

# On Almost Precovers and Almost Preenvelopes

**Mao Lixin** (毛立新)

*Department of Basic Courses, Nanjing Institute of Technology,  
Nanjing 210013, China*

*Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University,  
Nanjing 210093, China*

**Ding Nanqing** (丁南庆) **Tong Wenting** (佟文廷)

*Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University,  
Nanjing 210093, China*

**Abstract** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of  $R$ -modules closed under isomorphisms and finite direct sums. We first show that the finite direct sum of almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -precovers is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover and the direct sum of an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover and a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover is a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover. Then the notion of almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelopes is introduced and studied.

**Keywords:** Almost precover; Almost preenvelope

**2000 MR subject classification** 16D10, 16D90

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $R$  is an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary right  $R$ -modules.  $N \leq M$ ,  $N \leq_e M$  and  $N \ll M$  means that  $N$  is a submodule, an essential submodule and a superfluous submodule of  $M$ , respectively. The class  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $R$ -modules are assumed to contain 0 and be closed under isomorphisms and finite direct sums. General background materials can be found in [1, 2].

Recall that an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow F$  with  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  is called a  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope of a module  $M$  [3] if for any  $R$ -homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow F'$  where  $F' \in \mathcal{C}$ , there is an  $R$ -homomorphism  $g : F \rightarrow F'$  such that  $g\phi = f$ . If, furthermore, when  $F' = F$  and  $f = \phi$ , the only such  $g$  are automorphisms of  $F$ , then  $\phi$  is called a  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope of  $M$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is the class of injective modules, then we get the usual injective envelopes. If envelopes exist, they are unique up to isomorphism. Dually we have the concepts of  $\mathcal{C}$ -precovers and  $\mathcal{C}$ -covers. Recently, the notions of almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -(pre)covers and weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -covers were introduced in [4] as generalizations of (pre)covers. In the present paper, we first show that the finite direct sum of weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -precovers is a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover and

the direct sum of an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover and a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover is a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover. Then the notion of almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelopes is defined as the dual of almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -precovers and some properties are given.

## 2. Properties of almost precovers

Recall that an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is an essential monomorphism if  $f$  is monic and  $\text{im}f \leq_e N$ ;  $f$  is a superfluous epimorphism if  $f$  is epic and  $\ker f \ll M$  (see [1]).

Following [4], an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow M$  with  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is called an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover of  $M$  if for each  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  and each  $R$ -homomorphism  $f : F \rightarrow M$ , there is an essential submodule  $F'$  of  $F$  with  $F' \in \mathcal{C}$ , and an  $R$ -homomorphism  $g : F' \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi g = f\iota$ , where  $\iota : F' \rightarrow F$  is the inclusion map. It is easy to see that  $\varphi : X \rightarrow M$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover if and only if for each  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  and each  $R$ -homomorphism  $f : F \rightarrow M$ , there is an essential monomorphism  $\psi : E \rightarrow F$  with  $E \in \mathcal{C}$  and an  $R$ -homomorphism  $g : E \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi g = f\psi$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Consider the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & B \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ C & \xrightarrow{f} & M \end{array}$$

where  $A = \{(c, b) | f(c) = g(b), c \in C, b \in B\}$ ,  $\alpha(c, b) = c$ ,  $\beta(c, b) = b$ .

- (1) If  $g$  is an essential monomorphism and  $f$  a monomorphism, then  $\alpha$  is an essential monomorphism.
- (2) If  $f$  and  $g$  are both essential monomorphisms, then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both essential monomorphisms.

*Proof.* (1). Since  $g$  is a monomorphism,  $\alpha$  is also a monomorphism by the property of a pullback. So it is enough to show that  $\alpha$  is essential. Suppose there exists  $0 \neq N \leq C$  such that  $(\text{im}\alpha) \cap N = 0$ . Since  $f$  is a monomorphism,  $f(N) \neq 0$ . It follows that  $(\text{im}g) \cap f(N) \neq 0$  since  $g$  is an essential monomorphism. Hence there exist  $0 \neq a \in N$ ,  $0 \neq b \in B$  such that  $f(a) = g(b) \neq 0$ , and so  $(a, b) \in A$ . Since  $\alpha(a, b) = a$ ,  $a \in (\text{im}\alpha) \cap N = 0$ . So  $a = 0$ , a contradiction.

