

# 凸优化和单调变分不等式的收缩算法

## 第十九讲: 多块可分离凸优化问题部分 平行正则化的交替方向类方法

Partially parallel and regularized Alternating  
direction method of multipliers for convex  
optimization containing more separable blocks

南京大学数学系 何炳生

[hebma@nju.edu.cn](mailto:hebma@nju.edu.cn)

The context of this lecture is based on the publication [11]

# 1 Convex optimization problem with m-blocks

We consider the linearly constrained convex optimization with  $m$  separable operators

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i(x_i) \mid \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i = b, x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i \right\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Its Lagrange function is

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_m, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i(x_i) - \lambda^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i - b \right), \quad (1.2)$$

which is defined on

$$\Omega := \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}_m \times \mathbb{R}^l.$$

Let  $(x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_m^*, \lambda^*)$  be a saddle point of the Lagrange function (1.2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^l}(x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_m^*, \lambda) &\leq L(x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_m^*, \lambda^*) \\ &\leq L_{x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i (i=1, \dots, m)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, \lambda^*). \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that finding a saddle point of  $L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, \lambda)$  is equivalent to finding

$w^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_m^*, \lambda^*) \in \Omega$ , such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta_1(x_1) - \theta_1(x_1^*) + (x_1 - x_1^*)^T \{-A_1^T \lambda^*\} \geq 0, \\ \theta_2(x_2) - \theta_2(x_2^*) + (x_2 - x_2^*)^T \{-A_2^T \lambda^*\} \geq 0, \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \theta_m(x_m) - \theta_m(x_m^*) + (x_m - x_m^*)^T \{-A_m^T \lambda^*\} \geq 0, \\ (\lambda - \lambda^*)^T (\sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^* - b) \geq 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (1.3)$$

for all  $w = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, \lambda) \in \Omega$ . More compactly, (1.3) can be written into the following VI:

$$w^* \in \Omega, \quad \theta(x) - \theta(x^*) + (w - w^*)^T F(w^*) \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in \Omega, \quad (1.4a)$$

where

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i(x_i),$$

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F(w) = \begin{pmatrix} -A_1^T \lambda \\ -A_2^T \lambda \\ \vdots \\ -A_m^T \lambda \\ \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i - b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.4b)$$

Note that the operator  $F(w)$  defined in (1.4b) is an affine operator and its matrix is skew-symmetric, thus, we have

$$(w - \tilde{w})^T (F(w) - F(\tilde{w})) \equiv 0, \quad \forall w, \tilde{w}. \quad (1.5)$$

Since we have assumed that the solution set of (1.1) is not empty, the solution set of (1.4), denoted by  $\Omega^*$ , is also nonempty.

In addition to the notation of  $w = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, \lambda)$ , we also use the following notation:

$$v = (x_2, \dots, x_m, \lambda).$$

Moreover, we define

$$\mathcal{V}^* = \{(x_2^*, \dots, x_m^*, \lambda^*) \mid (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_m^*, \lambda^*) \in \Omega^*\}.$$

The augmented Lagrangian function of the problem (1.1) is

$$\mathcal{L}_\beta(x_1, \dots, x_m, \lambda) = L(x_1, \dots, x_m, \lambda) + \frac{\beta}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i - b \right\|^2. \quad (1.6)$$

Now, we are in the stage to describe the direct extension of ADMM to the problem (1.1).

### Direct Extension of ADMM

Start with given  $(x_2^k, \dots, x_m^k, \lambda^k)$ ,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1^{k+1} = \arg \min \{ \mathcal{L}_\beta(x_1, x_2^k, x_3^k, \dots, x_m^k, \lambda^k) \mid x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1 \}; \\ x_2^{k+1} = \arg \min \{ \mathcal{L}_\beta(x_1^{k+1}, x_2, x_3^k, \dots, x_m^k, \lambda^k) \mid x_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2 \}; \\ \vdots \\ x_i^{k+1} = \arg \min \{ \mathcal{L}_\beta(x_1^{k+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{k+1}, x_i, x_{i+1}^k, \dots, x_m^k, \lambda^k) \mid x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i \}; \\ \vdots \\ x_m^{k+1} = \arg \min \{ \mathcal{L}_\beta(x_1^{k+1}, \dots, x_{m-1}^{k+1}, x_m, \lambda^k) \mid x_m \in \mathcal{X}_m \}; \\ \lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k - \beta (\sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^{k+1} - b). \end{array} \right. \quad (1.7)$$

There is counter example [3], it is not necessary convergent for the problem with  $m \geq 3$ .

