

## FP-PROJECTIVE DIMENSIONS#

**Lixin Mao**

*Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University  
Department of Basic Courses, Nanjing Institute of Technology, Nanjing, China*

**Nanqing Ding**

*Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China*

*We define a dimension, called an FP-projective dimension, for modules and rings. It measures how far away a finitely generated module is from being finitely presented, and how far away a ring is from being Noetherian. This dimension has nice properties when the ring in question is coherent. The relations between the FP-projective dimension and other homological dimensions are discussed.*

**Key Words:** Coherent ring; FP-injective module; FP-projective dimension; FP-projective module; Noetherian ring.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 16E10; Secondary 18G20.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  a right  $R$ -module. Ng (1984) defined the finitely presented dimension  $f.p.dim(M)$  of  $M$  as  $\inf\{n : \text{there exists an exact sequence } P_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \text{ of right } R\text{-modules, where each } P_i \text{ is projective, and } P_{n+1}, P_n \text{ are finitely generated}\}$ . If no such sequence exists for any  $n$ , set  $f.p.dim(M) = \infty$ . The right finitely presented dimension  $r.f.p.dim(R)$  of  $R$  is defined as  $\sup\{f.p.dim(M) : M \text{ is a finitely generated right } R\text{-module}\}$ . The dimension defined in this way has some nice properties, but no ring or finitely generated module can have finitely presented dimension 1 by Ng (1984), Proposition 1.5 and Corollary 1.6. To fill the gap, we shall introduce another kind of finitely presented dimension of modules and rings in this paper.

In Section 2, the definition and some general results are given. For a right  $R$ -module  $M$ , we define the FP-projective dimension  $fpd(M)$  of  $M$  to be the smallest integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(M, N) = 0$  for any FP-injective right  $R$ -module  $N$ . If no such  $n$  exists, set  $fpd(M) = \infty$ . The right FP-projective dimension  $rfpd(R)$  of a ring  $R$  is defined as  $\sup\{fpd(M) : M \text{ is a finitely generated right } R\text{-module}\}$ .  $M$  is called FP-projective if  $fpd(M) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\text{Ext}^1(M, N) = 0$  for any FP-injective right

Received November 19, 2003; Accepted December 7, 2003

#Communicated by A. Facchini.

Address correspondence to Lixin Mao, Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P.R. China; E-mail: maolx2@hotmail.com

$R$ -module  $N$ . The  $FP$ -projective dimension for modules and rings defined here is different from the finitely presented dimension Ng (1984), and the  $\lambda$ -dimension in Vasconcelos (1976), and it measures how far away a finitely generated module is from being finitely presented, and how far away a ring is from being Noetherian.

In Section 3, with the additional assumption of coherence, we show that the  $FP$ -projective dimension has the properties that we expect of a "dimension." Let  $R$  be a right coherent ring. It is shown that  $rfpD(R) = \sup\{fpd(M) : M \text{ is a cyclic right } R\text{-module}\} = \sup\{id(F) : F \text{ is an } FP\text{-injective right } R\text{-module}\} = \sup\{fpd(F) : F \text{ is an } FP\text{-injective right } R\text{-module}\}$ . As corollaries, we have that  $R$  is a right Noetherian ring if and only if every  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -module is  $FP$ -projective; and  $rfpD(R) \leq 1$  if and only if for any pure submodule  $N$  of an injective right module  $M$ , the quotient  $M/N$  is injective. For a right semi-Artinian right coherent ring  $R$ , we prove that  $rfpD(R) = \sup\{fpd(M) : M \text{ is a simple right } R\text{-module}\}$ . If  $R$  and  $S$  are right coherent rings, then we get that  $rfpD(R \otimes S) = \sup\{rfpD(R), rfpD(S)\}$ . Let  $R$  be a commutative coherent ring and  $P$  any prime ideal of  $R$ , then  $fpd(R_p) \leq fpd(R)$ , where  $R_p$  is the localization of  $R$  at  $P$ .

In the last section, it is proven that  $wD(R) = \sup\{pd(M) : M \text{ is an } FP\text{-projective right } R\text{-module}\}$  and  $rD(R) \leq wD(R) + rfpD(R)$  for a right coherent ring  $R$ .

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative with identity and all modules are unitary. We write  $M_R$  ( ${}_R M$ ) to indicate a right (left)  $R$ -module. Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules.  $rD(R)$  ( $wD(R)$ ) stands for the right (the weak) global dimension of  $R$ .  $pd(M)$ ,  $fd(M)$ , and  $id(M)$  denote the projective, flat, and injective dimensions of  $M$ , respectively.  $\text{Hom}(M, N)$  ( $\text{Ext}^n(M, N)$ ) means  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  ( $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$ ) for an integer  $n \geq 1$ , and similarly  $M \otimes N$  ( $\text{Tor}_1(M, N)$ ) denotes  $M \otimes_R N$  ( $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, N)$ ), unless otherwise specified. General background materials can be found in Anderson and Fuller (1974), Enochs and Jenda (2000), Rotman (1979), and Xu (1996).

## 2. DEFINITION AND GENERAL RESULTS

Recall that a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $FP$ -injective (or absolutely pure) (Madox, 1967; Stenström, 1970) if  $\text{Ext}^1(N, M) = 0$  for all finitely presented right  $R$ -modules  $N$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring. For a right  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $fpd(M)$  denote the smallest integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(M, N) = 0$  for any  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $N$  and call  $fpd(M)$  the  $FP$ -projective dimension of  $M$ . If no such  $n$  exists, set  $fpd(M) = \infty$ .

Put  $rfpD(R) = \sup\{fpd(M) : M \text{ is a finitely generated right } R\text{-module}\}$  and call  $rfpD(R)$  the right  $FP$ -projective dimension of  $R$ . Similarly, we have  $lfpD(R)$  (when  $R$  is a commutative ring, we drop the unneeded letters  $r$  and  $l$ ).

A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $FP$ -projective if  $fpd(M) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\text{Ext}^1(M, N) = 0$  for any  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $N$ .

**Remarks 2.2.** (1) It is clear that  $fpd(M) \leq pd(M)$  for any right  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $rfpD(R) \leq rD(R)$  for any ring  $R$ . It is also easy to see that a ring  $R$  is von Neumann regular if and only if  $fpd(M) = pd(M)$  for any right  $R$ -module  $M$  if and only if every  $FP$ -projective right  $R$ -module is projective (flat).

