface outside of the ring.



Figure 4-18: Geometry of the infiltration ring and soil column.

Aldicarb moves with water from the pond into the soil at a constant concentration. In the soil, the chemicals react and also sorb onto soil particles. Aldicarb and the aldicarb sulfone volatilize to the atmosphere. The sorption, biodegradation, and volatilization proceed in linear proportion to the aqueous concentrations. The soil is initially pristine with zero concentration of the involved chemicals. At the ground surface outside the ring, there is volatilization to the atmosphere for c_a and c_{asn} . The vertical axis is a line of symmetry. The other boundaries are posed such that the solutes can freely leave the soil column with the fluid flow. You can model the problem with 2D axisymmetry and track the solute transport for 10 days.

Fluid Flow

Richards' equation governs the saturated-unsaturated flow of water in soil (Ref. 1) and is implemented in the Richards' equation application mode:

$$[C + \mathrm{Se}S] \frac{\partial H_p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [-K_\mathrm{s}k_\mathrm{r}\nabla(H_p + D)] = Q_\mathrm{s}$$

Here, *C* denotes specific moisture capacity (m^{-1}) , Se is the effective saturation of the soil, *S* is a storage coefficient (m^{-1}) , H_p represents the dependent variable pressure head (m), *t* is time (d), $K = K_s k_r$ equals the hydraulic conductivity function (m/d), *D* is the coordinate representing vertical elevation (for example, *x*, *y*, or *z*; m), and Q_s is a fluid source defined by volumetric flow rate per unit volume of soil (d^{-1}) . In this problem, $S = \theta_s - \theta_r$, where θ_s and θ_r denote the volume fraction of fluid at saturation and after drainage, respectively.

You can find more details on the Richards' Equation application mode in the *Earth* Science Module User's Guide.

Mass Transport

The governing equation for solute transport describes advection and dispersion of a sorbing, volatilizing, and decaying solute in variably saturated soil.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta + \rho_b \frac{\partial c_p}{\partial c} + a_v \frac{\partial c_G}{\partial c} \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \begin{bmatrix} (1 - k_G)c \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \end{bmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -\theta D_{LG} \nabla c + \mathbf{u}c \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$R_L + R_P + R_G + S_c$$
(4-2)

Equation 4-2 is implemented in the Solute Transport application mode. The first bracketed term explains the change in solute mass per volume per time for the liquid-, solid-, and air-phase concentrations. The second term explains the changes in storage because the water content in the soil varies in time. The third bracketed expression represents the overall solute flux due to liquid dispersion, diffusion (liquid and air), and advection with moving water. The right side explains reactions and generalized sources.

Solute spreading now includes mechanical dispersion in water plus molecular diffusion for water and air. These three processes appear in the liquid-gas dispersion tensor, whose entries are

$$\theta D_{LGii} = \alpha_1 \frac{u_i^2}{|\mathbf{u}|} + \alpha_2 \frac{u_j^2}{|\mathbf{u}|} + \theta D_m \tau_L + a_v D_G k_G \tau_G$$
(4-3)

$$\theta D_{LGij} = \theta D_{LGji} = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \frac{u_i u_j}{|\mathbf{u}|}$$
(4-4)

In this equation, D_{LGii} are the principal components of the liquid-gas dispersion tensor; D_{LGij} and D_{LGji} are the cross terms; α is the dispersivity (m) where the subscripts "1" and "2" denote longitudinal and transverse flow, respectively. D_m and D_G (m²/d) are molecular diffusion, while τ_L and τ_G give the tortuosity factors for liquid (water) and gas (air), respectively.

The three solutes—aldicarb, aldicarb sulfoxide, and aldicarb sulfone—have different decay terms, R_{Li} , partition coefficients, k_{Pi} , and volatilization constants, k_{Gi} . All of the solutes attach to soil particles. Two of the solutes volatilize; sulfoxide does not.

Results

First, review the results of the perfectly mixed reactor model, solved in COMSOL Reaction Engineering Lab.

Figure 4-19 shows the concentration profile of aldicarb and all of its decay products. Only small amounts of aldicarb remain after 10 days. Figure 4-20 shows concentration transients of the most toxic species aldicarb, aldicarb sulfoxide, and aldicarb sulfone, as well as the sum of these three components (see Figure 4-17 for LD_{50} values). Considering the summed contributions, contamination levels clearly remain high even after several months.



Figure 4-19: Concentration profiles (kg/m^3) as reactions occur during a 100 day time period.



Figure 4-20: Concentration transients of the most toxic species, aldicarb (c_a) , aldicarb sulfoxide (c_{asx}) , and aldicarb sulfone (c_{asn}) .

Results shown below come from the space and time-dependent model set up and solved in COMSOL Multiphysics.

Figure 4-21 shows the fluid flow in soil after 0.3 days (left) and 1.0 day (right). The plots illustrate the wetting of the soil with time. As indicated by the arrows, the fluid velocities are relatively high beneath the ponded water.



Figure 4-21: The effective saturation (surface plot), pressure head (contours), and flow velocity (arrows) in a variably saturated soil after 0.3 days (left) and 1 day (right).

Figure 4-22 through Figure 4-24 show the concentration distribution of aldicarb and the equally toxic aldicarb sulfoxide, after 1, 5, and 10 days of infiltration. Consistent with the evolving flow field, the main direction of transport is in the vertical direction.



Figure 4-22: Concentration of aldicarb (left) and aldicarb sulfoxide (right) after 1 day.



Figure 4-23: Concentration of aldicarb (left) and aldicarb sulfoxide (right) after 5 days.



Figure 4-24: Concentration of aldicarb (left) and aldicarb sulfoxide (right) after 10 days.

The distribution of aldicarb has clearly reached steady-state conditions after 10 days. This time frame was also predicted by the ideal reactor model. Results also show that the soil contamination is rather local with respect to the aldicarb source. The aldicarb sulfoxide, on the other hand, can be expected to affect a considerably larger soil volume for a significantly longer time.

References

1. J. Bear, J, Hydraulics of Groundwater, McGraw-Hill Inc., 1978.

2. M.Th. van Genuchten, "A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic of conductivity of unsaturated soils," *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, vol. 44, pp. 892–898, 1980.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Solute_Transport/ pesticide_transport

Modeling Using the COMSOL Reaction Engineering Lab

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Start COMSOL Reaction Engineering Lab.
- 2 Click New in the Model Navigator.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

- I Click the Model Settings button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 Select the Liquid from the Reacting fluid list.
- **3** Select the Calculate thermodynamic properties check box.
- 4 Select the Calculate species transport properties check box.
- 5 Click Close.

REACTIONS INTERFACE

- I Click the Reaction Settings button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 Make sure the **Reactions** page is active. Create five entries in the **Reaction selection** list by clicking the **New** button

3 Enter the following reaction formulas by first selecting the appropriate row in the **Reaction selection** list and then entering the corresponding text in the **Formula** edit field.

REACTION ID #	REACTION FORMULA
1	a=>asx
2	asx=>asn
3	a=>ao
4	asx=>asxo
5	asn=>asno

4 Type in the following rate constants by first selecting the appropriate row in the **Reaction selection** list and then entering the corresponding value in the $\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{f}}$ edit field.

REACTION ID #	FORWARD RATE CONSTANT
I	0.36
2	0.024
3	0.2
4	0.01
5	0.0524

SPECIES INTERFACE

- I Click the **Species** tab.
- 2 Select the entry **a** from the **Species selection** list and type 1 in the **c**₀ edit field.
- **3** Go to the **Transport** page.
- 4 Select all entries in the Species selection list by pressing Ctrl+A and clicking the list.
- 5 Click the Specify diffusivity check box and type 0.00374 in the Diffusivity edit field.
- 6 Click Close.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I Click the Solver Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- **2** Go to the **Times** edit field and enter 100. Note that the time unit in this example is days.
- 3 Click OK.
- 4 Compute the solution by clicking the **Solve** button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

The concentration transients of all species are plotted by default as shown in Figure 4-19. Reproduce Figure 4-20 with the following steps:

- I Click the Plot Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 Remove the entries **ao**, **asxo**, and **asno** from the **Quantities to plot** list by selecting them and clicking the < button.
- 3 Type c_a+c_asx+c_asn in the Expression edit field and click the associated > button.
- 4 Click OK.

Now, move on to export the reaction model to COMSOL Multiphysics and solve the time and space-dependent transport and reaction problem.

SPECIES INTERFACE

This model focuses on the concentration of the highly toxic species aldicarb (a), aldicarb sulfoxide (asx), and aldicarb sulfone (asn). Therefore, you can disregard the mass balances for the hydrogenolysis products (ao, asxo, and asno). Before exporting the reaction model you therefore deactivate these species.

- I Click the Reaction Settings button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 Click the Species page.
- **3** Select **ao** from the **Species selection** list and deactivate this species by clearing the check box immediately to the left of the species name.
- **4** In the same way, deactivate the species asxo and asno.
- 5 Click Close.

EXPORT SETTINGS

- I Click the Export to COMSOL Multiphysics button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 Select Axial symmetry (2D) from the Space dimension list, then click OK.
- 3 The Export to COMSOL Multiphysics dialog box appears.
- 4 Go to the Export mass balance area, and in the Application mode list select Solute Transport: New.
- 5 In the Group name edit field type reactions.
- **6** Move to the **Export energy balance** area and clear the check box in the upper left corner.

- **7** Go to the **Export momentum balance** area and clear the check box in the upper left corner.
- 8 Click the **Export** button at the bottom of the dialog box.

Modeling Using COMSOL Multiphysics

Click the COMSOL Multiphysics window.

I Choose Multiphysics>Model Navigator.

Note how the model already contains the application mode **Solute Transport**, created by the export from Reaction Engineering Lab.

- 2 In the list of application modes select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Richards' Equation>Pressure head analysis>Transient analysis.
- **3** Click the **Add** button.
- 4 Click OK.

APPLICATION SCALAR VARIABLES

To set the vertical direction and gravitational constant in the model, go to the **Physics** menu and choose **Scalar Variables**. Make the following modifications to the defaults. Here you convert from seconds to days and square the quantity because you are dealing with acceleration. When done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION		
g_esvr	9.82*86400*86400		
D_esvr	Z		

GEOMETRY MODELING

You create the geometry by drawing two rectangles and adding one line.

I Choose Draw>Specify Objects>Rectangle. Specify the following settings, then click OK.

PARAMETER	EXPRESSION
Width	1.25
Height	1.3
r	0
Z	-1.3

2 Go to the Main toolbar and click the **Zoom Extents** button.

3 Again select **Draw>Specify Objects>Rectangle**. Specify the following settings, then click **OK**.

PARAMETER	EXPRESSION
Width	1.25
Height	0.4
r	0
z	-0.4

4 Choose Draw>Specify Objects>Line. In the r edit field enter 0 0.25, and in the z edit field enter 0 0. Click OK.

PHYSICS—SOLUTE TRANSPORT

- I Choose Multiphysics>Solute Transport (esst).
- 2 Choose Physics>Properties.
- **3** Select Liquid/Solid/Gas from the Material drop down list, then click **OK**. You change the default settings as a three phase system is being modeled.
- 4 Choose **Physics>Subdomain Settings**. In the **Subdomain selection** list simultaneously select Subdomains 1 and 2 by pressing the Ctrl key.
- 5 From the Group list, select reactions.
- 6 Go to the Flow and Media page, enter the following settings.

PROPERTY	VALUE
θ	theta_esvr
θ_{s}	thetas_esvr
u	u_esvr
v	v_esvr

This couples the solute transport to the flow calculated by the Richards' Equation (esvr) application mode.

7 Set the **Time change in liquid volume fraction** to **Time change in pressure head** and enter the following.

PROPERTY	VALUE
С	C_esvr
$\partial H_p/\partial t$	pt

8 Click the Liquid page. In the list of subdomains, simultaneously select 1 and 2 by pressing Ctrl. Make the changes in the following table, and note that you can copy and paste in the edit fields.

TERM	C_A	C_ASX	C_ASN
α_{I}	0.005	0.005	0.005
α2	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001

Note also that the D_{mL} and R_L edit fields have been filled out automatically as a result of the export from COMSOL Reaction Engineering Lab.

9 Click the **Solid** page. Select both Subdomain 1 and 2, then make the following changes:

TERM	C_A	C_ASX	C_ASN
ρ_{b}	1300	1300	1300
K _P	0.0001	0.00005	0.0002

IO Click the **Gas** page, select Subdomains 1 and 2, then make the following changes:

TERM	C_A	C_ASX	C_ASN
k _G	1.33e-7	0	1.33e-3
D _{mG}	0.432	0.432	0.432

II Go to the **Init** page and type 0 in the **c_a(t_0)** edit field.

I2 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions—Solute Transport

I From the Physics menu choose Boundary Settings.

2 Verify that you are on the **c_a** page, then enter the following settings:

BOUNDARY	CONDITION	VARIABL E	VALUE
1, 3	Axial symmetry		
2, 7, 8	Advective flux		
5	Flux	N ₀	1e6*(1-c_a)*(t>=0)
6	No flow boundary volatilization	d	0.005

3	Click the c	asx page.	then	enter	the	following	settings:
-	Onen une •_	men page,		erreer		10110	oeccingo.

BOUNDARY	CONDITION	VARIABLE	VALUE
Ι, 3	Axial symmetry		
6	No flux/Symmetry		
2, 7, 8	Advective flux		
5	Concentration	c_asx ₀	0

4 Click the **c_asn** page, then enter the following settings:

BOUNDARY	CONDITION	VARIABLE	VALUE
Ι, 3	Axial symmetry		
2, 7, 8	Advective flux		
5	Concentration	c_asn ₀	0
6	No flow boundary volatilization	d	0.005

5 Click OK.

PHYSICS-RICHARDS' EQUATION

Subdomain Settings-Richards' Equation

- I Go to the Multiphysics menu and choose Richards' Equation (esvr).
- **2** Choose **Physics>Subdomain Settings** and click the **Coefficients** page. Enter the following settings, then click **Apply**.

