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In this article, we study the $K_{1}$ of tiled rings over local rings. Our results generalize some existing ones obtained by Keating and Xi.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $R$ be a discrete valuation ring with quotient field $F$ and maximal ideal $P$. An $R$-order $A$ in $\mathbb{M}_{n}(F)$, the full $n \times n$ matrix algebra over $F$ with $n \geqslant 2$, is called tiled if it has the matrix form $A=\left(P^{\lambda_{i j}}\right)_{n \times n}$, where the $\lambda_{i j} \mathrm{~s}$ are nonnegative integers satisfying $\lambda_{i i}=0$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $\lambda_{i k}+\lambda_{k j} \geqslant \lambda_{i j}$ for all $1 \leqslant i, j, k \leqslant n$. Note further that the tiled order $A$ is semiperfect and thus Morita equivalent to a basic one. So we can always assume $\lambda_{i j}+\lambda_{j i}>0$ for any $i \neq j$.

There have been a number of articles devoted to the study of the global dimension of tiled orders, among which we mention [2, 3, 6, 9]. On the other hand, the investigation of the algebraic $K$-theory of tiled orders remains quite limited in the literature. As far as we know, Keating [4] proved that the $G$-theory of a tiled $R$-order $A$ is related to the $K$-theories of the ground ring $R$ as well as the residue class field, and in particular if $A$ is regular then there is an isomorphism of algebraic $K$-theories

$$
K_{i}(A) \simeq K_{i}(R) \oplus(n-1) K_{i}(R / P), \quad i \geqslant 0
$$

For the general case, Keating [4] determined the $K_{1}$ of a special type of triangular orders, that is, $A=\left(P^{\lambda_{i j}}\right)_{n \times n}$ with $\lambda_{i j}=0$ for $i \geqslant j$ and $\lambda_{i j}=\mu>0$ for $i<j$, in terms of the following isomorphism

$$
K_{1}(A) \simeq K_{1}(R) \oplus(n-1) K_{1}\left(R / P^{\mu}\right)
$$

The above isomorphism was extended in Keating [5] to the $K$-theory of triangular matrix rings over an arbitrary ring, provided that the two-sided ideal involved is

[^0]projective. Recently, Xi [10] has generalized Keating's result to a more general case of triangular matrix rings (see Theorem 2.1 below).

In this article, we give a description of the $K_{1}$ of an arbitrary tiled order (Proposition 2.9), removing the restriction of being triangular. As a consequence, we obtain a direct generalization of Keating's result about the $K_{1}$ of triangular tiled orders:

Proposition 1.1. Let $R$ be a discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal $P$, and let $A=\left(P^{\lambda_{i j}}\right)_{n \times n}$ be a tiled $R$-order. If $\lambda_{i j}=k$ for any $i>j$ and $\lambda_{i j}=l$ for any $i<j$, then there is an isomorphism

$$
K_{1}(A) \simeq K_{1}(R) \oplus(n-1) K_{1}\left(R / P^{k+l}\right)
$$

Throughout, all rings are associative with identity. For a ring $R$ we denote by $\mathrm{U}(R)$ its unit group and $\operatorname{rad}(R)$ its Jacobson radical. For any group $G$, we mean by $G^{n}$ the direct product of $n$ copies of $G$.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Let $R$ be any ring and denote by $\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)$ the full $n \times n$ matrix ring with entries in $R$. We consider the following subset of $\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)$ :

$$
A=\left(I_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}:=\left\{\left(a_{i j}\right)_{n \times n} \mid a_{i j} \in I_{i j} \text { for all } 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n\right\},
$$

where the $I_{i j}$ s are ideals of $R$ satisfying
(i) $I_{11}=I_{22}=\cdots=I_{n n}=R$, and
(ii) $I_{i k} I_{k j} \subseteq I_{i j}$, for every $i \neq j$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$.