(2) Enochs (1976) proved that a finitely generated right  $R$ -module  $M$  is finitely presented if and only if  $\text{Ext}^1(M, N) = 0$  for any FP-injective right  $R$ -module  $N$ . Thus  $\text{fpd}(M)$  measures how far away a finitely generated right  $R$ -module  $M$  is from being finitely presented.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  a right  $R$ -module. Then  $\text{fpd}(M) \leq \text{f.p. dim}(M)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $\text{f.p. dim}(M) = n < \infty$ . Then there exists an exact sequence

$$P_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of right  $R$ -modules, where each  $P_i$  is projective, and  $P_{n+1}, P_n$  are finitely generated. Let  $K_{n-1} = \text{coker}(P_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n)$ , then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow P_{n-1} \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with  $K_{n-1}$  finitely presented. Thus  $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}^1(K_{n-1}, N) = 0$  for any FP-injective right  $R$ -module  $N$ , and so  $\text{fpd}(M) \leq n$ , as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $\text{rfpd}(R) \leq \text{r.f.p. dim}(R)$ .*

**Remark 2.5.** The inequalities in Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 may be strict. In fact, let  $M$  be a nonfinitely generated projective module, then  $\text{fpd}(M) = 0$ , while  $\text{f.p. dim}(M) = 1$  by Ng (1984), Proposition 1.2. On the other hand, let

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & \mathbb{Q} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $R$  is a right hereditary ring that is not right Noetherian (cf. Anderson and Fuller, 1974, Example 28.12), thus  $\text{r.f.p. dim}(R) = 2$  by the remark just before Ng (1984), Proposition 1.7. However,  $\text{rfpd}(R) = 1$ . Clearly, the FP-projective dimension defined here is different from the finitely presented dimension in Ng (1984).

**Proposition 2.6.** *For any ring  $R$  the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\text{rfpd}(R) = 0$ ;
- (2)  $R$  is right Noetherian;
- (3) Every finitely generated right  $R$ -module is finitely presented;
- (4) Every cyclic right  $R$ -module is finitely presented;
- (5) Every right  $R$ -module is FP-projective;
- (6) Every FP-injective right  $R$ -module is injective;
- (7) Every direct limit of FP-projective right  $R$ -modules is FP-projective.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3), (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (7) and (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) are obvious.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). Let  $N$  be an FP-injective right  $R$ -module and  $I$  a right ideal of  $R$ . Then  $\text{Ext}^1(R/I, N) = 0$  by (4). Thus  $N$  is injective, as desired.

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). Note that every right  $R$ -module is a direct limit of finitely presented right  $R$ -modules. Therefore (5) follows from the fact that every finitely presented right  $R$ -module is  $FP$ -projective.  $\square$

**Remarks 2.7.** (1) By Proposition 2.6,  $rfpD(R)$  measures how far away a ring is from being right Noetherian. It is well known that right Noetherian rings need not be left Noetherian, so  $rfpD(R) \neq lfpD(R)$  in general.

(2) Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. The  $\lambda$ -dimension  $\lambda_R(M)$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  and the  $\lambda$ -dimension  $\lambda\text{-dim}(R)$  of the ring  $R$  have been widely studied (see Couchot, 2003; Vasconcelos, 1976). It is well known that  $R$  is Noetherian if and only if  $\lambda\text{-dim}(R) = 0$ , and  $R$  is coherent if and only if  $\lambda\text{-dim}(R) \leq 1$ . However the  $\lambda$ -dimension is completely different from the  $FP$ -projective dimension defined here. In fact, take  $M$  to be a finitely presented  $R$ -module, then  $\lambda_R(M) \geq 1$ , but  $fpd(M) = 0$ . In addition, we can choose a commutative von Neumann regular ring  $R$  of global dimension 2 by Pierce (1967), Corollary 5.2, then  $fpd(R) = D(R) = 2$  by Remark 2.2, while  $\lambda\text{-dim}(R) = 1$ .

(3) Recall that a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be pure projective if for every pure exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/T \rightarrow 0$ , the sequence  $\text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N/T) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. By Dauns (1994), Theorem 18-2.10,  $M$  is pure projective if and only if  $M$  is a direct summand of a direct sum of finitely presented modules. Clearly, pure projective modules are  $FP$ -projective, but the converse is not true. In fact, Azumaya and Facchini (1989), Proposition 5, assert that if every right  $R$ -module is pure projective, then  $R$  must be right Artinian. Take  $R$  to be a right Noetherian ring which is not right Artinian, then there exists an  $FP$ -projective right  $R$ -module which is not pure projective.

Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. Recall that a homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow F$ , where  $F$  is  $FP$ -injective, is called an  $FP$ -injective preenvelope of  $M$  (see Enochs and Jenda, 2000) if for any homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow F'$ , where  $F'$  is  $FP$ -injective, there is a homomorphism  $g : F \rightarrow F'$  such that  $gf = f$ . Moreover, if the only such  $g$  are automorphisms of  $F$ , when  $F' = F$  and  $f = \phi$ , the  $FP$ -injective preenvelope  $\phi$  is called an  $FP$ -injective envelope of  $M$ . Clearly,  $\phi$  is a monomorphism.  $FP$ -projective (pre)covers of  $M$  can be defined dually. By Enochs and Jenda (2000), Proposition 6.2.4, every  $R$ -module has an  $FP$ -injective preenvelope.

**Remark 2.8.** Denote by  $\mathcal{FP}\text{-proj}$  ( $\mathcal{FP}\text{-inj}$ ) the class of  $FP$ -projective ( $FP$ -injective) right  $R$ -modules. Then  $(\mathcal{FP}\text{-proj}, \mathcal{FP}\text{-inj})$  is a cotorsion theory that is cogenerated by the representative set of all finitely presented  $R$ -modules (cf. Enochs and Jenda, 2000, Definition 7.1.2). We note that the concept of  $FP$ -projective modules coincides with that of *finitely covered* modules introduced by Trlifaj (see Trlifaj, 2000, Definition 3.3 and Theorem 3.4). By Enochs and Jenda (2000), Theorem 7.4.1 and Definition 7.1.5, every  $R$ -module has a special  $FP$ -injective preenvelope, i.e., there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F$  is  $FP$ -injective and  $L$  is  $FP$ -projective; and every  $R$ -module has a special  $FP$ -projective precover, i.e., there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F$  is  $FP$ -projective and  $K$  is  $FP$ -injective (note that every  $R$ -module has a pure projective precover by Enochs and Jenda, 2000, Example 8.3.2). However,  $FP$ -injective

envelopes may not exist in general (see Trlifaj, 2000, Theorem 4.9). We observe that, if  $\alpha : M \rightarrow F$  is an *FP*-injective envelope of  $M$ , then  $\text{coker}(\alpha)$  is *FP*-projective by Enochs and Jenda (2000), Proposition 7.2.4, and if  $\beta : F \rightarrow M$  is an *FP*-projective cover of  $M$ , then  $\ker(\beta)$  is *FP*-injective by Enochs and Jenda (2000), Proposition 7.2.3.