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAIN 2
Constitutive relation	van Genuchten	van Genuchten
θ_{s}	0.339	0.399
θ _r	0	0.0001
Storage term	User defined	User defined
S	0.339	0.399
Ks	0.454	0.298
ρ _f	1000	1000

3 Click the van Genuchten page and make the following changes:

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAIN 2
α	1.39	1.74

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAIN 2
n	1.6	1.38
I	0.5	0.5

4 Click the **Init** page. Select both subdomains simultaneously using the Ctrl key, then in the edit field enter this expression:

SETTING	SUBDOMAINS 1, 2
H _P (t ₀)	-(z+1.2)*(z<-0.4)+(-(z+1.2)-0.2*(z+0.4))*(-0.4<=z)

5 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions—Richards' Equation

I From the Physics menu choose Boundary Settings and make these settings:

BOUNDARY	BOUNDARY CONDITION	VARIABLE	EXPRESSION
Ι, 3	Axial symmetry		
6–8	Zero flux/Symmetry		
2	Inward flux	N ₀	-0.454/100
5	Mixed	R _b	1*(t>=0)
5		H _{pb}	0.01*(t>=0)
5		Db	D_esvr*(t>=0)

2 Click OK.

MESH GENERATION

- I Choose Mesh>Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 Click the **Boundary** page. Select the upper surface and the break between the two soil layers (Boundaries 4–6). In the **Maximum element size** edit field enter 0.02.
- 3 Click OK.
- 4 Click the Initialize Mesh button on the Main toolbar.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

This model has many degrees of freedom and is also nonlinear. It requires roughly an hour to solve on a fast computer.

- I Choose Solve>Solver Parameters.
- 2 In the Solver list choose Time dependent if it is not already selected.

- 3 In the Times edit field enter -0.1 0 10^(range(-3,0.1,-1)) range(0.2,0.1,1) range(2,10). After the first two time steps -0.1 day and 0, this gives you 31 exponentially increasing inputs from 0.001 to 0.1 day; then 0.1-day increments through Day 1; and finally 1-day increments until Day 10.
- 4 Click the Advanced page.
- 5 Change the Type of scaling to Manual.
- 6 Type p 1e10 c_a 1 c_asx 1 c_asn 1 in the Manual scaling edit field.
- 7 Click OK.
- 8 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To plot the flow solution in Figure 4-21, follow these steps.

- I Choose Postprocessing>Plot Parameters.
- 2 On the General page go to the Plot type area and select the Surface, Contour, and Arrow check boxes.
- **3** From the **Solution at time** list choose **0.3**.
- 4 Click the Surface page, and in the Expression edit field enter Se_esvr.
- 5 Click the Contour page, and in the Predefined quantities list choose Richards' Equation (esvr)>Pressure head.
- **6** In the **Contour color** area, click the **Uniform color** option button. Click the **Color** button, change the color to white, and click **OK**.
- 7 Click Apply.
- 8 Click the Arrow page.
- 9 From the list of Predefined quantities select Richards' Equation (esvr)>Velocity field.
- 10 Click the Color button, choose white as the desired color, then click OK.
- II Clear the Auto check box, and in the Scale factor edit field enter 0.5.
- **12** Click **Apply**.
- **13** To generate the solution after 1 day, go to the **General** page and select 1 from the **Solution at time** list, and then click **OK**.

To generate the plots in Figure 4-22 through Figure 4-24, continue with these steps:

- I Select the menu item Postprocessing>Plot Parameters.
- 2 On the General page, clear the Arrow and Contour check boxes.
- 3 Click the Surface page, then type c_a in the Expression edit field.

4 Click Apply.

- **5** To generate the solution after 5 days and 10 days, go to the **General** page and select the corresponding entries from the **Solution at time** list, and click **Apply**.
- 6 To generate plots for the aldicarb sulfoxide concentrations, click the Surface page, type c_asx in the Expression edit field, then click Apply.

Aquifer Water Table Calculation

Introduction

This model demonstrates COMSOL Multiphysics applied to a benchmark case of steady-state fluid flow and transient solute transport through a vertical cross section in an unconfined aquifer. The model estimates the height of the water table, the upper surface of the unconfined aquifer. Because of the profound geologic heterogeneity, the solute moves within a highly irregular flow field and undergoes strong anisotropic dispersion. Van der Heijde (Ref. 1) classifies this case as "Level 2" with enough potentially difficult parameter combinations to test a code's ability to tackle realistic hydrologic situations. Sudicky (Ref. 2) developed this problem to demonstrate a Laplace transform Galerkin code. This problem subsequently has been used to evaluate other flow and transport models including MT3DMS by Zheng and Wang (Ref. 3).

This model makes use of several useful COMSOL Multiphysics features:

- · Multiphysics coupling between fluid flow and solute transport
- ALE moving mesh application to calculate the initial water-table location
- An upper boundary, the water table, with time-dependent solute source
- Incorporation of subsurface geological heterogeneity
- · Arbitrary expressions to set up the model and postprocess the results

Model Definition

Figure 4-25a illustrates the hydrologic setting (Ref. 2) for groundwater flow at steady state. The aquifer is composed largely of fine-grained silty sand of hydraulic conductivity $K_1 = 5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ cm/s (equivalent to $K_1 = 5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ m/s) with lenses of relatively coarse material of hydraulic conductivity $K_2 = 1 \cdot 10^{-2}$ cm/s (equivalent to $K_2 = 1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ m/s). Groundwater generally moves from the upper surface of the saturated zone, the water table, to the outlet at x = 250 m. The water table is a free surface: the exact location is unknown when you set up the model. Instead you solve for it knowing that the fluid pressure equals zero on the water table, and it receives recharge, R, of 10 cm/yr (equivalent to $3.215 \cdot 10^{-9}$ m/s). The groundwater divide, a line of symmetry, occurs at x = 0. The base of the aquifer is impermeable.

Figure 4-25 (b) shows conditions related to solute transport. The aquifer initially is pristine, so concentrations equal zero. For the first five years, a contaminant with

relative concentration of 1.0 enters over the interval 40 m < x < 80 m of the water table. The solute source is removed in Year 5, so the concentration along this segment drops to zero. The contaminant migrates by advection and dispersion in the aquifer. The porosity, denoted by *n*, is 0.35; the longitudinal dispersivity, α_L , and transverse vertical dispersivity, α_T , are 0.5 m and 0.005 m, respectively; and the effective molecular diffusion coefficient, D_m , is 1.34.10⁻⁵ cm²/s (equivalent to 1.34.10⁻⁹ m²/s).



Figure 4-25: Problem definition for the flow field problem (a) and the transport of solute (b).

FLUID FLOW: DOMAIN EQUATIONS AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The governing equations for fluid flow and solute transport are standard application modes in the Earth Sciences Module. You include the moving mesh capabilities in COMSOL Multiphysics to calculate the exact initial water-table profile.

Steady groundwater flow is generally expressed by a conservation equation built with Darcy's law for velocity (Ref. 4 and Ref. 5):

$\nabla \cdot (K \nabla H) + R = 0$

where K is hydraulic conductivity; R the volumetric rate of recharge to water table per unit volume of aquifer, and the dependent variable h is total hydraulic head. Total hydraulic head, the driving force for flow, is

$$H = H_p + y \tag{4-5}$$

where H_p is the pressure head; and y the elevation. With the base of the model at y = 0, the total hydraulic head H at any point in the aquifer equals the height of the water column H_p above it plus the point's elevation y along the vertical axis.

The equation for groundwater flow and solute transport link through the Darcy velocity, **u**:

$$\mathbf{u} = -K\nabla H \tag{4-6}$$

The velocity vector \mathbf{u} consists of x- and y-directed components denoted u and v.

Figure 4-25 (a) gives the boundary conditions for the groundwater flow problem. A zero flux Neumann condition corresponds to both the symmetry boundary at x = 0 m and the impermeable boundary at y = 0 m:

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot K \nabla H = 0$$

The hydraulic head at x = 250 m is the simple Dirichlet condition

$$H(x,t) = H_0$$

At the water table the recharge is R; the pressure head H_p is zero since there is no water above it; still its height y is unknown. We first use a Neumann boundary to model the known recharge

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot K \nabla H = R$$

Next we implicitly specify the pressure head H_p at the water table to zero and explicitly determine its height y using the ALE Moving Mesh application mode. Without moving mesh, the water table is a geometric boundary determined by trial-and-error iterations through adjusting the height and shape of the model geometry until the elevation shown on the geometry matches the hydraulic head calculated by the Darcy flow equation because H–y=0 at the water table.

With moving mesh, you calculate the water table height automatically by setting mesh deformations to H–y=0, which gives the "pressure head = 0" contour solution from

Darcy's law for the flow. The model starts with an arbitrary water-table geometry (a straight line). The moving mesh deforms it until the shape conforms to the zero pressure head contour. For transient analyses, you use the same tactic to track changes in level of the water table as rainfall rates change over time.

SOLUTE TRANSPORT: DOMAIN EQUATIONS, BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AND INITIAL CONDITIONS

Solute transport typically is time-dependent for geologic problems and is described with the advection-dispersion equation (Ref. 4 and Ref. 5):

$$\theta \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-\theta D \nabla C + \mathbf{u}C) = 0$$

where θ is the porosity (m^3/m^3) ; *D* is the hydrodynamic dispersion tensor (m^2/s) ; *C* is the dissolved concentration (kg/m^3) ; and *t* is time (s).

The dispersion represents solute spreading by mechanical mixing and molecular diffusion. The Solute Transport application mode automates the equations for the automated tensor entries with

$$\begin{split} \theta D_{ii} &= \alpha_L \frac{u_i^2}{|\mathbf{u}|} + \alpha_T \frac{u_j^2}{|\mathbf{u}|} + D^* \\ \theta D_{ij} &= \theta D_{ji} = (\alpha_L - \alpha_T) \frac{u_i u_j}{|\mathbf{u}|} + D^* \end{split}$$

where θD_{ii} are the principal components of the automated dispersion tensor built on the Darcy velocity (m^2/s) ; θD_{ij} and θD_{ji} are the cross terms of the dispersion tensor (m^2/s) ; the subscript "L" denotes longitudinal dispersivity (m); the subscript "T" denotes transverse dispersivity (m); $|\mathbf{u}| = \sqrt{u_i^2 + u_j^2}$ is the magnitude of the Darcy velocity vector (m/s); and D^* represents effective molecular diffusion, D_m , in saturated porous media (m^2/s) . Note that $D^* < D_m << D_{ii}$ and typically is neglected.

Now examine the boundary and initial conditions for solute transport in Figure 4-25 (b). Neumann conditions are used at the water table, where C(x, h, t) = 0, except for the segment 40 < x < 80 m. Here the solute flux is

$$-\mathbf{n} \cdot (-\theta D\nabla C + \mathbf{u}C) = \begin{cases} C_0 R, & 0 < t \le 5 \text{ years} \\ 0, & t > 5 \text{ years} \end{cases}$$

where the relative concentration C_0 is 1.0 through Year 5. After the solute source is removed, and the flux along this segment drops to zero. You switch the source on and off using logical expressions with COMSOL Multiphysics boundary settings.

The Dirichlet condition at the left boundary is

$$C(0, y, t) = 0.$$

A Neumann condition describes the zero flux boundaries

$$-\mathbf{n} \cdot (-\theta D\nabla C + \mathbf{u}C) = 0$$

At the exit, use the advective flux condition. The advective flux boundary is a type of "free" statement because you can avoid specifying the concentration and the advective or dominant component of the flux. It simply sets the dispersive or negligible component of the flux to zero.

$$-\mathbf{n} \cdot (-\theta D \nabla C) = 0$$

Finally, the initial condition specifies that the aquifer is pristine, when

$$C(x, y, 0) = 0$$

Results and Discussion

FLUID FLOW AND WATER TABLE CALCULATION

Figure 4-26 provides hydraulic heads and velocity streamlines estimated with the COMSOL Multiphysics steady-state groundwater flow simulation. The solution corresponds nicely to the benchmark results provided by Sudicky (Ref. 2). The slight differences between the two plots result from meshing: in the COMSOL example the mesh size varies naturally with the geometry.

The flow calculation depends on the geometry of the flow domain—in other words, on the water table's height. You establish an equilibrium starting location for the water table automatically with the ALE application modes. For this case all of the flow boundaries are constants—the flow stays at equilibrium and does not change over time, so the water table does not move once its shape is determined. If the flow situation were to change (more or less rainfall) then you could calculate the new level of the water table (deforming the flow domain), starting with the initial location determined here.

The water-table calculation is straightforward: Specify an initial guess for the location for the water table. You see the starting point in Figure 2—a straight line running from x = 0 m to x = 250 m. Mesh the flow geometry based on the rough initial water table design. Link the (stationary) Darcy flow equation to the ALE moving mesh equations such that the top mesh boundary moves to the pressure head = 0 contour, and solve these two equations simultaneously. Finally, if the flow is actually transient (although it is not in this example problem), use the solution shown here as the initial condition for a subsequent transient flow and ALE problem. Barring numerical difficulties from large mesh deformations, the subsequent water table rise or fall conforms to changes in boundary fluxes from differing rainfall rates over time.

The water table geometry determined with COMSOL (see Figure 4-26) matches the benchmark geometry shown in Ref. 2.



Figure 4-26: COMSOL Multiphysics estimates of hydraulic head and flow lines. The solution reproduces the results of Ref. 3.

SOLUTE TRANSPORT

Solute transport solutions from the model are almost identical to those presented in Ref. 2. At t = 0 a pollutant enters the aquifer through part of the water table surface. You simulate the transport of this chemical by both diffusion and advection in the steady-state flow field over the course of 20 years.

The contours for three times shown in Figure 4-27 reveal how the solute plume travels through the aquifer. The COMSOL simulation results agree well with the benchmark studies. The minor variations between the results from COMSOL and the benchmark study arise from different mesh densities. In 1989, Sudicky concluded that the Ref. 2 results are relatively free of numerical dispersion because the low concentration contours closely follow the flow pattern. The COMSOL results also display this property, as even the lowest concentrations in Figure 4-27 follow the irregular flow lines shown in Figure 4-26.



Figure 4-27: Plume concentrations calculated with COMSOL Multiphysics at three times: t = 8 years (a), t = 12 years (b), and t = 20 years (c).

The results from this model show that COMSOL Multiphysics is an effective tool for simulating fluid flow and solute transport in the heterogeneous porous media and highly irregular flow fields common to field-scale applications. The Moving Mesh (ALE) application mode proves to be highly efficient at calculating the water table boundary even for a steady state flow field focused upon here.

