Research Article

Ya-Nan Li and Zhaoyong Huang*

On the C-flat dimension of injective modules

https://doi.org/10.1515/gmj-2025-2021 Received September 9, 2024; revised January 9, 2025; accepted January 15, 2025

Abstract: Let R and S be rings and let R C_S be a semidualizing bimodule. We investigate the behavior of the C-flat dimension of injective left R-modules, and establish the relation among the supremum of these C-flat dimensions and the supremum of C-injective dimensions of projective left S-modules and the supremum of C-projective dimensions of injective left R-modules.

Keywords: Semidualizing modules, *C*-projective dimension, *C*-injective dimension, *C*-flat dimension, relative homological invariants

MSC 2020: 16E10, 18G20, 18G25

1 Introduction

Semidualizing bimodules arise naturally in the investigation of various duality theories in commutative ring theory. The study of such modules was initiated by Foxby [9] and Golod [10]. Then Holm and White [11] extended it to arbitrary associative rings. Many authors have studied the properties of semidualizing modules and related modules (see, e.g., [3, 5, 9–13, 16–24] and the references therein). Among various research areas on semidualizing modules, one basic theme is to extend the "absolute" classical results in homological algebra to the "relative" setting with respect to semidualizing modules. The motivation of this paper comes from Emmanouil and Talelli's work [8], in which the relations among the supremum of the projective dimensions of injective left *R*-modules, the injective dimensions of projective left *R*-modules, the finitistic dimension and the left self-injective dimension of a ring *R* were established. Our aim is to give the relative counterparts with respect to semidualizing modules of these results.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some terminology and some preliminary results.

Let R and S be arbitrary rings and let R be a semidualizing bimodule. In Section 3, we first investigate the relationship between the supremum spcli R of the C-projective dimensions of injective left R-modules and the supremum sfcli R of the C-flat dimensions of injective left R-modules. We give some upper bounds of spcli R in terms of sfcli R together with other relative homological invariants (Proposition 3.2). Moreover, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.5). For any left R-module M and $n \ge 1$, if the C-projective dimension of M is at most n and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^n(M,C) = 0$, then the C-flat dimension of M is at most n-1.

As a consequence, we get that for any $n \ge 1$, if spcli $R \le n$ and the injective dimension of RC is at most n-1, then sfcli $R \le n-1$ (Corollary 3.8).

The finitistic *C*-flat dimension $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -FPD is defined as the supremum of the *C*-flat dimensions of left *R*-modules with finite *C*-flat dimension. We establish the relation among spcli *R*, spcli S^{op} and $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -FPD as follows: it holds that $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -FPD \leq sfcli S^{op} and that sfcli $R \leq \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -FPD if sfcli $R < \infty$ (Proposition 3.10).

^{*}Corresponding author: Zhaoyong Huang, School of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, Jiangsu Province, P. R. China, e-mail: huangzy@nju.edu.cn

Ya-Nan Li, Department of Mathematics, Taizhou University, Taizhou 225300, Jiangsu Province; and School of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, Jiangsu Province, P. R. China, e-mail: liyanan19920929@163.com

In Section 4, we establish the relation among spcli R, spcli S^{op} , sfcli S^{op} and other related relative homological invariants over \aleph_0 -Noetherian rings.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 4.3). *If* R *is a right* \aleph_0 -*Noetherian ring and* S *is a left* \aleph_0 -*Noetherian ring, then* spcli $R < \infty$ and spcli $S^{\mathrm{op}} < \infty$ if and only if sfcli $R < \infty$ and sfcli $S^{\mathrm{op}} < \infty$. In this case, we have

$$|\operatorname{spcli} R - \operatorname{spcli} S^{\operatorname{op}}| \le 1.$$

For a left R-module M, we use $\mathrm{id}_R M$ to denote the injective dimension of M. The invariant siclp S is defined as the supremum of the *C*-injective dimensions of projective left *S*-modules.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorems 4.6 and 4.9). *The following statements hold:*

(1) If R is a left \aleph_0 -Noetherian ring, then

sfcli
$$S^{op} \leq id_R C^{(\mathbb{N})} = siclp S$$
,

where \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers.

(2) If R is a left Noetherian ring, then

sfcli
$$S^{op} = id_R C = siclp S \ge max{Fflic S, Fplic S},$$

where Fflic S (resp. Fplic S) is the supremum of the flat (resp. projective) dimensions of C-injective left S-modules with finite flat (resp. projective) dimension.

By using Theorem 1.3 (1), we prove that for any left \aleph_0 -Noetherian ring R and $n \ge 1$, if $\mathrm{id}_R C \le n$ and sfcli $S^{\mathrm{op}} \le n$ n-1, then siclp $S \le n$; furthermore, if id_R C < siclp S, then siclp $S = \text{sfcli } S^{\text{op}} + 1$ (Proposition 4.7).

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative rings with unit. Let R be a ring. We use Mod R (resp. Mod R^{op}) to denote the class of left (resp. right) R-modules. We use $\mathcal{F}(R)$, $\mathcal{P}(R)$ and $\mathcal{I}(R)$ to denote the subclasses of Mod R consisting of flat, projective and injective modules, respectively. For a module $M \in \text{Mod } R$, we use $\text{fd}_R M$, $\text{pd}_R M$ and $id_R M$ to denote the flat, projective and injective dimensions of M, respectively.

Let \mathscr{X} be a subclass of Mod R and $M \in \text{Mod } R$. The \mathscr{X} -projective dimension \mathscr{X} -pd M of M is defined as $\inf\{n \mid \text{there exists an exact sequence} \}$

$$0 \to X_n \to \cdots \to X_1 \to X_0 \to M \to 0$$

in Mod R with all X_i in \mathcal{X} , and set \mathcal{X} -pd $M=\infty$ if no such integer exists, and set \mathcal{X} -pd 0=-1. Dually, the notion of the \mathscr{X} -injective dimension \mathscr{X} -id M of M is defined. We write

$$\mathscr{X}$$
-FPD := $\sup\{\mathscr{X}$ -pd $M \mid M \in \operatorname{Mod} R \text{ with } \mathscr{X}$ -pd $M < \infty\}$, \mathscr{X} -FID := $\sup\{\mathscr{X}$ -id $M \mid M \in \operatorname{Mod} R \text{ with } \mathscr{X}$ -id $M < \infty\}$.