Recall that a ring  $R$  is called right self-*FP*-injective if  $R_R$  is an *FP*-injective module. We end this section with the following characterizations of *FP*-projective  $R$ -modules.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $R$  be a right self-*FP*-injective ring. If  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $M$  is *FP*-projective;
- (2)  $M$  is projective with respect to every exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ , where  $A$  is *FP*-injective;
- (3) For every exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F$  is *FP*-injective,  $K \rightarrow F$  is an *FP*-injective preenvelope of  $K$ ;
- (4)  $M$  is a cokernel of an *FP*-injective preenvelope  $K \rightarrow F$  with  $F$  projective.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence, where  $A$  is *FP*-injective. Then  $\text{Ext}^1(M, A) = 0$  by (1). Thus  $\text{Hom}(M, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, C) \rightarrow 0$  is exact, and (2) holds.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) For every *FP*-injective right  $R$ -module  $N$ , there is a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  with  $E$  injective, which induces an exact sequence  $\text{Hom}(M, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M, N) \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\text{Hom}(M, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, L) \rightarrow 0$  is exact by (2), we have  $\text{Ext}^1(M, N) = 0$ , and (1) follows.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is easy to verify.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Let  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence with  $P$  projective. Note that  $P$  is *FP*-injective by hypothesis, thus  $K \rightarrow P$  is an *FP*-injective preenvelope.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) By (4), there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $K \rightarrow P$  is an *FP*-injective preenvelope with  $P$  projective. It gives rise to the exactness of  $\text{Hom}(P, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M, N) \rightarrow 0$  for each *FP*-injective right  $R$ -module  $N$ . Note that  $\text{Hom}(P, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K, N) \rightarrow 0$  is exact by (4). Hence  $\text{Ext}^1(M, N) = 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

### 3. THE *FP*-PROJECTIVE DIMENSION OVER COHERENT RINGS

Recall that a ring  $R$  is called right coherent if every finitely generated right ideal of  $R$  is finitely presented.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a right coherent ring. For any right  $R$ -module  $M$  and an integer  $n \geq 0$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\text{fpd}(M) \leq n$ ;
- (2)  $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(M, N) = 0$  for any *FP*-injective right  $R$ -module  $N$ ;

- (3)  $\text{Ext}^{n+j}(M, N) = 0$  for any FP-injective right  $R$ -module  $N$  and  $j \geq 1$ ;  
 (4) There exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where each  $P_i$  is FP-projective.

*Proof.* (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) For any FP-injective right  $R$ -module  $N$ , there is a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ , where  $E$  is injective. Then the sequence  $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(M, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+2}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+2}(M, E) = 0$  is exact. Note that  $L$  is FP-injective by Stenström (1970), Lemma 3.1, so  $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(M, L) = 0$  by (2). Hence  $\text{Ext}^{n+2}(M, N) = 0$ , and (3) follows by induction.

The proof of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is similar to that of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) is straightforward. □

The proof of the next proposition is standard homological algebra.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a right coherent ring,  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  an exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules. If two of  $\text{fpd}(A)$ ,  $\text{fpd}(B)$ , and  $\text{fpd}(C)$  are finite, so is the third. Moreover,*

- (1)  $\text{fpd}(B) \leq \sup\{\text{fpd}(A), \text{fpd}(C)\}$ .
- (2)  $\text{fpd}(A) \leq \sup\{\text{fpd}(B), \text{fpd}(C) - 1\}$ .
- (3)  $\text{fpd}(C) \leq \sup\{\text{fpd}(B), \text{fpd}(A) + 1\}$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a right coherent ring.*

- (1) If  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules, where  $0 < \text{fpd}(A) < \infty$  and  $B$  is FP-projective, then  $\text{fpd}(C) = \text{fpd}(A) + 1$ .
- (2)  $\text{rfpd}(R) = n$  if and only if  $\sup\{\text{fpd}(I) : I \text{ is any right ideal of } R\} = n - 1$  for any integer  $n \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* (1) is true by Proposition 3.2.

(2) For a right ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , consider the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ . Then (2) follows from (1). □

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be a right coherent ring, then the following are identical:*

- (1)  $\text{rfpd}(R)$ ;
- (2)  $\sup\{\text{fpd}(M) : M \text{ is a cyclic right } R\text{-module}\}$ ;
- (3)  $\sup\{\text{fpd}(M) : M \text{ is any right } R\text{-module}\}$ ;
- (4)  $\sup\{\text{id}(F) : F \text{ is an FP-injective right } R\text{-module}\}$ ;
- (5)  $\sup\{\text{fpd}(F) : F \text{ is an FP-injective right } R\text{-module}\}$ .

*Proof.* (2)  $\leq$  (1)  $\leq$  (3) and (5)  $\leq$  (3) are obvious.

(3)  $\leq$  (4) We may assume  $\sup\{\text{id}(F) : F \text{ is an FP-injective right } R\text{-module}\} = m < \infty$ . Let  $M$  be any right  $R$ -module and  $N$  any FP-injective right  $R$ -module. Since  $\text{id}(N) \leq m$ , it follows that  $\text{Ext}^{m+1}(M, N) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{fpd}(M) \leq m$ .

(4)  $\leq$  (2) We may assume  $\sup\{fpd(M) : M \text{ is a cyclic right } R\text{-module}\} = n < \infty$ . Let  $N$  be an FP-injective right  $R$ -module and  $I$  any right ideal, then  $fpd(R/I) \leq n$ . By Proposition 3.1,  $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(R/I, N) = 0$ , and so  $id(N) \leq n$ .