References

1. P.K.M. van der Heijde, "Model Testing: A Functionality Analysis, Performance Evaluation, and Applicability Assessment Protocol," *Groundwater Models for Resources Analysis and Management*, A.I. El-Kadi (ed.), CRC Press, 1995, pp. 39– 58.

2. E.A. Sudicky, "The Laplace transform Galerkin technique: application to mass transport in groundwater," *Water Resource. Res.*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 1833–1846, 1989.

3. C. Zheng and P. Wang, *MT3DMS: A Modular Three-Dimensional Multispecies Transport Model for Simulation of Advection, Dispersion and Chemical Reactions of Contaminants in Groundwater Systems*, University of Alabama, 1998, p. 239. 4. J.J. Bear, *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media*, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., 1972, p. 764.

5. J.J. Bear, Hydraulics of Groundwater, McGraw-Hill, 1979, p. 210.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Solute_Transport/ aquifer_water_table

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

- I Start COMSOL Multiphysics.
- 2 In the Model Navigator click the Multiphysics button. Select 2D from the Space dimension list.
- 3 In the list of application modes select COMSOL Multiphysics>Deformed Mesh>Moving Mesh (ALE)>Static analysis. Click Add.
- 4 Select the application mode Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Hydraulic head analysis>Steady-state analysis. Click Add. The software solves for pressure p as the dependent variable and computes the hydraulic head (the variable H_esd1) based on the solution. All calculated terms get the suffix esd1, which is the application name for Darcy's Law from the Earth Science module (unless you change it in the Model Navigator).
- 5 Select the application mode Earth Science Module>Solute Transport>Saturated Porous Media>Transient analysis. Notice in the lower left of the dialog box that the default dependent variable name is c and that the application mode name is esst.
- 6 Click Add. You now have Moving Mesh (ALE) (ale), Darcy's Law (esdl), and Solute Transport (esst) in the Frame (ale) folder under Geom I in the upper right of the dialog box.
- 7 Before clicking OK, select Solute Transport (esst) in the Frame (ale) folder, then click the Application Mode Properties button. Change the Material to Liquid, then click OK to close the Application Mode Properties dialog box.
- 8 Change the **Ruling application mode** to **Solute Transport (esst)**; this step is not critical, it only ensures that the following steps match what is on your screen—particularly for the solver settings.
- 9 Click OK.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

- I From the **Options** menu, choose **Constants**.
- **2** Define the following constants by entering their names, expressions, and (optionally) descriptions; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
R	3.215e-9[m/s]	Flux from rainfall
H_in	5.3486[m]	Hydraulic head at outflow boundary
K1	5e-6[m/s]	Hydraulic conductivity
К2	100e-6	Hydraulic conductivity of lenses
C_in	1[kg/m^3]	Surface concentration
n	0.35	Pore volume fraction
alpha_L	0.5[m]	Longitudinal dispersivity
alpha_T	5[mm]	Transverse dispersivity
D_m	1.34e-9[m^2/s]	Molecular diffusion coefficient
penalty	100	Weighting factor

GEOMETRY MODELING

Here you create an approximation of the flow domain by first creating a trapezoidal region in which the top line is a rough estimate of the water table (which you later refine by coupling a moving mesh to the actual flow-pressure result). Then you add rectangular regions that represent different materials in the subsurface strata that influence the flow field.

- I Because the flow domain is much wider than it is high, double-click the **EQUAL** button on the status bar below the drawing area to turn off the default settings that restricts the scales on the *x*-axis and *y*-axis to be equal.
- 2 Shift-click the Line button on the Draw toolbar.
- PROPERTY
 VALUE/EXPRESSION

 X
 0
 250
 250
 0

 Y
 0
 0
 5.35
 6.645
 0

 Style
 Closed polyline (solid)
- 3 In the Line dialog box that opens, enter the following data:

4 Click **OK** to create a 4-sided trapezoid with vertical walls, a sloping top (the estimate to the water table), and a horizontal bottom.
- **5** Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar to make full use of the drawing area.
- 6 Shift-click the **Rectangle/Square** button on the Draw toolbar.
- 7 Define a rectangle of Width 120, Height 2, and a Base specified by the (lower-left)Corner at X equal to 0 and Y equal to 2. Click OK to create the rectangle.
- 8 Shift-click the Rectangle/Square button again.
- 9 Define a second rectangle of Width 70, Height 2, and a Base specified by the Corner, at X equal to 180 and Y equal to 2. Click OK to create the rectangle.

The geometry in the drawing area should now look like that in Figure 4-28.



Figure 4-28: Geometry with the upper edge as the water table estimate.

PHYSICS SETTINGS

Subdomain Settings—Darcy's Law

I Double-click Darcy's Law (esdl)>Subdomain Settings in the Model Tree to open the Subdomain Settings dialog box for the Darcy's Law application mode. Alternatively, first select the menu item Multiphysics>2 Darcy's Law (esdl) and then Physics>Subdomain Settings.

2 Enter material properties according to the following table (leave all other settings at their default values):

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAIN I	SUBDOMAINS 2, 3
Ks	K1	К2

3 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions—Darcy's Law

- I Double-click Darcy's Law (esdl)>Boundary Settings in the Model Tree or choose Physics>Boundary Settings.
- 2 Enter boundary conditions according to the following table; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARIES 1-3, 5	BOUNDARY 7	BOUNDARIES 12-14
Boundary condition	Zero flux/Symmetry	Inward flux	Hydraulic head
H ₀			H_in
N ₀		R	

Subdomain Settings—Solute Transport

- I Open the Solute Transport (esst)>Subdomain Settings dialog box by double-clicking in the Model Tree.
- 2 On the Flow and Media page, enter the following material properties:

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAINS 1-3
θ_{s}	n
u	u_esdl
v	v_esdl

By setting the solute velocity components to u_esdl and v_esdl, you link the advective term in this equation to the flow result governed by the Darcy flow equations.

3 On the **Solute** page, enter the following material properties:

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAINS 1-3
αι	alpha_L
α2	alpha_T
D _{mL}	D_m

4 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions-Solute Transport

I Choose Physics>Boundary Settings.

2 Enter boundary conditions according to the following table:

SETTINGS	BOUNDARIES 1, 3, 5	BOUNDARY 2	BOUNDARY 7	BOUNDARIES 12-14
Boundary condition	Concentration	No flux/ Symmetry	Flux	Advective flux
c ₀	0			
N ₀			See the next step	

- **3** The inward flux value to enter on the top boundary (Boundary 7) is
- $C_in*R*(40<=x)*(x<=80)*(t<=5[year])$. This is a Boolean expression that deposits a flux of C_in*R along the top surface from x = 40 m to 80 m and from t = 0 until 5 years. Here you use COMSOL Multiphysics' unit syntax to enter the time as 5[year], which is automatically converted to the corresponding value in seconds, the SI time unit.

4 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions—Moving Mesh (ALE)

Here you define how the deformable mesh can move. To do so, set all the exterior boundaries except the top one so they do not move. You allow the top boundary to move, but constrain it to move to the p = 0 pressure contour line calculated by the Darcy flow equations. This sets the level of the water table properly and defines the extent of the flow domain.

- I From the Multiphysics menu, choose I Moving Mesh (ALE).
- 2 Choose Physics>Boundary Settings.
- 3 Select the Interior boundaries check box to enable you to constrain mesh movement on the internal rectangular regions. Select all boundaries except Boundary 7 (Boundaries 1–6 and 8–14), then select both the dx and dy check boxes to constrain the mesh displacement to zero in both directions.
- 4 Select the top boundary (Boundary 7) and select only the dy check box. In the Mesh displacement, y-direction edit field, type (H_esdl-y)*penalty. This links the mesh displacement to the water table by imposing that the total hydraulic head equal the elevation, because H_esdl-y=0 is the zero pressure contour line. Leave the dx check box cleared.
- 5 Click OK.

Subdomain Settings—Moving Mesh (ALE)

- I In the Model Tree, double-click Moving Mesh (ALE) (ale)>Subdomain Settings.
- 2 Select all three subdomains and make sure that the **Free displacement** option button is selected. Click **OK**.

CREATE INITIAL MESH

- I From the Mesh menu, choose Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 On the Global page, click the Custom mesh size button.
- **3** Set the **Resolution of narrow regions** to **4**. This number specifies the minimum number of mesh layers in narrow regions of the model geometry.
- 4 Click the Remesh button. You should get roughly 7590 elements.

These elements are likely to look very distorted. Remember that you have turned off the axis equal setting, so the geometry is visually distorted. You can see the actual (nonvisually distorted) mesh by returning to the default axis equal setting.

- 5 Double-click the (now grayed out) EQUAL button on the status bar below the drawing area. The geometry you see now is the nonvisually distorted geometry and mesh.
- **6** Again double-click the **EQUAL** button on the status bar to turn axis equal off, then click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar.

SOLVE FOR THE FLOW AND WATER TABLE LOCATION

This step establishes the initial flow and water table positioning. It is a stationary (not transient) solution that you must do even if the ultimate goal is to solve a transient-flow problem. This step adjusts the water-table profile from the initial estimate to the correct one based on the initial flow state. For this problem, the rainfall rate is constant, so the results of this step are the final results for the flow.

- I Choose Solve>Solver Manager.
- 2 On the Solve For page, select the Moving Mesh (ALE) folder (thus highlighting and selecting all its variables). Hold down the Ctrl key and additionally select the Darcy's Law folder (and thus its variable *p*). Do not select the Solute Transport folder and its variable, *c*.
- 3 Click **OK** to apply these selections and close the **Solver Manager** dialog box.
- 4 Choose Solve>Solver Parameters.

- 5 Change the solver to Stationary.
- 6 Click OK.
- 7 Click the Solve button (=) on the Main toolbar. You should see the following plot (which as a default shows the *x*-direction deflection of the mesh):



Figure 4-29: Solution showing the x-displacement. It can be clearly seen that the upper edge has been deformed into the correct water table. The initial guess is shown as a black solid line.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION—FLOW AND WATER TABLE

- I From the Postprocessing menu choose Plot Parameters.
- 2 In the Plot Parameters dialog box, click the Surface page.
- **3** On the Surface Data page, select Darcy's Law (esdl)>Velocity field from the Predefined quantities list.
- 4 Click the **Streamline** tab.
- 5 Select the Streamline plot check box in the upper-left corner.
- 6 In the Streamline data area select Darcy's Law (esdl)>Velocity field from the Predefined quantities list.

7 Click the **Specify start point coordinates** button and enter the following values:

x range(0,20,250) y 5

8 Click the Advanced button and type 40000 in the Maximum number of integration steps edit field.



Figure 4-30: The velocity field in the domain. The velocity is larger in the right lens than in the rest of the domain.

9 Click OK twice.

Remember that you deformed the mesh to make the top surface correspond to where the hydraulic head is equal to the vertical dimension (H - y = 0). You can see that this has occurred by plotting contour lines of equal H - y (corresponding to zero pressure).

- I Open the Plot Parameters dialog box.
- 2 On the Surface page, clear the Color legend check box.
- **3** On the **Contour** page, select the **Contour plot** check box.
- 4 In the Expression edit field, type H_esdl-y.

5 In the **Contour levels** area, click the **Vector with isolevels** option button and type range(0,0.2,1) in the edit field. This setup plots six lines starting with the line H - y = 0, which should correspond to the top surface of the deformed flow regime.



6 Click OK.



SOLVE THE TRANSIENT SOLUTE-TRANSPORT PROBLEM

With the water table position properly calculated and the steady-state flow pattern established, you can compute the transient solute-transport result. Here you are simulating the response over 20 years to a chemical that seeps into part of the top boundary for 5 years. The concentrate plume both diffuses by molecular diffusion and is advected by the slow subsurface flow calculated by the Darcy flow equation.

- I Choose Solve>Solver Manager.
- **2** On the **Solve For** page, select only the **Solute Transport** folder and its variable, *c*. Do not select any other folders or variables.

3 Click Apply, then click the Initial Value tab.

It is very important to use the current solution for the mesh and flow when solving the solute-transport problem. If you are not careful, the solver could reset the mesh deflection and flow back to its initial condition of not deformed and zero flow. You can either state that you want to use the current solution or, better yet (in case you make a mistake later on), store the current solution and then use it as the initial value for the solute-transport calculation. This choice stores the deformed mesh and its calculated water-table position as well as the subsurface flow.

- 4 Click the Store Solution button near the bottom-left of the dialog box.
- 5 In the **Initial value** area (the top section), click the **Stored solution** option button.
- **6** In the Value of variables not solved for and linearization point area (the bottom section), click the Stored solution option button.
- 7 Click **OK** to apply these settings and close the **Solver Manager** dialog box.
- 8 Choose Solve>Solver Parameters.
- 9 From the Solver list, select Time dependent.
- 10 In the Times edit field, type 365*86400*range(0,20). This instructs the software to solve the problem from Year 0 to Year 20 in 1-year increments. Note that you must translate the times to seconds—the time unit in this simulation.
- II Select OK.
- 12 Click the Solve button (=) on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION—FLOW AND WATER TABLE

- I From the Postprocessing menu, choose Plot Parameters.
- 2 In the Plot Parameters dialog box, click the Surface tab. Select Solute Transport (esst)>Concentration, c from the Predefined quantities list.

3 Select the Color legend check box, then click Apply.



4 On the **General** page, select other times by choosing different entries in the **Solution** at time list. In Figure 4-32 on page 324 you see a sequence of 1, 5, 10, 15, and 20 years.

Note that each time you plot a surface plot for an individual time, the range on the color bar adjusts to the current maximum. Thus the visual look of continued of high concentration conveyed by the red area can be misleading. Rescaling does not occur when you create animations. You can also set the color range manually.



Figure 4-32: The concentration in the domain at different times. Note that the color range is rescaled at each time.

ANIMATING THE RESULTS

Before performing the next step it is a good idea to save your problem.

- I Select File>Save to save your problem to a convenient location that you can find again.
- 2 Click the Animate button on the Plot toolbar.

The movie generator creates one frame at a time until complete and then run the animation for one cycle. Pick the play button again to repeat it, or move the slider by hand with the mouse. Notice that the range of the color bar does not change as it did when choosing a separate time step.

Changing Time Units to Years

Most geologic processes occur over years rather than seconds. Nonetheless, many material properties are specified in standard SI units, which include seconds for time. COMSOL Multiphysics includes scaling terms in the equations that allow you to

change from seconds to years without changing the materials. Here is an outline of the steps needed to change the current problem to simulate using years as the time unit.

