

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Algebra 318 (2007) 93-110

JOURNAL OF Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

Auslander-type conditions and cotorsion pairs

Zhaoyong Huang^{a,1}, Osamu Iyama^{b,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China ^b Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Chikusa-Ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

Received 11 October 2006

Communicated by Kent R. Fuller

Abstract

We study the properties of rings satisfying Auslander-type conditions. If an artin algebra Λ satisfies the Auslander condition (that is, Λ is an ∞ -Gorenstein artin algebra), then we construct two kinds of subcategories which form functorially finite cotorsion pairs.

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: n-Gorenstein; Dominant dimension; Cotorsion pair; Finitistic dimension

Noetherian rings satisfying 'Auslander-type conditions' on self-injective resolutions can be regarded as certain non-commutative analogs of commutative Gorenstein rings. Such conditions, especially dominant dimension and the *n*-Gorenstein condition, play a crucial role in representation theory and non-commutative algebraic geometry (e.g. [A,AR2,AR3,B,C,EHIS,FGR,FI,HN, IS,Iy4,Iy6,M,R,Sm,T,W]). They are also interesting from the viewpoint of some unsolved homological conjectures, e.g. the finitistic dimension conjecture, Nakayama conjecture, Gorenstein symmetry conjecture, and so on. It is therefore important to understand non-commutative 'regular' or 'Gorenstein' rings though it is still far from realized even for the case of finite dimensional algebras. Recently, several authors (e.g. [Hu1,Hu2,Hu3,Iy1,Iy3]) have studied some Auslander-type conditions, e.g. the quasi *n*-Gorenstein condition, the (*l*, *n*)-condition, and so on. This paper

Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: huangzy@nju.edu.cn (Z. Huang), iyama@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp (O. Iyama).

¹ The author was partially supported by Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (Grant No. 20060284002), NSFC (Grant No. 10771095) and NSF of Jiangsu Province of China (Grant No. BK2007517).

is devoted to enlarge our knowledge of the homological behavior of non-commutative rings. Especially we introduce Auslander-type conditions $G_n(k)$ and $g_n(k)$ and study their properties.

Throughout this paper, let Λ be a left and right noetherian ring (unless stated otherwise). We denote by

$$0 \to \Lambda \to I_0(\Lambda) \to I_1(\Lambda) \to \cdots \to I_i(\Lambda) \to \cdots$$

the minimal injective resolution of the left Λ -module Λ . We call Λ *n*-*Gorenstein* if fd $I_i(\Lambda) \leq i$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$,² and call $\Lambda \infty$ -*Gorenstein* if it is *n*-Gorenstein for all *n*. In the latter case, Λ is also said to satisfy the *Auslander condition*. It was proved by Auslander that the notion of *n*-Gorenstein rings is left–right symmetric [FGR, 3.7] (see 4.2 below). Our aim in this paper is to generalize the Auslander condition. In Section 3, we introduce Auslander-type conditions $G_n(k)$ and $g_n(k)$ and study their properties. In Section 4, we concentrate on the conditions $G_n(k)$ and $g_n(k)$ for the case k = 0, 1. We give a quick proof of well-known results on these conditions, then prove our main result. In Section 5, we apply our results to the finitistic dimension and the (l, n)-condition.

We denote by mod Λ the category of finitely generated left Λ -modules, and by $\underline{\text{mod}}\Lambda$ the stable category of Λ [AB]. Put ()* := Hom_{Λ}(, Λ) and

$$\mathbb{E}_{n} := \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n}(\Lambda) : \operatorname{mod} \Lambda \to \operatorname{mod} \Lambda^{op} \quad \text{for } n \ge 0,$$
$$\mathbb{T}_{n} := \operatorname{Tr} \circ \Omega^{n-1} : \operatorname{\underline{mod}} \Lambda \to \operatorname{\underline{mod}} \Lambda^{op} \quad \text{for } n > 0,$$

where $\Omega: \underline{\mathrm{mod}}\Lambda \to \underline{\mathrm{mod}}\Lambda$ is the syzygy functor and $\mathrm{Tr}: \underline{\mathrm{mod}}\Lambda \to \underline{\mathrm{mod}}\Lambda^{op}$ is the transpose functor [AB]. Let

grade
$$X := \inf\{i \ge 0 \mid \mathbb{E}_i X \ne 0\}$$
 the grade,
s.grade $X := \inf\{\text{grade } Y \mid Y \subseteq X\}$ the strong grade,
r.grade $X := \inf\{i > 0 \mid \mathbb{E}_i X \ne 0\}$ the reduced grade.

When Λ is an artin algebra over R, we denote by \mathbb{D} : mod $\Lambda \to \text{mod } \Lambda^{op}$ the duality induced by the Matlis duality of R. Given two homomorphisms of modules, say $f: A \to B$ and $g: B \to C$, the composition homomorphism of f and g is denoted by $fg: A \to C$.

1. Main result

1.1. For subcategories C_i (i = 1, 2) of mod Λ , we denote by $\mathcal{E}(C_1, C_2)$ the subcategory of mod Λ consisting of $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ such that there exists an exact sequence $0 \to C_2 \to C \to C_1 \to 0$ with $C_i \in C_i$ (i = 1, 2). For a subcategory C of mod Λ , we use add C to denote the subcategory of mod Λ consisting of all Λ -modules isomorphic to direct summands of finite direct sums of modules in C.

For a left Λ -module C, we use pd C, fd C and id C to denote the projective dimension, flat dimension and injective dimension of C, respectively. A module C in mod Λ is called *n*-torsionfree

² Notice that there are other meanings to the notion of *n*-Gorenstein rings (e.g. [Iw1,Iw2,EJ,EX]).

if $\mathbb{E}_i \operatorname{Tr} C = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ [AB]. For $n, m \geq 0$, we define several full subcategories of mod Λ as follows:

 $\mathcal{W}_{n} := \{C \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid \text{r.grade } C > n\},$ $\mathcal{F}_{n} := \{C \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid C \text{ is } n \text{-torsionfree}\},$ $\mathcal{P}_{n} := \{C \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid \text{pd}_{\Lambda} C < n\},$ $\mathcal{I}_{n} := \{C \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid \text{id}_{\Lambda} C < n\},$ $\mathcal{X}_{n,m} := \mathcal{W}_{n} \cap \mathcal{F}_{m},$ $\mathcal{Y}_{n,m} := \text{add } \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{I}_{m}, \mathcal{P}_{n}).$

For example, we have $W_0 = \mathcal{F}_0 = \mod \Lambda$ and $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{I}_0 = 0$. Notice that $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{I}_m, \mathcal{P}_n)$ is not necessarily closed under direct summands (e.g. take Λ to be the path algebra of the quiver $\bullet \to \bullet \to \bullet$ and n = m = 1). We denote by W_n^{op} , \mathcal{F}_n^{op} , \mathcal{P}_n^{op} , \mathcal{I}_n^{op} , $\mathcal{X}_{n,m}^{op}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{n,m}^{op}$ the corresponding categories for Λ^{op} .

1.2. Following Salce [Sa], we call a pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ of subcategories of mod Λ a *cotorsion pair* if

$$\mathcal{X} = \{ C \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid \text{Ext}^{1}_{\Lambda}(C, \mathcal{Y}) = 0 \} \text{ and } \mathcal{Y} = \{ C \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid \text{Ext}^{1}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{X}, C) = 0 \}.$$

Notice that we do not assume the vanishing of higher Ext-groups Ext_{Λ}^{i} (i > 1).

