

Selforthogonal Modules of Finite Projective Dimension^{*†}

Xiangyu Feng and Zhaoyong Huang[‡]

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P. R. China

Abstract

Let R be a ring and ${}_R\omega$ a selforthogonal module. We introduce the notion of the right orthogonal dimension (relative to ${}_R\omega$) of modules. We give a criterion for computing this relative right orthogonal dimension of modules. For a left coherent and semi-local ring R and a finitely presented selforthogonal module ${}_R\omega$, we show that the projective dimension of ${}_R\omega$ and the right orthogonal dimension (relative to ${}_R\omega$) of R/J are identical, where J is the Jacobson radical of R . As a consequence, we get that ${}_R\omega$ has finite projective dimension if and only if every left (finitely presented) R -module has finite right orthogonal dimension (relative to ${}_R\omega$). If ω is a tilting module, we then prove that a left R -module has finite right orthogonal dimension (relative to ${}_R\omega$) if and only if it has a special ω^\perp -preenvelope.

Tilting modules and cotilting modules are very important research objects in representation theory of artin algebras, which are some special kinds of orthogonal modules. Let ${}_R\omega$ be a finitely generated selforthogonal module over an Artinian algebra R . Huang in [H] introduced the notion of left orthogonal dimension (relative to ${}_R\omega$) of modules, and proved that the injective dimension of ${}_R\omega$ is finite if and only if every finitely generated left R -module has finite left orthogonal dimension (relative to ${}_R\omega$). In [CT] Colpi and Trlifaj investigated the properties of tilting torsion theories. Futhermore, Angeleri Hügel and Coelho established in [AnC] the relationship between the tilting theory and relative homological theory.

Motivated by the papers mentioned above, in this paper we introduce in Section 1 the notion of right orthogonal dimension (relative to a given selforthogonal module) of modules. In Section 2, we first give a criterion for computing this relative right orthogonal dimension of modules and prove that for a left R -module M and a non-negative integer n , the right orthogonal dimension (relative to a selforthogonal module ${}_R\omega$) of M is at most n if and only if the n -th cosyzygy of M is right orthogonal with ${}_R\omega$. Let R be a left coherent and semi-local ring and ${}_R\omega$ a finitely presented selforthogonal module. We show that the projective

^{*}2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E05, 16E10.

[†]Keywords: selforthogonal modules; right orthogonal dimension; projective dimension; tilting modules; \mathcal{X} -coresolution dimension.

[‡]E-mail: huangzy@nju.edu.cn

dimension of ${}_R\omega$ and the right orthogonal dimension (relative to ${}_R\omega$) of R/J are identical, where J is the Jacobson radical of R . As a consequence of the obtained results, we get that ${}_R\omega$ has finite projective dimension if and only if every left (finitely presented) R -module has finite right orthogonal dimension (relative to ${}_R\omega$). In Section 3 we study the properties of right orthogonal dimension (relative to ${}_R\omega$) of modules when ω is a tilting module. We prove that a left R -module has finite right orthogonal dimension (relative to ${}_R\omega$) if and only if it has a special ω^\perp -preenvelope if and only if it has a special \mathcal{X} -precover, where \mathcal{X} denotes the subcategory of left R -modules consisting of all X with $\text{Add}_R\omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(X)$ (see Section 3 for the definition) at most n .

1. Definitions and Notations

For a ring R , We use $\text{Mod } R$ to denote the category of left R -modules. For a left R -module M , we use $\text{l.pd}_R(M)$ to denote the left projective dimension of M .

Definition 1.1 Let $\omega \in \text{Mod } R$. We call ω a *selforthogonal module* if $\text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, \omega) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$.

Definition 1.2 Let $\omega \in \text{Mod } R$ be a selforthogonal module and $X \in \text{Mod } R$. X is said to be *right orthogonal* with ω if $\text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, X) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$. We use ω^\perp to denote the subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of the modules which are right orthogonal with ω . An exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow \cdots$ in $\text{Mod } R$ is called a ω^\perp -coresolution of X if all $X_i \in \omega^\perp$.

We now introduce the notion of the right orthogonal dimension (relative to a given module) of modules as follows.