- I Double-click **Constants** in the **Model Tree**.
- 2 Define a constant with the Name ScaleTime defined by the Expression 1[s/year]. Press Enter and note that the number of years per seconds appears in the Value column.
- 3 Click OK.
- 4 From the Model Tree, choose Solute Transport (esst)>Subdomain Settings.
- 5 Select all three subdomains. On the Flow and Media page, change δ_{ts1} from 1 to ScaleTime. Click OK.
- 6 From the Model Tree, choose Solute Transport (esst)>Boundary Settings.
- 7 Select Boundary 7, then set the **Inward flux** to C_in*R*(40<=x)*(x<=80)*(t<=5).
- 8 Click OK.
- 9 In the Solver Parameters dialog box, change the Times to range(0,20).
- IO Click OK to close the Solver Parameters dialog box.
- II Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar to re-solve the problem.

Heat Transfer Models

The models in this chapter demonstrate phase change, buoyancy flow, and free convection in porous media.

Buoyancy Flow in Free Fluids

Introduction

This example follows the benchmark buoyancy flow posed by de Vahl Davis (Ref. 1) for free fluids. Buoyancy flow of free fluids is very important in Earth sciences with temperature and concentration affecting density in moving fluids, for example, in pipes, along shorelines, and within lakes. Here the buoyancy flow results from density that varies with a temperature change. The COMSOL Multiphysics results match those from the published study (Ref. 1). The model was provided by John Kamel of the University of Notre Dame.

This example repeatedly solves a problem of buoyant flow in a square cavity. It thereby analyzes different temperature distributions and convective flow patterns from variations in, for example, fluid properties, cavity size, and temperature drops. The iterative process is tuned for a fast, efficient solution using nondimensional parameters and a Boussinesq term for the buoyant drive with the Incompressible Navier-Stokes and the Convection and Conduction application modes. Another method to solve for the buoyant flow of free fluids in COMSOL Multiphysics using these application modes is simply to trigger the non-isothermal flow option, which enables modeling with a fully compressible Navier-Stokes flow law.



Figure 5-1: Domain geometry and boundary conditions for the heat balance in this example of buoyant flow in free fluids.

Model Definition

The previous figure illustrates the model geometry. The fluid fills a square cavity with impermeable walls so the fluid flows freely within it but does not exit from it. The right and left edges of the cavity are, respectively, the high and low temperature sources. The upper and lower boundaries are insulated. The temperature differential produces the density variation that drives the buoyant flow.

The flow and temperature application modes in this model are 2-way coupled. The Boussinesq term is a force defined on temperatures. The fluid velocities transport heat.

It is possible to formulate the Navier-Stokes equations with a Boussinesq buoyancy term on the right-hand side to account for the lifting force due to thermal expansion:

$$\rho(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \eta(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^{T}) + \rho_{0}\mathbf{g}\beta(T - T_{0})$$
(5-1)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \tag{5-2}$$

In these expressions, the dependent variables for flow are \mathbf{u} , a vector of directional velocities, and pressure, p. T represents temperature, T_0 is a reference temperature, \mathbf{g} denotes gravity acceleration, ρ_0 gives the reference density, and β equals the thermal expansion coefficient.

The heat balance comes from the conduction-convection equation

$$C_L \mathbf{u} \nabla \cdot T - \nabla \cdot (K_{eq} \nabla T) = 0$$
(5-3)

where K_{eq} denotes the thermal conductivity, and C_L is the volumetric heat capacity of the fluid (where $C_L = \rho_f C_p$, and C_p is the specific heat capacity).

For the Navier-Stokes equations, impermeable, no-slip boundary conditions apply. The no-slip condition results in zero velocity at the wall, with pressure within the domain remaining undefined. Because the lack of information about p makes it difficult to achieve convergence, you arbitrarily fix the pressure at a point.

The boundary conditions for the Convection and Conduction application mode are the fixed high and low temperatures on the vertical walls, with insulation conditions elsewhere, as shown in Figure 5-1.

Implementation: Nondimensional Solutions with Iterative Solver

The model addresses a wide range of cavity sizes, fluid properties, and temperature drops using material properties set up with nondimensional Raleigh and Prandtl

numbers. The Raleigh number, $\text{Ra} = (g\beta TL^3)/(\eta\rho^{-1}K_{\text{eq}}C_L^{-1})$, gives the ratio of buoyant to viscous forces. Here *L* is the length of a side wall. The Prandtl number, $\text{Pr} = (\eta\rho^{-1})/(K_{\text{eq}}C_L^{-1})$, gives the ratio of kinematic viscosity to thermal diffusivity. Setting $\rho = (\text{Ra}\cdot\text{Pr})^{0.5}$, $C_p = \text{Pr}$, and $\eta = K_{\text{eq}} = 1$ produces nondimensional *p*, **u**, and *T*. The dimensional counterpart to the solution comes from the equations

$$x_{i}' = Lx_{i},$$
 $t' = \frac{L}{U}t,$ $u_{i}' = \frac{u_{i}}{U},$ $p' = \frac{p}{\rho_{0}U^{2}},$ $T' = \frac{T-T_{h}}{T_{c}-T_{h}}$

where ' denotes dimensional quantities, and U is the magnitude of the velocity vector **u**.

As Ra increases, viscous forces decrease in importance. You can examine a wide range of scenarios using the parametric solver and sequencing through different values of Ra but keeping Pr constant. At high Ra values, starting with a good initial condition and a well-tuned mesh becomes increasingly important. Because the parametric solver uses the previous solution as the initial condition for the next one, it fulfills the first of these two requirements. Then getting a well-tuned mesh is straightforward: simply set up the mesh for the most difficult problem to solve—the one with the highest value of Ra. To that end, the element size near the prescribed temperature boundaries corresponds to the thickness of the boundary layer when Ra = 10^6 .

Results and Discussion

As noted earlier, this COMSOL Multiphysics example reproduces a benchmark buoyant flow problem reported in Ref. 1. The composite images in Figure 5-2 summarize temperatures (surface), velocity fields (arrows), and *x*-velocities (contours) for four **Ra** values. With the given definitions for the dimensionless variable, it follows that as **Ra** increases so does temperature. The results in the figure indicate how the vigor and complexity of the convection increase at higher values of **Ra**, as expected.These results are virtually identical to the benchmark solution except the COMSOL Multiphysics plots provide higher resolution than the images in the original publication.



Figure 5-2: Dimensionless solution for buoyancy flow in a fluid-filled cavity at increasing Raleigh number: Temperature (surface plot), velocity field (arrows), and x-velocity (contours). These results from the COMSOL Multiphysics simulation are in excellent agreement with the results published in Ref. 1.

The COMSOL Multiphysics model described here represents the buoyant drive with a Boussinesq term. As an alternative, you could use the automated non-isothermal flow options provided with the Navier-Stokes application mode. Using the Boussinesq approach, however, demonstrates a well-established method for reducing computational effort while still representing buoyant flow.

References

1. G. de Vahl Davis and I.P. Jones, "Natural convection in a square cavity: A comparison exercise," *Int. J. Num. Meth. in Fluids*, vol. 3, pp. 227–248, 1983.

2. G. de Vahl Davis, "Natural convection of air in a square cavity: A bench mark numerical solution," *Int. J. Num. Meth. in Fluids*, vol. 3, pp. 249–264, 1983.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Heat_Transfer/buoyancy_free

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator.
- 2 Click the Multiphysics button, and in the Space dimension list select 2D.
- 3 In the list of application modes select Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Incompressible Navier-Stokes. Click Add.
- 4 In the list of application modes select Earth Science Module>Heat Transfer>Convection and Conduction. Click Add.
- 5 Click the Application Mode Properties button. In the Material list choose Mobile fluid. Click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

- I Shift-click the **Rectangle/Square (Centered)** button on the Draw toolbar on the left side of the user interface.
- **2** Accept the default settings in the dialog box to create a square with corners at (0, 0) and (1, 1); when done, click **OK**.
- **3** Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar to center the square within the viewing window.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

Model Settings Because this is a nondimensional model, use no unit system:

I From the Physics menu, choose Model Settings.

2 From the Base unit system list, select None, then click OK.

Constants

I From the **Options** menu, choose **Constants**.

2 Enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions; when done, click OK.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
Ra	1e6	Raleigh number
Pr	0.71	Prandtl number
Th	1	High temperature
Тс	0	Low temperature

PHYSICS SETTINGS—FLOW

From the Multiphysics menu, select Incompressible Navier-Stokes (chns).

Subdomain Settings

- I From the **Physics** menu, choose **Subdomain Settings**.
- **2** Select Subdomain 1, then enter the following settings:

Subdomain Settings - Incomp	ressible Navier-Stokes (chns)	X		
Equations				
$\rho(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot [-p\mathbf{I} + \eta(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u}) + \eta(\nabla \mathbf{u})]$	$\nabla \mathbf{u})^{\mathrm{T}}$] + F			
$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$				
Subdomains Groups	Physics Stabilization Init Element Color			
Subdomain selection	Fluid properties and sources/sinks			
1	Library material:			
	Quantity Value/Expression	Description		
	p (Ra/Pr)^0.5	Density		
	η 1	Dynamic viscosity		
	Kdv 0	Dilatational viscosity		
	F _x 0	Volume force, x dir.		
	F _v (T-Tc)*(Ra/Pr)^0.5	Volume force, y dir.		
T	Flow in porous media (Brinkman equations)			
Group:	E 1	Porocity		
Select by group		Portoacy Dormo phility		
Active in this domain		Fermeability		
Active in this domain	v	Source term		
	OK	Cancel Apply Help		
COEFFICIENT	EXPRESSION			
ρ	(Ra/Pr)^0.5			

ρ	(Ra/Pr)^0.5
η	1
F _x	0
Fy	(T-Tc)*(Ra/Pr)^0.5

- **3** Leave the initial conditions for *u*, *v*, and *p* at their default values zero values, which you can inspect on the **lnit** page.
- 4 Click OK to close the Subdomain Settings dialog box.

Boundary Conditions

The boundary conditions default to no-slip and need not be altered.

Point Settings

- I From the Physics menu, choose Point Settings.
- 2 Select Point 1, then select the **Point constraint** check box. Leave the **p**₀ field at 0.
- 3 Click OK.

PHYSICS SETTINGS—HEAT TRANSFER

From the Multiphysics menu, select Convection and Conduction (eshcc).

Subdomain Settings

I From the Physics menu, choose Subdomain Settings.

2 On the Materials page, enter the following settings:

VALUE	EXPRESSION
ρ	(Ra/Pr)^0.5
CPL	Pr
κ _L	1

3 Click the **Time/Convection** tab. Set the velocities in the heat transfer equation to the fluid velocities from the flow equation by entering these expressions for **u** and **v**:

VALUE	EXPRESSIO N
u	u
v	v

- **4** Leave the initial condition for T at the default zero value.
- 5 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions

- I From the Physics menu, choose Boundary Settings.
- Select Boundary 1. From the Boundary condition list select Temperature, then in the T₀ edit field enter Tc.

- 3 Select Boundary 4. From the Boundary condition list select Temperature, then in the T₀ edit field enter Th.
- 4 For Boundaries 2 and 3, leave the default boundary condition, thermal insulation.
- 5 Click OK.

MESH GENERATION

- I From the Mesh menu, choose Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 Click the **Boundary** tab. Select Boundaries 1 and 4.
- **3** In the **Maximum element size** edit field enter 0.0065, and in the **Element growth rate** edit field enter 1.5.
- 4 Click Remesh. When the mesher has finished, click OK.

Free Mesh Parameters	23
Global Subdomain Boundary Point Advanced	ОК
Boundary selection Parameters Distribution Boundary mach parameters	Cancel
2 3 Maximum element size: 0.0065 4 Element growth rate: 1.5 Mesh curvature factor:	Help
Mesh curvature cutoff:	
Select by group Select Remaining	
Select Meshed Reset to Defaults Remesh Mesh Selected	

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I Click the Solver Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 From the Solver list, select Parametric.

3 In the Parameter names edit field, enter Ra, and in the Parameter values edit field, enter 1e1 1e2 1e3 1e4 1e5 1e6.

Analysis types	General	Parametric	Stationary	Adaptive	Optimization/Sensiti	vity Advanced
Incompressible Navier-Stokes (chns Stationary Convection and Conduction in Porous Medi Stationary Interference Stationary Interference Statio	Param Param Param Linear	eters eter names: eter values: system solve	Ra 1e1 1e2 1e3	3 1e4 1e5 1 Load F	e6 (Edit
z Auto salett solver tationary ime dependent igenvalue	Linear Precor	system solve nditioner:	r: Direct (P	ARDISO)	• •	
irametric :ationary segregated arametric segregated me dependent segregated	Matrix	symmetry:	Nonsymn	netric		ettings
Adaptive mesh refinement Optimization/Sensitivity Plot while solving Plot Settings						
			C	ж	Cancel Apply	/ Help

- 4 Click OK.
- 5 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate the plot in Figure 5-2 on page 331, follow these steps:

- I Click the **Plot Parameters** button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 On the General page, select the Surface, Contour, and Arrow check boxes. In the Solution to use list choose the appropriate value for Ra.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. In the Predefined quantities list, select Convection and Conduction in Porous Media (eshcc)>Temperature.
- 4 Click the Contour tab. In the Predefined quantities list, select Incompressible Navier-Stokes (chns)>x-velocity.
- 5 In the Contour color area, click first the Uniform color option button and then the Color button. In the Contour Color dialog box, choose white, then click OK.

- 6 Click the Arrow tab. In the Predefined quantities list, select Incompressible Navier-Stokes (chns)>velocity field.
- 7 Go to the Arrow positioning area. For the Number of points, in both the x points and y points edit fields, enter 15.
- 8 Click Color, select black, then click OK.
- **9** Click **Apply** to generate the plot.
- **IO** Return to the **General** page and choose another solution in the **Solution to use** list.
- II Click **OK** to close the **Plot Parameters** dialog box and generate the plot.

To sequence through the different solutions as an animation, open the **Plot Parameters** dialog box, click the **Animate** tab, and then click the **Start Animation** button.