Definition 2.1 ([3, 11]). Let R and S be rings. An (R, S)-bimodule $_RC_S$ is called *semidualizing* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a1) $_RC$ admits a degreewise finite R-projective resolution.
- (a2) C_S admits a degreewise finite S^{op} -projective resolution.
- (b1) The homothety map ${}_RR_R \xrightarrow{R^{\gamma}} \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{op}}(C,C)$ is an isomorphism. (b2) The homothety map ${}_SS_S \xrightarrow{\gamma_S} \operatorname{Hom}_R(C,C)$ is an isomorphism.
- (c1) $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(C, C) = 0.$
- (c2) $\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{Sop}}^{\geq 1}(C,C) = 0.$

Wakamatsu [22] introduced and studied the so-called generalized tilting modules, which are usually called Wakamatsu tilting modules (see [5, 17]). Note that a bimodule $_RC_S$ is semidualizing if and only if it is Wakamatsu tilting (see [24, Corollary 3.2]). Typical examples of semidualizing bimodules include the free module of rank one and the dualizing module over a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. For more examples of semidualizing bimodules, the reader is referred to [11, 20, 23].

From now on, R and S are arbitrary rings and we fix a semidualizing bimodule $_RC_S$. For convenience, we write

$$(-)_* := \text{Hom}(C, -).$$

A sequence in Mod R is called $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathcal{C}, -)$ -exact if it is exact after applying the functor $(-)_*$. Following [11], set

$$\mathcal{F}_C(R) := \{ C \otimes_S F \mid F \text{ is flat in Mod } S \},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_C(R) := \{ C \otimes_S P \mid P \text{ is projective in Mod } S \},$$

$$\mathcal{I}_C(S) := \{ I_* \mid I \text{ is injective in Mod } R \}.$$

The modules in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$ are called *C-flat, C-projective* and *C-injective*, respectively. When $_RC_S = _RR_R$, C-flat, C-projective and C-injective modules are exactly flat, projective and injective modules, respectively. Symmetrically, the classes of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(S^{\mathrm{op}})$, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(S^{\mathrm{op}})$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(R^{\mathrm{op}})$ are defined.

We define

```
\operatorname{spclfc} R := \sup \{ \mathcal{P}_C(R) - \operatorname{pd} M \mid M \in \mathcal{F}_C(R) \},
   siclf S := \sup\{\mathcal{I}_C(S) - \operatorname{id} N \mid N \in \mathcal{F}(S)\},\
                                                                                                      siclp S := \sup\{ \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(S) - \operatorname{id} N \mid N \in \mathcal{P}(S) \},
   \operatorname{sfcli} R := \sup \{ \mathcal{F}_C(R) - \operatorname{pd} M \mid M \in \mathcal{I}(R) \},
                                                                                                     \operatorname{spcli} R := \sup \{ \mathcal{P}_C(R) - \operatorname{pd} M \mid M \in \mathcal{I}(R) \},
   silfc R := \sup\{id_R M \mid M \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)\},\
                                                                                                     \operatorname{silpc} R := \sup \{ \operatorname{id}_R M \mid M \in \mathcal{P}_C(R) \},
    sflic S := \sup\{fd_S N \mid N \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)\},\
                                                                                                      splic S := \sup\{\operatorname{pd}_S N \mid N \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)\}.
```

It is trivial that siclp $S \leq \text{siclf } S$ and sfcli $R \leq \text{spcli } R$. In addition, by [21, Lemma 2.6], we have

$$\operatorname{siclf} S = \operatorname{silfc} R$$
, $\operatorname{siclp} S = \operatorname{silpc} R$, $\operatorname{sfcli} R = \operatorname{sflic} S$, $\operatorname{spcli} R = \operatorname{splic} S$.

Let $N \in \text{Mod } S$ and $M \in \text{Mod } R$. Then there exist the following two canonical evaluation homomorphisms:

$$\mu_N: N \to (C \otimes_S N)_*$$

defined by $\mu_N(x)(c) = c \otimes x$ for any $c \in C$ and $x \in N$, and

$$\theta_M: C \otimes_S M_* \to M$$

defined by $\theta_M(c \otimes f) = f(c)$ for any $c \in C$ and $f \in M_*$.

Definition 2.2 ([11]). (1) The Auslander class $A_C(S)$ with respect to C consists of all left S-modules N satisfying the following conditions:

- (A1) $Tor_{>1}^{S}(C, N) = 0.$
- (A2) $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{\geq 1}(C, C \otimes_{S} N) = 0.$
- (A3) μ_N is an isomorphism.
- (2) The Bass class $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ with respect to C consists of all left R-modules M satisfying the following conditions:
 - (B1) $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{\geq 1}(C, M) = 0.$
 - (B2) $\operatorname{Tor}_{>1}^{S}(C, M_{*}) = 0.$
 - (B3) θ_M is an isomorphism.

The Auslander class $\mathcal{A}_C(R^{op})$ in Mod R^{op} and the Bass class $\mathcal{B}_C(S^{op})$ in Mod S^{op} are defined symmetrically.

3 sfcli R and related invariants

3.1 The relation between sfcli R and spcli R

We begin with the following observation.

Lemma 3.1 ([21, Theorem 3.3]). We have spclfc $R \leq \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -FPD \leq siclp S = siclf S.

П

Proof. We only give the proof of the equality $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -FPD \leq siclp S, which is different from that in [21]. Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ with $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $M = n < \infty$ and

$$0 \to C \otimes_S P_n \to \cdots \to C \otimes_S P_1 \to C \otimes_S P_0 \to M \to 0$$

be an exact sequence in Mod R with all P_i projective in Mod S. According to [21, Lemma 2.5 (1)], applying the functor $(-)_*$ to the above exact sequence yields the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to (C \otimes_S P_n)_* \to \cdots \to (C \otimes_S P_1)_* \to (C \otimes_S P_0)_* \to M_* \to 0$$

in Mod *S*. By [11, Lemma 4.1], we have $(C \otimes_S P_i)_* \cong P_i$ for any $0 \le i \le n$. Since $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ by [11, Corollary 6.1], it follows from [21, Lemma 2.6 (1)] that $\operatorname{pd}_S M_* = \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd M = n, and hence $\operatorname{Ext}_S^n(M_*, (C \otimes_S P_n)_*) \ne 0$. Then, by [11, Theorem 6.4 (b)], we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(M,\,C\otimes_S P_n)\cong\operatorname{Ext}^n_S(M_*,\,(C\otimes_S P_n)_*)\neq 0,$$

which implies $\operatorname{id}_R C \otimes_S P_n \ge n$, and hence $\mathfrak{I}_C(S)$ -id $P_n \ge n$ by [11, Lemma 4.1] and [21, Lemma 2.6 (3)]. The assertion follows.

