

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_x \text{sgn}(\pi) \zeta^{\alpha\beta\downarrow\pi(x)} \\ \zeta^{\alpha\beta} \otimes \zeta^{\gamma\delta} &= \sum_x \text{sgn}(\pi) \zeta^{\alpha\beta\downarrow\pi(x)} \otimes \zeta^{\gamma\delta} \\ \zeta^{\alpha\beta} \otimes \zeta^{\gamma\delta} &= \sum_x \text{sgn}(\pi) (\zeta^{\beta\delta} \downarrow S_{\alpha\gamma\downarrow\pi(x)} \uparrow S_x)\end{aligned}$$

# Linear and Multilinear Algebra

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: [www.tandfonline.com/journals/glma20](http://www.tandfonline.com/journals/glma20)

## The eigenvectors-eigenvalues identity and Sun's conjectures on determinants and permanents

Xuejun Guo, Xin Li, Zhengyu Tao & Tao Wei

**To cite this article:** Xuejun Guo, Xin Li, Zhengyu Tao & Tao Wei (2024) The eigenvectors-eigenvalues identity and Sun's conjectures on determinants and permanents, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 72:7, 1071-1077, DOI: [10.1080/03081087.2023.2172380](https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2023.2172380)

**To link to this article:** <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2023.2172380>



Published online: 31 Jan 2023.



Submit your article to this journal



Article views: 190



View related articles



View Crossmark data



# The eigenvectors-eigenvalues identity and Sun's conjectures on determinants and permanents

Xuejun Guo, Xin Li, Zhengyu Tao  and Tao Wei

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, People's Republic of China

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove several conjectures raised by Zhi-Wei Sun on determinants and permanents by the eigenvectors-eigenvalues identity recently highlighted by Denton, Parke, Tao and Zhang.

## ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 15 July 2022  
Accepted 12 September 2022

## COMMUNICATED BY

B. Kuzma

## KEYWORDS

Eigenvectors-eigenvalues identity; determinants; permanents

## 2020 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT

## CLASSIFICATIONS

Primary 15A15; 11C20;  
Secondary 05A19; 11A07;  
33B10

## 1. Introduction

In 2018, Zhi-Wei Sun began a systematical research on permanents and determinants of matrices in number theory. He found many identities with rich arithmetic meanings and also raised many open problems. His results are in [1–4]. One of Sun's conjectures is the following one which was firstly raised in 2018.

**Conjecture 1.1:** [Zhi-Wei Sun, Conjecture 4.3 of [4]] Let  $n > 1$  be an integer and  $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ .

(1) If  $n$  is an even number, then

$$\sum_{\tau \in D(n)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}} = \frac{((n-1)!!)^2}{2^n} = \frac{n!}{4^n} \binom{n}{n/2}; \quad (1)$$

(2) if  $n$  is an odd number, then

$$\sum_{\tau \in D(n-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}} = \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{n-1}{2} ! \right)^2, \quad (2)$$

---

**CONTACT** Zhengyu Tao  [taozhy@smail.nju.edu.cn](mailto:taozhy@smail.nju.edu.cn)  Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

and

$$\sum_{\tau \in D(n-1)} \text{sign}(\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}} = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{n} \left( \frac{n-1}{2}! \right)^2, \quad (3)$$

where  $D(m)$  is the set of all derangements  $\tau$  of indices  $j = 1, \dots, m$  such that  $\tau(j) \neq j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

The left-hand side of (1) can be viewed as the permanent of the matrix

$$B = \left( (1 - \delta_{jk}) \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-k}} \right\} \right)_{n \times n}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\delta_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0, & \text{if } j \neq k, \end{cases} \quad \{x\} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = \infty, \\ x, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The matrix  $B$  is a circulant matrix. Hence  $\det(B)$  can be easily found by computing the product of the eigenvalues of  $B$ . We know from [4] that

$$\det(B) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{((n-1)!!)2}{2^n}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Also, we will see in Section 2 that the left-hand side of (3) and (2) are related respectively to the determinant and the permanent of an  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  sub-matrix  $A$  of  $B$ . Note that  $A$  is no longer a circulant determinant. Hence it is very difficult to find the eigenvalues of  $A$ .

In this note we prove Conjecture 1.1 based on the eigenvector-eigenvalue identity recently discussed by Denton, Parke, Tao and Zhang [5].

## 2. Eigenvalues from eigenvectors

In this section, we prove identity (3).

**Theorem 2.1:** [F. Calogero and A.M. Perelomov, Theorem 1 of [6]] The off-diagonal hermitian matrix of order  $n$  whose elements are defined by the formula

$$a_{jk} = (1 - \delta_{jk}) \left\{ 1 + i \cot \frac{(j-k)\pi}{n} \right\}$$

has the integer eigenvalues

$$\lambda_i = 2i - n - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and the corresponding eigenvectors  $v_i$  with norm 1 have components

$$v_{i,j} = \exp \left( -\frac{2\pi ij}{n} \right) / \sqrt{n}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix, then we denote its  $n$  real eigenvalues by  $\lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A)$ . We can find an orthonormal basis  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $v_i$  is associated with  $\lambda_i(A)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . For any  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $v_{i,j}$  denote the  $j^{\text{th}}$  component of  $v_i$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , let  $M_j$  denote the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  minor formed from  $A$  by deleting the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row and column from  $A$ . The matrix  $M_j$  is also a Hermitian matrix, and thus has  $n-1$  real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(M_j), \dots, \lambda_{n-1}(M_j)$ .