## 2 ADMM + Prox-Parallel Splitting ALM

The following splitting method does not need correction. Its  $k$ -th iteration begins with given  $v^k = (x_2^k, \dots, x_m^k, \lambda^k)$ , and obtain  $v^{k+1}$  via the following procedure:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1^{k+1} = \arg \min \{ \mathcal{L}_\beta(x_1, x_2^k, x_3^k, \dots, x_m^k, \lambda^k) \mid x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1 \}; \\ \text{for } i = 2, \dots, m, \text{ do :} \\ x_i^{k+1} = \arg \min_{x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}_\beta(x_1^{k+1}, x_2^k, \dots, x_{i-1}^k, x_i, x_{i+1}^k, \dots, x_m^k, \lambda^k) \\ + \frac{\tau\beta}{2} \|A_i(x_i - x_i^k)\|^2 \end{array} \right\}; \\ \lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k - \beta \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^{k+1} - b \right) \end{array} \right. \quad (2.1)$$

- **The  $x_2 \dots x_m$ -subproblems are solved in a parallel manner.**
- **To ensure the convergence, in the  $x_i$ -subproblem,  $i = 2, \dots, m$ , an extra proximal term  $\frac{\tau\beta}{2} \|A_i(x_i - x_i^k)\|^2$  is necessary.**

**An equivalent recursion of (2.1)**
 $\mu = \tau + 1$  and  $\tau$  is given in (2.1).

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 x_1^{k+1} = \arg \min \{ \mathcal{L}_\beta(x_1, x_2^k, x_3^k, \dots, x_m^k, \lambda^k) \mid x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1 \}; \\
 \lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \lambda^k - \beta (A_1 x_1^{k+1} + \sum_{i=2}^m A_i x_i^k - b); \\
 \text{for } i = 2, \dots, m, \text{ do :} \\
 x_i^{k+1} = \arg \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \theta_i(x_i) - (\lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}})^T A_i x_i \\
 + \frac{\mu\beta}{2} \|A_i(x_i - x_i^k)\|^2
 \end{array} \middle| x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i \right\}; \\
 \lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k - \beta (\sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^{k+1} - b)
 \end{array} \right. \quad (2.2)$$

The method (2.2) is proposed in IMA Numerical Analysis [11]:

- **B. He, M. Tao and X. Yuan, A splitting method for separable convex programming. IMA J. Numerical Analysis, 31(2015), 394-426.**

## Equivalence of (2.1) and (2.2)

It needs only to check the optimization conditions of their  $x_i$ -subproblems for  $i = 2, \dots, m$ . Note that the optimal condition of the  $x_i$ -subproblem of (2.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{k+1} \in \mathcal{X}_i, \quad & \theta_i(x_i) - \theta_i(x_i^{k+1}) + (x_i - x_i^{k+1})^T \{ -A_i^T \lambda^k + \\ & + \beta A_i^T [(A_1 x_1^{k+1} + \sum_{j=2}^m A_j x_j^k - b) + A_i(x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k)] \\ & + \tau \beta A_i^T A_i(x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k) \} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i$ . By using

$$\lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \lambda^k - \beta (A_1 x_1^{k+1} + \sum_{j=2}^m A_j x_j^k - b); \quad (2.3)$$

it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{k+1} \in \mathcal{X}_i, \quad & \theta_i(x_i) - \theta_i(x_i^{k+1}) + (x_i - x_i^{k+1})^T \{ -A_i^T \lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + \beta A_i^T A_i(x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k) + \tau \beta A_i^T A_i(x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k) \} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$



and consequently

$$x_i^{k+1} \in \mathcal{X}_i, \quad \theta_i(x_i) - \theta_i(x_i^{k+1}) + (x_i - x_i^{k+1})^T \left\{ -A_i^T \lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}} + (1 + \tau)\beta A_i^T A_i (x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k) \right\} \geq 0, \quad \forall x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i. \quad (2.4)$$

Setting  $\mu = 1 + \tau$ , (2.4) is the optimal condition of the  $x_i$ -subproblem of (2.2) !