The two conditions make $A$ a subring of $\mathbb{I}_{n}(R)$, and we call $A$ a tiled ring over $R$. Obviously tiled orders over a discrete valuation ring are a particular example of tiled rings. The result below is due to Xi (see Theorem 1.2.(1) of [10]):

Theorem 2.1. Let $A$ be a tiled ring as above. If the ideals $I_{i j}$ of $R$ satisfy the following additional conditions
(1) $I_{i j}=R$ for any $i>j$,
(2) $I_{i k} \subseteq I_{i j} \cap I_{j k}$ for any $i<j<k$, then there is an isomorphism of algebraic $K$-theory

$$
K_{i}(A) \simeq K_{i}(R) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} K_{i}\left(R / I_{i, i+1}\right)\right), \quad i \geqslant 0
$$

We remark that there has not yet been a precise formula about the $K$-theory of $A$ in the general case (without the restrictions (1) and (2) in the statement of Theorem 2.1).

Recall that a ring $R$ is called semiperfect if $R / \operatorname{rad}(R)$ is a semisimple artinian ring with its idempotents lifted to $R$. For a semiperfect ring $R$ there is a complete set
of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{t}$ in $R$ such that $R$ can be represented as the formal matrix ring $\left(R_{i j}\right)_{t \times t}$, where $R_{i j}=e_{i} R e_{j}$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant t$. In particular, if $R$ satisfies the condition $R_{i j} R_{j i}=0$ for any $1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant t$, then $K_{1}(R)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of the $K_{1}$ of the diagonal components $R_{11}, \ldots, R_{t t}$ (see [8]). For the general case, it is proved in [1] that.

Theorem 2.2. Let $R$ be a semiperfect ring represented as $\left(R_{i j}\right)_{t \times t}$. Then,

$$
K_{1}(R) \simeq\left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} U\left(R_{i i}\right)\right) /(H C) \simeq\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} K_{1}\left(R_{i i}\right)\right) /(H C / C),
$$

where $C$ is the subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^{t} U\left(R_{i i}\right)$ generated by elements of the form

$$
\left(1+r_{i i} s_{i i}\right)\left(1+s_{i i} r_{i i}\right)^{-1}
$$

with $r_{i i}, s_{i i} \in R_{i i}$ satisfying $e_{i}+r_{i i} s_{i i} \in U\left(R_{i i}\right)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, and $H$ the subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^{t} U\left(R_{i i}\right)$ generated by elements of the form

$$
\left(1+r_{i j} r_{j i}\right)\left(1+r_{j i} r_{i j}\right)^{-1},
$$

with $r_{i j} \in R_{i j}$ satisfying $1+r_{i j} r_{j i} \in U(R)$ for $1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant t$.
Now let $I_{i j}(1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n)$ be ideals of $R$ such that $A=\left(I_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ forms a tiled ring. As a subring of $\mathbb{M}_{n}(R), A$ has an important feature, that is, $A$ contains all the diagonal matrix units $\epsilon_{11}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n n}$ in $\mathbb{I}_{n}(R)$. This observation leads to

Lemma 2.3. Let $R$ be a local ring. Then the tiled ring $A=\left(I_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ is a semiperfect ring.

Proof. A direct consequence of Theorem 23.6 in [7].
Therefore, if $R$ is a local ring then $K_{0}(A)$ is isomorphic to the free abelian group of rank $n$. For $K_{1}$, evidently the unit group of $A$ has a diagonal subgroup as an internal direct product of $n$ copies of $\mathrm{U}(R)$; moreover, if we denote by $\mathrm{V}(R)$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(R)$ generated by all elements of the form $(1+a b)(1+b a)^{-1}$ with $a, b \in R$ satisfying $1+a b \in \mathrm{U}(R)$, and by $H$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(R)^{n}$ generated by all elements of the form

$$
\left(1, \ldots, 1,1+r_{i j} r_{j i}, 1, \ldots, 1,\left(1+r_{j i} r_{i j}\right)^{-1}, 1, \ldots, 1\right)
$$

with $r_{i j} \in I_{i j}$ satisfying $1+r_{i j} r_{j i} \in \mathrm{U}(R)$ for $1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n$, where $1+r_{i j} r_{j i}$ occurs in the $i$-th spot and $\left(1+r_{j i} r_{i j}\right)^{-1}$ in the $j$-th spot, then we have by Theorem 2.2:

Proposition 2.4. Let $R$ be a local ring and $A=\left(I_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ be a tiled ring over $R$. Then $K_{1}(A)$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $U(R)^{n}$ modulo the product of the subgroups $H$ and $V(R)^{n}$.

Note that if $R$ is also commutative then the group $\mathrm{V}(R)$ vanishes. Hence, we can expect a simpler description of $K_{1}(A)$ by calculating the group $H$. For convenience, we introduce the following notations:

Let $G$ be an abelian group and $N$ a subgroups of $G$. For $1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n$, put

$$
D_{i j}(N):=\left\{\left(1, \ldots, 1, a, 1, \ldots, 1, a^{-1}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) \in G^{n} \mid a \in N\right\},
$$

where $a$ occurs on the $i$-th spot and $a^{-1}$ on the $j$-th spot. It is clear that $D_{i j}(N)$ is a subgroup of $G^{n}$ and $D_{i j}(N)=D_{j i}(N)$ for every pair $i \neq j$. We call $D_{i j}(N)$ the $(i, j)$-diagonal subgroup of $G^{n}$ with respect to $N$.

Let $R$ now be a commutative local ring and $A=\left(I_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ a tiled ring over $R$. Set $G=\mathrm{U}(R)$ and $N_{i j}=1+I_{i j} I_{j i}$ for any $i \neq j$. If $A$ is basic, then for each pair $i \neq j$, either $I_{i j}$ or $I_{j i}$ is proper, thus $I_{i j} I_{j i}$ is contained in $\operatorname{rad}(R)$, and hence all these $N_{i j} \mathrm{~s}$ are subgroups of $G$. Furthermore, it is clear that

$$
\prod_{1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n} D_{i j}\left(N_{i j}\right)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} D_{i j}\left(N_{i j}\right),
$$

since $N_{i j}=N_{j i}$ for any $i \neq j$. We claim that
Proposition 2.5. Let $R$ be a commutative local ring and $A=\left(I_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ a basic tiled ring over $R$. Then $K_{1}(A)$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $U(R)^{n}$ modulo the product of its diagonal subgroups $\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} D_{i j}\left(N_{i j}\right)$, where $N_{i j}=1+I_{i j} I_{j i}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the subgroup $H$ defined in Theorem 2.2 is precisely the subgroup $\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} D_{i j}\left(N_{i j}\right)$ when $R$ is a commutative local ring. Obviously $H$ is contained in the product $\prod_{1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n} D_{i j}\left(N_{i j}\right)$, since every generator of $H$ falls in some $D_{i j}\left(N_{i j}\right)$. The reverse containment follows from the fact that $N_{i j}$ can be generated by elements of the form $1+r_{i j} r_{j i}$ with $r_{i j} \in I_{i j}$ and $r_{j i} \in I_{j i}$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $G$ be an abelian group and $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n-1}$ be subgroups of $G$, where $n \geqslant 2$. Then there is a group isomorphism

$$
\frac{G^{n}}{D_{12}\left(N_{1}\right) \cdots D_{n-1, n}\left(N_{n-1}\right)} \simeq \frac{G}{N_{1}} \times \cdots \times \frac{G}{N_{n-1}} \times G .
$$