(3)  $\leq$  (5) We may assume that  $\sup\{fpd(F) : F \text{ is an FP-injective right } R\text{-module}\} = n < \infty$ . Let  $M$  be any right  $R$ -module. By Remark 2.8, there is a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F$  is FP-injective and  $L$  is FP-projective. Thus  $fpd(M) \leq fpd(F) \leq n$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $R$  be a right coherent ring. Then the following are equivalent for an integer  $n \geq 0$ :*

- (1)  $rfd(R) \leq n$ ;
- (2)  $id(M) \leq n$  for all FP-injective right  $R$ -modules  $M$ ;
- (3)  $fpd(M) \leq n$  for all FP-injective right  $R$ -modules  $M$ ;
- (4)  $id(M) \leq n$  for all right  $R$ -modules  $M$  that are both FP-projective and FP-injective, and  $rfd(R) < \infty$ ;
- (5)  $fpd(M) \leq n$  for all injective right  $R$ -modules  $M$ , and  $rfd(R) < \infty$ ;
- (6)  $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(M, N) = 0$  for all FP-injective right  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ ;
- (7)  $\text{Ext}^{n+j}(M, N) = 0$  for all FP-injective right  $R$ -modules  $M, N$  and  $j \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.4, it suffices to show that (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $M$  be any FP-injective right  $R$ -module. Since  $rfd(R) < \infty$ ,  $fpd(M) = m$  for a nonnegative integer  $m$  by Theorem 3.4 (4). Note that every right  $R$ -module has a special FP-projective precover, then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where each  $P_i$  is both FP-projective and FP-injective. Since  $id(P_i) \leq n$  by (4),  $id(M) \leq n$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $M$  be any FP-injective right  $R$ -module. Since  $rfd(R) < \infty$ ,  $id(M) = m$  for an integer  $m \geq 0$  by Theorem 3.4 (5). Hence  $M$  admits an injective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^{m-1} \rightarrow E^m \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $fpd(E^i) \leq n$  for each  $E^i$  by (5), so  $fpd(M) \leq n$  by Proposition 3.2.  $\square$

In what follows,  $\sigma_M : M \rightarrow E(M)$  ( $\epsilon_M : FP(M) \rightarrow M$ ) denotes the injective envelope (FP-projective cover) of a right  $R$ -module  $M$ . Recall that an injective envelope  $\sigma_M : M \rightarrow E(M)$  has the unique mapping property (see Ding, 1996) if for any homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  with  $N$  injective, there exists a unique homomorphism  $g : E(M) \rightarrow N$  such that  $g\sigma_M = f$ . The concept of an FP-projective cover (FP-injective envelope) with the unique mapping property can be defined similarly.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $R$  be a right coherent ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is a right Noetherian ring;
- (2) Every FP-injective right  $R$ -module is FP-projective;

- (3)  $\text{rfp}D(R) < \infty$ , and every injective right  $R$ -module is  $FP$ -projective;
- (4)  $\text{Ext}^1(M, N) = 0$  for all  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ ;
- (5) Every  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -module has an injective envelope with the unique mapping property;
- (6) Every  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -module has an  $FP$ -projective cover with the unique mapping property.

*Proof.* It is enough to show that (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) and (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $M$  be any  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -module. There is the following exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\sigma_M} & E(M) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & L \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \searrow & & \searrow \sigma_L \gamma & & \downarrow \sigma_L \\
 & & & & 0 & & E(L)
 \end{array}$$

Note that  $\sigma_L \gamma \sigma_M = 0 = 0 \sigma_M$ , so  $\sigma_L \gamma = 0$  by (5). Therefore  $L = \text{im}(\gamma) \subseteq \ker(\sigma_L) = 0$ , and hence  $M$  is injective. Thus (1) follows.

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $M$  be any  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -module. There is the following exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & FP(K) & & \\
 & & & & \searrow \alpha \epsilon_K & & \searrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow \epsilon_K & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & FP(M) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_M} & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $K$  is  $FP$ -injective by Remark 2.8. Note that  $\epsilon_M \alpha \epsilon_K = 0 = \epsilon_M 0$ , so  $\alpha \epsilon_K = 0$  by (6). Therefore  $K = \text{im}(\epsilon_K) \subseteq \ker(\alpha) = 0$ , and so  $M$  is  $FP$ -projective, as required. □

It is known that a ring  $R$  is right coherent if and only if for any pure submodule  $N$  of an  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $M$ , the quotient  $M/N$  is  $FP$ -injective (see Wisbauer, 1991, 35.9, p. 302). Here we have the following

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $R$  be a right coherent ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\text{rfp}D(R) \leq 1$ ;
- (2) For any pure submodule  $N$  of an injective right module  $M$ , the quotient  $M/N$  is injective;
- (3) Every submodule of an  $(FP)$ -projective right  $R$ -module is  $FP$ -projective;
- (4) Every right ideal of  $R$  is  $FP$ -projective.



*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $N$  be a pure submodule of an injective right module  $M$ . Then  $N$  is FP-injective, and so  $id(N) \leq 1$  by Theorem 3.4 (4). Thus the exactness of  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$  implies the injectivity of  $M/N$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose  $N$  is an FP-injective right  $R$ -module. Then  $N$  is a pure submodule of its injective envelope  $E(N)$ , and hence  $E(N)/N$  is injective by (2). Therefore  $id(N) \leq 1$ , and so (1) follows from Theorem 3.4 (4).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) is trivial.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $N$  be a submodule of an FP-projective right  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then, for any FP-injective right  $R$ -module  $L$ , we get an exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}^1(M, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(N, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(M/N, L).$$

Note that the last term is zero by (1), hence  $\text{Ext}^1(N, L) = 0$ , and (3) follows.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $I$  be a right ideal of  $R$ . The exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$  implies  $fpd(R/I) \leq 1$  by Proposition 3.1. So (1) follows from Theorem 3.4 (2).  $\square$

It is well known that for a right coherent ring  $R$ , the dual module  $\text{Hom}(M, R)$  of any finitely presented left  $R$ -module  $M$  is finitely presented. Here we have the following

**Corollary 3.8.** *If  $R$  is a right coherent ring with  $rfpD(R) \leq 1$ , then the dual module  $\text{Hom}(M, R)$  of any finitely generated left  $R$ -module  $M$  is FP-projective.*

*In addition, if  $R$  is also left coherent, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) Every flat right  $R$ -module is FP-projective;
- (2)  $M^+$  is right FP-projective for any (FP-)injective left  $R$ -module  $M$ , where  $M^+$  denotes the character module  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ ;
- (3)  $N^{++}$  is right FP-projective for any flat right  $R$ -module  $N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a finitely generated left  $R$ -module. Then there exists an exact sequence  $P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  with  $P$  finitely generated projective. So we have a right  $R$ -module exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, R)$ . Note that  $\text{Hom}(P, R)$  is projective, therefore  $\text{Hom}(M, R)$  is FP-projective by Proposition 3.7.

If  $R$  is also left coherent, then (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) are clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $N$  be any flat right  $R$ -module. There exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N^{++}$ . Since  $rfpD(R) \leq 1$  and  $N^{++}$  is right FP-projective by (3), we have that  $N$  is FP-projective by Proposition 3.7.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative hereditary ring. Then  $\text{Tor}_1(M, N)$  is FP-projective for any  $R$ -module  $M$  and any FP-projective  $R$ -module  $N$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $R$ -module  $M$ , there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , with  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  projective by hypothesis, which induces an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(M, N) \rightarrow P_1 \otimes N$ . It is easy to see that  $P_1 \otimes N$  is FP-projective (for  $N$  is FP-projective). Thus  $\text{Tor}_1(M, N)$  is FP-projective by Proposition 3.7.  $\square$

A ring  $R$  is called right semi-Artinian if every nonzero cyclic right  $R$ -module has a nonzero socle. The following proposition shows that we may compute the  $FP$ -projective dimension of a semi-Artinian coherent ring using just the  $FP$ -projective dimensions of simple modules.