1.3. Now we can state a main result in this paper, which we shall prove in 4.9.

Theorem. Let Λ be an ∞ -Gorenstein artin algebra. Then $(\mathcal{X}_{i,j-1}, \mathcal{Y}_{i,j})$ $(i \ge 0, j \ge 1)$ and $(\mathcal{Y}_{i,j}, \mathbb{D}\mathcal{X}_{j,i-1}^{op})$ $(i \ge 1, j \ge 0)$ form cotorsion pairs.

Moreover, we will show that they are functorially finite in the sense of 2.3 below. For example, we have cotorsion pairs $(W_i, \mathcal{Y}_{i,1})$ (j := 1) and $(\mathcal{F}_{j-1}, \mathcal{I}_j)$ (i := 0).

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Let us start with the following simple observation, which will be used frequently in this paper.

Lemma. Let \mathcal{Y} be a full subcategory of mod Λ which is closed under extensions, and $0 \to C_1 \to Y \to C_0 \to 0$ an exact sequence with $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. If there exists an exact sequence $0 \to Y_0 \to X \to C_0 \to 0$ with $Y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}$, then there exist exact sequences $0 \to C_1 \to Y_1 \to X \to 0$ with $Y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Proof. The middle row in the following pull-back diagram gives our desired exact sequence:



2.2. Assume that $C \supset D$ are full subcategories of mod Λ and $C \in C$, $D \in D$. A morphism $f: D \to C$ is said to be a *right* D-approximation of C if $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, f): \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, D) \to \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, C) \to 0$ is exact for any $X \in D$. A right D-approximation $f: D \to C$ is called *minimal* if an endomorphism $g: D \to D$ is an automorphism whenever f = gf. The subcategory D is said to be *contravariantly finite* in C if any $C \in C$ has a right D-approximation. Dually, we define the notions of (*minimal*) left D-approximations and *covariantly finite subcategories*. The subcategory of C is said to be *functorially finite* in C if it is both contravariantly finite and covariantly finite in C [AR1].

2.3. Let Λ be an artin algebra. We call a cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ *functorially finite* if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied:

- (1) \mathcal{X} is a contravariantly finite subcategory of mod Λ .
- (2) \mathcal{Y} is a covariantly finite subcategory of mod Λ .
- (3) For any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \to Y \to X \xrightarrow{f} C \to 0$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.
- (4) For any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \to C \xrightarrow{g} Y' \to X' \to 0$ with $X' \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y' \in \mathcal{Y}$.

2.3.1 (*Wakamatsu's lemma*). Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of mod Λ which is closed under extensions. If $0 \to A \to B \xrightarrow{f} C$ is exact and f is a minimal right \mathcal{X} -approximation of C, then $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{X}, A) = 0$ holds.

2.3.2. Proof of 2.3. (3) \Rightarrow (1). *f* is a right \mathcal{X} -approximation of *C* by $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, Y) = 0$.

(1) \Rightarrow (3). Let $f: X \to C$ be a minimal right \mathcal{X} -approximation of C. Since $\Lambda \in \mathcal{X}$, f is surjective. Since \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions, Ker $f \in \mathcal{Y}$ holds by 2.3.1.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) (cf. [Sa]). Let $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow I \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}C \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}C \rightarrow 0$ be exact sequences with *I* the injective envelope of *C*, $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Applying 2.1, we have the desired sequence.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (4) and (4) \Rightarrow (3) can be shown dually. \Box

2.3.3. Let Λ be an artin algebra and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ a pair of subcategories of mod Λ which are closed under direct summands. If $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = 0$ and the conditions 2.3(3)(4) are satisfied, then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a functorially finite cotorsion pair.

Proof. By the condition 2.3(3), $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{A}(C, \mathcal{Y}) = 0$ implies $C \in \mathcal{X}$. By the condition 2.3(4), $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}(\mathcal{X}, C) = 0$ implies $C \in \mathcal{Y}$. \Box

For a full subcategory C of mod Λ , we denote by C the corresponding subcategory of mod Λ . We denote by $\Omega^n \pmod{\Lambda}$ the full subcategory of mod Λ consisting of $C \in \mod{\Lambda}$ such that there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_{n-1}$ with projective P_i .

2.4. We collect some useful results.

(1) We have the following diagram whose rows are equivalences and columns are dualities [Iy5, 1.1.1]:



In particular, we have $\mathcal{F}_n = \Omega^n \mathcal{W}_n \subseteq \Omega^n \pmod{\Lambda}$ for any $n \ge 1$. (2) By (1), $\Omega^n \pmod{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_m$ if and only if $\operatorname{Tr} \Omega^n \pmod{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_m^{op}$ if and only if r.grade $\mathbb{T}_{n+1}C \ge m+1$ holds for any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$.

(3) $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{1}(X, Y) = 0$ holds for any $X \in \mathcal{W}_{n}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$. In fact, we take a projective resolution $0 \to P_{n-1} \to \cdots \to P_0 \to Y \to 0$ and apply the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, Y)$, then we get $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\Lambda}(X, Y) \simeq$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2}(X, \Omega Y) \simeq \cdots \simeq \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(X, \Omega^{n-1}Y) = \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(X, P_{n-1}) = 0.$

(4) Let C be in mod Λ . We let $\sigma_C: C \to C^{**}$ denote the canonical evaluation homomorphism; thus $\sigma_C(x)(f) = f(x)$ for any $x \in C$ and $f \in C^*$. Then there exists an exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{E}_1 \operatorname{Tr} C \to C \xrightarrow{\sigma_C} C^{**} \to \mathbb{E}_2 \operatorname{Tr} C \to 0$ [AB]. Recall that C is called *torsionless* (respectively reflexive) if σ_C is a monomorphism (respectively an isomorphism). So C is torsionless (respectively reflexive) if and only if it is 1-torsionfree (respectively 2-torsionfree). In particular, we have $\mathcal{F}_1 = \Omega \pmod{\Lambda}$.

(5) For any $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and n > 0, we have an exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{E}_n X \to \mathbb{T}_n X \xrightarrow{f}$ $\Omega \mathbb{T}_{n+1} X \to 0$ such that f^* is an isomorphism [Ho3].

(6) If $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ satisfies grade $\mathbb{E}_i X > i$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n$, then grade X > n [Ho2, 6.2], [Iy1, 2.3].

(7) For $l, n \ge 0$, the following conditions are equivalent [Iy1, 6.1], [Hu1, 2.8].

(i) fd $I_i(\Lambda^{op}) < l$ holds for any $0 \leq i < n$.

(ii) s.grade $\mathbb{E}_l C \ge n$ holds for any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$.

In this case, we say that Λ^{op} satisfies the (l, n)-condition (or Λ satisfies the $(l, n)^{op}$ -condition).

3. The conditions $G_n(k)$ and $g_n(k)$

In this section we introduce Auslander-type conditions $G_n(k)$ and $g_n(k)$ and study their properties. Let us start with the following observation.

3.1. Lemma. Let $C \in \mathcal{F}_m$ with $m \ge 0$.

ΩC ∈ F_{m+1} if and only if grade E₁C ≥ m.
E(C, F_m) ⊆ F_m if and only if E(C, P₁) ⊆ F_m if and only if s.grade E₁C ≥ m.

