

Lemma 12.8.

a) For $\pi \in g$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has:

$$[\pi x^{(m)}, T_n] = 2(K + h^\vee) m x^{(m+n)}$$

Proof: Recall: Pg. 9. $D = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{d} \otimes j$ is a lie algebra with commutation

$$[\mathfrak{d} \otimes i, \mathfrak{d} \otimes j] = (i-j)\mathfrak{d} \otimes ij$$

We perform the calculations in the semidirect product $D \ltimes V_{\mathfrak{d} \otimes g'}$

For π , note

$$(12.8.5) \quad T_0 = -2(K + h^\vee) d + \Omega \quad (\text{by (12.8.3)})$$

Also, it is straightforward to check using (12.8.2) that

$$T_j = \frac{1}{j} [\pi, T_0] \quad \forall j \neq 0.$$

Γ • Given by a lie action of a lie algebra g on another lie algebra A , hence a lie algebra homomorphism to the derivation on A .

$$\rho: g \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$$

then there is a lie algebra extension of g by A whose underlying vector space is $\hat{g} = g \oplus A$, and whose lie bracket is given by the formula: $\hat{[x_i, x_j]} = [ix_i, x_j] + \rho(x_i)(x_j) - \rho(x_j)(x_i)$

$$[\pi(x_i), \pi(x_j)] = (ix_i, x_j) + \rho(x_i)(x_j) - \rho(x_j)(x_i)$$

$$\text{then } [\pi, T_0] = [\pi, \sum_i u_i u_i^* + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_i u_i^{(-n)} u_i^{(n)}] =$$

$$= \rho(\pi) (\sum_i u_i u_i^* + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_i u_i^{(-n)} u_i^{(n)}) \quad (\text{as } [\pi, T_0] = -t^{3+1} \frac{d}{dt})$$

$$(*) = \pi (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_i u_i^{(-n)} u_i^{(n)}) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_i n(u_i^{(-n)} u_i^{(n)} - u_i^{(n)} u_i^{(-n)})$$

$$\text{since } \sum_i u_i^{(m)} u_i^{(n)} = \sum_i u_i^{(m)} u_i^{(n)} = \sum_i u_i^{(n)} u_i^{(m)} + m \delta_{m,-n} \text{ (using K)}$$

(independent of the choice of dual basis) $\Rightarrow (12.8.2)$

$$\text{hence } (*) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_i (-nu_i^{(-n)} u_i^{(n)} + nu_i^{(n)} u_i^{(-n)}) + (-n) \delta_{m,-n} \text{ (using K)}$$

$$= - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i n u_i^{(-n)} u_i^{(n)} + (-n) \delta_{m,-n} \text{ (since } j \neq 0).$$

$$\text{to get } \underbrace{- \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i n u_i^{(-n)} u_i^{(n)}}_{-n=r+j} - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i n u_i^{(n)} u_i^{(-n)} - (-n) \delta_{m,-n} \text{ (using K)}$$

$$\text{let } r=n \quad \underbrace{= - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i n u_i^{(-n)} u_i^{(n)} + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i (r+j) u_i^{(r)} u_i^{(-r)}}_{\text{cancel } -n}$$

$$= j \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i u_i^{(-n)} u_i^{(n)} = j T_j$$

• Now we have, using Th. 2.6. and (12.8.5):

$$[\pi x^{(m)}, T_0] = [\pi x^{(m)}, -2(K + h^\vee) d + \Omega] = [\pi x^{(m)}, -2(K + h^\vee) d_0] \\ = -2(K + h^\vee) m x^{(m)}$$

recall: ① $d_0 = -d$. ② Th. 2.6 P.3: if V is a restricted $g(\Lambda)$ -module, then Ω commutes with the action of $g(\Lambda)$ on V . ③ $[d_0, x^{(m)}] = mx^{(m)}$

Finally. For $j \neq 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\tau x^{(m)}, dj] = -\frac{d}{j} [\tau x^{(m)}, j] = m x^{(m+j)} \\ & [x^{(m)}, T_j] = \frac{1}{j} [\tau x^{(m)}, \tau dj, T_0] = \frac{1}{j} [\tau x^{(m)}, dj, T_0] + \frac{1}{j} [\tau dj, \tau x^{(m)}, T_0] \\ & = \frac{1}{j} [\tau m x^{(m+j)}, T_0] + \frac{1}{j} 2(K+h^v) [\tau dj, mx^{(m)}] \\ & = \frac{1}{j} m \gamma(K+h^v)(m+j)x^{(m+j)} + \frac{1}{j} \gamma(K+h^v) (-m^2 x^{(m+j)}) \\ & = \gamma(K+h^v)m x^{(m+j)} \end{aligned}$$

#

i) Let V be a restricted g -module and let $v \in V$ be such that $n_+(v) = 0$ and $h(v) = \langle \lambda, h \rangle v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then

$$T_0(v) = (\bar{\lambda} | \bar{\lambda} + 2\bar{p})v$$

Proof. follows immediately from (12.8.5) and (12.6.2).