The second inequality in the following result was obtained in [21, Theorem 4.3 (2)] when the semidualizing bimodule $_RC_S$ is faithful.

Proposition 3.2. It holds that

sfcli
$$R \leq \operatorname{spcli} R \leq \operatorname{sfcli} R + \operatorname{spclfc} R \leq \operatorname{sfcli} R + \operatorname{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$$
-FPD $\leq \operatorname{sfcli} R + \operatorname{siclp} S$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, it suffices to prove the second inequality.

Suppose sfcli $R = n < \infty$ and spclfc $R = m < \infty$, and let $I \in \text{Mod } R$ be injective. It follows from [11, Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 6.1] that $I \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ and there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(C, -)$ -exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow C \otimes_S P_n \longrightarrow C \otimes_S P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow C \otimes_S P_0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow 0$$

in Mod R with all P_i projective in Mod S. Applying the functor $(-)_*$ to the above exact sequence yields the following exact sequence:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow (C \otimes_S P_n)_* \longrightarrow (C \otimes_S P_{n-1})_* \xrightarrow{(d_{n-1})_*} \cdots \longrightarrow (C \otimes_S P_0)_* \longrightarrow I_* \longrightarrow 0.$$

By [11, Lemma 4.1], we have $(C \otimes_S P_i)_* \cong P_i$ for any $i \geq 0$. So, the above exact sequence is a projective resolution of I_* in Mod S. By [21, Lemma 2.6 (1)], we have

$$\operatorname{fd}_S I_* = \mathcal{F}_C(R)$$
-pd $I \leq \operatorname{sfcli} R = n$,

and hence $K_n := \operatorname{Ker}(d_{n-1})_*$ is flat, which is in $K_n \in \mathcal{A}_C(S)$ by [11, Lemma 4.1] again. Then, by [11, Theorem 1] and [21, Lemma 2.6 (1)], we have $C \otimes_S K_n \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ and

$$\operatorname{pd}_{S} K_{n} = \operatorname{pd}_{S}(C \otimes_{S} K_{n})_{*} = \mathcal{P}_{C}(R) - \operatorname{pd}(C \otimes_{S} K_{n}) \leq \operatorname{spclfc} R = m,$$

and hence $pd_s I_* \le n + m$. Then, by [21, Lemma 2.6 (1)], we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$$
-pd $I = \mathrm{pd}_{\mathcal{S}} I_* \leq n + m$,

and spcli $R \leq n + m$.

The following result was proved in [21, Corollary 4.4] when the semidualizing bimodule ${}_RC_S$ is faithful.

Corollary 3.3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) spcli $R = \text{siclp } S < \infty$.
- (2) spcli $R < \infty$ and siclp $S < \infty$.
- (3) $\operatorname{sfcli} R < \infty$ and $\operatorname{siclp} S < \infty$.

Proof. If siclp $S < \infty$, then spclfc $R < \infty$ by Lemma 3.1. It follows from Proposition 3.2 that spcli $R < \infty$ if and only if sfcli $R < \infty$, and the assertion (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows.

The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial.

(2) ⇒ (1) Let spcli $R = n < \infty$ and let $I \in \text{Mod } R$ be injective with $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd I = n. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_{S} P_{n} \longrightarrow C \otimes_{S} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow C \otimes_{S} P_{0} \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow 0$$

in Mod R with all P_i projective in Mod S. By [21, Lemma 2.5 (1)], we have $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(C \otimes_S P_i, C \otimes_S P_n) = 0$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n$, and so

$$\operatorname{Ext}_R^n(I, C \otimes_S P_n) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\mathbb{J}d_{n-1}, C \otimes_S P_n) \neq 0.$$

Since $P_n \in \mathcal{A}_C(S)$ by [11, Lemma 4.1], we have

$$\operatorname{siclp} S \geq \Im_C(S)$$
-id $P_n = \operatorname{id}_R C \otimes_S P_n \geq n = \operatorname{spcli} R$

by [21, Lemma 2.6 (3)].

Conversely, let siclp $S=m<\infty$ and let $P\in \operatorname{Mod} S$ be projective with $\Im_C(S)$ -pd P=m. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow I^{0}_{*} \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{d^{m-1}} I^{m-1}_{*} \longrightarrow I^{m}_{*} \longrightarrow 0$$

in Mod S with all I^i injective in Mod R. By [21, Lemma 2.5 (2)], we have $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(I^m{}_*,I^i{}_*)=0$ for any $0\leq i\leq m$, and so

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{P}^{m}(I^{m}_{*}, P) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{P}^{1}(I^{m}_{*}, \mathbb{J}d^{m-1}_{*}) \neq 0.$$

Since $I^m \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ by [11, Lemma 4.1], we have

$$\operatorname{spcli} R \ge \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R) - \operatorname{pd} I^m = \operatorname{pd}_{\mathcal{S}} I^m * \ge m = \operatorname{siclp} \mathcal{S}$$

by [21, Lemma 2.6 (1)]. The proof is finished.

As a consequence, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *If* spcli $R < \infty$ *and* siclp $S < \infty$, *then*

$$\operatorname{spcli} R = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)\operatorname{-FPD} = \operatorname{siclp} S.$$

Proof. By assumption and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\operatorname{spcli} R \leq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)\text{-}\operatorname{FPD} \leq \operatorname{siclp} S.$$

Now the assertion follows from Corollary 3.3.

We will examine the extent to which the inequality $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $M \leq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd M is strict.