Proof. (1) We have $\operatorname{Tr} C \in \mathcal{W}_m^{op}$ for $C \in \mathcal{F}_m$. Since we have an exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{E}_1 C \to \operatorname{Tr} C \xrightarrow{f} \Omega \operatorname{Tr} \Omega C \to 0$ such that f^* is an isomorphism by 2.4(5), $\mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_1 C = \mathbb{E}_{i+2} \operatorname{Tr} \Omega C$ holds for any $0 \leq i < m$. Thus the assertion follows.

(2) See [AR3, 1.1]. □

3.1.1. Immediately we have the following result.

Lemma. Assume $\Omega^n \pmod{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_m$ with $n, m \ge 0$. Then for the following $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4)$ holds.

- (1) grade $\mathbb{E}_{n+1}C \ge m$ holds for any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$.
- (2) $\Omega^{n+1} (\operatorname{mod} \Lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{m+1}.$
- (3) s.grade $\mathbb{E}_{n+1}C \ge m$ holds for any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$.
- (4) $\mathcal{E}(\Omega^n (\mathrm{mod} \Lambda), \Omega^n (\mathrm{mod} \Lambda)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_m$.

Proof. We only have to check (3) \Leftrightarrow (4). This is shown by applying 3.1 as follows: (3) $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Omega^n \pmod{\Lambda}, \mathcal{F}_m) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_m \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Omega^n \pmod{\Lambda}, \mathcal{P}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_m \Rightarrow (3).$

3.2. Proposition. Let $0 = l_0 < l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \cdots$ be a (finite or infinite) sequence of integers. Then for the following $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5)$ holds.

- (1) grade $\mathbb{E}_{l_i+1}C \ge i$ holds for any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and *i*.
- (2) $\Omega^{l_i+1} (\text{mod } \Lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ holds for any *i*.
- (3) s.grade $\mathbb{E}_{l_i+1}C \ge i$ holds for any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and *i*.
- (4) $\mathcal{E}(\Omega^{l_i} (\mathrm{mod} \Lambda), \Omega^{l_i} (\mathrm{mod} \Lambda)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_i$ holds for any *i*.
- (5) fd $I_{i-1}(\Lambda^{op}) \leq l_i$ holds for any *i*.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4). Since $\Omega^{l_i} \pmod{\Lambda} \subseteq \Omega^{l_{i-1}+1} \pmod{\Lambda}$ holds by $l_i \ge l_{i-1}+1$, we can inductively show the assertions by 3.1.1.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (5). By 2.4(7). \Box

3.2.1. Question. Put $l_i := \inf\{l \mid \Omega^{l+1} \pmod{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{i+1}\}$. How does the sequence $(l_i)_i$ behave? Is there an example satisfying $l_i = l_{i+1}$? This equality case was excluded in 3.2.

3.3. Definition. Let $n, k \ge 0$. We say that Λ is $G_n(k)$ if s.grade $\mathbb{E}_{i+k}C \ge i$ holds for any $C \in \mod \Lambda$ and $1 \le i \le n$.

Similarly, we say that Λ is $g_n(k)$ if grade $\mathbb{E}_{i+k}C \ge i$ holds for any $C \in \text{mod }\Lambda$ and $1 \le i \le n$. We say that Λ is $G_n(k)^{op}$ (respectively $g_n(k)^{op}$) if Λ^{op} is $G_n(k)$ (respectively $g_n(k)$). By 2.4(7), Λ is $G_n(k)$ if and only if $dI_i(\Lambda^{op}) \le i + k$ holds for any $0 \le i < n$. Thus $G_n(0)$ is the *n*-Gorenstein condition, and $G_n(1)^{op}$ is the quasi *n*-Gorenstein condition in [Hu2] (see 4.2, 4.3 below).

We have the following obvious relations for any $n \ge n'$ and $k \le k'$:



3.4. Theorem. *The conditions* (1) *and* (2) *below are equivalent for any* $n, k \ge 0$. *If* k > 0, *then* (1)–(5) *are equivalent.*

- (1) Λ is $g_n(k)$.
- (2) For any monomorphism $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ with $A, B \in \Omega^{k+1} (\text{mod } \Lambda)$, $\mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i f$ is a monomorphism for any $0 \leq i < n$.
- (3) $\Omega^{i+k} \pmod{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ holds for any $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (4) For any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \to Y \to X \to \Omega^{k-1}C \to 0$ with $X \in W_{i+1}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{P}_{i+1}$.
- (5) For any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \to \Omega^k C \to Y' \to X' \to 0$ with $X' \in W_{i+1}$ and $Y' \in \mathcal{P}_{i+1}$.

3.4.1. Remark. By 2.4(3), the sequence in (4) gives a right W_{i+1} -approximation of $\Omega^{k-1}C$, and the sequence in (5) gives a left \mathcal{P}_{i+1} -approximation of $\Omega^k C$.

3.4.2. Lemma. Assume that $n \ge 1$ and $C \in W_{n-1}$ satisfies grade $\mathbb{E}_n C \ge n-1$. Then there exists an exact sequence $0 \to Y \to X \to C \to 0$ with $Y \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $X \in W_n$.

Proof. Let $0 \to \Omega^n C \to P_{n-1} \to \cdots \to P_0 \to C \to 0$ be a projective resolution of *C*. Take the following commutative diagram, where the lower sequence is a projective resolution of $\mathbb{E}_n C$:



Taking the mapping cone of the above commutative diagram, we get an exact sequence $0 \leftarrow (\Omega^n C)^* \leftarrow P_{n-1}^* \oplus Q_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow P_0^* \oplus Q_{n-1}$. Since grade $\mathbb{E}_n C \ge n-1$, we have an exact

sequence $0 \to Q_0^* \to Q_1^* \to \cdots \to Q_{n-1}^*$. So the last column in the following commutative diagram of exact sequences is the desired exact sequence:



3.4.3. Proof of 3.4. (1) \Rightarrow (2). For any $A \in \Omega^{k+1} \pmod{A}$, we have $A = \Omega^{k+1}A'$ with $A' \in \mod A$. So $\mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i A = \mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_{i+k+1}A' = 0$ holds for any 0 < i < n by (1). Thus $\mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i f$ is monic. Let us consider f^{**} . If k > 0, then $\Omega^{k+1} \pmod{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2$ holds by 3.1.1(1) \Rightarrow (2). Thus A and B are reflexive, and $f^{**} \simeq f$ is monic. Let k = 0. Take an injection $a : B \to P$ with P projective in mod A, and consider an exact sequence $0 \to A \xrightarrow{fa} P \to C \to 0$ with $C = \operatorname{Cok}(fa)$. Then we have an exact sequence $P^* \xrightarrow{(fa)^*} A^* \to \mathbb{E}_1 C \to 0$. Then $f^{**}a^{**} = (fa)^{**}$ is monic by grade $\mathbb{E}_1 C \ge 1$. Thus f^{**} is also monic.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). For any $C \in \mod \Lambda$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}C \xrightarrow{f} P_k \rightarrow \Omega^k C \rightarrow 0$ in $\mod \Lambda$ with P_k projective. Then we have an exact sequence $P_k^* \xrightarrow{f^*} (\Omega^{k+1}C)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{k+1}C \rightarrow 0$. Since f^{**} is monic by (2), we obtain grade $\mathbb{E}_{k+1}C \ge 1$ by taking ()*. On the other hand, since $\mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i \Omega^{k+1}C \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i f} \mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i P_k = 0$ is monic for any 0 < i < n, we obtain $0 = \mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i \Omega^{k+1}C = \mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_{i+k+1}C$. Thus grade $\mathbb{E}_{i+k+1}C \ge i+1$ holds for any $C \in \mod \Lambda$ and $0 \le i < n$.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3). Put $l_i := i + k - 1$ in 3.2.