**Proposition 3.10.** *If  $R$  is a right semi-Artinian right coherent ring, then  $\text{rfpd}(R) = \sup\{\text{fpd}(M) : M \text{ is a simple right } R\text{-module}\}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\text{rfpd}(R) \leq \sup\{\text{fpd}(M) : M \text{ is a simple right } R\text{-module}\}$ . We may assume that  $\sup\{\text{fpd}(M) : M \text{ is a simple right } R\text{-module}\} = n < \infty$ . Let  $N$  be an  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -module and  $I$  a maximal right ideal of  $R$ . Consider the injective resolution of  $N$

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n \rightarrow \dots$$

Write  $L = \text{coker}(E^{n-2} \rightarrow E^{n-1})$ . Then  $\text{Ext}^1(R/I, L) = \text{Ext}^{n+1}(R/I, N) = 0$  by Proposition 3.1. Therefore  $L$  is injective by Smith (1981), Lemma 4, since  $R$  is right semi-Artinian. So  $\text{id}(N) \leq n$ , and hence  $\text{rfpd}(R) \leq n$  by Theorem 3.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $R$  be a right coherent ring.*

- (1) *If  $R$  is right semi-Artinian, then  $R$  is a right Noetherian ring if and only if every simple right  $R$ -module is finitely presented.*
- (2) *If  $R$  is a left perfect ring with Jacobson radical  $J$ , then  $\text{rfpd}(R) = \text{fpd}(R/J)$ , where  $R/J$  is considered as a right  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* (1) follows from Proposition 3.10.

(2) Note that  $R$  is left perfect if and only if  $R$  is right semi-Artinian and semilocal (cf. Stenström, 1975). (2) follows immediately since every simple right  $R$ -module is the direct summand of the right  $R$ -module  $R/J$  by Kasch (1982), Theorem 9.3.4.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $J$  be the Jacobson radical of a ring  $R$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$R$  is a left perfect right coherent ring with  $\text{rfpd}(R) < \infty$ , and  $R/J$  (as a right  $R$ -module) embeds in an  $FP$ -projective right  $R$ -module;*
- (2)  *$R$  is a right Artinian ring.*

*Proof.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is clear.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Since  $R$  is a left perfect and right coherent ring, then  $\text{rfpd}(R) = \text{fpd}(R/J) = n < \infty$  by Corollary 3.11. We claim that  $n = 0$ . Otherwise, let  $\alpha : R/J \rightarrow F$  be the embedding, where  $F$  is  $FP$ -projective. Thus the exactness of the sequence  $0 \rightarrow R/J \rightarrow F \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  implies that  $\text{fpd}(L) = \text{fpd}(R/J) + 1 = n + 1$  by Corollary 3.3. However,  $\text{fpd}(L) \leq \text{rfpd}(R) = n$ , this is impossible. Thus  $R$  is right Noetherian and hence right Artinian.  $\square$

To prove the next main result, we need the following three lemmas.

**Lemma 3.13.** *If  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  is a surjective ring homomorphism, both  ${}_R S$  and  $S_R$  are projective. Let  $M$  be a right  $S$ -module (and hence a right  $R$ -module), then  $M$  is a finitely presented right  $S$ -module if and only if  $M$  is a finitely presented right  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* ( $S \Rightarrow R$ ). If  $M$  is a finitely presented right  $S$ -module, then there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  of right  $S$ -modules, where  $K$  and  $P$  are finitely generated, and  $P$  is projective. It is easy to see that  $K$  is a finitely generated right  $R$ -module and  $P$  is a finitely generated projective right  $R$ -module (for  $S_R$  is projective). Therefore  $M$  is a finitely presented right  $R$ -module.

( $S \Leftarrow R$ ). If  $M$  is a finitely presented right  $R$ -module, then there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules, where  $K$  is finitely generated and  $P$  is finitely generated projective. Since  ${}_R S$  is projective, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow P \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow M \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $K \otimes_R S_S$  is a finitely generated right  $S$ -module,  $P \otimes_R S_S$  is a finitely generated projective right  $S$ -module, and  $M \otimes_R S_S \cong M_S$ . Thus  $M_S$  is a finitely presented right  $S$ -module.  $\square$

The following fact can be verified easily, so we omit its proof.

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings. Every right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module  $M$  has a unique decomposition that  $M = A \oplus B$ , where  $A = M(R, 0)$  is a right  $R$ -module and  $B = M(0, S)$  is a right  $S$ -module via  $xr = x(r, 0)$  for  $x \in A, r \in R$ , and  $ys = y(0, s)$  for  $y \in B, s \in S$ .*

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings. If  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module (and hence a right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module), then  $M$  is an FP-projective right  $R$ -module if and only if  $M$  is an FP-projective right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module.*

*Proof.* ( $R \Rightarrow R \oplus S$ ). Suppose  $M$  is an FP-projective right  $R$ -module. By Remark 2.8,  $M$  is finitely covered, i.e.,  $M$  is a direct summand in a right  $R$ -module  $N$  such that  $N$  is a union of a continuous chain  $(N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda)$ , for a cardinal  $\lambda, N_0 = 0$ , and  $N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha$  is a finitely presented right  $R$ -module for all  $\alpha < \lambda$  (see Trlifaj, 2000, Definition 3.3). Note that  $N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha$  is a finitely presented right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module for all  $\alpha < \lambda$  by Lemma 3.13. Thus  $M$  is also an FP-projective right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module.