Definition 1.3 Let ω and M be in $\text{Mod } R$. If M has a ω^\perp -coresolution $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow \cdots$, then set $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) = \inf\{n \mid 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ is a right orthogonal coresolution of } M\}$. If no such an integer exists set $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) = \infty$. We call $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M)$ the *right orthogonal dimension* of M .

For any $M \in \text{Mod } R$, it is clear that $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M)$ is at most the injective dimension of M , and $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) = 0$ if and only if $M \in \omega^\perp$. So the notion of this relative right orthogonal dimension can be regarded as a generalization of that of the injective dimension. On the other hand, there is a close relation between the right orthogonal dimension of modules (relative to a given selforthogonal module ${}_R\omega$) and the projective dimension of ${}_R\omega$. In fact, in next section we will show that if ${}_R\omega$ is selforthogonal, then for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$, $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M)$ is at most the projective dimension of ${}_R\omega$.

2. The Right Orthogonal Dimension of Modules

In this section, R is a ring and ${}_R\omega \in \text{Mod } R$ is a selforthogonal module. The right orthogonal dimension relative to ${}_R\omega$ of a module M is called the *right orthogonal dimension* of M for short.

Definition 2.1 ([R]) Let $B \in \text{Mod } R$ and $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} E_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} E_i \xrightarrow{d_i} \dots$ be an injective coresolution; denote $\text{Im} \varepsilon$ by $\text{co}\Omega^0(B)$ and, for $n \geq 1$, denote $\text{Im} d_{n-1}$ by $\text{co}\Omega^n(B)$. For any $n \geq 0$, $\text{co}\Omega^n(B)$ is called the n -th *cosyzygy* of B .

The following theorem gives a criterion for computing the right orthogonal dimension of modules.

Theorem 2.2 Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$. Then $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq n$ if and only if $\text{co}\Omega^n(M) \in \omega^\perp$.

Proof. Assume that

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} E_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} E_i \xrightarrow{d_i} \dots$$

is a minimal injective coresolution of ${}_R M$. The sufficiency is trivial. We next prove the necessity.

The case $n=0$ is trivial. Now suppose that $n \geq 1$ and M has the following right orthogonal coresolution: $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Y_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} Y_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} Y_n \rightarrow 0$.

Consider the following push-out diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & E_0 & \longrightarrow & \text{co}\Omega^1(M) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_0 & \longrightarrow & E_0 \oplus \text{Im} f_0 & \longrightarrow & \text{co}\Omega^1(M) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \text{Im} f_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Im} f_0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

By the exactness of the middle row in the above diagram we get that $\text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, \text{Im} f_0) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^1(M))$ for any $i \geq 1$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^n(M)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+n-1}(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^1(M))$ for any $i \geq 1$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{t+n-1}(\omega, \text{Im} f_0) \cong \text{Ext}_R^t(\omega, Y_n) = 0$ for any $t \geq 1$. So $\text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^n(M)) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$ and $\text{co}\Omega^n(M) \in \omega^\perp$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3 *Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$ and $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$. Then $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) = \sup\{t \mid \text{Ext}_R^t(\omega, M) \neq 0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) = n < \infty$. By Theorem 2.2, $\text{Ext}_R^k(\omega, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{k-n}(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^n(M)) = 0$ for any $k \geq n + 1$. So $\sup\{t \mid \text{Ext}_R^t(\omega, M) \neq 0\} \leq n$. Suppose that

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow \cdots$$

is a minimal injective coresolution of M . For any $n \geq 1$, from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{co}\Omega^{n-1}(M) \rightarrow E_{n-1} \rightarrow \text{co}\Omega^n(M) \rightarrow 0$ we get a long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^n(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^{n-1}(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, E_{n-1}) \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^n(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^{n-1}(M)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

So $\text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^{n-1}(M)) = 0$ for any $i \geq 2$. We claim that $\text{Ext}_R^1(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^{n-1}(M)) \neq 0$. Otherwise, if $\text{Ext}_R^1(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^{n-1}(M)) = 0$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^{n-1}(M)) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$ and $\text{co}\Omega^{n-1}(M) \in \omega^\perp$. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq n - 1$, which is a contradiction. In addition $\text{Ext}_R^n(\omega, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^{n-1}(M))$, so $\text{Ext}_R^n(\omega, M) \neq 0$, which implies $\sup\{t \mid \text{Ext}_R^t(\omega, M) \neq 0\} \geq n$. This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.4 $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq \text{l.pd}_R(\omega)$ for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$.

