

### § 8.7

Later we will need the following reformulation of Thm 8.5  
 (which is a generalization of Thm 7.4 + 8.3)

Recall •  $\sigma_{s,r}(E_j) := e^{\frac{2\pi i s_j}{m}} E_j$ ,  $\sigma_{s,r} \in \text{Aut}(g)$  and  $\sigma_{s,r}^m = 1$ , where  
 $s = (s_0, \dots, s_r)$ ,  $m = r \sum_{i=0}^r a_i s_i$

- $\sigma_{s,r}$  exhaust all  $m$ -th order automorphisms of  $g$   
 up to conjugate by an automorphism of  $g$   
 $(\forall \tau \in \text{Aut}(g), \tau^m = 1 \Rightarrow \sigma \text{ is conjugate to } \exp(\tau h))$

#### reformulation of Thm 8.5

↓  
 Thm 8.7.  $A \rightarrow X_N^{(r)}$   
 $g \rightarrow \text{a simple f.d. Lie algebra} \rightarrow X_N$   
 $u \rightarrow \text{diagram automorphism of order } r \text{ of } g$   
 $E_i, F_i, H_i (i=0..l) \rightarrow \text{the elements of } g \text{ introduced in § 8.2}$   
 $\sigma_{s,r} \rightarrow e \in \text{Aut}(g) \text{ and of type } S$   
 $g = \bigoplus_j g_j (S_j, r) \rightarrow \text{be the associated } \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} - \text{gradation}$   
 $m = r \sum_{i=0}^r a_i s_i$

Define the Lie alge. structure on  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{t}^j \otimes g_j \text{ mod}_m (S, r) \otimes k$   
 by:  $[P_1(t) \otimes g_1 \oplus \lambda_{1,k}, P_2(t) \otimes g_2 \oplus \lambda_{2,k}]$

$$:= \underbrace{P_1(t) P_2(t)}_{\in \mathfrak{g}} \otimes [g_1, g_2] \oplus \underbrace{\frac{1}{m} (\text{Res } \frac{d(p(t))}{dt} P_2(t)) (g_1 | g_2) K}_{\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}}$$

$$[a + \lambda K, b + \mu K] = [a, b]_0 + \frac{1}{m} (a, b) K \quad \text{§7.1} \rightarrow \text{p97}$$

$$\psi(a, b) = \text{Res } \left( \frac{d(p \otimes x)}{dt} \otimes y \right)_t = \text{Res} \left( \frac{d p}{dt} \otimes (x | y) \right)$$

这里与  $\psi$  仅相差  $\frac{1}{m}$ , 2-cocycle  $\checkmark$

Then  $\left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} t^j \otimes g_j \bmod_m (S; r) \right) \oplus \mathbb{C}K \cong g(A)$   
 proof same as Thm 8.3 (Thm 7.4).

with the Chevalley generators  $e_i = \underbrace{t^{s_i} \otimes E_i}_{\text{def } s_i} \quad (i=0 \dots l)$   
 $f_i = \underbrace{t^{-s_i} \otimes F_i}_{\text{def } s_i}$

the coroot basis:  $d_i^\vee = \underbrace{[e_i, f_i]}_{(\frac{a_i s_i}{a_i s_i m} K)}$

and the canonical coroot element  $K$

Extending this Lie algebra by  $\mathfrak{c}d'$ ,  
 where  $d'(p(t) \otimes g) := \underbrace{\frac{a_0}{m} (t \frac{dp(t)}{dt}) \otimes g}_{\mathfrak{c}d'}$  and  
 $[d', K] = 0$

作为例子, 多一个系数  $\frac{a_0}{m}$   $\checkmark$

then  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} t^j \otimes g_j \bmod_m (S, r) \oplus \mathbb{C}K + \mathfrak{c}d' \cong g(A)$

with scaling elements  $d = \underbrace{ad^1 - a_0 H}_{\text{where } H \in \sum_{i=1}^l H_i} - \frac{1}{r} a_0 \text{ad}(H) k$

where  $H \in \sum_{i=1}^l H_i$  is defined by  $\langle \alpha_i, H \rangle = \frac{s_i}{m}$

The normalized invariant form is defined by

$$(P_1(t) \otimes g_1 | P_2(t) \otimes g_2) = \frac{1}{r} \text{Res}(t^{-1} p_1(t) p_2(t)) (g_1 | g_2)$$

$$(GK + Gd^1 | p_1(t) \otimes g) = 0 = 4(k) = (d^1 | d^1)$$

$$(k | d^1) = 1$$

Finally, setting  $\deg(t) = 1$   $\deg g = 0$  for  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\deg K = \deg d^1 = 0 \Rightarrow$$

the define the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graduation of type  $S$   $\#$