Recall: Pq. (12.6.2) $\tau(v) = (\lambda + 2p|\lambda)v$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } T_0(v) &= \left(\sum_i u_i u_i^* + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_i u_i^{(-n)} u_i^{(n)} \right) (v) = 0. (\text{by } \text{Pq. ex. 218}) \\ ? &= \tau(v) = (\bar{\lambda} | \bar{\lambda} + 2\bar{p})v. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(v) &= -2\lambda(K)\lambda(d)v - 2h\lambda(d)v + (\lambda + 2p)v. \\ &= \end{aligned} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \lambda = \bar{\lambda} + \langle \lambda, h \rangle \lambda_0 + (\lambda|\lambda_0)s \\ p = \bar{p} + h^v \lambda_0. \end{array} \right.$$

Now we can calculate $[\tau T_m, T_n]$.

(one should be careful about staying within the algebra $V(g')$.)

ii) we may assume that $m > n$. let first $m+n \neq 0$, $m \neq 0$, $n \neq 0$.

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [\tau T_m, T_n] &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i [\tau u_i^{(-j)} u_i^{(m+j)}, T_n] \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i [u_i^{(-j)} u_i^{(m+j)}, T_n] - u_i^{(-j)} T_n u_i^{(m+j)} + u_i^{(-j)} T_n u_i^{(m+j)} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i (u_i^{(-j)} [\tau u_i^{(m+j)}, T_n] + [\tau u_i^{(-j)}, T_n] u_i^{(m+j)}) \\ &\quad (\text{by lem. 12.8}) = \gamma(K+h^v) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i ((m+j) u_i^{(-j)} u_i^{(m+j+n)} - j u_i^{(-j+n)} u_i^{(m+j)}) \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing j by $j+n$ in (*): we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (12.8.6) \quad [\tau T_m, T_n] &= \gamma(K+h^v) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i ((m+j) u_i^{(-j)} u_i^{(m+j+n)} - (j+n) u_i^{(-j)} u_i^{(m+j+n)}) \\ &= \gamma(K+h^v) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i (m-n) u_i^{(-j)} u_i^{(m+n+j)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have, provided that $m+n \neq 0$, $m \neq 0$, $n \neq 0$.

$$(12.8.7) \quad [\tau T_m, T_n] = \gamma(K+h^v) (m-n) T_{m+n}$$

(*) A similar calculation shows that (12.8.7) holds when $m+n \neq 0$ but m or $n=0$.

(3) Let now $m \neq n = 0$, $m > 0$. Then the right-hand side of (12.8.b) does not lie in $V_0(g')$. ?

We proceed as follows: since τ is independent of the choice of dual basis, we have:

$$\sum_i u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j+m))} = \sum_i u_i^{(i+j+m)} u^{(i(j))} \quad \text{when } m \neq 0.$$

Hence we can write (here and further we drop the sign of summation over i , but assume that -1 is present)

$$T_m = \sum_{j \geq 0} u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j+m))} + \sum_{j > 0} u_i^{(i-j+m)} u^{(i(j))}$$

$$\Gamma T_m = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i u_i^{(i-m)} u^{(im+jn)}$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma [T_m, T_{-m}] &= \left[\sum_{j \geq 0} u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j+m))} + \sum_{j > 0} u_i^{(i-j+m)} u^{(i(j))}, \sum_{j \geq 0} u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j-m))} + \sum_{j > 0} u_i^{(i-j-m)} u^{(i(j))} \right] \\ (\text{Recall: } \Gamma T_m, T_n) &= 2(K+h^\vee) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i ((m+j) u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(im+j+n)} - j u_i^{(i-j+m)} u^{(im+j)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma [T_m, T_{-m}] = 2(K+h^\vee) m \underset{j=0}{\Omega}$$

$$+ 2(K+h^\vee) \sum_{j > 0} ((j+m) u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j))} - j u_i^{(i-j-m)} u^{(i(j+m))}) \quad (j > 0)$$

$$+ 2(K+h^\vee) \sum_{j > 0} ((-j+m) u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(-j))} + j u_i^{(i(-j-m))} u^{(i(-j+m))}) \quad (j < 0)$$

$$\boxed{\text{易知由支数} = 2(K+h^\vee) \sum_{j > 0} ((-j+m) u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(-j))} + j u_i^{(i(-j-m))} u^{(i(-j+m))})}$$

$$= 2(K+h^\vee) \sum_{j > 0} (-j+m) u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j))} + (-j+m) j \dim K + j u_i^{(i-j+m)} u^{(i(j-m))}$$

$$+ j(j-m) \dim K$$

$$= 2(K+h^\vee) \sum_{j > 0} (-j+m) u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j))} + j u_i^{(i-j+m)} u^{(i(j-m))}$$

Continue above:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma [T_m, T_{-m}] &= 2(K+h^\vee) m \underset{j=0}{\Omega} + 2(K+h^\vee) \sum_{j > 0} ((j+m) u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j))} - j u_i^{(i-j-m)} u^{(i(j+m))}) \\ &\quad + j u_i^{(i-j+m)} u^{(i(j-m))} + (m-j) u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j))} \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2(K+h^\vee) (m T_0 + \sum_{j > 0} j u_i^{(i-j+m)} u^{(i(j-m))} - j u_i^{(i-j-m)} u^{(i(j+m))})$$