Theorem 3.5. Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ and $n \geq 1$. If $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $M \leq n$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n}(M, \mathcal{C}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $M \leq n - 1$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $M \leq n$. Then $M \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ by [11, Corollary 6.1]. It follows from [21, Lemma 2.6 (1)–(2)] that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)\text{-pd}\,M \le n \iff \mathsf{pd}_{\mathsf{S}}\,M_* \le n,\tag{3.1}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$$
-pd $M \le n - 1 \iff \mathrm{fd}_{\mathcal{S}} M_* \le n - 1.$ (3.2)

By [11, Theorem 6.4 (b)], we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n}(M,C) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{n}(M_{*},C_{*}) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{n}(M_{*},S). \tag{3.3}$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{P}_{C}(R)$$
-pd $M \le n$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n}(M,C) = 0 \iff \operatorname{pd}_{S} M_{*} \le n$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{n}(M_{*},S) = 0$ (by (3.1) and (3.3))
$$\iff \operatorname{fd}_{S} M_{*} \le n - 1 \quad \text{(by [8, Theorem 2.11])}$$

$$\iff \mathcal{F}_{C}(R)$$
-pd $M \le n - 1 \quad \text{(by (3.2))}.$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.6. Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ and $n \geq 1$. If $\mathcal{P}_{C}(R)$ -pd $M \leq n$ and $\operatorname{id}_{R} C \leq n-1$, then $\mathcal{F}_{C}(R)$ -pd $M \leq n-1$. In particular, if $\mathcal{P}_{C}(R)$ -pd $M \leq 1$ and $\mathcal{P}_{C}(R)$ is injective, then $M \in \mathcal{F}_{C}(R)$.

Let R be an Artin algebra and let R be the direct sum of all representatives of indecomposable injective left R-modules which appear in the minimal injective coresolution of R as direct summands of some term. Then R is a semidualizing bimodule with R = End(R). In this case, it is clear that R is injective.

The rest of the results in this subsection are consequences of Corollary 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. *The following assertions hold:*

(1) Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$. If $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $M = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $M < \infty$, then

$$\mathcal{F}_C(R)$$
-pd $M = \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd $M \leq \mathrm{id}_R C$.

(2) If S is a left perfect ring (in particular, if S is a left or right artinian ring), then

$$\mathcal{F}_C(R)$$
-FPD = $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -FPD $\leq \mathrm{id}_R C$.

Proof. (1) Let $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -pd $M = \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd $M = n < \infty$. If id_R C < n, then $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -pd M ≤ n - 1 by Corollary 3.6, which is a contradiction.

(2) If *S* is a left perfect ring, then a left *S*-module is flat if and only if it is projective. So $\mathcal{F}_C(R) = \mathcal{P}_C(R)$, and hence $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -pd $M = \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd M for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$. Now the assertion follows from (1).

Corollary 3.8. For any $n \ge 1$, if spcli $R \le n$ and $\mathrm{id}_R C \le n - 1$, then sfcli $R \le n - 1$.

Proof. Let $I \in \text{Mod } R$ be injective. Then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $I \leq \text{spcli } R \leq n$ by assumption. It follows from Corollary 3.6 that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $I \leq n-1$ and sfcli $R \leq n-1$. □

Corollary 3.9. The following assertions hold:

- (1) If sfcli $R = \operatorname{spcli} R < \infty$, then sfcli $R = \operatorname{spcli} R \le \operatorname{id}_R C$.
- (2) If $id_R C < spcli R < \infty$, then sfcli R < spcli R.

Proof. (1) Let sfcli $R = \text{spcli } R = n < \infty$. If id_R C < n, then sfcli $R \le n - 1$ by Corollary 3.8, which is a contradiction. (2) It follows from (1).

3.2 The relation between sfcli R and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -FPD

Proposition 3.10. *The following assertions hold:*

- (1) $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -FPD \leq sfcli S^{op} .
- (2) If sfcli $R < \infty$, then sfcli $R \le \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -FPD.

Proof. (1) Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$ with $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -pd $M = n < \infty$. By [11, Corollary 6.1] and [21, Lemma 2.6 (1)], we have $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ and fd_S $M_* = \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -pd M = n. Then there exists some module $A \in \text{Mod } S^{op}$ such that $\text{Tor}_n^S(A, M_*) \neq 0$. Let

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I \rightarrow I/A \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in Mod S^{op} with I injective. It induces the following exact sequence:

$$0 = \operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^{S}(I/A, M_*) \to \operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{S}(A, M_*) \to \operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{S}(I, M_*),$$

which implies $\operatorname{Tor}_n^{\mathcal{S}}(I, M_*) \neq 0$.

Since $M \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$, we have $M_* \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$ by [11, Theorem 1]. Note that $I \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}(S^{op})$ by [11, Lemma 4.1]. It follows from [11, Theorem 6.4 (c)] that

$$\operatorname{Tor}_n^R(I_*, C \otimes_S M_*) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_n^S(I, M_*) \neq 0$$

and $\mathrm{fd}_{R^{\mathrm{op}}}\,I_* \geq n$. Then, by [21, Lemma 2.6 (3)], we have $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(S^{\mathrm{op}})$ -pd $I = \mathrm{fd}_{R^{\mathrm{op}}}\,I_* \geq n$. The assertion follows.

(2) If sfcli $R = n < \infty$, then there exists an injective left R-module I such that $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -pd I = n, and hence

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$$
-FPD $\geq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $I = n = \text{sfcli } R$.

Corollary 3.11. *If* sfcli $R < \infty$ *and* sfcli $S^{op} < \infty$, *then*

sfcli
$$R = \mathcal{F}_{C}(R)$$
-FPD = sfcli $S^{op} = \mathcal{F}_{C}(S^{op})$ -FPD.

Proof. By Proposition 3.10, we have

sfcli
$$R \le \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$$
-FPD \le sfcli $S^{op} \le \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(S^{op})$ -FPD \le sfcli R .

Corollary 3.12. *If* $R \cong S^{op}$ *and* sfcli $R < \infty$, *then* sfcli $R = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -FPD.

4 ℵ₀-Noetherian rings

Lemma 4.1. It holds that:

- (1) $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -FPD = $\mathcal{F}(S)$ -FPD.
- (2) $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -FPD = $\mathcal{P}(S)$ -FPD.
- (3) $\Im_C(S)$ -FID = $\Im(R)$ -FID.

Proof. (1) Suppose $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -FPD = $n < \infty$. Let $N \in \text{Mod } S$ with $\text{fd}_{S} N < \infty$. Then $N \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$ and $C \otimes_{S} N \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ by [11, Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 1]. It follows from [21, Lemma 2.6 (1)] that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$$
-pd $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{S}} N = \mathrm{fd}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{S}} N)_* = \mathrm{fd}_{\mathcal{S}} N < \infty$,

and hence $\operatorname{fd}_S N = \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ - $\operatorname{pd} C \otimes_S N \leq n$. This yields $\mathcal{F}(S)$ -FPD $\leq \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ -FPD.