Rmk: The realization of affine algebra of type  $X_N^{(r)}$   
provided by Thm 8.7  $\Rightarrow$  is called the realization  
of type  $S = (s_0, \dots, s_l)$

Cor 8.7. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be an affine algebra of type

$$r=1, \phi(x \cdot y) = 2h^v(x \cdot y)$$

$X_N^{(r)}$ , Then  $\phi(x \cdot y) = 2r h^v(x \cdot y)$  for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$

by ex b.2

$$\phi = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y)$$

where  $\phi$  is the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  
 In particular:  $\phi(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  
 $\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} (\lambda|\alpha)(\mu|\alpha) = 2r h^V(\lambda|\mu) \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$

where  $\mathfrak{f}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} < \mathfrak{f}^*$   
 the root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Upf: (ex 6.2) Let  $r=1$ , show that  $h = \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i$  is the Coxeter number of the root system  $\Delta$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  ✓

and  $h^V = \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^V \right) = \phi(\theta, \theta)^{-1} = 1 + (\rho | \theta)$ , where  $\phi$  is the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$ ,

show that  $\phi(x, y) = 2h^V(x|y)$  for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  ✓ Cor 6.4:  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$

Note  $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i d_i$   $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$ . The the ratio of normalized invariant form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  restricts

$\phi(x, y) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y)$  the normalized invariant form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is equal  
 $\{e_2^{(i)}\}$  and  $\{e_2^{(-i)}\}$  be basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$  to  $r$   
 dual basis  $\phi(e_2^{(i)}, e_2^{(-j)}) = \delta_{ij}$   $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$

$$\sqrt{2} = \sqrt{2} \text{ad} = \sum_i \text{Tr}(\text{ad } x_i \text{ ad } y_i) \quad \text{Aff}_2 \rightarrow \text{long} \rightarrow 2$$

$$= \sum_i \phi(x_i, y_i) \quad \text{Aff}_2 \rightarrow \rightarrow 4$$

$$\text{Aff}_3 \rightarrow \rightarrow 6$$

$\sqrt{2}$  commutes with  $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$

$\Rightarrow \sqrt{2}$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by multi scaling element  $\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} &= \frac{\text{tr}(\sqrt{g})}{\dim(V)} = \frac{\text{tr}(g)}{\dim g} = \frac{\sum_i \text{tr}(\text{ad}x_i \text{ad}y_i)}{\dim g} \\ &= \frac{\sum_i \phi(x_i, y_i)}{\dim g} = \frac{\sum_i S_{ij}}{\dim g} = \textcircled{1} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $\sqrt{g}$  action on  $g$  by multi scaling  $\lambda^{-1}$

note that  $\phi(\theta + 2\rho, \theta)$  is the eigenvalues  
of  $\sqrt{g}$  asso. to the killing form  $\phi$

## Chapter 9. Highest-weight modules and Kac - Moody algebras.

### § 9.1

- $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightsquigarrow g(A)$
- $g(A)$  with a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  and a triangular decomposition:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} g(A) = n_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus n_- \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right\}$$

$$U(g(A)) = U(n_+) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes U(n_-) \quad (9.11)$$

- $g(A)$ -module  $V$  is called  $\mathfrak{h}$ -diagonalizable if

it admits a weight space decomposition:

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^+} V_\lambda$$

$$V_\lambda = \left\{ u \in V \mid \underbrace{h(u)}_{\neq 0} = \lambda(h) \cdot u \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{g} \right\}$$

is called a weight vector of weight  $\lambda$

• Denote  $P(V) = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^+ \mid V_\lambda \neq 0\}$  the set of weights of  $V$

$$\lambda - \mu = \sum \alpha_i \omega_i$$

$$D(\lambda) = \{u \in \mathfrak{g}^+ \mid u \leq \lambda\}$$

$$D = P(g(A))$$

$$\begin{matrix} \uparrow & \\ g(A) & \\ \downarrow & \\ n_+ & \\ n_- & \end{matrix}$$