Free Convection in Porous Media

Introduction

This example describes subsurface flow in porous media driven by density variations that result from temperature changes. The model comes from Hossain and Wilson (Ref. 1) who use a specialized in-house code to solve this free-convection problem. This COMSOL Multiphysics example reproduces their work using the Brinkman Equation application mode and the Convection and Conduction application mode. The results of this model match those of the published study.

Model Definition

The following figure gives the model geometry. Water in a porous media slice can move within the slice but not exit from it. Temperatures vary from high to low along the outer edges. Initially the water is stagnant, but the temperature change alters density to the degree that buoyant flow ensues. The problem statement specifies that the flow is steady state.



Figure 5-3: Domain geometry and boundary conditions for the heat balance in the free-convection problem. Th is a higher temperature than Tc, while s is a variable that represents the relative length of a boundary segment and goes from 0 to 1 along the segment.

You model this free-convection problem by introducing a Boussinesq buoyancy term defined with temperatures to the Brinkman equations, then link the resulting fluid velocities from the Brinkman equations to the heat transfer application mode.

The Boussinesq buoyancy term that appears on the right side of the Brinkman equation shown next accounts for the lifting force due to thermal expansion

$$-\nabla \cdot \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon} (\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T) - \left(\frac{\eta}{\kappa} \mathbf{u} + \nabla p\right) = \rho_0 \mathbf{g} \beta (T - T_0)$$
(5-4)
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0.$$

In these expressions, T represents temperature while T_0 is a reference temperature, \mathbf{g} denotes the gravity acceleration, ρ_0 gives the reference density, ε is the porosity, and β is the thermal expansion coefficient.

The heat balance comes from the conduction-convection equation

$$C_L \mathbf{u} \nabla \cdot T - \nabla \cdot (K_{eq} \nabla T) = 0$$

where K_{eq} denotes the effective thermal conductivity of the fluid-solid mixture, and C_L is the fluid's volumetric heat capacity.

The boundary conditions for the Brinkman equations are all no-slip conditions. Using only velocity boundaries gives no information on the pressure within the domain, which means that the model produces estimates of the pressure change instead of the pressure field. However, without any seed information on pressure, the problem is unlikely to converge. The remedy is to arbitrarily fix the pressure at a point in the model using point settings. The boundary conditions for the Convection and Conduction application mode are the series of fixed temperatures in Figure 5-3.

Implementation: Initial Conditions for Boussinesq Approximation

The simple problem statement just given produces a strongly nonlinear problem that represents a difficult convergence task for most nonlinear solvers. Even without the new Boussinesq term, the Brinkman equations are nonlinear alone. To ease the numerical difficulties, you apply a relaxation factor damp in front of the Boussinesq term $\rho_0 \mathbf{g} \beta (T - T_0)$ in Equation 5-4 to gradually find a stable initial condition for solving the true problem. When damp = 0, the flow and temperature equations are uncoupled so the model easily converges. Then you gradually increase damp, using the previous solution as the initial guess for the next parametric step, and so on until

you reach damp = 1. The iteration protocol is an easy process with COMSOL Multiphysics' parametric solver.

Results

This example reproduces a model reported by Hossain and Wilson (Ref. 1). After extracting the input data from the paper, the author constructed the model in less than an hour, including all the steps from geometry input to postprocessing of the results. Figure 5-4 shows the dimensionless temperature distribution throughout the porous media slice.



Figure 5-4: Dimensionless temperature in a porous structure subjected to temperature gradients and subsequent free convection. The COMSOL Multiphysics simulation is in excellent agreement with published results from Ref. 1.

Figure 5-5 gives the COMSOL Multiphysics solution for the flow field.



Figure 5-5: Velocity field (surface color) and velocity arrows.

Reference

1. M. Anwar Hossain and M. Wilson, "Natural convection flow in a fluid-saturated porous medium enclosed by non-isothermal walls with heat generation," *Int. J. Therm. Sci.*, vol. 41, pp. 447–454, 2002.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Heat_Transfer/ free_conv_porous

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface

- I In the Model Navigator, click the Multiphysics button, then in the Space dimension list select 2D.
- **2** In the list of application modes select

Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Brinkman Equations. Click the Add button.

3 In the list of application modes select

Earth Science Module>Heat Transfer>Convection and Conduction. Click Add.

4 Click OK.

Model Navigator	X
New Model Library User Models Open Settings Space dimension: 2D Application Modes COMSOL Multiphysics Example Compressible Navier-Stokes P Fluid Flow Brinkman Equations Brinkman Equation Conduction Brinkman Equation Conduction Brinkman Strater Conduction Solute Transport	Multiphysics Add Remove Geomi (2D) Finihman Equations (chns) Convection and Conduction in Porous (III) Dependent variables: T Application Mode Properties Add Geometry Add Frame
Dependent variables: T2 Application mode name: eshcc2 Element: Lagrange - Quadratic 🗸	Ruling application mode: Brinkman Equations (chns)
	OK Cancel Help

GEOMETRY MODELING

- I From the **Options** menu, select **Axes/Grid Settings**.
- 2 On the Axis page enter these settings; when done, click OK.

PARAMETER	VALUE	
x min	-0.03	
x max	0.13	
y min	-0.02	
y max	0.12	

- **3** Click the **Rectangle/Square** button on the Draw toolbar, then using the cursor create a square with the corners at (0, 0) and (0.1, 0.1).
- 4 Again, choose Options>Axes/Grid Settings.

5 This time click the Grid tab. Clear the Auto check box, then in the Extra y edit field enter 0.01.

Axes/Grid Settings	X
Auto Visible Viabels x-y grid x spacing: 0.02 Extra x: y spacing: 0.02 Extra y: 0.01	z grid v Auto z spacing: 0.02 Extra z:
ОК	Cancel Apply Help

- 6 Click OK.
- 7 Click the **Point** button on the Draw toolbar and.
- 8 Create a point at the location (0.1, 0.01).

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

Model Settings

Because this is a nondimensional model, use no unit system:

- I From the Physics menu, choose Model Settings.
- 2 Select None from the Base unit system list in the Model Settings dialog box.
- 3 Click OK.

Constants

- I From the **Options** menu, select **Constants**.
- **2** Enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions (optional); when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
rho	1e3	Density
mu	4e-4	Dynamic viscosity
cp1	4.2e3	Heat capacity
perm	1e-3	Permeability
beta	276e-6	Thermal expansion coefficient
Tc	0	Reference temperature
g	9.81	Acceleration due to gravity
damp	1	Relaxation factor

Scalar Expressions

I Choose Options>Expressions>Scalar Expressions.

2 Enter the following definitions (the descriptions are optional); when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
k1	cp1*mu/0.28	Thermal conductivity
Th	Tc+0.0527	Higher temperature

PHYSICS SETTINGS—BRINKMAN EQUATIONS

From the Multiphysics menu, select Brinkman Equations (chns).

Subdomain Settings

Select the menu item **Physics>Subdomain Settings**, select subdomain 1, then enter the following specifications; when done, click **OK**.

QUANTITY	EXPRESSION
ρ	rho
η	mu
ε _p	0.4
κ	perm
F _x	0
Fy	damp*rho*g*beta*(T-Tc)

Initial Conditions

Leave the initial conditions at their default values: u = v = p = 0.

Boundary Conditions

The boundary conditions default to No slip and need not be altered.

Point Settings

I From the Physics menu, choose Point Settings.

2 Select Point 5, then select the **Point constraint** check box. Leave the p_0 edit field at 0.

Point Settings - Brinkman Eq	uations (chns)			X
Equation $p = p_0$				
Points Groups Point selection 1 2 3 4 5 Group: v Select by group	Coefficients Color. Point coefficients	Quantity	Value/Expression 0	Unit Description Pa Pressure
		ОК	Cancel	Apply Help

3 Click OK.

PHYSICS SETTINGS—CONVECTION AND CONDUCTION

From the Multiphysics menu, select Convection and Conduction in Porous Media (eshcc).

Subdomain Settings

- I Choose Physics>Subdomain Settings.
- 2 Click the Time/Convection tab.
- **3** Click the **C**_L (user defined) button, then enter the following settings in the associated edit fields:

VALUE	EXPRESSION
CL	cp1*rho
u	u
v	v

- 4 Click the Spreading tab. From the Equivalent thermal conductivity list select User defined, and in the k_{eq} edit field enter k1.
- 5 Click OK.

Initial Conditions

Keep the initial condition at the default value of T = 0.

Boundary Conditions

I Select the menu item Physics>Boundary Settings.

- 2 Select all boundaries in the list, then in the **Boundary conditions** list select **Temperature**.
- 3 Select Boundaries 1 and 4, then in the T₀ edit field enter Th-(Th-Tc)*s; for Boundaries 3 and 5 enter Tc in that edit field, and for Boundary 2 enter Th.

MESH GENERATION

- I Choose Mesh>Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 From the Predefined mesh sizes list, select Fine.
- 3 Click the **Remesh** button.
- 4 Click OK.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I Click the Solver Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 In the Solver list select Parametric.
- 3 In the **Parameter names** edit field enter damp, and in the **Parameter values** edit field enter range(0,0.1,1).
- 4 On the Advanced tab, set the Type of scaling to None.

Analysis types	General Parametric Stationary	Adaptive Optimization/Sensiti	vity Advanced
Brinkman Equations (chns)	Constraint handling method:	Flimination	
Stationary 👻	Null an and Granting	A. A. and A.	
Convection and Conduction in Porous Medi-	Nui-space runcuon:	Automatic	
Stationary 👻	Assembly block size:	Auto 1000	
۰ (m))))	Use Hermitian transpose of co	instraint matrix and in symmetry	detection
Auto select solver solver: tationary me dependent cenvalue	Stop if error due to undefined Stop of error due to undefined Store solution on file Solution form:	a input operation Automatic	•
arametric	Scaling or variables	[
tationary segregated	Type of scaling:	None	
arametric segregated	Manual scaling:	-	
	Row equilibration:	On	•
	- Manual control of reassembly		
Adaptive mesh refinement	☑ Load constant	🕢 Jacobian constant	
Optimization/Sensitivity	Constraint constant	Constraint Jacobian constant	
Plot while solving	Damping (mass) constant	Mass constant	
Plot Settings			

- 5 Click OK.
- 6 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate the plot in Figure 5-4, follow these steps:

- I Click the Plot Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- **2** On the **General** page, go to the **Plot type** area and select the **Surface** and **Contour** check boxes.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. In the Expression edit field, enter (T-Tc)/(Th-Tc).
- 4 Click the **Contour** tab. In the **Expression** edit field, enter (T-Tc)/(Th-Tc).
- 5 Click the **Uniform color** option button, then click the **Color** button. Select black, then click **OK**.
- 6 Clear the Color legend check box, then click Apply.

To reproduce the plot in Figure 5-5, continue with these steps:

- I While still in the Plot Parameters dialog box, return to the General page.
- 2 In the Plot type area clear the Contour check box and select the Arrow check box.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. In the Predefined quantities list select Velocity field.
- 4 Click the **Arrow** tab. Verify that the **x** component edit field reads **u**, that the **y** component edit field reads **v**, and that the selected color is black.
- 5 Click **OK** to close the **Plot Parameters** dialog box and generate the plot.

Phase Change

Introduction

This example demonstrates how to model a phase change and predict its impact on a heat transfer analysis. When a material changes phase, for instance from solid to liquid, energy is added to the solid. Instead of creating a temperature rise, the energy alters the material's molecular structure. Equations for the latent heat of phase changes appear in many texts (see Ref. 1, Ref. 2, and Ref. 3) but their implementation is nonstandard. Heat consumed or released by a phase change affects fluid flow, magma movement and production, chemical reactions, mineral stability, and many other earth-science applications.

This 1D example uses the Conduction in Porous Media application mode from the Earth Science Module to examine transient temperature transfer in a rod of ice that heats up and changes to water. In particular, the model demonstrates how to handle material properties that vary as a function of temperature.



Figure 5-6: Material properties as functions of temperature.

This model proceeds as follows. First, estimate the ice-to-water phase change using the transient conduction equation with the latent heat of fusion. Next, run additional

simulations to evaluate impacts of the temperature interval over which the phase change occurs. Finally, compare the solution from the analysis with the latent heat to estimates that neglect it.

Model Definition

This model describes the ice-to-water phase change along a 1-cm rod of ice. At its left end the rod is insulated, and at the other end it touches boiling water. Values for thermal properties depend on the phase. For ice, density is 918 kg/m³, the specific heat capacity is 2052 J/(kg·K), and the thermal conductivity is 2.31 W/(m·K). For water, the density, specific heat capacity, and thermal conductivity are 997 kg/m³, 4179 J/(kg·K), and 0.613 W/(m·K), respectively. Reference temperatures are 265 K for ice and 300 K for water. The latent heat of fusion, λ , is 333 kJ/kg. The starting temperature in the rod is –20 °C.

The conduction equation is

$$\delta_{\rm ts} C_{\rm eq} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-K_{\rm eq} \nabla T) = \sum_i Q + \sum_i Q$$

where C_{eq} is the effective volumetric heat capacity (J/K·m³), *T* is temperature (K), K_{eq} is the effective thermal conductivity (W/m·K), and *Q* is a heat source (W/m³).

 $C_{\rm eq}$ and $K_{\rm eq}$ typically are volume averages of the form

$$C_{\rm eq} = \sum_{i} \theta_i \rho_i C_{pi}$$

where θ is the volumetric content, ρ equals density (kg/m³), and C_p is the specific heat capacity (J/(K·kg)) of a liquid or a solid. In this problem, however, you modify C_{eq} to account for the latent heat of fusion so that

$$C_{\rm eq} = \sum_i \theta_i \rho_i (C_{pi} + D\lambda).$$

It describes latent heat using the latent heat of fusion λ (J/kg) for only the normalized pulse around a temperature transition D (K⁻¹).

The integral of D must equal unity to satisfy the following

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho D \lambda dT = \rho \lambda$$

such that the pulse width denotes the range between the liquidus and solidus temperatures.

The boundary conditions for this model are insulating at x = 0 and fixed temperature at x = 0.01 where

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot (-K_{eq} \nabla T) = 0 \quad \partial \Omega \text{ Origin}$$
$$T = T_0 \qquad \qquad \partial \Omega \text{ Heat source}$$

Implementation

Because the thermal properties differ between ice and water, you create a variable H, which goes from unity for water to zero for ice. In this way H amounts to the volume fraction θ of water within a model element. Therefore, the effective properties switch with the phase through multiplication with H.