Proof. Without loss of generalization, suppose $\text{l.pd}_R(\omega) = n < \infty$. Then for any $M \in \text{Mod } R$ and $i \geq n + 1$, we have that $\text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, \text{co}\Omega^n(M)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n+i}(\omega, M) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$ and $\text{co}\Omega^n(M) \in \omega^\perp$. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq n$. We are done. \square

Recall from [S] that R is called a *semi-local ring* if R/J is an Artinian semi-simple ring. It is well known that a semi-perfect ring (more specially, an Artinian ring or a semi-primary ring) is semi-local (see [AF]). Also recall from [S] that a left R -module M is called *finitely presented* if there is a finitely generated projective left R -module P and a finitely generated submodule N of P such that $P/N \cong M$. A left R -module M is said to *admit a finitely generated projective resolution* if there is an exact sequence: $\cdots \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{f_i} \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where P_i is a finitely generated projective left R -module for any $i \geq 0$ (see [G]). It is clear that if M admits a finitely generated projective resolution as above, then M and $\text{Im} f_i$ are finitely presented for any $i \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.5 *Let R be a semi-local ring. If ${}_R\omega$ admits a finitely generated projective resolution, then $\text{l.pd}_R(\omega) = \omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(R/J)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, we have that $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(R/J) \leq \text{l.pd}_R(\omega)$. Then we need to prove that $\text{l.pd}_R(\omega) \leq \omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(R/J)$. Without loss of generalization, suppose $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(R/J) = n < \infty$. Then by Lemma 2.3, we have that $\text{Ext}_R^i(\omega, R/J) = 0$ for any $i \geq n + 1$.

Now suppose that

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{f_i} \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \rightarrow {}_R\omega \rightarrow 0$$

is a finitely generated projective resolution of ${}_R\omega$. Then $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Im} f_n, R/J) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n+i}(\omega, R/J) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$. So by [XC, Lemma 3], we have that $\text{Im} f_n$ is projective and hence $\text{l.pd}_R(\omega) \leq n$. We are done. \square

Recall from [S] that R is called a *left coherent ring* if every finitely generated submodule of a finitely presented left R -module is also finitely presented. It is clear that R is left coherent if R is a left Noetherian ring. On the other hand, it is not difficult to see that if R is a left coherent ring, then every presented left R -module admits a finitely generated projective resolution. So, the following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 2.6 *Let R be a left coherent and semi-local ring. If ${}_R\omega$ is finitely presented, then $\text{l.pd}_R(\omega) = \omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(R/J)$.*

The following result is a dual version of [H, Theorem 2].

Corollary 2.7 *Let R be a left coherent and semi-local ring and ${}_R\omega$ finitely presented. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $\text{l.pd}_R(\omega) < \infty$.
- (2) *Every (finitely presented) left R -module has finite right orthogonal dimension.*
- (3) *Every cyclic left R -module has finite right orthogonal dimension.*
- (4) $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(R/J) < \infty$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.6. \square

3. Tilting Case

Recall from [AnC] that a module $M \in \text{Mod } R$ is called a *tilting module* provided the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\text{l.pd}_R(M) < \infty$.
- (2) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M^{(I)}) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$ and index set I .

(3) There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow {}_R R \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_r \rightarrow 0$ with $M_i \in \text{Add}_R M$ for any $0 \leq i \leq r$, where $\text{Add}_R M$ denotes the full subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of all modules isomorphic to direct summands of direct sums of copies of ${}_R M$.

In this section, $\omega \in \text{Mod } R$ is a tilting module.