(5) \Rightarrow (3). By (5), for any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega^k C \rightarrow Y' \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$ in mod Λ with $X' \in W_{i+1}$ and $Y' \in \mathcal{P}_{i+1}$. Taking *i*th syzygies, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega^{i+k}C \rightarrow \Omega^i Y' \rightarrow \Omega^i X' \rightarrow 0$ with projective $\Omega^i Y'$. Thus we have $\Omega^{i+k}C = \Omega^{i+1}X' \in \Omega^{i+1}W_{i+1} = \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ by 2.4(1).

(4) \Rightarrow (5). For any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, by (4) there exists an exact sequence $0 \to Y \to X \to \Omega^{k-1}C \to 0$ in mod Λ with $X \in W_{i+1}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{P}_{i+1}$. On the other hand, there exists an exact sequence $0 \to \Omega^k C \to P_{k-1} \to \Omega^{k-1}C \to 0$ with P_{k-1} projective. Applying 2.1, we have the desired sequence.

(1) \Rightarrow (4). We proceed by induction on *i*. Assume that $i \ge 0$ and we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y_i \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}C \rightarrow 0$ with $Y_i \in \mathcal{P}_i$ and $X_i \in \mathcal{W}_i$. Since $\mathbb{E}_{i+1}X_i \simeq \mathbb{E}_{i+1}\Omega^{k-1}C \simeq \mathbb{E}_{i+k}C$ holds, we obtain grade $\mathbb{E}_{i+1}X_i \ge i$ by (1). Applying 3.4.2 to X_i , we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow 0$ with $Y' \in \mathcal{P}_{i+1}$ and $X \in \mathcal{W}_{i+1}$. Taking the following pull-back diagram, the middle row is the desired exact sequence:



3.5. Theorem. *The conditions* (1) *and* (2) *below are equivalent for any* $n, k \ge 0$. *If* k > 0, *then* (1)–(3) *are equivalent.*

- (1) Λ is $G_n(k)$.
- (2) For any exact sequence $0 \to A \xrightarrow{f} B \to C \to 0$ with $C \in \Omega^k \pmod{\Lambda}$, $\mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i f$ is a monomorphism for any $0 \leq i < n$.
- (3) $\mathcal{E}(\Omega^{i+k} (\operatorname{mod} \Lambda), \Omega^{i+k} (\operatorname{mod} \Lambda)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ holds for any $0 \leq i < n$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $C = \Omega^k C'$ with $C' \in \mod \Lambda$ and $g := \mathbb{E}_i f$. We have an exact sequence $\mathbb{E}_{i+k}C' \to \mathbb{E}_i B \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{E}_i A \to \mathbb{E}_{i+k+1}C'$. Thus we have exact sequences $\mathbb{E}_i \operatorname{Cok} g \to \mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i A \xrightarrow{a} \mathbb{E}_i \operatorname{Im} g$ and $\mathbb{E}_{i-1} \operatorname{Ker} g \to \mathbb{E}_i \operatorname{Im} g \xrightarrow{b} \mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i B$. Since $\mathbb{E}_i \operatorname{Cok} g = 0 = \mathbb{E}_{i-1} \operatorname{Ker} g$ holds by (1), $\mathbb{E}_i g = ab$ is monic.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). For any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, fix i ($0 \leq i < n$) and a Λ^{op} -submodule D of $\mathbb{E}_{i+k+1}C$. Take an exact sequence $Q \xrightarrow{a} D \rightarrow 0$ in mod Λ^{op} with Q projective and a' the composition $Q \xrightarrow{a} D \hookrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{i+k+1}C$. We lift a' to $b: Q \rightarrow (\Omega^{i+k+1}C)^*$. Take the following push-out diagram, where b' is the composition $\Omega^{i+k+1}C \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\Omega^{i+k+1}C}} (\Omega^{i+k+1}C)^{**} \xrightarrow{b^*} Q^*$ and P_{i+k} is a projective module in mod Λ :



We then have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:



Let i = 0. Since c^{**} is monic by (2), we obtain $D^* = 0$. Thus s.grade $\mathbb{E}_{1+k}C \ge 1$.

Fix *i* (0 < i < n) and assume that Λ is $G_i(k)$. By 3.2, $\mathcal{E}(\Omega^{i+k} \pmod{\Lambda}), \Omega^{i+k} \pmod{\Lambda}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_i$ holds. In particular, X in the first diagram is contained in \mathcal{F}_i . Take the following commutative diagram with exact rows, where the upper sequence is still exact by taking ()*:



We can assume that g is epic by adding a projective direct summand to Y and Q_{i-1} . Thus we obtain an exact sequence $0 \to Z \xrightarrow{h} Y \xrightarrow{g} \Omega^k C \to 0$ such that $\Omega^i g = d$. Since $\operatorname{Cok} \mathbb{E}_i h \simeq \operatorname{Ker} \mathbb{E}_{i+1} g \simeq \operatorname{Ker} \mathbb{E}_1 d \simeq \operatorname{Cok} c^* \simeq D$ and $\mathbb{E}_i Y = 0$ hold, we obtain $D \simeq \mathbb{E}_i Z$. Since $\mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i h$ is monic by (2), we have $\mathbb{E}_i D = 0$. Thus s.grade $\mathbb{E}_{i+k+1} C \ge i+1$ holds, and Λ is $G_{i+1}(k)$. Inductively, we obtain (1).

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3). Put $l_i := i + k - 1$ in 3.2. \Box

4. The conditions $G_n(k)$ and $g_n(k)$ for k = 0, 1

In this section we concentrate on the conditions $G_n(k)$ and $g_n(k)$ for the case k = 0, 1. Let us start with giving a quick proof of the following remarkable 'left–right symmetry,' where (1) is well known in [FGR, 3.7], (2) is in [HN, 4.7], [Hu3, 2,4] and (3) is in [AR3], [HN, 4.1].

4.1. Theorem.

- (1) $G_n(0) \Leftrightarrow G_n(0)^{op}$.
- (2) $g_n(1) \Leftrightarrow g_n(1)^{op}$.
- (3) $g_n(0) \Leftrightarrow G_n(1)^{op}$.

Proof. We shall proceed by using induction on *n*. The case n = 0 is obvious. Now assume that $n \ge 1$ and the assertions hold for n - 1. Thus we can assume that $g_{n-1}(1)^{op}$ holds for each case, so $\Omega^i (\mod \Lambda^{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_i^{op}$ holds for any $1 \le i \le n$ by 3.4. Thus $\mathbb{T}_{i+1}D \in \mathcal{W}_i$ holds for any $D \in \mod \Lambda^{op}$ and $1 \le i \le n$.

(1) We will show the 'only if' part. Take $D \subseteq \mathbb{E}_n C$ for $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{op}$. We have an exact sequence $0 \to D \to \mathbb{T}_n C \xrightarrow{f} D' \to 0$ such that f^* is an isomorphism by 2.4(5). Since $\mathbb{T}_n C \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1}$ holds, we have $\mathbb{E}_i D \subseteq \mathbb{E}_{i+1} D'$ for any $0 \leq i < n$. Since $\text{grade } \mathbb{E}_i D > i$ holds for any $0 \leq i < n$ by $G_n(0)$, we have grade $D \geq n$ by 2.4(6).