• Defi: the category  $\mathcal{G}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{obj: the } g(A)\text{-module } V, \text{ which are } \mathfrak{g}\text{-diagonalizable} \\ (V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^+} V_\lambda) \quad \dim V_\lambda < \infty \\ \exists \text{ finite numbers } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s \in \mathfrak{g}^- \text{ s.t} \\ P(V) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^s D(\lambda_i) \end{array} \right.$$

morphsim: homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -modules

Rmk:  $\bullet V \in \text{obj } \mathcal{G}, U \subset V \text{ a submodule}$

$$\text{By prop 1.5} \quad U = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^+} (U \cap V_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^+} U_\lambda$$

$\underbrace{\dim(U_\lambda)}_{\leq} \leq \underbrace{\dim(V_\lambda)}_{< \infty}$

$$\mathcal{P}(U) \subset \mathcal{P}(V) \subset \dots$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad (0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow^G} V \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow^G} V/U \xrightarrow{\rightarrow^G} 0)$$

$$V/U = \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}^*} V_\lambda \right) / \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}^*} U_\lambda \right) = \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}^*} U_\lambda + V_\lambda \right) /$$

$$\cong \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}^*} V_\lambda \right) / \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}^*} (U_\lambda)_{\perp \perp} \cap V_\lambda \right)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}^*} \left( V_\lambda / U_\lambda \right) \quad V/U \in \mathcal{G}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad 0 \rightarrow V_1 \xrightarrow{\downarrow^0} V \xrightarrow{\downarrow^0} V_2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$(V_1 \oplus V_2)_\lambda = (V_1)_\lambda \oplus (V_2)_\lambda$$

$$(V_1 \oplus V_2) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}^*} (V_1 \oplus V_2)_\lambda$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{G}, \quad V_1 \otimes V_2 \in \mathcal{G}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} V_1 = \bigoplus_{\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{Y}^*} (V_1)_{\lambda_1} \\ V_2 = \bigoplus_{\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Y}^*} (V_2)_{\lambda_2} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow V_1 \otimes V_2 = \bigoplus_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left\{ (V_1)_{\lambda_1} \otimes (V_2)_{\lambda_2} \right\}$$

now,  $(V_1)_{\lambda_1} \otimes (V_2)_{\lambda_2} \subset (V_1 \otimes V_2)_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \subset \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}^*} (V_1 \otimes V_2)_\lambda$

$$\Rightarrow (V_1 \otimes V_2) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}^*} (V_1 \otimes V_2)_\lambda$$

$$(V_1 \otimes V_2)_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} ((V_1)_{\lambda_1} \otimes (V_2)_{\lambda_2})$$

$\underbrace{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda}$

$\lambda \rightarrow (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$

$$\rho(V_1) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \quad \underline{\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \subset \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}$$

$$\rho(V_2) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

$\forall \lambda$ , with  $(V_1 \otimes V_2)_\lambda \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists$  only finite many pairs  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  with  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$

$$\text{s.t. } (V_1)_{\lambda_1} \neq 0 \quad (V_2)_{\lambda_2} \neq 0.$$

$$\dim((V_1 \otimes V_2)_\lambda) < \infty$$

$\Rightarrow$  any submodule or quotient modules of

a module  $\in \underset{\mathfrak{G}}{\text{Obj}}$

$\underset{\mathfrak{G}}{\text{Obj}}$

§ 9.2.

n.w.m

(Example of category  $\mathfrak{G}$ )  $\rightarrow$  highest weight modules

Def: A  $g(A)$ -module  $V$  is called a n.w.m with highest weight  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , If there exists a nonzero vector  $v_\lambda \in V$ , s.t.

$$(1) \quad (9.2.1) \quad n_+(v_\lambda) = 0, \quad \underline{h(v_\lambda) = \lambda(h)} \quad \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{g}$$

$$(2) \quad (9.2.2) \quad U(g(A))(v_\lambda) = \bar{V}$$

↓

the vector  $v_\lambda$  is called a highest-weight vector

$$(3) \quad (9.2.3) \quad U(g(A))(v_\lambda) = U(\eta_-)(v_\lambda)$$

For  $v_\lambda \in V_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda$  is the highest weight

$$\lambda + \underline{\alpha_i} \in P(V) \Rightarrow h_+(\alpha_i) = 0$$

$$V(g(\lambda))_{(m)} = V_{(n-)}(v_n)$$

It follows (9.2.1) and (9.2.3)

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \leq \mu} V_\lambda; \quad V_\lambda = E_{\mu}, \quad ; \dim \underline{V_\lambda} < \infty$$