Let \mathcal{A} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$. We use ${}^\perp\mathcal{A}$ the subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of the modules X with $\text{Ext}_R^i(A, X) = 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $i \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.1 ([AnC, Lemma 2.4]) (1) For any $X \in \omega^\perp$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ with $M \in \text{Add}_R\omega$ and $K \in \omega^\perp$.

(2) Every homomorphism $A \rightarrow X$ with $A \in {}^\perp(\omega^\perp)$ and $X \in \omega^\perp$ factors through $\text{Add}_R\omega$. In particular, $\text{Add}_R\omega = \omega^\perp \cap {}^\perp(\omega^\perp)$.

Definition 3.2 ([EJ]) Assume that $\mathcal{C} \supset \mathcal{D}$ are subcategories of $\text{Mod } R$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$, $D \in \mathcal{D}$. The morphism $C \rightarrow D$ is said to be a *preenvelope* of C if $\text{Hom}_R(D, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, X) \rightarrow 0$ is exact for all $X \in \mathcal{D}$. The subcategory \mathcal{D} is said to be *preenveloping* in \mathcal{C} if every C in \mathcal{C} has a preenvelope. An \mathcal{D} -*preenvelope* f of C is called *special* if it is a monomorphism and $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Coker } f, X) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$. Dually, the morphism $D \rightarrow C$ is said to be a *precover* of C if $\text{Hom}_R(X, D) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, C) \rightarrow 0$ is exact for all $X \in \mathcal{D}$. The subcategory \mathcal{D} is said to be *precovering* in \mathcal{C} if every C in \mathcal{C} has a precover. An \mathcal{D} -*precover* f of C is called *special* if it is an epimorphism and $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, \text{Ker } f) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 3.3 $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq 1$ if and only if there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow V \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$ with $V \in \omega^\perp$ and $M' \in \text{Add}_R\omega$.

Proof. Since ${}_R\omega$ is a tilting module, $\text{Add}_R\omega \in \omega^\perp$. So the sufficiency is trivial. Hence it suffices to prove the necessity. Suppose $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq 1$, then there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow 0$ with $V_0, V_1 \in \omega^\perp$. By Lemma 3.1(1), there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M' \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow 0$ with $M' \in \text{Add}_R\omega$ and $K \in \omega^\perp$. Consider the following pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & K & \xlongequal{\quad} & K & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & M' \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & V_0 & \longrightarrow & V_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & & 0 & & 0 &
\end{array}$$

From the middle column in the above diagram, We know that $V \in \omega^\perp$. So the middle row is desired. \square

Let \mathcal{X} be a full subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ and A a module in $\text{Mod } R$. If there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow \cdots$ in $\text{Mod } R$ with $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$ for any $i \geq 0$, then we define the \mathcal{X} -coresolution dimension of A , denoted by $\mathcal{X}\text{-coresol.dim}_R(A)$, as $\inf\{n \mid \text{there is an exact sequence } 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \text{Mod } R \text{ with } X_i \in \mathcal{X} \text{ for any } 0 \leq i \leq n\}$. We set $\mathcal{X}\text{-coresol.dim}_R(A)$ infinity if no such an integer exists (see [AuB]).

Proposition 3.4 *Let n be a non-negative integer. For any $M \in \text{Mod } R$, $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq n$ if and only if there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow V \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$ with $\text{Add}_R\omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(M') \leq n - 1$ and $V \in \omega^\perp$.*

Proof. The sufficiency is trivial. In the following we will prove the necessity by using induction on n . The case for $n \leq 1$ follows from Lemma 3.3. Now suppose $n \geq 2$. We have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{Mod } R$ with $V_0 \in \omega^\perp$ and $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(Q) \leq n - 1$. By induction assumption, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ with $\text{Add}_R\omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(K) \leq n - 2$ and $V_1 \in \omega^\perp$. Since $V_1 \in \omega^\perp$, by Lemma 3.1(1) there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow 0$ with $X \in \text{Add}_R\omega$ and $Y \in \omega^\perp$. First, consider the following pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & V_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & K & \equiv & K \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

From the middle column in the above diagram, we have that $\text{Add}_R\omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(M') \leq n - 1$.