(2) We will show the 'only if' part. Put $D := \mathbb{E}_{n+1}C$ for $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{op}$. We have an exact sequence $0 \to D \to \mathbb{T}_{n+1}C \xrightarrow{f} \Omega \mathbb{T}_{n+2}C \to 0$ such that f^* is an isomorphism by 2.4(5). Since $\mathbb{T}_{n+1}C \in \mathcal{W}_n$ holds, we have $\mathbb{E}_i D \simeq \mathbb{E}_{i+1}\Omega \mathbb{T}_{n+2}C \simeq \mathbb{E}_{i+2}\mathbb{T}_{n+2}C$ for any $0 \leq i < n$. Since grade $\mathbb{E}_i D > i$ holds for any $0 \leq i < n$ by $g_n(1)$, we have grade $D \geq n$ by 2.4(6).

(3) We will show the 'only if' part. Take $D \subseteq \mathbb{E}_{n+1}C$ for $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{op}$. We have an exact sequence $0 \to D \to \mathbb{T}_{n+1}C \xrightarrow{f} D' \to 0$ such that f^* is an isomorphism by 2.4(5). Since $\mathbb{T}_{n+1}C \in \mathcal{W}_n$ holds, we have $\mathbb{E}_i D \simeq \mathbb{E}_{i+1}D'$ for any $0 \leq i < n$. Since grade $\mathbb{E}_i D > i$ holds for any $0 \leq i < n$ by $g_n(0)$, we have grade $D \geq n$ holds by 2.4(6).

We will show the 'if' part. Put $D := \mathbb{E}_n C$ for $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$. We have an exact sequence $0 \to D \to \mathbb{T}_n C \xrightarrow{f} \Omega \mathbb{T}_{n+1} C \to 0$ such that f^* is an isomorphism by 2.4(5). Since $\mathbb{T}_n C \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1}^{op}$ holds, we have $\mathbb{E}_i D \subseteq \mathbb{E}_{i+1} \Omega \mathbb{T}_{n+1} C \simeq \mathbb{E}_{i+2} \mathbb{T}_{n+1} C$ for any $0 \leq i < n$. Since grade $\mathbb{E}_i D > i$ holds for any $0 \leq i < n$ by $G_n(1)^{op}$, we have grade $D \geq n$ by 2.4(6). \Box

4.1.1. Question. It is natural to ask for the existence of a common generalization of the conditions $G_n(k)$ and $g_n(k)$ satisfying certain 'left–right symmetry.' For example, is there some natural condition $G_n(k, l)$ for each triple (n, k, l) of non-negative integers with the following properties?

- (i) $G_n(k, 0) = G_n(k)$, and $G_n(k, 1) = g_n(k)$.
- (ii) $G_n(k, l) \Leftrightarrow G_n(l, k)^{op}$.
- (iii) $G_n(k, l) \Rightarrow G_{n'}(k', l')$ if $n \ge n', k \le k'$ and $l \le l'$.

We give characterizations of the conditions $G_n(k)$ and $g_n(k)$ for k = 0, 1. We will prove them in 4.6.

4.2. Theorem. (Cf. [FGR, 3.7].) The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) Λ is $G_n(0)$, i.e. n-Gorenstein.
- (2) $\mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i$ preserves monomorphisms in mod Λ for any $0 \leq i < n$.
- (3) fd $I_i(\Lambda) \leq i$ holds for any $0 \leq i < n$.
- (i)^{op} Opposite side version of (i) $(1 \le i \le 3)$.

4.3. Theorem. (*Cf.* [*AB*], [*Ho1*, 2.1], [*HN*, 4.7], [*Hu2*, 3.3], [*Hu3*, 2.4].) The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) Λ is $g_n(1)$.
- (2) For any monomorphism $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ with $A, B \in \Omega^2 \pmod{\Lambda}$, $\mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i f$ is a monomorphism for any $0 \leq i < n$.
- (3) $\Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda} = \mathcal{F}_i$ holds for any $1 \leq i \leq n+1$.
- (4) For any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \to Y \to X \to C \to 0$ with $X \in W_{i+1}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{P}_{i+1}$.
- (5) For any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \to \Omega C \to Y \to X \to 0$ with $X \in W_{i+1}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{P}_{i+1}$.
- (i)^{op} Opposite side version of (i) $(1 \le i \le 5)$.

4.4. Theorem. (*Cf.* [Ho1, 2.4], [AR3], [IST, 2.1], [HN, 4.1], [Hu2, 3.6].) The following conditions (1)–(7) are equivalent. If Λ is an artin algebra, then (8) is also equivalent.

- (1) Λ is $G_n(1)$.
- (2) For any exact sequence $0 \to A \xrightarrow{f} B \to C \to 0$ with $C \in \Omega \pmod{\Lambda}$, $\mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i f$ is a monomorphism for any $0 \leq i < n$.
- (3) $\mathcal{E}(\Omega^{i+1} \pmod{\Lambda}), \Omega^{i+1} \pmod{\Lambda}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ holds for any $0 \leq i < n$.
- (4) $\Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda}$ is closed under extensions for any $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (4') add $\Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda}$ is closed under extensions for any $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (5) fd $I_i(\Lambda^{op}) \leq i + 1$ holds for any $0 \leq i < n$.

- (6) Λ is $g_n(0)^{op}$.
- (7) For any monomorphism $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ with $A, B \in \Omega \pmod{\Lambda^{op}}$, $\mathbb{E}_i \mathbb{E}_i f$ is a monomorphism for any $0 \leq i < n$.
- (8) For any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exist exact sequences $0 \to Y \to X \to C \to 0$ and $0 \to C \to Y' \to X' \to 0$ with $X, X' \in \Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda}$ and $Y, Y' \in \mathcal{I}_{i+1}$.

4.5. Question. (1) Is it possible to characterize *n*-Gorenstein rings in terms of the categories $\Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda}$ (like 4.3(3), 4.4(4)) or the existence of approximation sequences (like 4.3(4)(5), 4.4(8))?

(2) When does the equivalence with 4.4(8) hold for noetherian rings? When is the category $\Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda}$ contravariantly finite for a noetherian ring Λ ? In this case, we have the first sequence in 4.4(8) by Wakamatsu's Lemma 2.3.1.

4.6. Proof of 4.2–4.4. 4.2, 4.3 and $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Leftrightarrow (6) \Leftrightarrow (7)$ in 4.4 follow immediately from 3.2, 3.4, 3.5 and 4.1. We now show the other implications in 4.4.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (4')$. Easy.

 $(4') \Rightarrow (3)$. Assume $\Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda} = \mathcal{F}_i$ for some $i \leq n$. Then add $\Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda} = \mathcal{F}_i$ holds, and we have $\mathcal{E}(\Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda}), \Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_i$ by (4'). By 3.1.1(4) \Rightarrow (2), we have $\Omega^{i+1} \pmod{\Lambda} = \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$. Thus we have (3) inductively.