( $R \oplus S \Rightarrow R$ ). Suppose  $M$  is an FP-projective right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module. Then there exist right  $(R \oplus S)$ -modules  $N$  and  $Q$  such that  $M \oplus Q = N$ , and  $N$  is a union of a continuous chain,  $(N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda)$ , for a cardinal  $\lambda, N_0 = 0$ , and  $N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha$  is a finitely presented right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . In view of Lemma 3.14, we may assume  $Q = Q^1 + Q^2, N_\alpha = N_\alpha^1 \oplus N_\alpha^2$ , where  $Q^1$  and  $N_\alpha^1$  are right  $R$ -modules,  $Q^2$  and  $N_\alpha^2$  are right  $S$ -modules. Then

$$N = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} N_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} (N_\alpha^1 \oplus N_\alpha^2) = \left( \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} N_\alpha^1 \right) \oplus \left( \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} N_\alpha^2 \right).$$

Note that  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module, and so  $M \oplus Q^1 = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} N_\alpha^1$  by Lemma 3.14. In addition,

$$N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha = (N_{\alpha+1}^1 \oplus N_{\alpha+1}^2)/(N_\alpha^1 \oplus N_\alpha^2) \cong (N_{\alpha+1}^1/N_\alpha^1) \oplus (N_{\alpha+2}^2/N_\alpha^2),$$

which implies that each  $N_{\alpha+1}^1/N_\alpha^1$  is finitely presented as a right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module, and so it is finitely presented as a right  $R$ -module by Lemma 3.13. Therefore,  $M$  is an FP-projective right  $R$ -module.  $\square$

We are now in a position to prove the following

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be right coherent rings. Then*

$$rfpD(R \oplus S) = \sup\{rfpD(R), rfpD(S)\}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is motivated by that of Ng (1984), Theorem 2.11.

We first show that  $rfpD(R \oplus S) \leq \sup\{rfpD(R), rfpD(S)\}$ . We may assume that  $rfpD(R) = m < \infty$ ,  $rfpD(S) = n < \infty$ , and  $m \geq n$ . Let  $M$  be a right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module. Then  $M = A \oplus B$ , where  $A$  is a right  $R$ -module and  $B$  is a right  $S$ -module. Since  $fpd(A) \leq m$ ,  $fpd(B) \leq n \leq m$ , by Proposition 3.1 there exist two exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow P_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow Q_m \rightarrow Q_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

of right  $R$ -modules and right  $S$ -modules, respectively, where each  $P_i$  is an FP-projective right  $R$ -module, and each  $Q_i$  is an FP-projective right  $S$ -module. Regarding these as exact sequences of right  $(R \oplus S)$ -modules, we have an exact sequence of right  $(R \oplus S)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow P_m \oplus Q_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \oplus Q_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \oplus Q_1 \rightarrow P_0 \oplus Q_0 \rightarrow A \oplus B \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that each  $P_i \oplus Q_i$  is an FP-projective right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module by Lemma 3.15. Thus  $fpd(M_{R \oplus S}) \leq m$ , and hence  $rfpD(R \oplus S) \leq \sup\{rfpD(R), rfpD(S)\}$ .

Next we prove that  $\sup\{rfpD(R), rfpD(S)\} \leq rfpD(R \oplus S)$ . Suppose that  $rfpD(R \oplus S) = k < \infty$ , and  $rfpD(R) > k$ . Then there is a right  $R$ -module  $M$  with  $fpd(M) > k$ . Note that  $M$  may be regarded as a right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module, so  $fpd(M_{R \oplus S}) \leq rfpD(R \oplus S) = k$ . Thus there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_k \rightarrow P_{k-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of right  $(R \oplus S)$ -modules, where each  $P_i$  is an FP-projective right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module. By Lemma 3.14, we may assume  $P_i = A_i \oplus B_i$ , where  $A_i$  is a right  $R$ -module and  $B_i$

is a right  $S$ -module,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . Since  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_k \rightarrow A_{k-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of right  $R$ -modules. Note that each  $A_i$  is an  $FP$ -projective right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module, and so an  $FP$ -projective right  $R$ -module by Lemma 3.15, whence  $fpd(M_R) \leq k$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\sup\{rfpd(R), rfpd(S)\} \leq rfpd(R \oplus S)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.17.** Theorem 3.16 shows that  $rfpd(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i) = \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{rfpd(R_i)\}$  if each  $R_i$  is right coherent. In particular, we have the well-known result that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i$  is right Noetherian if and only if each  $R_i$  is right Noetherian,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . However,  $rfpd(\bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty R_i) \neq \sup_{i \geq 1} \{rfpd(R_i)\}$  in general. For example,  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}_2$  is not Noetherian, where  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is the field of two elements.

The proof of the next main result requires a lemma.

**Lemma 3.18.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings. Suppose  ${}_S L_R$  is an  $S$ - $R$ -bimodule,  $L_R$  is flat, and  ${}_S L$  is finitely generated projective.*

- (1) *If  $M$  is a finitely presented left  $R$ -module, then  ${}_S L \otimes_R M$  is a finitely presented left  $S$ -module.*
- (2) *If  $M$  is an  $FP$ -projective left  $R$ -module, then  ${}_S L \otimes_R M$  is an  $FP$ -projective left  $S$ -module.*

*Proof.* (1) is straightforward.

(2) Since  $M$  is an  $FP$ -projective left  $R$ -module,  $M$  is a direct summand in a left  $R$ -module  $N$  such that  $N$  is a union of a continuous chain  $(N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda)$ , for a cardinal  $\lambda$ ,  $N_0 = 0$ , and  $N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha$  is a finitely presented left  $R$ -module for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . Since  $L_R$  is flat, the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_\alpha \xrightarrow{i_\alpha} N_{\alpha+1} \longrightarrow N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha \longrightarrow 0$$

gives rise to the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow {}_S L \otimes_R N_\alpha \xrightarrow{1 \otimes i_\alpha} {}_S L \otimes_R N_{\alpha+1} \longrightarrow {}_S L \otimes_R (N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By (1),  ${}_S L \otimes_R (N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha)$  is a finitely presented left  $S$ -module. Regarding each  $1 \otimes i_\alpha$  as an inclusion map, then  ${}_S L \otimes_R N_\alpha$  is a submodule of  ${}_S L \otimes_R N_{\alpha+1}$ . Thus  ${}_S L \otimes_R M$  is a direct summand in a left  $S$ -module  ${}_S L \otimes_R N$  such that  ${}_S L \otimes_R N$  is a union of a continuous chain  $({}_S L \otimes_R N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda)$ , for a cardinal  $\lambda$ ,  ${}_S L \otimes_R N_0 = 0$ , and  $({}_S L \otimes_R N_{\alpha+1})/({}_S L \otimes_R N_\alpha) \cong {}_S L \otimes_R (N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha)$  is a finitely presented left  $S$ -module for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . That is to say,  ${}_S L \otimes_R M$  is an  $FP$ -projective left  $S$ -module.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.19.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative coherent ring. If  $P$  is any prime ideal of  $R$ , then  $fpd(R_p) \leq fpd(R)$ , where  $R_p$  is the localization of  $R$  at  $P$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $fpD(R) = n < \infty$ . Let  $M$  be any  $R_p$ -module, then  $M$  may be viewed as an  $R$ -module and so  $fpd(M_R) \leq n$ . Thus there exists an  $FP$ -projective resolution of  $M_R$