Notice that the subproblems in (2.2) are

$$x_1^{k+1} = \arg \min \left\{ \theta_1(x_1) + \frac{\beta}{2} \|A_1 x_1 + (\sum_{i=2}^m A_i x_i^k - b) - \frac{1}{\beta} \lambda^k\|^2 \mid x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1 \right\}$$

and

$$x_i^{k+1} = \arg \min \left\{ \theta_i(x_i) + \frac{\mu\beta}{2} \|A_i(x_i - x_i^k) - \frac{1}{\mu\beta} \lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \mid x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i \right\},$$

for  $i = 2, \dots, m$ . We assume that the above problems are not difficult to solve.

The convergence analysis is under the assumption  $\mu \geq m - 1$ . In the next section, Section 3, we prove the convergence of Algorithm (2.2). In Section 4, we present a prediction-correction method which uses the output of (2.2) as the predictor, and the new iterate is updated by a simple correction.

### 3 Convergence Analysis for the algorithm (2.2)

We use (2.2) to analyze the convergence and assume that  $\mu \geq m - 1$ .

The optimal condition of the  $x_i$ -subproblems of (2.2) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1(x_1) - \theta_1(x_1^{k+1}) + (x_1 - x_1^{k+1})^T (-A_1^T \lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}}) \geq 0, \quad \forall x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1; \\ \theta_i(x_i) - \theta_i(x_i^{k+1}) + (x_i - x_i^{k+1})^T (-A_i^T \lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \geq (x_i - x_i^{k+1})^T \mu \beta A_i^T A_i (x_i^k - x_i^{k+1}), \quad \forall x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i; \quad i = 2, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Since

$$\lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \lambda^k - \beta \left( A_1 x_1^{k+1} + \sum_{j=2}^m A_j x_j^k - b \right)$$

and

$$\lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k - \beta \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^{k+1} - b \right),$$

we have

$$-\lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}} = -\lambda^{k+1} + \beta \sum_{j=2}^m A_j (x_j^k - x_j^{k+1}). \quad (3.2)$$

Substituting (3.2) in (3.1), we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta_1(x_1) - \theta_1(x_1^{k+1}) + (x_1 - x_1^{k+1})^T (-A_1^T \lambda^{k+1} + A_1^T p_k) \\ \geq 0, \forall x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1; \\ \theta_i(x_i) - \theta_i(x_i^{k+1}) + (x_i - x_i^{k+1})^T (-A_i^T \lambda^{k+1} + A_i^T p_k) \\ \geq (x_i - x_i^{k+1})^T \mu \beta A_i^T A_i (x_i^k - x_i^{k+1}), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i; \\ i = 2, \dots, m. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$p_k = \beta \sum_{j=2}^m A_j (x_j^k - x_j^{k+1}). \quad (3.4)$$

Since

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^{k+1} - b \right) = (1/\beta)(\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1}),$$

It can be written as

$$(\lambda - \lambda^{k+1})^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^{k+1} - b \right) \geq (\lambda - \lambda^{k+1})^T (1/\beta)(\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1}), \quad (3.5)$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{R}^l$ .

Combining (3.3) and (3.5)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta_1(x_1) - \theta_1(x_1^{k+1}) + (x_1 - x_1^{k+1})^T (-A_1^T \lambda^{k+1} + A_1^T p_k) \\ \quad \geq 0, \quad \forall x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1; \\ \theta_i(x_i) - \theta_i(x_i^{k+1}) + (x_i - x_i^{k+1})^T (-A_i^T \lambda^{k+1} + A_i^T p_k) \\ \quad \geq (x_i - x_i^{k+1})^T \mu \beta A_i^T A_i (x_i^k - x_i^{k+1}), \quad \forall x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i; \\ \quad \quad \quad i = 2, \dots, m. \\ (\lambda - \lambda^{k+1})^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^{k+1} - b \right) \\ \quad \geq (\lambda - \lambda^{k+1})^T (1/\beta)(\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1}). \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{R}^l. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.6)$$