The switch in H from 0 to 1 occurs over the liquid-to-solid interval using a smoothed Heaviside function. This model implements the Heaviside function with the expression H = flc2hs(T-Ttrans,dT); where the transition interval for the function is dT. In this way, the pulse D is the derivative of H with respect to temperature. You can then express D with the COMSOL Multiphysics differentiation operator, d, as in D = d(H,T).

To find out more about implementing this and other smoothing functions, see the *COMSOL Multiphysics User's Guide* under "Specifying Discontinuous Functions" on page 149 as well as the *COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Guide*.

Results

Figure 5-7 shows images of the temperature distribution predicted with latent heat for output intervals of 100 s. The system is solid ice at t = 0, and water content increases with time. The distributions level out around the zero temperature point because not
all of the energy is going toward a temperature rise; some is being absorbed to change the molecular structure and change the phase.



Figure 5-7: Temperature estimates with latent heat at t = 0, 15, 45, 60 s and 120, 180, ..., 1200 s.



Figure 5-8: Temperature estimates without latent heat a t = 0, 15, 45, 60 s and 120, 180, ..., 1200 s.

The solution in Figure 5-8 shows temperature estimates for the simulation without latent heat. The kink in the temperature curve results from differences in the thermal properties of ice and water.

Figure 5-9 shows results for different solid-to-liquid intervals at three times. The smaller the interval, the sharper the bend in the temperature profile at zero temperature, T. In the simulations, narrowing the temperature interval to a step

change, for example, comes at a large computational cost. In the figure, the results for the wide and narrow pulses compare closely.



Figure 5-9: Temperature estimates for different temperature intervals for latent heat consumption. Estimates are for dT intervals of 0.1 (solid line), 0.5 (dashed line), and 2.5 (dotted line) at t = 30 s, 300 s, and 600 s.

References

1. S.E. Ingebritsen and W.E. Sanford, *Groundwater in Geologic Processes*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

2. N.H. Sleep and K. Fujita, Principles of Geophysics, Blackwell Science Ltd, 1997.

3. D.L. Turcotte and G. Schubert, *Geodynamics, Applications of Continuum Physics to Geological Problems*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 2002.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Heat_Transfer/phase_change

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I In the Model Navigator go to the Space dimension list and select ID.
- 2 In the list of application modes select

Earth Science Module>Heat Transfer>Conduction>Transient analysis.

3 Click OK.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

I Select the menu item **Options>Constants**, then enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions (optional); when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
T_trans	O[degC]	Transition temperature
dT	0.5[K]	Transition interval
lm	333[kJ/kg]	Latent heat of fusion

2 Select the menu item **Options>Expressions>Scalar Expressions** and enter the following names, expressions, and descriptions; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
Н	flc2hs((T-T_trans)[1/K],dT[1/K])	Heaviside function
D	d(H,T)	Temperature transition

GEOMETRY MODELING

- I Select the menu item Draw>Specify Objects>Line. Define the end points by going to the x edit field and entering 0 0.01.
- 2 Click the **Zoom Extents** button on the Main toolbar to center the line in the field of view.

PHYSICS SETTINGS

Boundary Conditions

- I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.
- 2 In the Boundary selection list, select 2, then in the Boundary condition list select Temperature.
- **3** To set the high temperature at the heat source go to the **Temperature** edit field and enter 100[degC].

4 To accept the boundary conditions as entered, click **OK**.

Subdomain Settings

- I Select the menu item Physics>Subdomain Settings.
- **2** On the Materials page, select Subdomain 1 and enter the following liquid (L) and solid (P) property values; when done, click **OK**.

SYMBOL	EXPRESSION
θ_{L}	H+eps
ρ_L	997
CpL	4179+D*lm
KL	0.613
θ_{P}	1-H+eps
ρ_{P}	918
Cpp	2052+D*lm
K _P	2.31

Subdomain Settings - Conduction in I	Porous Me	edia (esh	c)					X
Equation								
$\delta_{ts}C_{eg}\partial T/\partial t - \nabla (K_{eg}\nabla T) = Q_{H} + Q_{G} + Q$	c+Q _R , T=I	temperati	ure					
Subdomains Groups Materia	ls Therma	al Properti	es Sourc	es/Sinks	Init Element	Color/St	yle	
Subdomain selection Mater	al propertie	es						
1 Fluid	s					Unit		
	Fluid 1	Fluid 2	Fluid 3	Fluid 4	Fluid 5			
θ	H+eps	0	0	0	0		Volume fraction	
PL	997	0	0	0	0	kg/m ³	Density	
CPL	4179+D*	h0	0	0	0	J/(kg•K)	Specific heat capacity	
κ _L	0.613	0	0	0	0	W/(m⋅K)	Thermal conductivity	
Solid	s					Unit		
	Solid 1	Solid 2	Solid 3	Solid 4	Solid 5			
θρ	1-H+eps	0	0	0	0		Volume fraction	
Pp	918	0	0	0	0	kg/m ³	Density	
- Cpp	2052+D*	h0	0	0	0	J/(kg•K)	Specific heat capacity	
Group: V	2.31	0	0	0	0	W/(m⋅K)	Thermal conductivity	
Select by group								
Activo in this domain								
Active in this domain								
					ОК	Cano	el Apply He	lp

3 Click the **Init** tab and in the $T(t_0)$ edit field enter -20[degC].

4 Click the Element tab. In the Predefined elements list choose Lagrange-Linear.

The use of linear elements reduces computation time at a relatively small price in accuracy. This feature can be particularly helpful during concept-development stages, after which you might want to switch back to **Lagrange-Quadratic** elements.

MESH GENERATION

- I Click the **Initialize Mesh** button on the Main toolbar. The mesh consists of 15 elements, making it quite coarse.
- 2 Click the **Refine Mesh** button on the Main toolbar three times to obtain a relatively fine mesh with 120 elements.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

- I Select the menu item Solve>Solver Parameters.
- 2 Go to the Times edit field and enter range(0,15,60) range(120,60,1200). Click OK.

All the parameter values in this model have a time units of seconds, so the output time you enter here gives a total simulation time of 20 minutes.

3 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To generate Figure 5-7, follow these steps:

- I Select the menu item Postprocessing>Domain Plot Parameters.
- 2 Click the Line/Extrusion tab, then in the Subdomain selection list choose I.
- 3 In the y-axis data area go to the Predefined quantities list and select Temperature.
- 4 From the Unit list, select °C to display the temperature in degrees Celsius.
- **5** Click the **General** tab. Verify that all time steps in the **Solutions to use** list are selected, then click **OK**.

SAVING THE FILE

To save the current model, go to the menu item **File>Save As**, enter the file name phase_change, then click **OK**. Also leave the model file open.

Phase Change Without Latent Heat

To analyze the impact of the latent heat terms on the phase-change model, it is useful to estimate temperatures using the same approach but without the latent heat term.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

From the **Options** menu select **Constants**. Find the name for latent heat (**Im**) and in the associated **Expression** edit field enter O[kJ/kg]. Click **OK**.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

Solve the model by clicking the Solve button in the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To create Figure 5-8, use the postprocessing sequence described for Figure 5-7 on page 351.

Phase Change for Varying Transition Intervals

Solutions to the phase-change problem vary with the range in temperatures dT over which you assume the phase transition occurs. To visualize the impact of different transition widths, sample results from the original simulation and compare those estimates to results from simulations with varying dT values.

SAMPLING THE PREVIOUS RESULTS

To create Figure 5-9, follow these steps:

- I Reopen the model phase_change.mph.
- 2 Choose Postprocessing>Domain Plot Parameters.
- 3 On the General page, go to the Solutions to use list and select output times 60, 300, and 600. Select the Keep current plot check box.
- 4 Click **OK** to generate the plot; do not close the figure window.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

Choose Options>Constants. Change dT to another value, for instance 2.5[K]. Click OK.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

The second part of creating Figure 5-9 is with these steps:

- I Choose Postprocessing>Domain Plot Parameters.
- 2 On the General page, leave the output times from the previous dT value in the Solutions to use list, that is, 60, 300, and 600.

- **3** Next click the **Title>Axis** button and modify the settings as appropriate. Click **OK**.
- **4** To complete the comparison plot, go to the **Line/Extrusion** page, click the **Line Settings** button, change the line properties to distinguish the new set of results, and then click **OK**.
- **5** Click **OK** to close the dialog box and generate the plot.

Multiphysics Models

6

 $T_{\rm WO}$ models in 2D and 3D of the electrokinetic and magnetic fields inside a volcano highlight the multiphysics modeling capabilities in COMSOL Multiphysics and the Earth Science Module.

Electrokinetic and Magnetic Fields Inside a Volcano

Introduction

This multipart example examines several coupled geophysical phenomena: the electrokinetic voltages generated as fluid moves through a porous medium; the magnetic field the currents produce; and the feedback from the electric field to the fluid flow. Investigating these effects is important in many applications (Ref. 1, Ref. 2, and Ref. 3) such as tracking subsurface distribution and flow of fluids, estimating reservoir properties, predicting hydrothermal flow, and establishing innovative methods for mitigating subsurface contaminants, to name a few. The models described here couple the Darcy's Law application mode of the Earth Science Module with the AC/DC Module's Conductive Media DC and In-Plane Induction Currents, Magnetic Field application modes.

The first stages of this model couple fluid-flow equations to electric-field equations for a 2D geometry unidirectionally; the moving fluid generates a current that in turn generates magnetic fields, which you calculate with the In-Plane Induction Currents, Magnetic Field application mode. In the second step you compare the magnetic fields just calculated to those predicted with Biot-Savart's law. After matching the analytic expression, you relax the restriction of unidirectional coupling and couple the fluid-flow and electric-field equations bidirectionally. The feedback from the electric field to the fluid flow equations adds electroosmotic forces to the fluid velocity.

This 2D model is based on research carried out at the University of Leipzig and ETH, Zürich. A detailed description of the underlying physics and consequences for volcanological exploration appears in Ref. 4.

Finally, this example develops a 3D version of the model using an elevation model contributed by Prof. Carl Gerstenecker of the Technical University of Darmstadt (Ref. 5). Both model versions are available in the *Earth Science Module Model Library*.

Note: Both model versions require the AC/DC Module.

Model Definition

Figure 6-1 shows the infiltration of rain water into a volcano conduit. The water is moving preferentially through highly permeable fractured rocks in a volcano's neck, while water flows in the older impermeable parts of the edifice at a lower rate. Measuring the distribution of voltages and magnetic fields provides insight into the internal flow regime.



Figure 6-1: Vertical slice through a volcano with a permeable conduit.

FLUID FLOW

The fluid flow in this problem is stationary so you describe it with the continuity equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

where **u** is the fluid velocity (m/s).

In a first approximation, neglecting the electroosmotic drag, the fluid velocity is governed by Darcy's law

$$\mathbf{u} = -\frac{\kappa}{\eta} \nabla p$$

where κ denotes the hydraulic permeability of the porous medium (m²), η is the fluid's dynamic viscosity (Pa·s), and *p* refers to the pressure (Pa).

The boundary conditions for the hydraulic system are as follows: Infiltration occurs from above through the volcano's surface. Assuming that water supplied to the system

moves vertically under its own weight, implies seepage velocities in the negative y direction through the boundary of

$$u_{iin} = \rho g \frac{\kappa_i}{\eta} \qquad i = 1, 2 \tag{6-1}$$

where i = 1 corresponds to the conduits and i = 2 to the less permeable surface sections. Here, ρ is the fluid density (kg/m³) and g is the gravitational acceleration (m/s²). At the bottom of the modeling domain, assume separate average outflux velocities, $u_{1\text{out}} \propto \kappa_1$ and $u_{2\text{out}} \propto \kappa_2$, obtained by equating the total outflow with the total inflow; details are given in the section "Topography" on page 364. Assume all other boundaries to be hydraulically insulating, $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$.

FLOW-TO-ELECTRIC POTENTIAL COUPLING

In electrostatics, the continuity equation for electric charge reads

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{0}$

where **J** is the total current density (A/m^2) :

$$\mathbf{J} = -\sigma \nabla V + \mathbf{J}^{\mathrm{e}}$$

The first term on the right side is the ohmic current density, $\sigma \mathbf{E}$, with σ denoting the rock's electric conductivity (S/m), $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V$ the electric field (V/m), and V the electric potential (V). The second term is the current density generated by the fluid flow, $\mathbf{J}^{e} = -\alpha \nabla p$, where p is the pressure and α refers to the electrokinetic coupling coefficient (A/m) given by

$$\alpha = \frac{\varepsilon \zeta}{\eta F_0}$$

In this equation, ε is the dielectric permittivity (F/m), ζ denotes the zeta potential of the mineral-fluid interface (V), and F_0 is the formation factor, that is, the ratio between fluid and rock resistivity ($\sigma_{\text{fluid}}/\sigma$).

The electric problem is completely insulated, $\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$. At the earth's surface, no current leaves the rock into the air. The subsurface boundaries of the modeling domain are sufficiently far away from the sources to be unaffected by changes near the surface.

Because the hydraulic and electric problems both use only Neumann boundary conditions, you make them well posed by setting p = 0 and V = 0 at an arbitrary point.

MAGNETIC-FIELD EQUATIONS

To calculate the magnetic field or magnetic flux density that corresponds to the electric current field resulting from the hydraulic flow, use the quasi-static approximation

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0} \, .$$

Further, using Ampère's law with the multiphysics definition for current density gives

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J}^{\mathrm{e}}$$

where **H** is the magnetic field strength (A/m). The governing equation for the magnetic field therefore reads

$$\nabla \times (\sigma^{-1}(\nabla \times \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{J}^{e})) = 0.$$

Here the only boundary condition you need to apply is electric insulation.

In the postprocessing steps described later you compare these magnetic-flux estimates to those obtained with Biot-Savart's law.

2D Model with Topography and Electroosmotic Force

A realistic geological model would need to account for two additional features: the effect of topography and the feedback from the electric field on the hydraulic flow.

In reality, the electric field influences the flow field and exerts electroosmotic drag. Moreover, topographical nuances figure prominently in generating the electric field. With a sloping surface you can no longer assume that all rainfall penetrates the ground surface. Instead some rainfall runs off, which affects the flow field.