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces an  $R_p$ -module exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (F_n)_p \rightarrow (F_{n-1})_p \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (F_1)_p \rightarrow (F_0)_p \rightarrow M_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that each  $(F_i)_p$  is an  $FP$ -projective  $R_p$ -module by Lemma 3.18,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , it follows that  $fpd(M_p)_{R_p} \leq n$ . Since  $M_p \cong M$  as  $R_p$ -modules,  $fpd(M) \leq n$ . Thus  $fpD(R_p) \leq n$ , as required.  $\square$

**Remark 3.20.** The theorem above gives the well-known result that any localization of a Noetherian ring is again Noetherian. However, in general,  $rfpD(R) \neq \sup\{fpD(R_p) : P \text{ is a prime ideal of } R\}$ . In fact, take  $R$  to be a commutative non-Noetherian ring whose localization with respect to any prime ideal is Noetherian, e.g. the direct product of countably many copies of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then  $rfpD(R) > 0$ , while  $fpD(R_p) = 0$  for all prime ideals  $P$  of  $R$ .

**Proposition 3.21.** *Let  $R$  be a right coherent and right self- $FP$ -injective ring. Then*

- (1)  $rfpD(R) \geq \sup\{id(M) : M \text{ is a right } R\text{-module with } fd(M) < \infty\}$   
 $\geq \sup\{id(M) : M \text{ is a flat right } R\text{-module}\}.$
- (2) *If  $R$  is a two-sided coherent and two-sided self- $FP$ -injective ring, then the equalities in (1) hold.*

*Proof.* (1) Write  $rfpD(R) = n$ . Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module with  $fd(M) = m < \infty$ . Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F_m \rightarrow F_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that every  $F_i$  is  $FP$ -injective by Stenström (1970), Lemma 4.1, so  $id(F_i) \leq n$  by Theorem 3.4, whence  $id(M) \leq n$ , as desired. The second inequality is trivial.

(2) If  $R$  is a two-sided coherent and two-sided self- $FP$ -injective ring, then every  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -module is flat by Stenström (1970), Proposition 4.2, thus

$$rfpD(R) \leq \sup\{id(M) : M \text{ is a flat right } R\text{-module}\}$$

and (2) follows from (1).  $\square$

#### 4. RELATIONS WITH OTHER HOMOLOGICAL DIMENSIONS

It is well known that if  $R$  is a right coherent ring, then  $fd(M) = pd(M)$  for any finitely presented right  $R$ -module  $M$  (see Jones and Teply, 1982, Lemma 5). Now we have

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be a right coherent and right self-FP-injective ring. If  $M$  is an FP-projective right  $R$ -module, then  $fd(M) = pd(M)$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $fd(M) \leq pd(M)$ . Conversely, we may suppose that  $fd(M) = n < \infty$ . There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with  $P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{n-1}$  projective. Since  $fd(M) = n$ ,  $F_n$  is flat. Hence we have a pure exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules with  $P$  projective. Since  $P$  is FP-injective by hypothesis, so is  $K$ . Note that  $F_n$  is FP-projective (for  $M$  is FP-projective). Thus the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow 0$  splits, and hence  $F_n$  is projective. So  $pd(M) \leq n$ , as desired.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  a right  $R$ -module. Following Stenström (1970),  $FP\text{-inj.dim}(M)$  denotes the smallest integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(F, M) = 0$  for every finitely presented module  $F$ , and  $r.FP\text{-dim}(R) = \sup\{FP\text{-inj.dim}(M) : M \text{ is a right } R\text{-module}\}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be a right coherent ring. Then the following are identical:*

- (1)  $wD(R)$ ;
- (2)  $r.FP\text{-dim}(R)$ ;
- (3)  $\sup\{pd(M) : M \text{ is a finitely presented right } R\text{-module}\}$ ;
- (4)  $\sup\{pd(M) : M \text{ is an FP-projective right } R\text{-module}\}$ ;
- (5)  $\sup\{fd(M) : M \text{ is an FP-projective right } R\text{-module}\}$ ;
- (6)  $\sup\{FP\text{-inj.dim}(M) : M \text{ is an FP-projective right } R\text{-module}\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) = (2) = (3) = (6) follow from Stenström (1970), Theorem 3.3.

(3)  $\leq$  (4) is trivial.

(4)  $\leq$  (2) Let  $M$  be an FP-projective right  $R$ -module. It is enough to show that  $pd(M) \leq r.FP\text{-dim}(R)$ . We may assume that  $r.FP\text{-dim}(R) = n < \infty$ .  $M$  admits a projective resolution

$$\dots \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $N$  be any right  $R$ -module. We have  $FP\text{-inj.dim}(N) \leq n$ , thus by Stenström (1970), Lemma 3.1, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^{n-1} \rightarrow E^n \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $E^0, E^1, \dots, E^n$  are *FP*-injective. Therefore we form a double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(M, E^n) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(P_0, E^n) & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(P_n, E^n) & \rightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(M, E^1) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(P_0, E^1) & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(P_n, E^1) & \rightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(M, E^0) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(P_0, E^0) & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(P_n, E^0) & \rightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & 0 & & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(P_0, N) & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(P_n, N) & \rightarrow \cdots \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Note that all rows are exact except for the bottom row since  $M$  is *FP*-projective and all  $E^i$  are *FP*-injective, also note that all columns are exact except for the left column since all  $P_i$  are projective.

Using a spectral sequence argument, we know that the two complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_0, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_1, N) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_n, N) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, E^0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, E^1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, E^n) \rightarrow 0$$

have isomorphic homology groups. Thus  $\text{Ext}^{n+j}(M, N) = 0$  for all  $j \geq 1$ . Hence  $pd(M) \leq n$ .

(1) = (5) follows from the fact that  $wD(R) = \sup\{fd(M) : M \text{ is a finitely presented right } R\text{-module}\} \leq \sup\{fd(M) : M \text{ is an } FP\text{-projective right } R\text{-module}\} \leq wD(R)$ . □

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is von Neumann regular;
- (2)  $R$  is right coherent and every *FP*-projective right  $R$ -module is *FP*-injective;
- (3) Every right  $R$ -module has an *FP*-injective envelope with the unique mapping property;
- (4)  $R$  is right coherent and every *FP*-projective right  $R$ -module has an *FP*-injective envelope with the unique mapping property.

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 4.2 and an argument similar to that in Corollary 3.6. □

It is proved that, if  $R$  is a Prüfer domain, then an  $R$ -module  $M$  is *FP*-projective if and only if  $pd(M) \leq 1$  (see Fuchs and Salce, 2001, Theorem 6.5, p. 217). Removing the commutative domain condition, we have the following result.