Proof. Define a map from $G^{n}$ to $\left(G / N_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times\left(G / N_{n-1}\right) \times G$ by

$$
\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(g_{1} N_{1}, g_{1} g_{2} N_{2}, \cdots, g_{1} \cdots g_{n-1} N_{n-1}, g_{1} \cdots g_{n}\right)
$$

then one checks that the map is a homomorphism of groups with its kernel exactly $D_{12}\left(N_{1}\right) \cdots D_{n-1, n}\left(N_{n-1}\right)$. Moreover, the map is surjective, since for any $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} \in$ $G$ we can set

$$
g_{1}=h_{1}, \quad g_{2}=h_{1}^{-1} h_{2}, \quad g_{3}=h_{2}^{-1} h_{3}, \ldots, g_{n}=h_{n-1}^{-1} h_{n}
$$

and then $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ is mapped to $\left(h_{1} N_{1}, \cdots, h_{n-1} N_{n-1}, h_{n}\right)$.

We are now at the position to give a partial generalization of Theorem 2.1 in the case of $R$ being a commutative local ring:

Theorem 2.7. Let $R$ be a commutative local ring and $A=\left(I_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ a basic tiled ring over R. If $I_{i k} I_{k i} \subseteq I_{i j} I_{j i} \cap I_{j k} I_{k j}$ for any $i<j<k$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1}(A) & \simeq U(R) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} U\left(R / I_{i, i+1} I_{i+1, i}\right)\right) \\
& \simeq K_{1}(R) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} K_{1}\left(R / I_{i, i+1} I_{i+1, i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $N_{i j}=1+I_{i j} I_{i j}, 1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n$. The hypotheses yields that $N_{i k} \subseteq N_{i j}$ and $N_{i k} \subseteq N_{j k}$ for any $i<j<k$, thus for each $a \in N_{i k}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\cdots, a, \ldots, 1, \ldots, a^{-1}, \cdots\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\cdots, a, \ldots, a^{-1}, \ldots, 1\right)\left(\cdots, 1, \ldots, a, \ldots, a^{-1}, \cdots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $D_{i k}\left(N_{i k}\right) \subseteq D_{i j}\left(N_{i j}\right) D_{j k}\left(N_{j k}\right)$. Consequently, the product $\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} D_{i j}\left(N_{i j}\right)$ reduces to $D_{12}\left(N_{12}\right) D_{23}\left(N_{23}\right) \cdots D_{n-1, n}\left(N_{n-1, n}\right)$. Then the conclusion follows by Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.6.

We note that the formula stated in Theorem 2.7 remains valid when $A$ is not necessarily basic, since every semiperfect ring is Morita equivalent to a basic one.

Corollary 2.8. Let $R$ be a commutative local ring and $I$, $J$ be two arbitrary ideals of $R$. Then for the following tiled subring in $\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)$

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
R & I & \cdots & I \\
J & R & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & I \\
J & \cdots & J & R
\end{array}\right),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1}(A) & \simeq U(R) \oplus(n-1) U(R / I J) \\
& \simeq K_{1}(R) \oplus(n-1) K_{1}(R / I J) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Specializing to tiled orders over a discrete valuation ring, we readily get
Proposition 2.9. Let $R$ be a discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal $P$, and let $A=\left(P^{\lambda_{i j}}\right)_{n \times n}$ be a basic tiled $R$-order. Then $K_{1}(A)$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $U(R)^{n}$ modulo the product of its diagonal subgroups

$$
\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} D_{i j}\left(1+P^{\lambda_{i j}+\lambda_{j i}}\right) .
$$

In particular, if $\lambda_{i j}=k$ for any $i>j$ and $\lambda_{i j}=l$ for any $i<j$, then

$$
K_{1}(A) \simeq K_{1}(R) \oplus(n-1) K_{1}\left(R / P^{k+l}\right) .
$$

Remark 2.10. Corollary 2.8 gives an affirmative answer in the $K_{1}$ part to the question posed at the end of [10] in case $R$ being a commutative local ring, and Proposition 2.9 recaptures Keating's result in [4] about the $K_{1}$ of triangular tiled orders over a discrete valuation ring.
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