By using the notations  $\theta(x)$  and  $F(w)$ , it follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta(x) - \theta(x^{k+1}) + (w - w^{k+1})^T F(w^{k+1}) + p_k^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i (x_i - x_i^{k+1}) \right) \\
& \geq \sum_{i=2}^m (x_i - x_i^{k+1})^T \mu \beta A_i^T A_i (x_i^k - x_i^{k+1}) \\
& \quad + (\lambda - \lambda^{k+1})^T (1/\beta) (\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1}), \quad \forall w \in \Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting  $w = w^*$  in the above inequality and by a manipulation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=2}^m (x_i^{k+1} - x^*)^T \mu \beta A_i^T A_i (x_i^k - x_i^{k+1}) + (\lambda^{k+1} - \lambda^*)^T \frac{1}{\beta} (\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1}) \\
& \geq \theta(x^{k+1}) - \theta(x^*) + (w^{k+1} - w^*)^T F(w^{k+1}) \\
& \quad + p_k^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i (x_i^{k+1} - x_i^*) \right) \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we treat the right hand side of (3.7). Using (1.5) and the optimality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta(x^{k+1}) - \theta(x^*) + (w^{k+1} - w^*)^T F(w^{k+1}) \\ &= \theta(x^{k+1}) - \theta(x^*) + (w^{k+1} - w^*)^T F(w^*) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^* = b$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^{k+1} - b = \frac{1}{\beta}(\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1})$ , using the definition of  $p_k$  (see (3.4)), we get

$$p_k^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i (x_i^{k+1} - x_i^*) \right) = (\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1})^T \sum_{j=2}^m A_j (x_j^k - x_j^{k+1}).$$

Substituting it in (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=2}^m (x_i^{k+1} - x_i^*)^T \mu \beta A_i^T A_i (x_i^k - x_i^{k+1}) + (\lambda^{k+1} - \lambda^*)^T \frac{1}{\beta} (\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1}) \\ & \geq (\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1})^T \sum_{j=2}^m A_j (x_j^k - x_j^{k+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

By denoting

$$v^k = \begin{pmatrix} x_2^k \\ \vdots \\ x_m^k \\ \lambda^k \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } G = \begin{pmatrix} \mu\beta A_2^T A_2 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \mu\beta A_m^T A_m & \\ & & & \frac{1}{\beta} I \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.9)$$

we get the following assertion:

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $v^{k+1}$  be generated by (2.2) from the given vector  $v^k$ , then we have*

$$(v^k - v^*)^T G (v^k - v^{k+1}) \geq \varphi(v^k, v^{k+1}), \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(v^k, v^{k+1}) &= \|v^k - v^{k+1}\|_G^2 \\ &\quad + (\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1})^T \left( \sum_{i=2}^m A_i (x_i^k - x_i^{k+1}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

**Proof.** Using the notations (3.9), the inequality (3.8) can be written as

$$(v^{k+1} - v^*)^T G(v^k - v^{k+1}) \geq (\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1})^T \sum_{j=2}^m A_j(x_j^k - x_j^{k+1}).$$

Adding  $(v^k - v^{k+1})^T G(v^k - v^{k+1})$  to the both sides of the above inequality, we get the assertion directly.  $\square$

Now we consider the profit of the  $k$ -th iteration. Using (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v^k - v^*\|_G^2 - \|v^{k+1} - v^*\|_G^2 \\ &= \|v^k - v^*\|_G^2 - \|(v^k - v^*) - (v^k - v^{k+1})\|_G^2 \\ &= 2(v^k - v^*)^T G(v^k - v^{k+1}) - \|v^k - v^{k+1}\|_G^2 \\ &\geq \|v^k - v^{k+1}\|_G^2 + 2(\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1})^T \sum_{j=2}^m A_j(x_j^k - x_j^{k+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

In the following is to show that, **when  $\mu > m - 1$ , there is a constant  $\sigma > 0$ , such that the right hand side of (3.12) is greater than  $\sigma \|v^k - v^{k+1}\|_G^2$ .**