In particular,  $h.w.m \in \mathfrak{h}^*$   $\Rightarrow P(V) \subset D(\lambda)$

every two highest-weight vectors are proportional

$$v_1, v_2 \in V_\lambda \quad v_1 = k v_2$$

$$v_2 = k_1 v_1$$

Def: (Verma module)

A  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -module  $M(\lambda)$  with highest weight  $\lambda$  is called a Verma module, if every  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -module with highest weight  $\lambda$  is a quotient of  $M(\lambda)$

Prop 9.2 :

(a) For every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^+$  there exists a unique up to isomorphism Verma module  $M(\lambda)$   
 Pf,  $M_1(\lambda), M_2(\lambda)$  are two Verma module  
 $\psi : M_1(\lambda) \rightarrow M_2(\lambda)$

$$(M_1)_\lambda = \{ m_\lambda \in M(\lambda), \mid h(m) = \lambda(h) \cdot m \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h} \}$$

$$(M_2)_\lambda \rightarrow$$

$$\text{In particular, } \psi((M_1)_\lambda) = (M_2)_\lambda$$

$$\forall m \in (M_2)_\lambda \exists m_1 \in (M_1)_\lambda \text{ s.t. } \psi(m_1) = m_2$$

$$\psi: (M_1)_\lambda \longrightarrow (M_2)_\lambda$$

$$\dim((M_1)_\lambda) \geq \dim((M_2)_\lambda)$$

Exchanging  $M_{1(\lambda)}$  and  $M_{2(\lambda)}$   $\Rightarrow \psi$  is isomorphism  
 $\dim((M_1)_\lambda) = \dim((M_2)_\lambda)$

$$(\text{存在性}): \{e_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta^+\} e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^\perp$$

Consider the left ideal  $\mathcal{J}(\lambda)$  in  $U(g(A))$

$$\mathcal{J}(\lambda) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} U(g(A))(e_\alpha) + \sum_{i \in I} U(g(A))(\overset{\uparrow}{h_i - \lambda(h_i)})$$

$$M(\lambda) := U(g(A)) / \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$$

$\Rightarrow$  then  $M(\lambda)$  is a left  $U(g(A))$ -module with  $\lambda$

It is clear that  $M(\lambda)$  is a  $g(A)$ -module

with highest weight  $\lambda$

②

$$\text{①: } \varphi: g(A) \hookrightarrow U(g(A)) \quad g(A) \times M(\lambda) \rightarrow M(\lambda)$$

$$(x, m_\lambda) \mapsto \varphi(x) \cdot m_\lambda$$

$$\text{②: } M(\lambda) := U(g(A)) / \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} U(g(A)) e_\alpha + \sum_{i \in I} U(g(A))(\overset{\uparrow}{h_i - \lambda(h_i)})$$

$$1 \mapsto 1$$

$$e_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+ \mapsto 0$$

$$h_i \mapsto \underline{\lambda(h_i)}$$

the highest vector

$$(1) \subset g(A)$$

$\lambda$  is highest weight

/

)

$(M(\lambda))_{\mu \neq 0} \Leftrightarrow \lambda - \mu$  is a sum of positive roots

the highest-weight vector being the image of  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_0$

If now  $V$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -module with highest weight  $\lambda$ , annihilator of  $V_\lambda \subset V$  is a left ideal  $J_1$  which contains  $J(\lambda)$

$\text{Ann}_{\mathfrak{g}(A)}(V_\lambda)$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$   
 $J_1 \supset J(\lambda)$

$\forall v_n \in V_\lambda, n+(v_n) = 0$

$$[\underbrace{h - \lambda(h)}_{\square}] (v_n) = \underline{\lambda(h)v_n} - \underline{\lambda(h) \cdot v_n} = 0$$

$$J(\lambda) \subset \text{Ann}_{\mathfrak{g}(A)}(V_\lambda)$$

$$\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}(A))/\text{Ann}_{\mathfrak{g}(A)}(V_\lambda) \cong V$$

$$v_\lambda = e_m$$

$$\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}(A))/J_1 \cong V \quad \text{is homo of } \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$$

$$M(\lambda) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}(A))/\overline{J(\lambda)} \Rightarrow \exists \# : M(\lambda) \rightarrow V$$

of  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -modules

$$\Rightarrow \underline{V} = M(\lambda)/_{\text{ker } \#}$$

#

b). Viewed as a  $U(\mathfrak{a}^-)$ -module,  $M(\lambda)$  is a free module of rank 1 generated by a highest-weight vector.