**Theorem 2.2:** [Eigenvector-eigenvalue identity, Theorem 1 of [5]] Let the notations be as above. We have

$$|v_{i,j}|^2 \prod_{k=1; k \neq i}^n (\lambda_i(A) - \lambda_k(A)) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i(A) - \lambda_k(M_j)). \quad (6)$$

**Proof of Equation (3).:** Recall that in Conjecture 1.1 we set  $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-k}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1 + \zeta^{j-k}}{1 - \zeta^{j-k}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + i \cot \frac{\pi(j-k)}{n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A = (A_{jk})$  be the  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix defined in Theorem 2.1. By Theorem 2.1, the eigenvalues of  $A$  are

$$\lambda_1 = n-1, \lambda_2 = n-3, \dots, \lambda_i = n+1-2i, \dots, \lambda_n = 1-n. \quad (7)$$

Let  $i = \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $j = n$ . Then  $\lambda_i = 0$ . By Theorem 2.2,

$$|v_{i,n}|^2 \prod_{k=1; k \neq i}^n (-\lambda_k(A)) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (-\lambda_k(M_n)).$$

By Theorem 2.1,  $|v_{i,n}|^2 = \frac{1}{n}$ . Hence

$$|M_n| = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k(M_n) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} ((n-1)!!)^2}{n},$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\tau \in D(n-1)} \text{sign}(\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}} &= 2^{1-n} |M_n| = \frac{2^{1-n} (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} ((n-1)!!)^2}{n} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{n} \left( \frac{n-1}{2}! \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and Equation (3) is proved. ■

Han Wang and Zhi-Wei Sun proved [7] another conjecture involving derangements and roots of unity by similar arguments. Keqin Liu proves (3) by a different strategy in [8]. Although the eigenvalues of  $A$  are rational integers as in Theorem 2.1, some numerical computations showed that there is no simple pattern of the eigenvalues of  $M_n$  in the proof of Equation (3). However, the eigenvalues of  $M_n B$  are very simple for certain diagonal matrices  $B$ . Let  $B_s$  the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are given as  $1 - \zeta^{is}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , where  $s \in \{-\frac{n-1}{2}, -\frac{n-3}{2}, \dots, -1, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}$ . Let  $M_{n,s} = M_n B_s$ . Then (3) is equivalent to

$$\det(M_{n,1}) = (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left( \frac{n-1}{2}! \right)^2. \quad (8)$$

Liu [8] proved that the eigenvalues of  $M_{n,1}$  are

$$-\frac{n-1}{2}, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2},$$

which can imply (3).

### 3. The permanents of matrices

In this section, we prove Equations (1) and (2).

**Lemma 3.1:** *Let  $n > 2$  be an integer, and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  pairwise distinct complex numbers. Let  $L(n)$  be the set of all elements  $\tau \in S(n)$  such that  $\tau$  is an  $n$ -cycle. Then*

$$\sum_{\tau \in L(n)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{x_{\tau(j)} - x_j} = 0.$$

**Proof:** For  $\tau_1 = (1a_2a_3 \cdots a_n), \tau_2 = (1b_2b_3 \cdots b_n) \in L(n)$ , define  $\tau_1 \sim \tau_2$  if and only if  $(a_2a_3 \cdots a_n) = (b_2b_3 \cdots b_n) \in L(n-1)$ . One can easily see that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $L(n)$ . Obviously, there are  $n-1$  elements in each equivalence class. Take an arbitrary equivalence class

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= (1a_2a_3 \cdots a_{n-1}a_n), \\ \sigma_2 &= (1a_3a_4 \cdots a_na_2), \\ &\vdots \\ \sigma_{n-1} &= (1a_na_2 \cdots a_{n-2}a_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We just need to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{x_{\sigma_i(j)} - x_j} = 0.$$

Let  $\alpha_i = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{x_{\sigma_i(j)} - x_j}$ , obviously,  $\alpha_i \neq 0$  and

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_1} = \begin{cases} \frac{(x_1 - x_{a_2})(x_1 - x_{a_n})}{x_{a_2} - x_{a_n}} \left( \frac{1}{x_1 - x_{a_{i+1}}} - \frac{1}{x_1 - x_{a_i}} \right), & i \neq 1, \\ 1, & i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_1} = 1 + \frac{(x_1 - x_{a_2})(x_1 - x_{a_n})}{x_{a_2} - x_{a_n}} \left( \frac{1}{x_1 - x_{a_n}} - \frac{1}{x_1 - x_{a_2}} \right) = 0,$$

which implies  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i = 0$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 3.2:** Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  pairwise distinct complex numbers. Assume

$$A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n} = \left( (1 - \delta_{ij}) \left\{ \frac{1}{x_j - x_i} \right\} \right)_{n \times n}.$$

We have

(1) If  $n$  is odd, then

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau \in D(n) \\ \text{sign}(\tau)=1}} \prod_{j=1}^n a_{j\tau(j)} = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in D(n) \\ \text{sign}(\tau)=-1}} \prod_{j=1}^n a_{j\tau(j)} = 0,$$

i.e.  $\det(A) = \text{per}(A) = 0$ ;

(2) If  $n$  is even, then

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau \in D(n) \\ \text{sign}(\tau)=(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}} \prod_{j=1}^n a_{j\tau(j)} = 0,$$

i.e.  $\text{per}(A) = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \det(A)$ .