 $(8) \Rightarrow (4')$. Let $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ be an exact sequence with $A, C \in \operatorname{add} \Omega^i (\operatorname{mod} \Lambda)$. Take an exact sequence $0 \to Y \to X \xrightarrow{f} B \to 0$ with $X \in \Omega^i (\operatorname{mod} \Lambda)$ and $Y \in \mathcal{I}_{i+1}$. Since we have an exact sequence $0 = \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(C, Y) \to \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(B, Y) \to \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(A, Y) = 0$, we have that f splits. Thus $B \in \operatorname{add} \Omega^i (\operatorname{mod} \Lambda)$ holds.

 $(4) + (5) \Rightarrow (8)$. For any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, take the following commutative diagram whose upper sequence is the minimal injective resolution of *C* and vertical sequences are minimal projective resolutions:



Then we obtain the following commutative diagram of exact sequences:

where $\Omega^{-i-1}C = \operatorname{Cok}(I_{i-1} \to I_i)$ and $\Omega^{-i}C = \operatorname{Cok}(I_{i-2} \to I_{i-1})$. Since $\operatorname{id}(I_l \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i-l-1} P_{j+l+1,j}) \leq i-l$ for any $0 \leq l \leq i-1$ holds by (5), we have $Y \in \mathcal{I}_{i+1}$. Thus we get one of the desired sequences $0 \to C \to Y \to X \to 0$.

Now we take exact sequences $0 \to \Omega C \to P \to C \to 0$ with projective *P* and $0 \to \Omega C \to Y' \to X' \to 0$ with $X' \in \Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda}$ and $Y' \in \mathcal{I}_{i+1}$. Since $\Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda}$ is closed under extensions by (4), we have the desired sequence $0 \to Y' \to X'' \to C \to 0$ by applying the dual of 2.1. \Box

4.7. Corollary.

- (1) If Λ is $g_n(1)$, then \mathcal{P}_{i+1} is a covariantly finite subcategory of mod Λ for any $0 \leq i \leq n$.
- (2) [Hu2, 3.6] If an artin algebra Λ is g_n(0), then P_{i+1} is a functorially finite subcategory of mod Λ for any 0 ≤ i ≤ n.

Proof. (1) For any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, let C' be a maximal factor module of C such that any homomorphism from C to \mathcal{P}_{i+1} factors through C'. We can take an exact sequence $0 \to C' \to Y \to C'' \to 0$ with $Y \in \mathcal{P}_{i+1}$. Take the sequence $0 \to Y' \to X' \to C'' \to 0$ with $X' \in \mathcal{W}_{i+1}$ and $Y' \in \mathcal{P}_{i+1}$ by 4.3(4). Applying 2.1, we have an exact sequence $0 \to C' \xrightarrow{f} Y'' \to X' \to 0$ with $Y'' \in \mathcal{P}_{i+1}$. Then f is a left \mathcal{P}_{i+1} -approximation of C', and so the composition $C \to C' \xrightarrow{f} Y''$ gives a left \mathcal{P}_{i+1} -approximation of C.

(2) \mathcal{P}_{i+1} is covariantly finite by (1). Since \mathcal{I}_{i+1}^{op} is covariantly finite by 4.4(8), \mathcal{P}_{i+1} is contravariantly finite. \Box

4.8. Our main result in this paper is the following.

Theorem. Assume that an artin algebra Λ is $G_n(1)$. For any $i \ge 0$ and $n + 1 \ge j \ge 1$ satisfying $i + j \le n + 2$, $(\mathcal{X}_{i, j-1}, \mathcal{Y}_{i, j})$ forms a functorially finite cotorsion pair.

Proof. Ext¹_A($\mathcal{W}_i, \mathcal{P}_i$) = 0 by 2.4(3), and Ext¹_A($\mathcal{F}_{j-1}, \mathcal{I}_j$) = 0 by $\mathcal{F}_{j-1} \subseteq \Omega^{j-1} (\text{mod } \Lambda)$, so Ext¹_A($\mathcal{X}_{i,j-1}, \mathcal{Y}_{i,j}$) = 0 holds. Since $\mathcal{X}_{i,j-1}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{i,j}$ are closed under direct summands, we only have to show that ($\mathcal{X}_{i,j-1}, \mathcal{Y}_{i,j}$) satisfies the conditions 2.3(3)(4) by 2.3.3. For any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, we can take an exact sequence $0 \to I \to \Omega^{j-1}C' \to C \to 0$ with $I \in \mathcal{I}_j$ and $C' \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ by 4.4(8). By 4.3(4), we can take an exact sequence $0 \to P \to X \to C' \to 0$

with $X \in \mathcal{W}_{i+j-1}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{i+j-1}$. Taking (j-1)th syzygies, we have an exact sequence $0 \to P' \to X' \to \Omega^{j-1}C' \to 0$ with $X' \in \mathcal{X}_{i,j-1}$ and $P' \in \mathcal{P}_i$. Consider the following pull-back diagram:



Since the middle row $0 \to Y \to X' \to C \to 0$ satisfies $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{i,j}$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}_{i,j-1}$, the condition 2.3(3) holds.

Moreover, take an exact sequence $0 \to C \to I \to \Omega^- C \to 0$ with injective I and $0 \to Y_0 \to X_0 \to \Omega^- C \to 0$ with $X_0 \in \mathcal{X}_{i,j-1}$ and $Y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}_{i,j}$. Applying the proof of 2.1 and using $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{Y}_{i,j}) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{i,j}$, we have an exact sequence $0 \to C \to Y_1 \to X_1 \to 0$ with $X_1 \in \mathcal{X}_{i,j-1}$ and $Y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_{i,j}$. Thus the condition 2.3(4) holds. \Box

4.9. Our Theorem 1.3 is a special case of the following result.

Corollary. Let Λ be an artin algebra which is $G_{\infty}(1)$ and $G_{\infty}(1)^{op}$. Then $(\mathcal{X}_{i,j-1}, \mathcal{Y}_{i,j})$ $(i \ge 0, j \ge 1)$ and $(\mathcal{Y}_{i,j}, \mathbb{D}\mathcal{X}_{j,i-1}^{op})$ $(i \ge 1, j \ge 0)$ form functorially finite cotorsion pairs.

Proof. This is immediate from 4.8 and the fact that $\mathcal{Y}_{i,j} = \mathbb{D}\mathcal{Y}_{i,i}^{op}$. \Box

4.10. As an application of 1.3, we have the following

Corollary. Let Λ be an ∞ -Gorenstein artin algebra. Then $W_1 \supseteq W_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq$ is a chain of contravariantly finite subcategories of mod Λ .

5. Finitistic dimension and the (l, n)-condition

In this final section, we give some results on finitistic dimension and left–right symmetry of the (l, n)-condition.

5.1. Recall that the finitistic dimension of Λ , denoted by fin.dim Λ , is defined as $\sup\{pd X \mid X \in mod \Lambda \text{ and } pd X < \infty\}$.

Lemma. Assume that Λ is $g_{n+1}(k)$ with $n \ge 0$ and k > 0. If fin.dim $\Lambda = n$, then id $\Lambda \le n + k$.

Proof. Let $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$. By 3.4, there exists an exact sequence $0 \to Y \to X \to \Omega^{k-1}C \to 0$ with $X \in \mathcal{W}_{n+2}$ and $\text{pd } Y \leq n+1$. Then $\text{pd } Y \leq n$ because fin.dim $\Lambda = n$. So $\mathbb{E}_{n+k+1}C \simeq \mathbb{E}_{n+2}\Omega^{k-1}C \simeq \mathbb{E}_{n+1}Y = 0$ and hence id $\Lambda \leq n+k$. \Box

5.2. Theorem. *If* Λ *is* $g_{\infty}(k)$ *with* $k \ge 0$ *, then* fin.dim $\Lambda \le \text{id} \Lambda \le \text{fin.dim} \Lambda + k$.