Conversely, suppose $\mathcal{F}(S)$ -FPD = $n < \infty$. Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$ with $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $M < \infty$. Then we have $M \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ by [11, Corollary 6.1]. It follows from [21, Lemma 2.6 (1)] that

$$\operatorname{fd}_S M_* = \mathcal{F}_C(R)\operatorname{-pd} M < \infty,$$

and hence $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $M = \operatorname{fd}_{\mathcal{S}} M_* \le n$. This yields $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -FPD $\le \mathcal{F}(S)$ -FPD Similarly, we get the assertions (2) and (3).

Recall that a ring R is called *left* (resp. right) \aleph_0 -Noetherian if any left (resp. right) ideal of R is countably generated. The class of left (resp. right) \aleph_0 -Noetherian rings includes countable rings and left (resp. right) Noetherian rings.

Proposition 4.2. *If* S *is a left* \aleph_0 *-Noetherian ring, then*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$$
-FPD $\leq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -FPD +1.

Proof. By [8, Propossition 2.8], we have $\mathcal{P}(S)$ -FPD $\leq \mathcal{F}(S)$ -FPD +1. Now the assertion follows from (1)–(2) of Lemma 4.1.

We give a sufficient condition under which the finiteness of spcli R and spcli S^{op} is equivalent to the finiteness of spcli R and spcli S^{op} .

Theorem 4.3. If R is a right \aleph_0 -Noetherian ring and S is a left \aleph_0 -Noetherian ring, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) spcli $R < \infty$ and spcli $S^{op} < \infty$.
- (2) $\operatorname{sfcli} R < \infty$ and $\operatorname{sfcli} S^{\operatorname{op}} < \infty$.

If one of these two conditions is satisfied, then

$$|\operatorname{spcli} R - \operatorname{spcli} S^{\operatorname{op}}| \le 1.$$

П

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It is trivial.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ By (2) and Corollary 3.11, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_C(R)$$
-FPD = $\mathcal{F}_C(S^{\text{op}})$ -FPD = sfcli R = sfcli $S^{\text{op}} < \infty$.

Then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -FPD $\leq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -FPD $+1 < \infty$ by Proposition 4.2, and hence spcli $R < \infty$ by Proposition 3.2. Symmetrically, we get spcli $S^{\mathrm{op}} < \infty$.

If one of the conditions (1) and (2) is satisfied, then spcli $R \leq \mathcal{P}_{C}(R)$ -FPD and spcli $S^{\mathrm{op}} \leq \mathcal{P}_{C}(S^{\mathrm{op}})$ -FPD. Moreover, we may suppose

$$\mathcal{F}_C(R)$$
-FPD = $\mathcal{F}_C(S^{op})$ -FPD = sfcli R = sfcli S^{op} = $n < \infty$.

Then, by Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{split} n &= \operatorname{sfcli} R \leq \operatorname{spcli} R \leq \mathcal{P}_C(R)\text{-FPD} \leq \mathcal{F}_C(R)\text{-FPD} + 1 = n+1, \\ n &= \operatorname{sfcli} S^{\operatorname{op}} \leq \operatorname{spcli} S^{\operatorname{op}} \leq \mathcal{P}_C(S^{\operatorname{op}})\text{-FPD} \leq \mathcal{F}_C(S^{\operatorname{op}})\text{-FPD} + 1 = n+1. \end{split}$$

The proof is finished.

Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$, and let $D \in \operatorname{Mod} S^{\operatorname{op}}$ be injective and $R N_S$ a bimodule. Then we have an additive map

$$\Phi_M : \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{\operatorname{op}}}(N, D) \otimes_R M \to \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{\operatorname{op}}}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N), D)$$

defined by $\Phi_M(f \otimes m)(g) = f(g(m))$ for any $m \in M, f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{op}}(N, D)$ and $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$. Considering a projective resolution of M and applying homology, we obtain an additive map

$$\Phi_M^{(n)}: \operatorname{Tor}_n^R(\operatorname{Hom}_{S^{\operatorname{op}}}(N,D),M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{\operatorname{op}}}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^n(M,N),D)$$

for any $n \ge 0$, which does not depend on the choice of the projective resolution of M.

Lemma 4.4. Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$ and let K be an n-syzygy of M with $n \geq 0$. Then, for any injective right S-module I, the additive map

$$\Phi_M^{(n)}: \operatorname{Tor}_n^R(I_*, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{\operatorname{op}}}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^n(M, C), I)$$

is monic if and only if the additive map

$$\Phi_K: I_* \otimes_R K \to \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{\operatorname{op}}}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(K, C), I)$$

is monic.

Proof. The case for $S^{op} = \mathbb{Z}$ (the ring of integers) was proved in [8, Proposition 1.5]. The argument there is also valid in our setting.

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. For an integer $n \ge 0$, if $\operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^R(I_*, M) = 0$ for any finitely presented left R-module M and any injective right S-module I, then sfcli $S^{\operatorname{op}} \le n$.

Proof. Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$. Then there exists a direct system $\{M_i\}_i$ consisting of finitely presented left R-modules such that $M = \lim_{i \to \infty} M_i$. It follows from [18, Proposition 7.8] and assumption that

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^{R}(I_{*}, M) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^{R}(I_{*}, \lim_{i \to \infty} M_{i}) \cong \lim_{i \to \infty} \operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^{R}(I_{*}, M_{i}) = 0$$

for any injective right S-module I. Thus, by [21, Lemma 2.6 (1)], we have $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(S^{\mathrm{op}})$ -pd $I = \mathrm{fd}_{R^{\mathrm{op}}} I_* \leq n$ and sfcli $S^{\mathrm{op}} \leq n$.

For a countable inverse system $\{H_n, \lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, recall that \varprojlim^1 , the *first derived functor* of the inverse limit \varprojlim , is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim H_n \longrightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n \stackrel{\Delta}{\longrightarrow} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n \longrightarrow \varprojlim^1 H_n \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\Delta(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}=(x_n-\lambda_n(x_{n+1}))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for any $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}}H_n$ (see [25, Section 3.5]).