τ Recall $T_0 = \underset{j=0}{\Omega} + 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i u_i^{(i-n)} u^{(in)}$

Replacing j by $j+m$ in the first summation and j by $j-m$ in the second summation, we obtain:

$$\Gamma [T_m, T_{-m}] = 2(K+h^\vee) (m T_0 + \sum_{j > -m} (j+m) u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j))} + \sum_{j > m} (m-j) u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j))})$$

$$= 2(K+h^\vee) (2m T_0 + \sum_{j=-m+1}^1 (j+m) u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j))} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j) u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j))}) \quad (T_0 \text{ is p. 3. 3. 3.})$$

$$= 2(K+h^\vee) (2m T_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (-j+m) (u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(-j))} - u_i^{(i-j)} u^{(i(j))}))$$

$$= 2(K+h^\vee) (2m T_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j) [\sum_i u_i^{(i-j)}, u_i^{(i-j)}]) \stackrel{(12.8.2)}{=} 2(K+h^\vee) (2m T_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} j(m-j) (\dim K))$$

$$\text{Since } \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} j(m-j) = \frac{m^3 - m}{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} j(m-j) &= m-1 + 2(m-2) + 3(m-3) + \dots + (m-1)(m-m+1) \\ &= m + 2m + \dots + (m-1)m - (1+4+9+\dots+(m-1)^2) \\ &= \frac{m(m-1)m}{6} - \frac{(m-1)m(m-1)}{6} = \frac{m^3 - m}{6} \end{aligned}$$

combining with (12.8.7), we obtain the final formula:

$$(12.8.8) [\Gamma T_m, T_n] = \nu(K + h^\vee)((m-n)T_{m+n} + S_{m,-n} \frac{m^3 - m}{6} (\mathrm{dim} g) K).$$

* we immediately obtain from (12.8.8) and Cor 12.8 the following.

Cor 12.8 Let V be a restricted g' -module such that K is a scalar operator λI , $\lambda \neq -h^\vee$, let:

$$(12.8.9) L_n = \frac{1}{\nu(K + h^\vee)} T_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(12.8.10) c(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda(\mathrm{dim} g)}{\lambda + h^\vee},$$

大到小的限制。

$$(12.8.11) h_n = \frac{(\lambda + \nu p) \lambda}{\nu(K + h^\vee)} \quad \text{if } V = \mathbb{L}(\lambda). \quad \text{不一致模块扩张或大数}$$

a) letting $\alpha_n \mapsto L_n$, $c \mapsto c(\lambda)$ extends V to a \mathfrak{g}' -module over $g' + V_{\lambda, \nu}$ (the semidirect sum defined in §7.3). In particular, V extends to a module over $g (= g' + \mathbb{C}d)$ by letting $d \mapsto -L_0$.

Result: Prop. The semi-direct product of Lie algebras $V \oplus \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(g)$ defined by (7.3.3), (7.4.1), (7.5.1) and $[\Gamma c, \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(g)] = 0$.

$$(7.5.3) [\Gamma d_i, d_j] = (i-j)d_{i+j} + \frac{1}{\nu} (\gamma^b - i) S_{i,-j} c \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

$$(7.4.1) [\Gamma a + \lambda K, b + \mu K] = [\Gamma a, b]_0 + \nu(a, b)K, \quad (a, b \in \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(g)), \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$(7.3.1). \quad \partial_S|_{\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(g)} = -t^{s+1} \frac{d}{dt}, \quad \partial_S(K) = 0. \quad \square$$

comparing the result of $[\Gamma L_n, L_m]$ with (7.5.3)

(在 g 中构造出 $L_n \rightarrow \Gamma L_n$ 等于 Γd_i)

Moreover comparing $[\Gamma L_n, \pi^{(m)}]$ and $[\Gamma T_n, \pi^{(m)}]$ with (7.5.1)

$$\Gamma [\pi^{(m)}, T_j] = \nu(K + h^\vee) m \pi^{(m+j)}$$

$$[\Gamma L_n, \pi^{(m)}] = -m \pi^{(m+j)}$$

g' -mod $\rightarrow V_{\nu}(g')$ -mod

$g' \cong V \Leftrightarrow V_{\nu}(g') \cong V$. #

$\psi: V_{\nu}(g') \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(V)$.

b) if V is the g -module $\mathbb{L}(\lambda)$, then $L_0 = h_\lambda \mathbb{L} - d$. ? 原因 $\pi^{(m)}$ or T_n ?

$$\text{proof: } L_0(v) = \frac{1}{\nu(K + h^\vee)} T_0(v) \xrightarrow{\text{由 (12.8.11) }} \frac{(\lambda + \lambda + 2p) \lambda}{\nu(K + h^\vee)} v$$

($h_\lambda I - d$) $v = \frac{(\lambda + 2p)\lambda}{\nu(h^\vee + k)} v - \lambda(d)v =$

$$\text{by } \lambda = \bar{\lambda} + \langle \lambda; K \rangle \lambda + \underbrace{\langle \lambda; K \rangle}_{\lambda(d)} S =$$