Proof. It is well known that fin.dim $\Lambda \leq id \Lambda$. So it suffices to prove $id \Lambda \leq fin.dim \Lambda + k$. The case k > 0 follows easily from 5.1. Now suppose k = 0. Then Λ is $g_{\infty}(0)$, and so fd $I_i(\Lambda) \leq i + 1$ for any $i \geq 0$ by [HN, 4.1] (see 4.4 above). It follows from [Hu3, 2.15] that fin.dim $\Lambda = id \Lambda$. \Box

5.3. Corollary.

(1) If Λ is $G_{\infty}(0)$, then fin.dim $\Lambda = \operatorname{id} \Lambda$ and fin.dim $\Lambda^{op} = \operatorname{id} \Lambda^{op}$.

(2) If Λ is $G_{\infty}(1)$, then fin.dim $\Lambda \leq \operatorname{id} \Lambda \leq \operatorname{fin.dim} \Lambda + 1$ and fin.dim $\Lambda^{op} = \operatorname{id} \Lambda^{op}$.

Proof. (1) follows from the symmetry of $G_{\infty}(0)$ and 5.2.

(2) Because Λ is $G_{\infty}(1)$ if and only if Λ is $g_{\infty}(0)^{op}$ by [AR3, 0.1] and [HN, 4.1] (see 4.4 above), our conclusion follows from 5.2 and its dual. \Box

5.4. Recall that we say that Λ satisfies the (l, n)-condition (or Λ^{op} satisfies the $(l, n)^{op}$ condition) if s.grade $\mathbb{E}_l C \ge n$ holds for any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{op}$ (see 2.4(7)). Similarly, we say that Λ satisfies the weak (l, n)-condition (or Λ^{op} satisfies the weak $(l, n)^{op}$ -condition) if grade $\mathbb{E}_l C \ge n$ holds for any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{op}$. For example, Λ is $G_n(k)$ if and only if Λ satisfies the $(k + i, i)^{op}$ condition for any $1 \le i \le n$, and Λ is $g_n(k)$ if and only if Λ satisfies the weak $(k + i, i)^{op}$ condition for any $1 \le i \le n$.

Lemma.

(1) $(k, l) + weak (l, n) \Rightarrow (k, n)$.

(2) weak (k, l) + weak $(l, n) \Rightarrow$ weak (k, n).

- (3) $(k, l) + weak (l, n)^{op} \Rightarrow (k, n).$
- (4) weak (k, l) + weak $(l, n)^{op} \Rightarrow$ weak (k, n).

Proof. Using [Iy1, 2.3(2)], we can show all assertions in a manner similar to the proof of [Iy1, 2.3(3)]. \Box

5.5. We call $l \in \mathbb{N}$ a *dominant number* of Λ if fd $I_i(\Lambda) < \text{fd } I_l(\Lambda)$ holds for any i < l [Iy1]. The following result generalizes a theorem in [Iy1, 1.1].

Theorem. Any dominant number l of Λ satisfies $\operatorname{fd} I_l(\Lambda) \ge l$.

Proof. Put $m := \text{fd } I_l(\Lambda)$ and assume m < l. Since l is a dominant number of Λ , Λ satisfies the (m, l) and (m + 1, l + 1)-condition. Since Λ satisfies the (m, m + 1)-condition by m < l, Λ satisfies the (m, l + 1)-condition by the dual of 5.4(1). This is in contradiction to $m = \text{fd } I_l(\Lambda)$. \Box

5.6. The following result is an analog of 5.4(2) and 4.1.

Theorem. Let $k, l, n \ge 0$. Assume $k \ge n - 1$.

- (1) If s.grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_k \ge l$ and s.grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_l^{op} \ge n$, then s.grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_k \ge n$.
- (2) If grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_k \ge l 1$ and grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_l^{op} \ge n 1$, then grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_k \ge n 1$.

Proof. We can assume n > l.

(1) Fix $C \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and $D \subseteq \mathbb{E}_1 C$. Then grade $D \ge l$ holds by our first assumption. By 2.4(5), we have an exact sequence $0 \to D \to \text{Tr} C \xrightarrow{f} D' \to 0$ such that $\text{Tr} C \in \mathcal{W}_k^{op}$ and f^* is an isomorphism. Thus $D' \in \mathcal{W}_l^{op}$ by $k \ge l$, and $\Omega^l D' \in \mathcal{F}_l^{op}$. Using 3.1(1) and s.grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_l^{op} \ge n > l$, we have $\Omega^i D' \in \mathcal{F}_l^{op}$ for any $i \ge l$ inductively. On the other hand, applying ()* to the exact sequence above, we have an inclusion $\mathbb{E}_i D \subseteq \mathbb{E}_{i+1} D' = \mathbb{E}_1 \Omega^i D'$ for any $0 \le i \le k$. Thus our second assumption implies grade $\mathbb{E}_i D \ge n$ for any $l \le i \le k$. Since grade $D \ge l$, we obtain grade $D \ge n$ by 2.4(6).

(2) Fix $C \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and put $D := \mathbb{E}_1 C$ and $D' := \Omega \operatorname{Tr} \Omega C$. Then grade $D \ge l - 1$ and $\Omega C \in \mathcal{F}_l$ hold by 3.1(1). We have $\operatorname{Tr} \Omega C \in \mathcal{W}_l^{op}$ and $\Omega^{l-1}D' = \Omega^l \operatorname{Tr} \Omega C \in \mathcal{F}_l^{op}$. Using 3.1(1) and grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_l^{op} \ge n - 1 > l - 1$, we have $\Omega^i D' \in \mathcal{F}_l^{op}$ for any $i \ge l - 1$ inductively. By 2.4(5), we have an exact sequence $0 \to D \to \operatorname{Tr} C \xrightarrow{f} D' \to 0$ such that $\operatorname{Tr} C \in \mathcal{W}_k^{op}$ and f^* is an isomorphism. Applying ()*, we have $\mathbb{E}_i D \simeq \mathbb{E}_{i+1} D' \simeq \mathbb{E}_1 \Omega^i D'$ for any $0 \le i < k$. Thus our second assumption implies grade $\mathbb{E}_i D \ge n - 1$ for any $l - 1 \le i < k$. Since grade $D \ge l - 1$, we obtain grade $D \ge n - 1$ by 2.4(6). \Box

5.7. Recall that Λ is $G_n(0)$ if and only if Λ satisfies the $(i, i)^{op}$ -condition for any $1 \le i \le n$. It is known that Λ is $G_n(0)$ if and only if so is Λ^{op} [FGR, 3.7] (see 4.2 above). However, the (i, i)-condition does not possess such a symmetric property in general [Hu1, p. 1460], [Iy2, 2.1.1]. For example, the algebra given by the quiver $\bullet \xrightarrow{a} \bullet \xrightarrow{b} \bullet \leftarrow \bullet$ modulo the ideal *ab* satisfies exactly one of the (2, 2) and $(2, 2)^{op}$ -conditions.

As an application of 5.6, we give a sufficient condition that the (i, i)-condition implies the $(i, i)^{op}$ -condition as follows.