**Corollary 4.4.** *A ring  $R$  is right semihereditary if and only if  $pd(M) \leq 1$  for every FP-projective right  $R$ -module  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is right semihereditary if and only if  $R$  is right coherent and  $wD(R) \leq 1$ , the necessity follows from Theorem 4.2. Conversely, for a finitely generated right ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , consider the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $pd(R/I) \leq 1$  by hypothesis,  $I$  is projective. So  $R$  is a right semihereditary ring.  $\square$

It is known that  $rD(R) = wD(R)$  if  $R$  is a right Noetherian ring (see Rotman, 1979, Theorem 9.22), and  $rD(R) = rfpD(R)$  if  $R$  is a von Neumann regular ring by Remark 2.2. In general, we have

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $R$  be a right coherent ring. Then*

$$rD(R) \leq wD(R) + rfpD(R).$$

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that both  $rfpD(R)$  and  $wD(R)$  are finite. Let  $rfpD(R) = m < \infty$  and  $wD(R) = n < \infty$ . Suppose  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module, then  $fpd(M) \leq m$  by Theorem 3.4. So  $M$  admits an FP-projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow P_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where each  $P_i$  is FP-projective,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . Let  $K_i = \ker(P_i \rightarrow P_{i-1})$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ ,  $P_{-1} = M$ ,  $K_{m-1} = P_m$ . Then we have the following short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow P_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow K_{m-2} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow K_{m-2} \rightarrow P_{m-2} \rightarrow K_{m-3} \rightarrow 0, \\ \vdots \\ 0 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\sup\{pd(M) : M \text{ is an FP-projective right } R\text{-module}\} = wD(R) = n$  by Theorem 4.2. It follows that  $pd(K_{m-2}) \leq 1 + n$ ,  $pd(K_{m-3}) \leq 2 + n, \dots, pd(M) \leq m + n$ , and hence  $rD(R) \leq m + n$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We conclude this paper with the following remark.

**Remark 4.6.** For convenience, we write  $(a, b, c)$  for the class of rings  $R$  with  $wD(R) = a$ ,  $rD(R) = b$  and  $rfpD(R) = c$  and call a ring  $R$  an  $(a, b, c)$ -ring if  $R \in (a, b, c)$ . It is easy to see that the class of semisimple Artinian rings  $= (0, 0, 0)$ , the class of von Neumann regular rings  $= \bigcup_{m \geq 0} (0, m, m)$ , the class of right Noetherian rings  $= \bigcup_{m \geq 0} (m, m, 0)$ , and the class of right hereditary rings  $= (0, 0, 0) \cup (1, 1, 0) \cup (0, 1, 1) \cup (1, 1, 1)$ , and we also note that the class of right semihereditary rings  $= (0, a, a) \cup ((1, b, b) \cup (1, c, c - 1)) \cap \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \geq 0, b \geq 1, c \geq 1$  by Proposition 4.5, where  $\mathbb{C}$  denotes the class of right coherent rings.

We observe that if  $R$  is a right coherent  $(a_1, b_1, c_1)$ -ring and  $S$  is a right coherent  $(a_2, b_2, c_2)$ -ring, then  $R \oplus S$  is a right coherent  $(\sup(a_1, a_2), \sup(b_1, b_2),$

$\text{sup}(c_1, c_2)$ -ring by Theorem 3.16. Let  $m$  and  $n$  be integers with  $m \geq n > 0$ . We claim that  $(m, m, n)$ -rings and  $(n, m, m)$ -rings always exist. In fact, the polynomial ring of  $m$  indeterminates over a field is an  $(m, m, 0)$ -ring. By Pierce (1967), Corollary 5.2, there exists a von Neumann regular ring of global dimension  $n$ . This is a  $(0, n, n)$ -ring. The direct sum of an  $(m, m, 0)$ -ring and a  $(0, n, n)$ -ring is an  $(m, m, n)$ -ring, and the direct sum of an  $(n, n, 0)$ -ring and a  $(0, m, m)$ -ring gives an  $(n, m, m)$ -ring. This fact also shows that the inequality in Proposition 4.5 may be strict.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was partially supported by the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (No. 20020284009), EYPT and NNSF of China (No. 10331030), and the Nanjing Institute of Technology of China (KXJ04095). The authors would like to thank Professor J. Trlifaj for sending them his 2000 paper and the referee for the helpful comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- Anderson, F. W., Fuller, K. R. (1974). *Rings and Categories of Modules*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Azumaya, G., Facchini, A. (1989). Rings of pure global dimension zero and Mittag-Leffler modules. *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 62:109–122.
- Couchot, F. (2003). The  $\lambda$ -dimension of commutative arithmetic rings. *Comm. Alg.* 31(7):3143–3158.
- Dauns, J. (1994). *Modules and Rings*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Ding, N. (1996). On envelopes with the unique mapping property. *Comm. Alg.* 24(4): 1459–1470.
- Enochs, E. E. (1976). A note on absolutely pure modules. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 19:361–362.
- Enochs, E. E., Jenda, O. M. G. (2000). *Relative Homological Algebra*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Fuchs, L., Salce, L. (2001). *Modules over non-noetherian domains*. Math. Surveys and Monographs 84. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.
- Jones, M. F., Teply, M. L. (1982). Coherent rings of finite weak global dimension. *Comm. Alg.* 10:493–503.
- Kasch, F. (1982). *Modules and Rings*. New York: Academic Press.
- Madox, B. (1967). Absolutely pure modules. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18:155–158.
- Ng, H. K. (1984). Finitely presented dimension of commutative rings and modules. *Pacific J. Math.* 113(2):417–431.
- Pierce, R. S. (1967). The global dimension of Boolean rings. *J. Alg.* 7:91–99.
- Rotman, J. J. (1979). *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*. New York: Academic Press.
- Smith, P. F. (1981). Injective modules and prime ideals. *Comm. Alg.* 9:989–999.
- Stenström, B. (1970). Coherent rings and *FP*-injective modules. *J. London Math. Soc.* 2:323–329.
- Stenström, B. (1975). *Rings of Quotients*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Trlifaj, J. (2000). Covers, Envelopes, and Cotorsion Theories. Lecture notes for the workshop “Homological Methods in Module Theory.” Cortona, September 10–16.
- Vasconcelos, W. V. (1976). *The Rings of Dimension Two*. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 22. New York and Basel: Marcel Dekker.
- Wisbauer, R. (1991). *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*. Gordon and Breach.
- Xu, J. (1996). *Flat Covers of Modules*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1634. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.