Let R be a left \aleph_0 -Noetherian ring, and let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ be cyclic and let

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be a free resolution of M in Mod R with all F_i countably generated and set $K := \text{Im}(F_n \to F_{n-1})$. Then K is countably presented by [15, Lemma 1], and hence there exists a countable direct system $\{K_i\}_i$ of finitely presented submodules of K such that $K = \lim K_i$. It is known that there exists a short exact sequence of Ext-groups

$$0 \to \lim^{1} \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{j-1}(K_{i}, -) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{j}(K, -) \to \lim \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{j}(K_{i}, -) \to 0$$

$$\tag{4.1}$$

for any $j \ge 1$ (see [25, Application 3.5.10]). In particular, for any set J, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \lim^{1} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(K_{i}, C^{(J)}) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K, C^{(J)}) \to \lim \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K_{i}, C^{(J)}) \to 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Since K_i is finitely presented, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_i,C^{(J)})\cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_i,C)^{(J)}$ and the inverse system $\{\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_i,C^{(J)})\}_i$ is naturally identified with the direct sum $(\{\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_i,C)\}_i)^{(J)}$ of copies of the inverse system $\{\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_i,C)\}_i$. Then

$$\lim \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_i,C^{(J)}) \cong \lim (\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_i,C))^{(J)} \subseteq \lim (\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_i,C))^J \cong (\lim (\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_i,C))^J.$$

Suppose $\operatorname{id}_R C \leq n$ and $\varprojlim^1 \operatorname{Hom}_R(K_i, C^{(J)}) = 0$. Then $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K, C) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_R(M, C) = 0$, and hence the exact sequence

$$0 \to \lim^1 \operatorname{Hom}_R(K_i, C) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K, C) \to \lim \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_i, C) \to 0$$

implies $\lim \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(K_i, C) = 0$ and $(\lim (\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(K_i, C)))^J = 0$. Thus $\lim \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(K_i, C^{(J)}) = 0$, and therefore,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_R(M,\,C^{(J)})\cong\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K,\,C^{(J)})=0$$

by dimension shifting and the exact sequence (4.2).

We are now in a position to prove the following result.

Theorem 4.6. The following inequality holds:

$$id_R C^{(\mathbb{N})} \leq siclp S.$$

Furthermore, if R is a left \aleph_0 -Noetherian ring, then

sfcli
$$S^{op} \leq id_R C^{(\mathbb{N})} = siclp S.$$

Proof. By [11, Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 (a)], we have that S and $S^{(\mathbb{N})}$ are in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$. It follows from [21, Lemma 2.6 (c)] that

$$\operatorname{id}_R C^{(\mathbb{N})} = \operatorname{id}_R (C \otimes_S S^{(\mathbb{N})}) = \mathfrak{I}_C(S) - \operatorname{id} S^{(\mathbb{N})} \leq \operatorname{siclp} S.$$

Suppose that R is a left \aleph_0 -Noetherian ring and $\operatorname{id}_R C^{(\mathbb{N})} = n < \infty$. Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ be cyclic and keep the notations as above. Since K_i is finitely presented, we have that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(K_i, C^{(J)}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(K_i, C)^{(J)}$ and the inverse system $\{\operatorname{Hom}_R(K_i, C^{(J)})\}_i$ is naturally identified with the direct sum $\{\operatorname{Hom}_R(K_i, C)^{(J)}\}_i$ of copies of the inverse system $\{\operatorname{Hom}_R(K_i, C)\}_i$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(K_i, C^{(\mathbb{N})}) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, C^{(\mathbb{N})}) = 0$, it follows from [7, Proposition 2.3] that the additive map

$$\Phi_K : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C, D) \otimes_R K \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(K, C), D)$$

is monic for any divisible abelian group D, and hence the induced additive map

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C^{(J)}, D) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} K \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(K, C^{(J)}), D)$$

is also monic by [1, Lemma 1.1 and Proposition 1.2] and [8, Theorem 1.3]. It follows from [6, Corollary 6] and [8, Theorem 1.3] that $\lim^1 \operatorname{Hom}_R(K_i, \mathcal{C}^{(j)}) = 0$.

Since $\operatorname{id}_R C \leq \operatorname{id}_R \overline{C^{(\mathbb{N})}} = n$, it follows from the argument before this theorem that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, C^{(J)}) = 0$. Then, by [21, Lemma 2.6 (3)] and the Baer's criterion, we have

$$\Im_C(S)\text{-id }S^{(J)}=\mathrm{id}_R\ C\otimes S^{(J)}=\mathrm{id}_R\ C^{(J)}\leq n.$$

So, $\mathfrak{I}_{C}(S)$ -id $P \leq n$ for any projective left S-module P, and hence siclp $S \leq n$.

It remains to prove sfcli $S^{op} \le n$. Let $M' \in \text{Mod } R$ be countably generated (in particular, M' can be finitely presented) and let

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$$

be a free resolution of M' in Mod R with all F_i countably generated and set $K' := \operatorname{Im}(F_{n+1} \to F_n)$. Then we have $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K', C^{(\mathbb{N})}) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{n+2}_R(M', C^{(\mathbb{N})}) = 0$. Since K' is countably presented, there exists a countable direct system of finitely presented submodules of K' such that K' is the direct limit of this direct system. It follows from [1, Lemma 1.1 and Example 2.4 (4)] and [2, Theorem 8.10] that the additive map

$$\Phi_{K'}: I_* \otimes_R K' \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{op}}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(K', C), I)$$

is monic for any injective right S-module I. Then, by Lemma 4.4, the additive map

$$\Phi_M^{(n+1)}$$
: $\operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^R(I_*, M') \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{\operatorname{op}}}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M', C), I)$

is also monic. Since $\operatorname{id}_R C \leq \operatorname{id}_R C^{(\mathbb{N})} = n$, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M',C) = 0$, and hence $\operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^R(I_*,M') = 0$. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that sfcli $S^{\operatorname{op}} \leq n$.

Proposition 4.7. Let R be a left \aleph_0 -Noetherian ring. Then the following assertions hold:

- (1) For any $n \ge 1$, if $id_R C \le n$ and $sfcli S^{op} \le n 1$, then $siclp S \le n$.
- (2) If $id_R C < siclp S$, then $siclp S = sfcli S^{op} + 1$.

Proof. (1) Since sfcli $S^{op} \le n-1$, it follows from [21, Lemma 2.6 (1)] that $\mathrm{fd}_{R^{op}} I_* = \mathcal{F}_C(S^{op})$ -pd $I \le n-1$ for any injective right S-module I, and hence $\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(I_*, M) = 0$ for any $M \in \mathrm{Mod}\,R$.