Corollary. $G_{n-1}(1) + (n, n) \Rightarrow (n, n)^{op}$.

Proof. The case n = 0 is trivial. Now suppose $n \ge 1$. Then s.grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \ge n-1$ and s.grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_{n-1}^{op} \ge n$. So s.grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \ge n$ by 5.6(1). On the other hand, $\Omega^i \pmod{\Lambda} = \mathcal{F}_i$ for any $1 \le i \le n$ by [HN, 4.7] (see 3.4 above). Thus s.grade $\mathbb{E}_n C =$ s.grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \Omega^{n-1} C \ge n$ for any $C \in \mod \Lambda$ and Λ satisfies the $(n, n)^{op}$ -condition. \Box

5.7.1. Corollary. $(2, 2)^{op} + (3, 3) \Rightarrow (3, 3)^{op}$.

Proof. This is immediate from 5.7 for n = 3. \Box

5.7.2. Example. We give an example satisfying the conditions in 5.7.1. Let K be a field and Λ a finite dimensional K-algebra given by the quiver



modulo the ideal *ab*. Then fd $I_0(\Lambda) = \text{fd } I_1(\Lambda) = \text{fd } I_0(\Lambda^{op}) = \text{fd } I_1(\Lambda^{op}) = 1$, and fd $I_2(\Lambda) = \text{fd } I_2(\Lambda^{op}) = 2$.

5.8. Corollary. The following conditions are equivalent.

(1) s.grade $\mathbb{E}_i C \ge i$ holds for any $C \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and $1 \le i \le n$ (i.e. Λ is $G_n(0)$).

(2) s.grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \ge i$ holds for any $1 \le i \le n$.

(i)^{op} The opposite side version of (i) (i = 1, 2).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). By 3.1(1), $\Omega \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_i$ holds for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. This implies inductively that $\Omega^i (\text{mod } \Lambda) = \mathcal{F}_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. So the assertion follows easily.

 $(2)^{op} \Rightarrow (2)$. The case n = 0 is obvious. Now suppose $n \ge 1$. By 5.6(1), s.grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \ge n - 1$ and s.grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \ge n$ imply s.grade $\mathbb{E}_1 \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \ge n$. Thus the assertion follows inductively. \Box

References

- [A] M. Auslander, Representation dimension of Artin algebras, Lecture Notes, Queen Mary College, London, 1971.
- [AB] M. Auslander, M. Bridger, Stable module theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 94 (1969).
- [AR1] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories, Adv. Math. 86 (1) (1991) 111–152.
- [AR2] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, k-Gorenstein algebras and syzygy modules, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 92 (1) (1994) 1–27.
- [AR3] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, Syzygy modules for Noetherian rings, J. Algebra 183 (1) (1996) 167-185.
- [B] J.-E. Björk, The Auslander condition on Noetherian rings, in: Seminaire d'Algebre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paul Malliavin, 39eme Annee, Paris, 1987/1988, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1404, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, pp. 137–173.
- [C] J. Clark, Auslander–Gorenstein rings for beginners, in: International Symposium on Ring Theory, Kyongju, 1999, in: Trends Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2001, pp. 95–115.
- [EJ] E.E. Enochs, O.M.G. Jenda, Gorenstein balance of Hom and tensor, Tsukuba J. Math. 19 (1) (1995) 1–13.
- [EX] E.E. Enochs, J. Xu, Gorenstein flat covers of modules over Gorenstein rings, J. Algebra 181 (1) (1996) 288–313.
- [EHIS] K. Erdmann, T. Holm, O. Iyama, J. Schröer, Radical embeddings and representation dimension, Adv. Math. 185 (1) (2004) 159–177.
- [FGR] R.M. Fossum, P. Griffith, I. Reiten, Trivial extensions of abelian categories, in: Homological Algebra of Trivial Extensions of Abelian Categories with Applications to Ring Theory, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 456, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1975.
- [FI] K.R. Fuller, Y. Iwanaga, On n-Gorenstein rings and Auslander rings of low injective dimension, in: Representations of Algebras, Ottawa, ON, 1992, in: CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 175–183.
- [Ho1] M. Hoshino, Syzygies and Gorenstein rings, Arch. Math. (Basel) 55 (4) (1990) 355-360.
- [Ho2] M. Hoshino, Noetherian rings of self-injective dimension two, Comm. Algebra 21 (4) (1993) 1071–1094.
- [Ho3] M. Hoshino, On self-injective dimension of artinian rings, Tsukuba J. Math. 18 (1) (1994) 1–8.
- [HN] M. Hoshino, K. Nishida, A generalization of the Auslander formula, in: Representations of Algebras and Related Topics, in: Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 45, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 175–186.

- [Hu1] Z. Huang, Extension closure of k-torsionfree modules, Comm. Algebra 27 (3) (1999) 1457–1464.
- [Hu2] Z. Huang, Approximation presentations of modules and homological conjectures, Comm. Algebra, in press.
- [Hu3] Z. Huang, On the grade of modules over noetherian rings, Comm. Algebra, in press.
- [IST] K. Igusa, S.O. Smalø, G. Todorov, Finite projectivity and contravariant finiteness, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (4) (1990) 937–941.
- [IS] Y. Iwanaga, H. Sato, On Auslander's *n*-Gorenstein rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 106 (1) (1996) 61–76.
- [Iw1] Y. Iwanaga, On rings with self-injective dimension ≤ 1 , Osaka J. Math. 15 (1) (1978) 33–46.
- [Iw2] Y. Iwanaga, On rings with finite self-injective dimension. II, Tsukuba J. Math. 4 (1) (1980) 107–113.
- [Iy1] O. Iyama, Symmetry and duality on *n*-Gorenstein rings, J. Algebra 269 (2) (2003) 528–535.
- [Iy2] O. Iyama, The relationship between homological properties and representation theoretic realization of Artin algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2) (2005) 709–734.
- [Iy3] O. Iyama, τ-Categories III. Auslander orders and Auslander–Reiten quivers, Algebr. Represent. Theory 8 (5) (2005) 601–619.
- [Iy4] O. Iyama, Finiteness of Representation dimension, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (4) (2003) 1011–1014.
- [Iy5] O. Iyama, Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory on maximal orthogonal subcategories, Adv. Math. 210 (1) (2007) 22–50.
- [Iy6] O. Iyama, Auslander correspondence, Adv. Math. 210 (1) (2007) 51–82.
- [M] J. Miyachi, Injective resolutions of Noetherian rings and cogenerators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (8) (2000) 2233–2242.
- [R] R. Rouquier, Representation dimension of exterior algebras, Invent. Math. 165 (2) (2006) 357–367.
- [Sa] L. Salce, Cotorsion theories for abelian groups, in: Conf. Abelian Groups and their Relationship to the Theory of Modules, INDAM, Rome 1977, in: Symposia Math., vol. XXIII, Academic Press, London, New York, 1979, pp. 11–32.
- [Sm] S.P. Smith, Some finite-dimensional algebras related to elliptic curves, in: Representation Theory of Algebras and Related Topics, Mexico City, 1994, in: CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996, pp. 315–348.
- H. Tachikawa, Quasi-Frobenius Rings and Generalizations, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 351, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1973.
- [W] T. Wakamatsu, Tilting modules and Auslander's Gorenstein property, J. Algebra 275 (1) (2004) 3–39.