ELECTROOSMOTIC DRAG

To account for electroosmotic drag in Darcy's law, use the reciprocity relations of Onsager (Ref. 2):

$$\mathbf{u}_{\text{new}} = -\frac{\kappa}{\eta} \nabla p - \alpha \nabla V.$$

Here you implement the electric-field component by including it as a source term in the Earth Science Module's Darcy's Law application mode. You also define a modified velocity vector using subdomain expressions, which you plot during postprocessing.

TOPOGRAPHY

Modelers often prefer to obtain realistic topography models by importing geometries. Topography models can be conveniently imported by using one of COMSOL Multiphysics' CAD interfaces (for example DXF in 2D or, using the CAD Import Module, most of the popular 3D CAD formats, including IGES, STEP, SAT, and Parasolid files). In this case you import the volcano geometry as a DXF file in the COMSOL Multiphysics user interface.

The geometry features three major fracture zones: one main conduit and two parasitic ones. One of the parasitic conduits developed itself fully to the surface, while the other one stalled and produced a cryptodome. Because the fracture zones are highly permeable, rainfall penetrates preferentially through the conduits that intersect the surface and exits the system through the conduits at depth. Through the sections with lower hydraulic permeability, rain penetrates and exits the system at correspondingly lower rates. Owing to the topography, only part of a rainfall penetrates the ground surface and a fraction runs off. Assuming the infiltration into the ground is a function of topographic slope, the fluid influx velocities are given by

$$u_{iin} \equiv \mathbf{u}_{iseepage} \cdot (-\mathbf{n}) = (-u_{iin})(-n_y) = u_{iin}n_y$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{\text{seepage}} = (0, -u_0)$ is the seepage velocity vector, with u_0 defined in Equation 6-1, and $\mathbf{n} = (n_x, n_y)$ is the boundary's outward normal vector.

At steady state, the total amount of water moving out of the system at any instant of time, Q_{out} , must equal the total rainfall entering through the ground surface, Q_{in} . Using, in addition, the relations $Q_{out} = w_1 u_{1out} + w_2 u_{2out}$, where w_i refers to the total width of the outflow boundary section with permeability κ_i , and $u_{1out}/\kappa_1 = u_{2out}/\kappa_2$, gives the average outflux velocities

$$u_{iout} = \frac{\kappa_i}{w_1 \kappa_1 + w_2 \kappa_2} Q_{in} \qquad i = 1, 2$$

In this example, you automate the calculation of $u_{1\text{out}}$ and $u_{2\text{out}}$ by defining integration coupling variables for the inflow, Q_{in} , and the outwidths, w_1 and w_2 .

Results—2D Model with Topography and Electroosmotic Force

Figure 6-2 illustrates the COMSOL Multiphysics solution for fluid pressure and fluid velocities in the volcano where a bidirectional coupling exists between fluid flow and electric potential. The fluid moves primarily within the fractured conduits reaching the

surface. The model modifies the fluid velocities to include a term that accounts for electroosmotic drag generated by the electric field.



Figure 6-2: Solution for pressure distribution (surface plot) and velocities (arrows).

Figure 6-3 shows the electric self potential and the total electric current density. Moving fluids initiate the electric potential. The plot marks negative values for the potential at the top of the two outcropping domes, whereas a positive potential is generated at the bottom of the main conduit.

Figure 6-4 plots the magnetic flux density with the current density. The flux densities are highest along the boundaries of the permeable conduits. The field is directed out of the plane on the left edges and into the plane on the right edge.



Figure 6-3: Solution for electric potential (surface plot) and current density (arrows).



Figure 6-4: Solution for magnetic flux (surface plot) and current density (arrows).

In this section you calculate the magnetic field with the Biot-Savart formula and compare these estimates to the COMSOL Multiphysics solution just reported. The comparison provides a good check for consistency and accuracy.

Assuming constant magnetic permeability $\mu_r = 1$, you can calculate the magnetic flux density for an arbitrary current system **j** with Biot-Savart's law

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_0) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{j} \times (\mathbf{r}_0 - \mathbf{r})}{|\mathbf{r}_0 - \mathbf{r}|} d\mathbf{r}$$

where $\mu_0 = 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ V} \cdot \text{s}/(\text{A} \cdot \text{m})$ is the permeability of free space. For a point $r_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ in the *xy*-plane, you obtain the field components

$$\begin{split} B_x &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{-j_x z}{\left(\left(x_0 - x\right)^2 + \left(y_0 - y\right)^2 + z^2\right)^{3/2}} dx dy dz \\ B_y &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{j_y z}{\left(\left(x_0 - x\right)^2 + \left(y_0 - y\right)^2 + z^2\right)^{3/2}} dx dy dz \\ B_z &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{j_x (y_0 - y) - j_y (x_0 - x)}{\left(\left(x_0 - x\right)^2 + \left(y_0 - y\right)^2 + z^2\right)^{3/2}} dx dy dz \end{split}$$

 B_x and B_y become zero when integrating in the *z* direction. For the remaining component, the identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + z^2}} dz = \frac{2}{a^2}$$

applies. Combining the expressions gives

$$B_{z}(x_{0}, y_{0}) = \frac{\mu_{0}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{j_{x}(y_{0}-y) - j_{y}(x_{0}-x)} dx dy .$$
(6-2)

To calculate the expression in Equation 6-2 at an arbitrary point (x_0, y_0) , use the COMSOL Multiphysics interpolation command postint. You create the string to be integrated with the sprintf command. To generate the plots in Figure 6-5 with MATLAB, see the section "Modeling Using the Programming Language" on page 376.

Figure 6-5 plots the COMSOL Multiphysics solution and the Biot-Savart estimates. The results agree well with an average percent difference of 4% along the profile. In the example with 17,700 elements the calculation of Biot-Savart requires about twice as much time as solving the magnetic PDE directly.



Figure 6-5: Left plot—Comparison of the B_z field evaluated directly from the PDE (solid line) and the Biot-Savart integration (o). Right plot—Relative difference between PDE solution and the integrated result. The rms error for the 17,700-element mesh is 4%.

3D Model of Fluid Flow, Electrostatics, and Magnetostatics

In a 3D model of coupled fluid flow, electrostatics, and magnetostatics for an approximately cylindrical/axisymmetric geometry, toroidal fields form around the volcanic conduits where fluid flow is fast. To examine these effects, extend the 2D model to 3D. For simplicity, neglect the inflow and outflow through the impermeable surfaces in the 3D model. You can review the model file and postprocess the results by opening the file electric_volcano_3d.mph in the *Earth Science Module Model Library*. The author created the 3D geometry using data provided by Carl Gerstenecker of TU Darmstadt based on his work with Tiede, Läufer, Wrobel, and Steineck (Ref. 5).

Results-3D Model of Fluid Flow, Electrostatics, and Magnetostatics

Figure 6-6 is a cutaway view of the COMSOL Multiphysics 3D solution for fluid pressure, electric potential, and magnetic field in the volcano for the case where fluid flow and electric potential are bidirectionally coupled. Switching the arrow plot to display the velocity field instead would show that the fluid moves primarily within the fractured conduits to reach the surface. The fluid velocities are driven by gradients in

pressure and electric potential. The magnetic field results from the electric current density. The visible toroidal effects center on the fast flow around the conduit.



Figure 6-6: COMSOL Multiphysics solution for coupled fluid flow, electrostatics, and magnetostatics in a volcano.

References

1. P.M. Adler, J.L. Le Mouël, and J. Zlotnicki, "Electrokinetic and magnetic fields generated by flow through a fractured zone: a sensitivity study for La Fournaise volcano," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, pp. 26:795–798, 1999.

2. L. Onsager, "Reciprocal Relations in Irreversible Processes," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 37, pp. 405–426, 1931.

3. J. Zlotnicki and Y. Nishida, "Review on Morphological Insights of Self-Potential Anomalies on Volcanoes," *Reviews in Geophysics*, vol. 24, pp. 291–338, 2003.

4. S. Friedel, "Numerical simulation of electrokinetic and magnetic fields resulting from water flow in volcanic systems," *J. Geophys. Res.*, submitted for publication.

5. C. Gerstenecker, C. Tiede, G. Läufer, B. Wrobel, and D. Steineck, *Proc. 1st Ass. EGU*, 2004.

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Multiphysics/ electric_volcano_2d

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface—2D Model

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I Open the Model Navigator, and in the Space dimension list select 2D.
- **2** In the list of application modes select

Earth Science Module>Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Pressure analysis.

- 3 Click the Multiphysics button.
- 4 Click the Add button to include the Darcy's application mode in the model.
- 5 In the list of application modes select
 AC/DC Module>Statics, Electric>Conductive Media DC, then click Add.
- 6 In the list of application modes select
 AC/DC Module>Statics, Magnetic>In-Plane Induction Currents, Magnetic Field, then click
 Add.
- 7 Click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

Import the volcano geometry from a DXF file by executing the following instructions:

- I Choose File>Import>CAD Data From File.
- 2 Find the folder models/Earth_Science_Module/Multiphysics in your COMSOL installation directory and then select the file electric_volcano_2d.dxf.
- 3 Click **OK** to accept the default settings for the geometry import.



4 Click the **Coerce to Solid** button on the Draw toolbar.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

- I From the **Options** menu, select **Constants**.
- **2** Enter the following names, expressions, and (optionally) descriptions; when done, click **OK**.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
sigma1	0.1[S/m]	Electric conductivity, permeable fracture
alpha1	5.0e-9[(F/m)*V/(Pa*s)]	Electrokinetic coupling coefficient, permeable fracture
kappa1	1.0e-10[m^2]	Hydraulic permeability, permeable fracture
sigma2	0.01[S/m]	Electric conductivity, impermeable parts
alpha2	5.0e-9[(F/m)*V/(Pa*s)]	Electrokinetic coupling coefficient, impermeable parts
kappa2	1.0e-12[m^2]	Hydraulic permeability, impermeable parts
eta	8.9e-3[Pa*s]	Dynamic viscosity
rho	1000[kg/m^3]	Density

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
g	9.82[m/s^2]	Acceleration due to gravity
u1	rho*g*kappa1/eta	Seepage velocity, conduit surface sections
u2	rho*g*kappa2/eta	Seepage velocity, impermeable surface sections

3 From the **Options** menu, select **Expressions>Subdomain Expressions**.

4 Enter the following names and expressions to define new fluid-velocity components and a resulting velocity magnitude accounting for electroosmotic drag:

NAME	EXPRESSION FOR SUBDOMAINS 1, 3, 4	EXPRESSION FOR SUBDOMAIN 2
u_new	u_esdl-alpha2*Vx	u_esdl-alpha1*Vx
v_new	v_esdl-alpha2*Vy	v_esdl-alpha1*Vy
U_new	<pre>sqrt(u_new^2+v_new^2)</pre>	<pre>sqrt(u_new^2+v_new^2)</pre>

5 Click OK.

PHYSICS SETTINGS—DARCY'S LAW

From the Multiphysics menu, select Darcy's Law (esdl).

Application Mode Properties

- I From the Physics menu select Scalar Variables.
- **2** In the **Description** column look for **Elevation/vertical axis**, and verify that the corresponding entry in the **Expression** edit field is **0**. Click **OK**.

Subdomain Settings

- I From the Physics menu select Subdomain Settings.
- 2 Enter the settings from the following table; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAINS 1, 3, 4	SUBDOMAIN 2
κ _s	kappa2	kappa1
η	eta	eta
Q _s	alpha2*(Vxx+Vyy)	alpha1*(Vxx+Vyy)

Boundary Conditions

For the boundary condition you need two integration coupling variables.

I From the Options menu, select Integration Coupling Variables>Boundary Variables. Enter the following coupling variables, with each Name on its own row in the table; when done, click OK.

NAME	BOUNDARIES	EXPRESSION	INTEGRATION ORDER	GLOBAL DESTINATION
Q_in	35–37, 56–61	u1*ny	4	yes
	3–34, 38–52, 65–111	u2*ny	4	yes
w1	54	1	4	yes
w2	2, 63	1	4	yes

2 From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.

3 Enter the following settings for the specified boundaries; when done, click OK.

SETTINGS	BOUNDARIES 35-37, 56-61	BOUNDARY 3-34, 38-52, 65-111
Boundary condition	Inward flux	Inward flux
N ₀	u1*ny	u2*ny
SETTINGS	BOUNDARY 54	BOUNDARIES 2, 63
Boundary condition	Inward flux	Inward flux
N ₀	-Q_in*kappa1/ (kappa1*w1+kappa2*w2)	-Q_in*kappa2/ (kappa1*w1+kappa2*w2)

Because Q_in, w1, and w2 are integration coupling variables, COMSOL Multiphysics considers the units for the average inward flux at Boundaries 2, 54, and 63 to be inconsistent with the model's base unit system. You can disregard this warning.

Point Settings

- I From the Physics menu, select Point Settings.
- 2 Select Point 2 and in the **Pressure(s)** edit field enter 0. Click **OK**.

PHYSICS SETTINGS—CONDUCTIVE MEDIA DC

From the Multiphysics menu, select Conductive Media DC (emdc).

Subdomain Settings

I From the Physics menu select Subdomain Settings.

2 Enter the following settings; when done, click OK.

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAIN 1, 3, 4	SUBDOMAIN 2
σ (isotropic)	sigma2	sigma1
$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{e}}$	(-px*alpha2, -py*alpha2)	(-px*alpha1, -py*alpha1)

Boundary Conditions

- I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.
- 2 Select all the boundaries, and in the Boundary condition list select Electric insulation.
- 3 Click OK.

Point Settings

To make the system well defined, you must give the potential some reference value at a given point. In this case you lock the potential at Point 2.

- I From the Physics menu, select Point Settings.
- 2 In the Point selection list, select 2.
- 3 Click the **Electric potential** option button.
- 4 Make sure the electric potential is set to 0, then click **OK**.

PHYSICS SETTINGS—IN-PLANE INDUCED CURRENTS, MAGNETIC FIELD From the Multiphysics menu, select In-Plane Induction Currents, Magnetic Field (emqh).

Subdomain Settings

- I From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings.
- 2 Enter the following settings; when done, click **OK**.

SETTINGS	SUBDOMAINS 1, 3, 4	SUBDOMAIN 2
σ (isotropic)	sigma2	sigma1
J	(-px*alpha2, -py*alpha2)	(-px*alpha1, -py*alpha1)

Boundary Conditions

- I From the Physics menu, choose Boundary Settings.
- 2 Select all boundaries, and in the Boundary condition list select Electric insulation.
- 3 Click OK.