According to the definition of the matrix  $G$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|v^k - v^{k+1}\|_G^2 + 2(\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1})^T \left( \sum_{i=2}^m A_i (x_i^k - x_i^{k+1}) \right) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\beta} A_2 (x_2^k - x_2^{k+1}) \\ \sqrt{\beta} A_3 (x_3^k - x_3^{k+1}) \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\beta} A_m (x_m^k - x_m^{k+1}) \\ (1/\sqrt{\beta})(\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1}) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \mu I_l & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_l \\ 0 & \mu I_l & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & I_l \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mu I_l & I_l \\ I_l & \cdots & I_l & I_l & I_l \end{pmatrix} \\
& \quad \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\beta} A_2 (x_2^k - x_2^{k+1}) \\ \sqrt{\beta} A_3 (x_3^k - x_3^{k+1}) \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\beta} A_m (x_m^k - x_m^{k+1}) \\ (1/\sqrt{\beta})(\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the block-wise matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu I_l & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_l \\ 0 & \mu I_l & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & I_l \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mu I_l & I_l \\ I_l & \cdots & I_l & I_l & I_l \end{pmatrix}$$

in (3.13) have the same largest (resp. smallest) eigenvalues as the  $m \times m$  symmetric matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \mu & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mu & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} . \quad (3.14)$$

**Lemma 3.2** For  $m \geq 2$ , the  $m \times m$  symmetric matrix  $M$  defined in (3.14) has  $(m - 2)$  multiple eigenvalues

$$\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \cdots = \nu_{m-2} = \mu,$$

and another two eigenvalues

$$\nu_{m-1}, \nu_m = \frac{1}{2} [(\mu + 1) \pm \sqrt{(\mu + 1)^2 + 4((m - 1) - \mu)}].$$

**Proof.** Let  $e$  be a  $(m - 1)$ -vector whose each element equals 1. Thus

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \mu I_{m-1} & e \\ e^T & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that the eigenvectors of  $M$  have forms

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } y \in \mathfrak{R}^{m-1}.$$

In the first case, we have

$$\begin{cases} \mu y = \nu y, \\ e^T y = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

It is clear that the  $(m - 1)$ -vectors

$$y^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots\dots\dots \quad y^{m-2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are linear independent and satisfy (3.15) with  $\nu = \mu$ . Thus,

$$z^i = \begin{pmatrix} y^i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m - 2,$$

are eigenvectors of  $M$  and the related eigenvalue

$$\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \cdots = \nu_{m-2} = \mu.$$

In the second case,  $z^T = (y^T, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \mu y + e = \nu y, \\ e^T y + 1 = \nu. \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

It follows from (3.16) that

$$(\nu - \mu)(\nu - 1) - (m - 1) = 0,$$

and thus

$$\nu_{m-1}, \nu_m = \frac{1}{2} [(\mu + 1) \pm \sqrt{(\mu + 1)^2 + 4((m - 1) - \mu)}].$$

The lemma is proved.  $\square$

For  $\mu \geq 1$ , it is easy to verify that

$$\nu_m = \frac{(\mu + 1) - \sqrt{(\mu + 1)^2 + 4((m - 1) - \mu)}}{2} \quad (3.17)$$

is the smallest eigenvalue of  $M$ . For fixed  $\mu > (m - 1)$ , there is a  $\sigma$  such that  $\nu_m > \sigma$ . Together with (3.12) and (3.13), we have the following assertions:

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $\mu > m - 1$ , then there is a  $\sigma > 0$  such that*

$$\|v^k - v^{k+1}\|_G^2 + 2(\lambda^k - \lambda^{k+1})^T \left( \sum_{i=2}^m A_i(x_i^k - x_i^{k+1}) \right) \geq \sigma \|v^k - v^{k+1}\|_G^2, \quad (3.18)$$

where  $G$  is defined in (3.9).

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $\mu > m - 1$  and  $\{v^k\}$  be the sequence generated by (2.2), then there is a  $\sigma > 0$  such that*

$$\|v^{k+1} - v^*\|_G^2 \leq \|v^k - v^*\|_G^2 - \sigma \|v^k - v^{k+1}\|_G^2, \quad \forall v^* \in \mathcal{V}^*. \quad (3.19)$$

where  $G$  is defined in (3.9).

The inequality (3.19) is the key for convergence of the method (2.1) and (2.2).