Let *M* be a cyclic left *R*-module and keep the notations as before Theorem 4.6. Then, by (4.1), we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \underline{\lim}^1 \operatorname{Hom}_R(K_i, C^{(\mathbb{N})}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K, C^{(\mathbb{N})}) \to \underline{\lim} \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K_i, C^{(\mathbb{N})}) \to 0.$$

Notice that the additive map

$$\Phi_M^{(n)}: \operatorname{Tor}_n^R(I_*, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{\operatorname{op}}}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^n(M, C), I)$$

is monic, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that the additive map

$$\Phi_K: I_* \otimes_R K \to \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{\operatorname{op}}}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(K, C), I)$$

is monic, and hence the induced additive map

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{S^{\operatorname{op}}}(C^{(\mathbb{N})}, I) \otimes_R K \to \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{\operatorname{op}}}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(K, C^{(\mathbb{N})}), I)$$

is also monic by [1, Lemma 1.1 and Proposition 1.2] and [2, Theorem 8.10]. Thus $\varprojlim^1 \operatorname{Hom}_R(K_i, C^{(\mathbb{N})}) = 0$ by [6, Corollary 6] and [2, Theorem 8.10].

Since $id_R C \le n$, it follows from the argument before Theorem 4.6 that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, C^{(\mathbb{N})}) = 0$. Then, by Theorem 4.6 and the Baer's criterion, we have

$$\operatorname{siclp} S = \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{P}} C^{(\mathbb{N})} < n.$$

(2) The assumption $id_R C < siclp S$ implies $id_R C < \infty$. If siclp S is infinite, then $sfcli S^{op}$ is also infinite and the assertion follows.

Now suppose siclp $S = n < \infty$. Then $\operatorname{id}_R C \le n - 1$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^n(M, C) = 0$ for any $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$. By Theorem 4.6, we have $\operatorname{sfcli} S^{\operatorname{op}} \le \operatorname{siclp} S = n$. If $\operatorname{sfcli} S^{\operatorname{op}} \le n - 2$, then $\operatorname{siclp} S \le n - 1$ by (1), which is a contradiction. Thus $\operatorname{sfcli} S^{\operatorname{op}} > n - 1$.

Now it suffices to prove sfcli $S^{op} \le n-1$. Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$ be countably generated (in particular, finitely presented) and let

$$\cdots \to F_i \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0$$

be a free resolution of M in Mod R with all F_i countably generated and set $K := \text{Im}(F_n \to F_{n-1})$. By Theorem 4.6, we have $\text{id}_R C^{(\mathbb{N})} = \text{siclp } S = n$, so

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K,\,C^{(\mathbb{N})})\cong\operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_R(M,\,C^{(\mathbb{N})})=0.$$

It follows from [1, Lemma 1.1 and Example 2.4 (4)] and [2, Theorem 8.10] that the additive map

$$\Phi_K: I_* \otimes_R K \to \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{\operatorname{op}}}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(K, C), I)$$

is monic for any injective right S-module I. Then, by Lemma 4.4, the additive map

$$\Phi_M^{(n)}: \operatorname{Tor}_n^R(I_*, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{S^{op}}(\operatorname{Ext}_n^R(M, C), I)$$

is also monic. Since $\operatorname{id}_R C \le n-1$, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_R^n(M,C)=0$, and so $\operatorname{Tor}_n^R(I_*,M)=0$. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that $\operatorname{sfcli} S^{\operatorname{op}} \le n-1$.

Corollary 4.8. Let R be a left \aleph_0 -Noetherian ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\operatorname{siclp} S < \infty$.
- (2) sfcli $S^{op} < \infty$ and $id_R C < \infty$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.6 and Proposition 4.7 (2).

We write

Fflic
$$S$$
 := sup{fd_S E | fd_S E < ∞ with E ∈ $\Im_C(S)$ },

Fplic
$$S$$
 := sup{pd_S E | pd_S E < ∞ with $E \in \mathcal{I}_C(S)$ }.

The following result extends [14, Proposition 1].

Theorem 4.9. Let R be a left Noetherian ring. Then

sflic
$$R^{op} = \operatorname{sfcli} S^{op} = \operatorname{id}_R C = \operatorname{siclp} S \ge \max{\text{Fflic } S, \text{ Fplic } S}.$$

Proof. Since R is a left Noetherian ring, $\mathrm{id}_R \ C^{(\mathbb{N})} = \mathrm{id}_R \ C$ by [4, Theorem 1.1]. Then, by [12, Lemma 17.2.4 (2)] and Theorem 4.6, we have

sflic
$$R^{op} = id_R C = siclp S$$
.

Since $fd_{R^{op}}I_* = \mathcal{F}_C(S^{op})$ -pd I for any injective right S-module I by [21, Lemma 2.6 (1)], we have

sflic
$$R^{op}$$
 = sfcli S^{op} .

Suppose that $id_R C = n < \infty$ and $E \in Mod S$ is C-injective with $fd_S E = m < \infty$. Then $E = I'_*$ for some injective left R-module I' and there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to F_m \to S^{(J_{m-1})} \to \cdots \to S^{(J_1)} \to S^{(J_0)} \to E(=I'_*) \to 0 \tag{4.3}$$

in Mod S with F_m flat and J_i an index set for any $0 \le i \le m-1$. By [11, Corollary 6.1], we have $I' \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ and $E \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$, so applying the functor $C \otimes_S -$ to the exact sequence (4.3) yields the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_m \xrightarrow{d_m} C^{(J_{m-1})} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow C^{(J_1)} \longrightarrow C^{(J_0)} \longrightarrow C \otimes_S I'_* (\cong I') \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\tag{4.4}$$

in Mod R with $K_m = C \otimes_S F_m (\in \mathcal{F}_C(R))$. By [21, Lemma 2.5 (1)], we have $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(C^{(f_i)}, K_m) = 0$ for any $0 \leq i \leq m-1$. Since R is a left Noetherian ring and $\operatorname{id}_R C = n$, it follows from [4, Theorem 1.1] that $\operatorname{id}_R K_m \leq n$. If m > n, then $\operatorname{Ext}_R^m(I', K_m) = 0$. It follows from the exact sequence (4.4) that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\operatorname{Coker} d_m, K_m) = 0$. Thus the exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow K_m\stackrel{d_m}{\longrightarrow} C^{(J_{m-1})}\longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} d_m\longrightarrow 0$$

splits and Coker d_m is a direct summand of $C^{(J_{m-1})}$. By [11, Proposition 5.1 (b)], we have Coker $d_m \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$, and hence $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ -pd $I' \leq m-1$. Then applying [21, Lemma 2.6 (2)] yields

$$\operatorname{fd}_S E \leq \operatorname{pd}_S E = \operatorname{\mathcal{P}}_C(R)\operatorname{-pd} I' \leq m-1,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we conclude that $m \le n$ and

$$id_R C \ge Fflic S$$
.