MESH GENERATION

- I From the Mesh menu, select Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 Click the **Boundary** tab.

- **3** Select all interior boundaries (the boundaries on the volcano surface) and the outlet boundary (all boundaries except for 1, 2, 63, and 112).
- 4 In the Maximum element size edit field, type 20.
- 5 Click Remesh, then click OK.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

To reproduce the plot in Figure 6-2, follow these steps.

- I Click the Plot Parameters button on the Main toolbar.
- 2 On the General page, select the Surface and Arrow check boxes in the Plot type area.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. From the Predefined quantities list on the Surface Data page, select Darcy's Law (esdl)>Pressure. From the Unit list, select MPa.
- 4 Click the Arrow tab. On the Subdomain Data page, specify the x component as u_new and the y component as v_new.
- 5 In the Arrow positioning area, set the number of **x points** to 63 and the number of **y points** to 14.
- 6 Click the Color button in the Arrow parameters area. In the Arrow Color dialog box, select black, then click OK.
- 7 Click **Apply** to generate the plot.

To generate the plot in Figure 6-3 continue as follows:

- I Still on the Arrow page, select Conductive Media DC (emdc)>Total current density from the Predefined quantities list on the Subdomain Data page.
- 2 In the Arrow parameters area, clear the Auto check box and set the Scale factor to 1.5.
- 3 Click the Surface tab. From the Predefined quantities list, select Conductive Media DC (emdc)>Potential.
- 4 Click **Apply** to generate the plot.

Obtaining the plot in Figure 6-4 now requires only a minor change:

- I Change the entry in the Predefined quantities list to In-Plane Induction Currents, Magnetic Field (emqh)>Magnetic flux density, norm. From the Unit list, select nT.
- 2 Click Apply to generate the plot and close the Plot Parameters dialog box.

The plot you see when you open the model from the Model Library combines the surface plot from Figure 6-4 and the arrow plot from Figure 6-2 with a contour plot of the pressure. Thus, you can reproduce it with the following instructions:

- I Click the **Contour** tab. Select the **Contour plot** check box. On the **Contour Data** page, verify that the entry in the **Predefined quantities** list is **Pressure**. In the **Contour color** area, set the **Color table** to **Thermal** and clear the **Color legend** check box.
- 2 Click the Arrow tab. On the Subdomain Data page, set the x component to u_new and the y component to v_new. In the Arrow parameters area, select the Auto check box for the Scale factor.
- 3 Click OK to generate the plot and close the Plot Parameters dialog box.

Modeling Using the Programming Language

To reproduce the plots in Figure 6-5, use the following code with MATLAB. The script is also available as the M-file electric_volcano_2d_Bz_plots.m located in the same directory as the model's MPH-file (see the Model Library path on page 370).

```
% Create a set of coordinates along a line in the x direction
% at an altitude of 1000 m
x0 = -2000:100:2000;
y0 = x0*0+1000;
% Evaluate the Biot-Savart integral for each point
for i = 1: length(x0)
  st = sprintf(['2e-7*(Jx emdc*(%f-y)-Jy emdc*(%f-x))/' ...
  '((%f-x)^2+(%f-y)^2)'],y0(i),x0(i),x0(i),y0(i));
  Bz(i) = postint(fem,st);
end
% For comparison, evaluate the magnetic field directly from
% the COMSOL Multiphysics solution
Bz2 = postinterp(fem, 'Bz emqh', [x0;y0]);
figure, plot(x0,Bz2,x0,Bz,'o');
xlabel('x [m]');
ylabel('B_z [T]');
diff = 100*(Bz-Bz2)./Bz2;
figure, plot(x0,diff);
xlabel('x [m]');
ylabel('Rel. diff. [%]');
```

Model Library path: Earth_Science_Module/Multiphysics/ electric_volcano_3d

Modeling Using the Graphical User Interface—3D Model

MODEL NAVIGATOR

- I In the Model Navigator, select 3D from the Space dimension list.
- 2 In the Application Modes tree, open the Earth Science Module folder and select Fluid Flow>Darcy's Law>Pressure analysis. Click Add.
- 3 From the Application Modes tree, open the AC/DC Module folder.
- 4 From this folder, select Statics, Electric>Conductive Media DC, then click Add.
- 5 From the same folder select Statics, Magnetic>Magnetostatics, Vector Potential, then click Add.
- 6 Click OK.

GEOMETRY MODELING

- I From the File menu, choose Import>CAD Data From File.
- 2 In the Import CAD Data From File dialog box, make sure that the COMSOL Multiphysics file or All 3D CAD files is selected in the Files of type list.
- 3 From the models/Earth_Science_Module/Multiphysics directory under the COMSOL Multiphysics installation folder, locate the electric_vulcano_3d.mphbin file and click Import.

OPTIONS AND SETTINGS

- I From the **Options** menu, choose **Constants**.
- 2 Make the following entries in the Constants dialog box; when done, click OK.

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
sigma1	0.1[S/m]	Electric conductivity, permeable fracture
alpha1	1e-8[(F/m)*V/(Pa*s)]	Electrokinetic coupling coefficient, permeable fracture
kappa1	1e-10[m^2]	Hydraulic permeability, permeable fracture

NAME	EXPRESSION	DESCRIPTION
sigma2	0.01[S/m]	Electric conductivity, impermeable parts
alpha2	1e-8[(F/m)*V/(Pa*s)]	Electrokinetic coupling coefficient, impermeable parts
kappa2	1e-12[m^2]	Hydraulic permeability, impermeable parts
eta	8.9e-3[Pa*s]	Dynamic viscosity
rho	1000[kg/m^3]	Density
g	9.82[m/s^2]	Acceleration due to gravity
u0	rho*g*kappa1/eta	Seepage velocity, conduit surface

PHYSICS SETTINGS—DARCY'S LAW

From the Multiphysics menu, select Darcy's Law (esdl).

Subdomain Settings

- I From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings.
- 2 Select Subdomain 2, then clear the Active in this domain check box.
- 3 Select both Subdomains 1 and 3. Set the Density to rho and the Viscosity to eta.
- 4 Select only Subdomain 1, then type kappa2 in the Saturated permeability edit field.
- 5 Select Subdomain 3, then type kappa1 in the Saturated permeability edit field.
- 6 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions

- I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.
- 2 Select the cylinder's bottom surface in the geometry or select Boundary 12 from the **Boundary selection** list. From the **Boundary condition** list, select **Pressure**. Leave the specified pressure at its default zero value.
- 3 Select the cylinder's top surface in the geometry or select Boundary 13 from the Boundary selection list. From the Boundary condition list, select Inward flux. Set the Inward flux to nz_esdl*u0. In this expression, nz_esdl is the z-component of the outward unit normal vector seen from the subdomain on which the esdl application mode is active.

Keep the default boundary condition, **Zero flux/Symmetry** for all other boundaries. This means that you neglect the small flux through the boundary surfaces with low permeability.

4 Click OK.

PHYSICS SETTINGS—CONDUCTIVE MEDIA DC

From the Multiphysics menu, select Conductive Media DC (emdc).

Subdomain Settings

- I From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings.
- 2 Select Subdomain 2, then clear the Active in this domain check box.
- **3** Select Subdomain 1. Set the **Electric conductivity** to sigma2.
- **4** In the edit fields for the **External current density**, type -alpha2*px, -alpha2*py, and -alpha2*pz.
- 5 Select Subdomain 3. Set the Electric conductivity to sigma1.
- 6 In the edit fields for the External current density, type -alpha1*px, -alpha1*py, and -alpha1*pz.
- 7 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions

- I From the Physics menu, select Boundary Settings.
- **2** In the **Boundary Conditions** dialog box, select all boundaries and set the boundary condition to **Electric insulation**.
- 3 Click OK.

Point Settings

- I From the Physics menu, select Point Settings.
- **2** Select Point 5 and click the **V**₀ option button. Leave the potential at 0.
- 3 Click OK.

PHYSICS SETTINGS-MAGNETOSTATICS

From the Multiphysics menu, select Magnetostatics (emqa).

Subdomain Settings

- I From the Physics menu, select Subdomain Settings.
- 2 Select Subdomains 1 and 3.
- 3 In the edit fields for the **External current density**, enter Jx_emdc, Jy_emdc, and Jz_emdc.
- **4** Leave the default settings for Subdomain 2.
- 5 Click OK.

Boundary Conditions

Use the default boundary settings for the Magnetostatics application mode.

Application Mode Properties

You need to turn on the gauge fixing manually for this model, because it uses other solver settings than the default settings for the 3D Magnetostatics application mode, which provide numerical gauge fixing through the SOR gauge and SORU gauge preand postsmoothers.

- I From the Physics menu, choose Properties.
- 2 In the Application Mode Properties dialog box, select On from the Gauge fixing list.
- 3 Click OK.

MESH GENERATION

- I From the Mesh menu, select Free Mesh Parameters.
- 2 On the Global page, select Fine from the Predefined mesh sizes list.
- 3 Click Remesh, then click OK.

COMPUTING THE SOLUTION

Because the application modes are coupled only in one direction, you can solve them in sequence to reduce the memory consumption.

- I From the Solve menu, select Solver Parameters.
- 2 From the Linear system solver list, select GMRES.
- **3** From the **Preconditioner** list, select **Incomplete LU**. Set the **Drop tolerance** to 0.05.
- 4 Click OK to close the Solver Parameters dialog box.
- 5 From the Solve menu, select Solver Manager.
- 6 On the Solve For page, select only the Darcy's Law (esdl) application mode.
- 7 On the Sequence page, click the Store Current Settings button.
- 8 In the Store Solver Settings dialog box, enter the Name darcy, then click OK.
- 9 Click the Initial Value tab.
- **10** In the **Initial value** area, click the **Initial value expression evaluated using current solution** button.
- II Click the Solve For tab. Select only the Conductive Media DC (emdc) application mode.
- 12 On the Sequence page, click the Store Current Settings button.
- **I3** In the **Store Solver Settings** dialog box, enter the **Name** condmed, then click **OK**.

- 14 Click the Solve For tab. Select only the Magnetostatics (emqa) application mode.
- 15 On the Sequence page, click the Store Current Settings button.
- **I6** In the **Store Solver Settings** dialog box, enter the **Name** magnstat, then click **OK**.
- **17** Select all three entries in the **Stored solver settings** area, then click the **>** button to add them to the the **Solver sequence** area in the order that you entered them.
- **IB** Select the **Solve using solver sequence** check box, then click **OK**.
- 19 Click the Solve button on the Main toolbar to start the simulation.

POSTPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

The default visualization shows a pressure slice plot. To reproduce the plot shown in Figure 6-6, following these steps:

- I From the Postprocessing menu, select Plot Parameters.
- 2 Click the Subdomain tab. Select the Subdomain plot check box.
- **3** From the **Predefined quantities** list, select **Darcy's Law (esdl)>Pressure**. From the **Unit** list, select **MPa**.
- 4 From the Color table list, select Thermal.
- 5 Click the Boundary tab. Select the Boundary plot check box
- 6 From the Predefined quantities list, select Conductive Media DC (emdc)>Electric potential.
- 7 In the Coloring and fill frame, select Wireframe from the Fill style list.
- 8 Click the Arrow tab. Select the Arrow plot check box.
- 9 From the Predefined quantities list on the Subdomain Data page, select Magnetostatics (emqa)>Magnetic field.
- **10** In the **Arrow positioning** frame, set the **Number of points** in *x*, *y*, and *z* to 21, 21, and 7, respectively
- II In the Arrow parameters frame, click the Color button. In the Arrow Color dialog box, select black, then click OK.
- 12 Click the Slice tab. Select the Slice plot check box.
- **I3** In the **Expression** edit field, type log10(normB_emqa).
- **14** In the **Slice positioning** frame, set the **Number of levels** for *x*, *y*, and *z* to 1, 1, and 0, respectively.
- **I5** In the Slice color frame, clear the Color legend check box.
- **I6** Click the **General** tab.

- 17 To look into the volcano, exclude part of the geometry in the postprocessing. Select the Element selection check box and enter (x>0) || (y>0) in the Logical expression for inclusion edit field. This only includes parts with positive *x* or *y*-coordinates, cutting away one quarter of the geometry.
- **I8** Click **OK** to create the plot.

INDEX

A application mode Brinkman Equations 53, 68, 328, 338 Conduction 348 Conductive Media 360 Convection and Conduction 328, 338 Darcy's Law 53, 68, 186, 199, 234, 360 Darcy's Law 1D 20, 30, 35 Darcy's Law 3D 41 Navier-Stokes 177 Navier-Stokes Equation 8 Plane Strain 199 Richards' Equation 97, 114, 267 Solute Transport 234, 267 aquifer characterization of 152 unconfined 306 Biot poroelasticity 199

- B Biot poroelasticity 199
 Biot-Savart's law 360, 367
 Boussinesq
 thermal expansion coefficient 329
 Boussinesq approximation 329, 339
 Brinkman equations
 Forchheimer-corrected 175
 buoyant flow
 Boussinesq 329
- c compaction and poroelasticity 186, 199
 coupled flow laws 53, 68
- density variations thermal expansion coefficient 329 drawdown 21 dynamic viscosity 177
- E effective thermal conductivity 329 electrokinetic volcano 360 extrusion coupling variables 21

- F finite radius well 20 fluid flow to wells 18
 Forchheimer flow model 175 forchheimer_flow 175
 free convection in porous media 328, 338
- integration coupling variables 43, 101
 interpolation for variably saturated flow
 114
 inverse modeling 152
- L leaky confining unit 30
- M multiphysics 199, 328, 338, 360
- N Navier-Stokes application mode 177
- P penalty function 153 perforated well 41 permeability of porous media 177 pesticide transport 288 phase change 348 pore-scale flow 8 poroelasticity 199 porous media flow 175 Prandtl number 329
- R Raleigh number 329
- S seepage velocity 362 solute injection 234 sorbing solute 268
- Terzaghi compaction 186 thermal expansion coefficient 329 transitional flow 68 two-phase flow 129 air-oil 148 oil-water 148 typographical conventions 4

- U unconfined aquifer 306
- variably saturated flow 97, 114
 volumetric heat capacity 329
- water table, height of 306
 weak constraints 57, 72
 well models 53, 68
 finite radius well 20
 leaky confining unit 30
 perforated well 41
 wellbore storage 35