## Implementation of the method for three block problems

For the problem with three separable operators

$$\min\{\theta_1(x) + \theta_2(y) + \theta_3(z) \mid Ax + By + Cz = b, x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}, z \in \mathcal{Z}\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\beta^3(x, y, z, \lambda) &= \theta_1(x) + \theta_2(y) + \theta_3(z) - \lambda^T(Ax + By + Cz - b) \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{2} \|Ax + By + Cz - b\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For given  $v^k = (y^k, z^k, \lambda^k)$ , by using the method proposed in this subsection, the new iterate  $v^{k+1} = (y^{k+1}, z^{k+1}, \lambda^{k+1})$  is obtained via ( $\tau \geq 1$ ) :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x^{k+1} = \text{Argmin}\{\mathcal{L}_\beta^3(x, y^k, z^k, \lambda^k) \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\}, \\ y^{k+1} = \text{Argmin}\{\mathcal{L}_\beta^3(x^{k+1}, y, z^k, \lambda^k) + \frac{\tau\beta}{2} \|B(y - y^k)\|^2 \mid y \in \mathcal{Y}\}, \\ z^{k+1} = \text{Argmin}\{\mathcal{L}_\beta^3(x^{k+1}, y^k, z, \lambda^k) + \frac{\tau\beta}{2} \|C(z - z^k)\|^2 \mid z \in \mathcal{Z}\}, \\ \lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k - \beta(Ax^{k+1} + By^{k+1} + Cz^{k+1} - b), \end{array} \right.$$

(3.20)

An equivalent recursion of (3.20) is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x^{k+1} = \text{Argmin}\{\mathcal{L}_\beta^3(x, y^k, z^k, \lambda^k) \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\}, \\ \lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \lambda^k - \beta(Ax^{k+1} + By^k + Cz^k - b) \\ y^{k+1} = \text{Argmin}\{\theta_2(y) - (\lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}})^T By + \frac{\mu\beta}{2} \|B(y - y^k)\|^2 \mid y \in \mathcal{Y}\}, \\ z^{k+1} = \text{Argmin}\{\theta_3(z) - (\lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}})^T Cz + \frac{\mu\beta}{2} \|C(z - z^k)\|^2 \mid z \in \mathcal{Z}\}, \\ \lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k - \beta(Ax^{k+1} + By^{k+1} + Cz^{k+1} - b), \end{array} \right. \quad (3.21)$$

where  $\mu = \tau + 1 \geq 2$ . Implementation of (3.21) is via

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x^{k+1} = \text{Argmin}\{\theta_1(x) + \frac{\beta}{2} \|Ax + [By^k + Cz^k - b - \frac{1}{\beta}\lambda^k]\|^2 \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\}, \\ \lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \lambda^k - \beta(Ax^{k+1} + By^k + Cz^k - b) \\ y^{k+1} = \text{Argmin}\{\theta_2(y) + \frac{\mu\beta}{2} \|By - [By^k + \frac{1}{\mu\beta}\lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}}]\|^2 \mid y \in \mathcal{Y}\}, \\ z^{k+1} = \text{Argmin}\{\theta_3(z) + \frac{\mu\beta}{2} \|Cz - [Cz^k + \frac{1}{\mu\beta}\lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}}]\|^2 \mid z \in \mathcal{Z}\}, \\ \lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k - \beta(Ax^{k+1} + By^{k+1} + Cz^{k+1} - b). \end{array} \right.$$



## 4 Method with the calculated stepsize

The iteration of the method (2.1) and/or (2.2) begin with  $v^k = (x_2^k, \dots, \lambda^k)$  and finish with  $v^{k+1} = (x_2^{k+1}, \dots, x_m^{k+1}, \lambda^{k+1})$ . In this section, we consider the method with the calculated step-size. In practice, we use the output of (2.2) as a predictor.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1^{k+1} = \arg \min \{ \mathcal{L}_\beta(x_1, x_2^k, x_3^k, \dots, x_m^k, \lambda^k) \mid x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1 \}; \\ \lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \lambda^k - \beta(A_1 x_1^{k+1} + \sum_{i=2}^m A_i x_i^k - b); \\ \text{for } i = 2, \dots, m, \text{ do :} \\ \tilde{x}_i^k = \arg \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta_i(x_i) - (\lambda^{k+\frac{1}{2}})^T A_i x_i \\ + \frac{\mu\beta}{2} \|A_i(x_i - x_i^k)\|^2 \end{array} \mid x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i \right\}; \\ \tilde{\lambda}^k = \lambda^k - \beta(A_1 x_1^{k+1} + \sum_{i=2}^m A_i \tilde{x}_i^k - b) \end{array} \right. \quad (4.1)$$

We only denote the output  $v^{k+1} = (x_2^{k+1}, \dots, x_m^{k+1}, \lambda^{k+1})$  generated from (2.2) by using the new notations  $\tilde{v}^k = (\tilde{x}_2^k, \dots, \tilde{x}_m^k, \tilde{\lambda}^k)$ . After getting  $\tilde{v}^k$ , we

offer the new iterate  $v^{k+1}$  by  $v^{k+1} = v^k - \alpha_k(v^k - \tilde{v}^k)$ .