Similarly, we have

$$id_R C \ge Fplic S$$
.

Finally, we turn to countable rings.

Theorem 4.10. Let S be a countable ring and let $M \in \text{Mod } R$ be countable and $n \ge 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $M \leq n$.
- (2) $\mathcal{P}_{C}(R)$ -pd $M \leq n + 1$ and $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n+1}(M, C) = 0$.

Proof. By [21, Lemma 2.5 (1)], it is easy to get (1) \Rightarrow (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Since $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd M is finite by (2), we have $M \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ by [11, Corollary 6.1]. Since ${}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is finitely generated, there exists a positive integer t such that

$$R^t \to C \to 0$$

is exact in Mod R, and hence

$$0 \to M_* \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(R^t, M) (\cong M^t)$$

is exact. Since M is countable left R-module, we have that M^t is a countable left R-module. So, the set M_* is countable, and hence M_* is a countable left S-module. It follows that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$$
-pd $M \le n+1$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n+1}(M,\mathcal{C}) = 0$ (by (2))
 $\iff \operatorname{pd}_{S} M_{*} \le n+1$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{n+1}(M_{*},S) = 0$ (by (3.1) and (3.3))
 $\iff \operatorname{pd}_{S} M_{*} \le n$ (by [8, Corollary 2.23])
 $\iff \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $M \le n$ (by (3.1)).

Putting n = 0 in Theorem 4.10, we get the following result.

Corollary 4.11. Let S be a countable ring and let $M \in \text{Mod } R$ be countable. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) *M* is C-projective.
- (2) $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ -pd $M \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, \mathcal{C}) = 0$.

Corollary 4.12. Let S be a countable ring and let $0 \neq M \in \text{Mod } R$ be countable with $\mathcal{P}_{C}(R)$ -pd $M = n < \infty$. Then $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n}(M,C) \neq 0$ and hence $\text{id}_{R} C \geq n$.

Proof. If $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n}(M,C)=0$, then $\operatorname{P}_{C}(R)$ -pd $M\leq n-1$ by assumption and Theorem 4.10, which is a contradiction. \square

Acknowledgment: The authors thank the referee for useful suggestions.

Funding: This research was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12371038, 12171207).

References

- [1] L. Angeleri Hügel, S. Bazzoni and D. Herbera, A solution to the Baer splitting problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), no. 5, 2409–2421
- [2] L. Angeleri Hügel and D. Herbera, Mittag-Leffler conditions on modules, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57 (2008), no. 5, 2459–2517.
- [3] T. Araya, R. Takahashi and Y. Yoshino, Homological invariants associated to semi-dualizing bimodules, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **45** (2005), no. 2. 287–306.
- [4] H. Bass, Injective dimension in Noetherian rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **102** (1962), 18–29.
- [5] A. Beligiannis and I. Reiten, Homological and homotopical aspects of torsion theories, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **188** (2007), no. 883, 1–207.
- [6] I. Emmanouil, Mittaq-Leffler condition and the vanishing of lim¹, Topology **35** (1996), no. 1, 267–271.
- [7] I. Emmanouil, On certain cohomological invariants of groups, *Adv. Math.* **225** (2010), no. 6, 3446–3462.
- [8] I. Emmanouil and O. Talelli, On the flat length of injective modules, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 84 (2011), no. 2, 408–432.
- [9] H.-B. Foxby, Gorenstein modules and related modules, *Math. Scand.* **31** (1972), 267–284.

- [10] E. S. Golod, G-dimension and generalized perfect ideals, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 165 (1984), 62–66.
- [11] H. Holm and D. White, Foxby equivalence over associative rings, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 47 (2007), no. 4, 781–808.
- [12] Z. Huang, Wakamatsu tilting modules, U-dominant dimension, and k-Gorenstein modules, in: Abelian Groups, Rings, Modules, and Homological Algebra, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 249, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton (2006), 183-202.
- [13] Z. Huang, Homological dimensions relative to preresolving subcategories II, Forum Math. 34 (2022), no. 2, 507–530.
- [14] Y. Iwanaga, On rings with finite self-injective dimension. II, Tsukuba J. Math. 4 (1980), no. 1, 107–113.
- [15] C. U. Jensen, On homological dimensions of rings with countably generated ideals, Math. Scand. 18 (1966), 97–105.
- [16] Z. Liu, Z. Huang and A. Xu, Gorenstein projective dimension relative to a semidualizing bimodule, Comm. Algebra 41 (2013), no. 1,
- [17] F. Mantese and I. Reiten, Wakamatsu tilting modules, J. Algebra 278 (2004), no. 2, 532-552.
- [18] J. J. Rotman, An Introduction to Homological Algebra, 2nd ed., Universitext, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [19] R. Takahashi and D. White, Homological aspects of semidualizing modules, Math. Scand. 106 (2010), no. 1, 5–22.
- [20] X. Tang and Z. Huang, Homological aspects of the dual Auslander transpose, Forum Math. 27 (2015), no. 6, 3717–3743.
- [21] X. Tang and Z. Huang, Homological invariants related to semidualizing bimodules, Collog. Math. 156 (2019), no. 1, 135–151.
- [22] T. Wakamatsu, On modules with trivial self-extensions, J. Algebra 114 (1988), no. 1, 106–114.
- [23] T. Wakamatsu, Stable equivalence for self-injective algebras and a generalization of tilting modules, J. Algebra 134 (1990), no. 2,
- [24] T. Wakamatsu, Tilting modules and Auslander's Gorenstein property, J. Algebra 275 (2004), no. 1, 3-39.
- [25] C. A. Weibel, An Introduction to Homological Algebra, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 38, Cambridge University, Cambridge, 1994.