IPf: It follow from the explicit construction of  $M(\lambda)$  given above and PBW Thm #.

$$M(\lambda) = U(g(A)) / \sum_{j \in \Delta^+} U(g(A)) e_j + \sum_{i \in I} (h_i - \lambda(h_i))$$

Let  $m_\lambda$  be the image of  $\underline{1} \in \underline{U(g(A))}$   
 ↓ highest weight vector

$$n_+(m_\lambda) = 0$$

By PBW Thm:  $M(\lambda)$  is restricted to  $U(\mathfrak{a}^-)$  is free module of rank 1 on basis  $m_\lambda$

c).  $M(\lambda)$  contains a unique proper maximal submodule  $M'(\lambda)$

IPf: It follow from the fact:

a sum of proper submodule in  $M(\lambda)$  is a proper submodule, } doesn't contain  $M(\lambda)$

By prop 1.5. every submodule in  $M(\lambda)$  is graded with respect to the weight space decomposition and doesn't contain  $n_-(v_\lambda)$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(M'(\lambda)) \leq \dim(M(\lambda)) - 1,$$

Remark 9.2: (1) One can also obtain via the construction of an induced module.

Let  $V$  be a left module over a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$ , and suppose we are given a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\psi: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ .

$$\psi: \mathfrak{L} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$$

induced  $\mathfrak{h}$ -module is defined by

$$U(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{L})} V := (U(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V)$$

$$\sum_{a,b,v} g(b\psi(a)\otimes v - b\otimes a(v))$$

where the summation is over  $b \in U(\mathfrak{h})$  at  $\mathfrak{L}$ ,  $v \in V$ , and the action of  $\mathfrak{h}$  induced by left-multiplication in  $U(\mathfrak{h})$

(2). Define the  $(n+ \oplus \mathfrak{g})$ -module  $E_\lambda$  with underlying space  $\mathbb{C}$ , by  $n+(1)=0$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad h(1) = \lambda(h) \cdot 1 \quad \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{g}$$

$$\text{Then } M(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{g}(A)) \otimes_{U(n+ \oplus \mathfrak{g})} G_\lambda$$

$$M(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{g}(A)) / \left( \sum_{a \in A} U(\mathfrak{g}(A)) e_a + \sum_i U(\mathfrak{g}(A)) (h_i - \lambda(h_i)) \right)$$

$$\psi: \mathfrak{L} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} \quad \uparrow$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(\lambda) &= U(g(A)) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus g)} \mathbb{C}_\lambda \\
 &= U(g(A)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda} \left( \sum_{h \in \mathfrak{n}^+} U(g(A)) e_h \right) + \sum_{h \in \mathfrak{n}^+} U(g(A)) (h : -\lambda(h)) \\
 V &= \mathbb{C}_\lambda
 \end{aligned}$$

$\boxed{\quad}$

$\boxed{\quad}$

$\boxed{\quad}$

§. 9.3

It follows from prop 9.2(c), that among the modules with highest weight  $\lambda$ , there is a unique irreducible one, namely the module

$$L(\lambda) = M(\lambda) / M'(\lambda)$$

clearly,  $L(\lambda)$  is a quotient of any module with h.w.  $\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\lambda) &= M(\lambda) / M'(\lambda) \cong \left( M(\lambda) / \ker \psi \right) / \left( M'(\lambda) / \ker \psi \right) \\
 V \longrightarrow \lambda &\Rightarrow V \cong M(\lambda) / \ker \psi
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\ker \psi \subset M'(\lambda)$$

$\checkmark$

$\lambda$  /  $\mu(\lambda)$  resp

$V \leftarrow \mu(\lambda)$



$L(V)$

Def: (primitive vector)

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -module, A vector  $v \in V$  is called primitive, if there exists a submodule  $U$  in  $V$ , s.t.  $v \notin U$ ;  $n(v) \subset U$

then  $\lambda$  is called a primitive weight

Similarly one defines primitive vectors and weight for a  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -mod.].