### Algorithm 2: a prediction-correction splitting method for solving (1.1)

**Step 1.** Prediction step. From the given  $v^k = (x_2^k, \dots, x_m^k, \lambda^k)$ , using (4.1) to produce the predictor  $\tilde{v}^k = (\tilde{x}_2^k, \dots, \tilde{x}_m^k, \tilde{\lambda}^k)$ .

**Step 2.** Correction step. The new iterate  $v^{k+1} = (x_2^{k+1}, \dots, x_m^{k+1}, \lambda^{k+1})$  is updated via:

$$v^{k+1} = v^k - \alpha_k(v^k - \tilde{v}^k), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\alpha_k = \gamma \alpha_k^*, \quad \gamma_k \in (0, 2), \quad \alpha_k^* = \frac{\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k)}{\|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k) = \|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2 + (\lambda^k - \tilde{\lambda}^k)^T \left( \sum_{i=2}^m A_i (x_i^k - \tilde{x}_i^k) \right). \quad (4.4)$$

As we can see easily, Algorithm 1 (2.2) turns out to be a special case of Algorithm

2 where  $\gamma_k \equiv 1/\alpha_k$  in (4.3). Thus, in the following, we prove the convergence for Algorithm 2, from which the convergence of Algorithm 1 becomes trivial.

Since the  $\tilde{v}^k$  in (4.1) is the same of  $v^{k+1}$  in Algorithm (2.2), similarly as in Lemma 3.1, we have the following assertion directly.

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $\tilde{v}^k$  be generated by (4.1) from the given vector  $v^k$ , then we have*

$$(v^k - v^*)^T G(v^k - \tilde{v}^k) \geq \varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k), \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k)$  is defined in (4.4).

**Lemma 4.2** *Under the assumption  $\mu > m - 1$ , it holds that*

$$\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k) \geq \frac{1 + \sigma}{2} \|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2. \quad (4.6)$$

**Proof.** According to the definition of  $\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k)$  (see (4.4)) and the inequality

(3.18) in Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k) &= 2\|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2 + 2(\lambda^k - \tilde{\lambda}^k)^T \left( \sum_{i=2}^m A_i(x_i^k - \tilde{x}_i^k) \right) \\ &\geq (1 + \sigma)\|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion follows from the definitions of  $\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k)$  and  $\alpha_k^*$  (see (4.3) and (3.11)) directly.  $\square$

For determinate the step size  $\alpha_k$  in (4.2), we define the step-size dependent new iterate by

$$v^{k+1}(\alpha) = v^k - \alpha(v^k - \tilde{v}^k), \quad (4.7)$$

In this way,

$$\vartheta(\alpha) = \|v^k - v^*\|_G^2 - \|v^{k+1}(\alpha) - v^*\|_G^2 \quad (4.8)$$

is the distance decrease functions in the  $k$ -th iteration by using updating form (4.7). By defining

$$q(\alpha) = 2\alpha\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k) - \alpha^2\|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2. \quad (4.9)$$

It follows from (4.7), (4.8) and (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta(\alpha) &= \|v^k - v^*\|_G^2 - \|v^k - v^* - \alpha(v^k - \tilde{v}^k)\|_G^2 \\
&= 2\alpha(v^k - v^*)^T G(v^k - \tilde{v}^k) - \alpha^2 \|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2 \\
&\geq 2\alpha\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k) - \alpha^2 \|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2 \\
&= q(\alpha).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Note that  $q(\alpha)$  is a quadratic function of  $\alpha$ , it reaches its maximum at

$$\alpha_k^* = \frac{\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k)}{\|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2}, \tag{4.11}$$

and this is just the same as defined in (4.3). Usually, in practical computation, taking a relaxed factor  $\gamma > 1$  is useful for fast convergence.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $\{v^k\}$  be the sequence generated by Algorithm 2. We have*

$$\|v^{k+1} - v^*\|_G^2 \leq \|v^k - v^*\|_G^2 - \frac{\gamma(2 - \gamma)}{4} \|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2, \quad \forall v^* \in \mathcal{V}^*. \tag{4.12}$$