**Proof:** First, we will check this theorem for  $n = 1, 2, 3$ . If  $n = 1$ , then  $A = 0$  and (1) holds; if  $n = 2$ , then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{x_2 - x_1} \\ \frac{1}{x_1 - x_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since there is only one odd permutation in  $D(2)$ , thus (2) holds; if  $n = 3$ , then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{x_2 - x_1} & \frac{1}{x_3 - x_1} \\ \frac{1}{x_1 - x_2} & 0 & \frac{1}{x_3 - x_2} \\ \frac{1}{x_1 - x_3} & \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since there are only two even permutations  $(1 \ 2 \ 3), (1 \ 3 \ 2)$  in  $D(3)$  and

$$\frac{1}{x_2 - x_1} \cdot \frac{1}{x_3 - x_2} \cdot \frac{1}{x_1 - x_3} + \frac{1}{x_3 - x_1} \cdot \frac{1}{x_1 - x_2} \cdot \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} = 0.$$

This implies that (1) holds.

If  $n \geq 4$  is odd, then

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau \in D(n) \\ \text{sign}(\tau)=1}} \prod_{j=1}^n a_{j\tau(j)} = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in D(n) \\ \text{sign}(\tau)=1}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{x_{\tau(j)} - x_j}.$$

For  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_r\} \subset X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , let

$$f(Y) = \sum_{\tau \in L(r)} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{y_{\tau(j)} - y_j}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 we know that  $f(Y) = 0$  if  $|Y| > 2$ . Note that

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau \in D(n) \\ \text{sign}(\tau)=1}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{x_{\tau(j)} - x_j} = \sum_{\substack{X = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s X_i \\ |X_i| \geq 2 \\ s \text{ odd}}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^s f(X_i) \right), \quad (9)$$

where the disjoint unions  $X = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s X_i$  in the right-hand side of (9) come from cycle decompositions of each  $\tau \in D(n)$ . Since  $n$  is odd, every disjoint union  $X = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s X_i$  has an  $X_i$  with  $|X_i| > 2$ , thus

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau \in D(n) \\ \text{sign}(\tau)=1}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{x_{\tau(j)} - x_j} = 0.$$

Similarly, it can be shown that  $\sum_{\substack{\tau \in D(n) \\ \text{sign}(\tau)=-1}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{x_{\tau(j)} - x_j} = 0$ .

If  $n$  is even, one can prove (2) in the same way by using Lemma 3.1. This complete the proof of this theorem. ■

**Proof of Equations (1) and (2).**: If  $n$  is even, then by Theorem 3.2(2) and (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\tau \in D(n)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}} &= \text{per} \left( (1 - \delta_{ij}) \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{i-j}} \right\} \right)_{n \times n} \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \det \left( (1 - \delta_{ij}) \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{i-j}} \right\} \right)_{n \times n} \\ &= \frac{((n-1)!!)^2}{2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $n$  is odd, then by Theorem 3.2(2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\tau \in D(n-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}} &= (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left( \sum_{\tau \in D(n-1)} \text{sign}(\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^{j-\tau(j)}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{n-1}{2}! \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof of (1) and (2). ■

## Acknowledgments

The authors are deeply grateful to Zhi-Wei Sun and Keqin Liu for very helpful discussions. They also would like to thank the referee for many valuable comments and suggestions.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

## Funding

The authors are supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China [grant numbers 11971226, 11631009].

## ORCID

Zhengyu Tao  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2884-6732>

## References

- [1] Sun Z-W. On some determinants with Legendre symbol entries. *Finite Fields Appl.* **2019**;56:285–307.
- [2] Sun Z-W. On some determinants involving the tangent function. Available from: arXiv:1901.04837.
- [3] Sun Z-W. Permuations of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and related topics. *J Algebraic Combin.* in press. Available from: arXiv:1811.10503.
- [4] Sun Z-W. Arithmetic properties of some permanents. Available from: arxiv:2108.07723.
- [5] Denton PB, Parke SJ, Tao T, et al. Eigenvectors from eigenvalues: a survey of a basic identity in linear algebra. *Bull Am Math Soc.* 59(1):31–58.
- [6] Calogero F, Perelomov AM. Some diophantine relations involving circular functions of rational angles. *Lin Alg Appl.* **1979**;25:91–94.
- [7] Wang H, Sun Z-W. Proof of a conjecture involving derangements and roots of unity. Available from: arxiv:2206.02589v3.
- [8] Liu K. Generalization and another proof of two conjectural identities posed by Sun. Available from: arXiv:2206.05021.