Rmk: A weight vector  $v$ , s.t.  $n(v) = 0$  is obviously primitive.

$$\left( \underline{U = 0} \right)$$

(§ 9.1)

Rmk: every module from  $\mathcal{G}$  is restricted (§ 2.5)

§ 2.5

Recall that  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -module (resp  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ )  $V$  is called restricted if every  $v \in V$ , we have

$g_\alpha(v) = 0$  for all but a finite number of positive roots  $\alpha$  ( $g = \bigoplus_{\alpha < 0} g_\alpha$ )  
 submodule or quotient of restricted module  
 is restricted.

$$\text{pf: } g(\lambda) - V \in \mathcal{O}$$

$$\alpha \in \Delta^+ \quad \left( g_\alpha \cdot V_\lambda \subset V_{\lambda+\alpha} \right)$$

$$n \in V \rightarrow n \in V_\lambda$$

$$g_\alpha(v) = 0 \quad \lambda + \alpha, \lambda + 2\alpha \in P(v)$$

h.w.m.  $\in \mathcal{O}$

### Prop 9.3:

Let  $V$  be a nonzero module from the category  $\mathcal{O}$ , Then:

(a)  $\boxed{V}$  contains a nonzero weight vector  $v$ , s.t.  
 $n^+(v) = 0$

(b) TFAE:

(i)  $V$  is irreducible

(ii)  $V$  is a h.w.m. and any primitive primitive vectors of  $V$  is a highest-weight vector.

(iii)  $\tilde{V} \cong L(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{f}^*$

(c).  $\tilde{V}$  is generated by primitive vectors as  $g(A)\text{-mod}$

~Pf: (a). Take a maximal  $\lambda \in P(\tilde{V})$  (with respect to the ordering  $\leq$ ), Then one can take  $v$  to be a weight vector of weight  $\lambda$ . and  $n_+(v)=0$

(b) ( $i \Rightarrow iii$ )

Let  $\tilde{V}$  be an irreducible module

By remark, ~~not~~ a weight vector  $v \in \tilde{V}$  is  
 $\text{primitive} \iff n_+(v)=0$   
 $\Rightarrow (\tilde{V}) = g(A)(v)$

$U = \tilde{V}, (U = D)$

Take a primitive  $v$  of weight  $\lambda$ , Then  $U(g(A))(v)$  is a submodule of  $\tilde{V}$ ,  $\Rightarrow \underline{\tilde{V}} = U(g)(v)$

and  $\tilde{V}$  is a module with highest weight  $\lambda$ .

In particular,  $P(v) \leq \lambda$  and  $\dim \tilde{V}_\lambda = \epsilon_{v_\lambda} = 1$

Hence, every primitive vector is proportional to  $v_\lambda$

$\Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (iii)$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)

If  $V$  is a h.w.m, and  $U \subset V$  is a proper submodule  
then  $U$  contains a highest-weight vector

$\downarrow$   $V$  is irreducible.

contains primitive vec

$\in V$

by (a)  $V \neq 0 \in U$

$n, n(u)=0$

$U \subset V \quad U \in \emptyset$

矛盾

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $V$  h.w.m

$\varphi: M(\lambda) \longrightarrow V$

$V \cong \underbrace{M(\lambda)}_{\text{ker } \varphi} / \text{ker } \varphi$

$\text{ker } \varphi$  must be a maximal submodule of  $M(\lambda)$

$\text{ker } \varphi = M(\lambda)$  i.e.  $V \cong M(\lambda) / \text{ker } \varphi$

$= M(\lambda) / \mu(\lambda) = L(\lambda)$

(c).

IPf: Let  $(V')$  be the submodule in  $(V)$  generated  
by all primitive elements. If  $V' \neq V$ .

then  $g(A)$ -module  $(V') / V \neq 0$  contains a  
vector  $v + V$  by (a)  $v + V$  is primitive vector.

But a weight vector in  $V$  which is preimage  
of  $w$  is primitive  $\{ \Rightarrow V = V'$

$\dagger$

$$\varphi: U/V \longrightarrow U/V'$$

$$w \longmapsto n + V' \rightarrow \text{primitive}.$$

$$\varphi^{-1}(U/V') \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} U + V', \quad w + V' \notin U/V' \\ (n + (-) + V') \in U/V' \end{array} \right.$$

$$n + (w) \in \overline{\varphi^{-1}(U/V')} \quad \frac{n + (v)}{\Delta} + \overline{(V')} \in \overline{U} + \overline{(V')}$$

$$w \notin \varphi^{-1}(U/V')$$

$$w \in \varphi^{-1}(U/V')$$

$$\varphi(v) \in U/V' \quad \{$$

$$n + (v) \leftarrow \varphi^{-1}(U/V')$$

Rmk: Thus, we have a bijection between  
 $\mathcal{C}$  and irreducible from the category  $\mathcal{C}$

given by  $\lambda \longrightarrow \underbrace{L(\lambda)}_{\text{不可约}} = m(\lambda) / m'(\lambda)$

#