**Proof.** It follows from (4.8) and (4.10) that

$$\|v^{k+1} - v^*\|_G^2 \leq \|v^k - v^*\|_G^2 - q(\gamma\alpha_k^*), \quad \forall v^* \in \mathcal{V}^*. \quad (4.13)$$

By using (4.9) and (4.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q(\gamma\alpha_k^*) &= 2\gamma\alpha_k^*\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k) - (\gamma\alpha_k^*)^2\|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2 \\ &= \gamma(2 - \gamma)\alpha_k^*\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Since (see (4.6))

$$\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k) > \frac{1}{2}\|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2$$

and consequently (see (4.3)),

$$\alpha_k^* > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\alpha_k^*\varphi(v^k, \tilde{v}^k) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|v^k - \tilde{v}^k\|_G^2.$$

Substituting it in (4.14), the proof of this theorem is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.1 offers the key inequality for the convergence !**

# References

- [1] X.J. Cai, G.Y. Gu, B.S. He and X.M. Yuan, A proximal point algorithms revisit on the alternating direction method of multipliers, *Science China Mathematics*, 56 (2013), 2179-2186.
- [2] A. Chambolle, T. Pock, A first-order primal-dual algorithms for convex problem with applications to imaging, *J. Math. Imaging Vison*, 40, 120-145, 2011.
- [3] C. H. Chen, B. S. He, Y. Y. Ye and X. M. Yuan, *The direct extension of ADMM for multi-block convex minimization problems is not necessarily convergent*, to appear in *Mathematical Programming, Series A*.
- [4] E. Esser, M. Möller, S. Osher, G. Sapiro and J. Xin, A convex model for non-negative matrix factorization and dimensionality reduction on physical space, *IEEE Trans. Imag. Process.*, 21(7), 3239-3252, 2012.
- [5] R. Glowinski, *Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Variational Problems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1984.
- [6] B.S. He, A class of projection and contraction methods for monotone variational inequalities, *Applied Mathematics and Optimization*, **35**, 69-76, 1997.
- [7] B. S. He, Parallel splitting augmented Lagrangian methods for monotone structured variational inequalities, *Computational Optimization and Applications* **42**(2009), 195–212.
- [8] B. S. He, PPA-like contraction methods for convex optimization: a framework using variational inequality approach. *J. Oper. Res. Soc. China* 3 (2015) 391 – 420.
- [9] B. S. He, L. Z. Liao, D. Han, and H. Yang, A new inexact alternating directions method for

- monotone variational inequalities, *Mathematical Programming* **92**(2002), 103–118.
- [10] B. S. He, M. Tao and X.M. Yuan, Alternating direction method with Gaussian back substitution for separable convex programming, *SIAM Journal on Optimization* **22**(2012), 313-340.
- [11] B.S. He, M. Tao and X.M. Yuan, A splitting method for separable convex programming, *IMA J. Numerical Analysis* **31**(2015), 394-426.
- [12] B. S. He, M. H. Xu, and X. M. Yuan, Solving large-scale least squares covariance matrix problems by alternating direction methods, *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications* **32**(2011), 136-152.
- [13] B.S. He, H. Yang, and S.L. Wang, Alternating directions method with self-adaptive penalty parameters for monotone variational inequalities, *JOTA* **23**(2000), 349–368.
- [14] B. S. He and X. M. Yuan, On the  $O(1/t)$  convergence rate of the alternating direction method, *SIAM J. Numerical Analysis* **50**(2012), 700-709.
- [15] B.S. He and X.M. Yuan, Convergence analysis of primal-dual algorithms for a saddle-point problem: From contraction perspective, *SIAM J. Imag. Science* **5**(2012), 119-149.
- [16] B.S. He and X.M. Yuan, On non-ergodic convergence rate of Douglas-Rachford alternating directions method of multipliers, *Numerische Mathematik*, 130 (2015) 567-577.
- [17] B. Martinet, Regularisation, d'inéquations variationnelles par approximations succesives, *Rev. Francaise d'Inform. Recherche Oper.*, **4**, 154-159, 1970.
- [18] R.T. Rockafellar, Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm, *SIAM J. Cont. Optim.*, **14**, 877-898, 1976.