Next, consider the following pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & Y & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & M' \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & V_0 & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & & 0 & & 0 &
\end{array}$$

From the middle column in the above diagram, we know that $E \in \omega^\perp$. So the middle row is desired. \square

Proposition 3.5 *The following statements are equivalent for a non-negative integer n .*

(1) *For any $M \in \text{Mod } R$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow V \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ with $\text{Add}_R \omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(K) \leq n - 1$ and $V \in \omega^\perp$.*

(2) *For any $M \in \text{Mod } R$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V' \rightarrow K' \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $\text{Add}_R \omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(K') \leq n$ and $V' \in \omega^\perp$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$. Then by (1), there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow V \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ with $\text{Add}_R \omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(K) \leq n - 1$ and $V \in \omega^\perp$. By Lemma 3.1(1) there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V' \rightarrow X \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ with $X \in \text{Add}_R \omega$ and $V' \in \omega^\perp$.

Consider the following pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & V' & \longrightarrow & K' & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & V' & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & V \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & & K & \xlongequal{\quad} & K & \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & & 0 & & 0 &
\end{array}$$

From the middle column in the above diagram, we have $\text{Add}_R \omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(K') \leq n$. So the first row is desired.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $M \in \text{Mod } R$. Then by (2), there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V' \rightarrow K' \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $\text{Add}_R\omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(K') \leq n$ and $V' \in \omega^\perp$. So there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K' \rightarrow X \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ with $X \in \text{Add}_R\omega$ and $\text{Add}_R\omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(K) \leq n - 1$.

Consider the following push-out diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & V' & \xlongequal{\quad} & V' & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & K' & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & K \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & K \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

From the middle column in the above diagram, we have $V \in \omega^\perp$. So the last row is desired. \square

It is easy to verify that the exact sequence in Proposition 3.5(1) is a special ω^\perp -preenvelope of M , and that of Proposition 3.5(2) is a special \mathcal{X} -precover of M , where \mathcal{X} denotes the subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of all X with $\text{Add}_R\omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(X) \leq n$. Thus we get the main result in this section as follows.

Theorem 3.6 *For any $M \in \text{Mod } R$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\omega^\perp\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq n$.
- (2) $\text{co}\Omega^n(M) \in \omega^\perp$.
- (3) M has a special ω^\perp -preenvelope $f : M \rightarrow V$ with $\text{Add}_R\omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(\text{Coker } f) \leq n - 1$.
- (4) M has a special \mathcal{X} -precover $g : K' \rightarrow M$ with $\text{Ker } g \in \omega^\perp$, where \mathcal{X} denotes the subcategory of $\text{Mod } R$ consisting of all X with $\text{Add}_R\omega\text{-coresol.dim}_R(X) \leq n$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2 we have (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). By Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 we have (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4). \square

Acknowledgements The research was partially supported by the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (Grant No. 20060284002), NSFC (Grant No. 10771095) and NSF of Jiangsu Province of China (Grant No. BK2007517).

References

- [AF] F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, 2nd ed, Grad. Texts in Math. **13**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [AnC] L. Angeleri Hügel and F.U. Coelho, *Infinitely generated tilting modules of finite projective dimension*, Forum Math., **13**(2001)239-250.
- [AuB] M. Auslander and R.O. Buchweitz, *The homological theory of maximal Cohen-Macaulay approximations*, Soc. Math. France **38**(1989), 5-37.
- [CT] R. Colpi and J. Trlifaj, *Tilting modules and tilting torsion theories*, J. Algebra **178**(1995), 614-634.
- [EJ] E.E. Enochs and O.M.G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, De Gruyter Exp. in Math. **30**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2000.
- [G] S. Glaz, *Commutative Coherent Rings*, Lect. Notes in Math. **1371**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [H] Z.Y. Huang, *Selforthogonal modules with finite injective dimension*, Science in China (Ser. A), **43**(2000), 1174-1181.
- [R] J.J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [S] B. Stenström, *Rings of Quotients*, Die Grund. der Math. Wissen. in Einz. **217**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [XC] J.Z. Xu and F.C. Cheng, *Homological dimensions over non-commutative semi-local rings*, J. Algebra, **169**(1994), 679-685.