(2) follows from (1). □

Recall that a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of modules is called weakly hereditary [4] if for any  $0 \neq M \in \mathcal{C}$ , every non-zero submodule of  $M$  contains an essential submodule from  $\mathcal{C}$ .

It is well known that, if  $\varphi_i : X_i \rightarrow M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are  $\mathcal{C}$ -precovers, then  $\oplus\varphi_i : \oplus X_i \rightarrow \oplus M_i$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover [2]. Here we have the following

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be weakly hereditary. If  $\varphi_i : X_i \rightarrow M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -precovers. Then  $\oplus\varphi_i : \oplus X_i \rightarrow \oplus M_i$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover.

*Proof.* It is enough to show the case  $n = 2$ . Suppose  $f : D \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2$  is any  $R$ -homomorphism with  $D \in \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\pi_i : M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow M_i$  be the canonical projection,  $i = 1, 2$ . Since each  $\varphi_i : X_i \rightarrow M_i$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover, there exist  $B_i \leq_e D$  with  $B_i \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $R$ -homomorphisms  $\psi_i : B_i \rightarrow X_i$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_i & \xrightarrow{\iota_i} & D \\ \psi_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_i f \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} & M_i \end{array}$$

where  $\iota_i : B_i \rightarrow D$  is the inclusion map,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Consider the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & B_2 \\ & & \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_2 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B_1 & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & D \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.1,  $\alpha$  is essential. So  $\iota_1\alpha = \iota_2\beta : A \rightarrow D$  is essential by [1, Exercise 5.14]. Let  $a \in A$ . Note that  $(\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)(\psi_1\alpha \oplus \psi_2\beta)(a) = (\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)(\psi_1\alpha(a), \psi_2\beta(a)) = (\varphi_1\psi_1\alpha(a), \varphi_2\psi_2\beta(a)) = (\pi_1 f \iota_1\alpha(a), \pi_2 f \iota_2\beta(a)) = f \iota_1\alpha(a)$ . Thus  $(\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)(\psi_1\alpha \oplus \psi_2\beta) = f \iota_1\alpha$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is weakly hereditary, there exists  $A' \leq_e A$  with  $A' \in \mathcal{C}$ . So we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' & \xrightarrow{\iota_1\alpha\lambda} & D \\ (\psi_1\alpha \oplus \psi_2\beta)\lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ X_1 \oplus X_2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2} & M_1 \oplus M_2 \end{array}$$

where  $\lambda : A' \rightarrow A$  is the inclusion map. Note that  $\iota_1\alpha\lambda$  is an essential monomorphism, therefore  $\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2 : X_1 \oplus X_2 \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover, as desired.  $\square$

Recall that an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover  $\varphi : G \rightarrow M$  of a module  $M$  is called a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover [4] if each endomorphism  $f$  of  $G$  with  $\varphi f = \varphi$  is an essential monomorphism, and  $\varphi$  is called an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover [4] if each endomorphism  $f$  of  $G$  with  $\varphi f = \varphi$  is an automorphism of  $G$ .

It is known that if  $\varphi_i : X_i \rightarrow M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are  $\mathcal{C}$ -covers, then  $\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2 : X_1 \oplus X_2 \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover [2]. Here we have

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be weakly hereditary. If  $\varphi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow M_1$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover,  $\varphi_2 : X_2 \rightarrow M_2$  is a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover, then  $\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2 : X_1 \oplus X_2 \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2$  is a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.2,  $\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2 : X_1 \oplus X_2 \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover. Now suppose that  $f$  is an endomorphism of  $X_1 \oplus X_2$  such that  $\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2 = (\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)f$ . We shall show that  $f$  is an essential monomorphism. Let  $\pi_i : X_1 \oplus X_2 \rightarrow X_i$  be the canonical projection and  $\iota_i : X_i \rightarrow X_1 \oplus X_2$  the canonical injection,  $i = 1, 2$ . For convenience we express the elements in  $X_1 \oplus X_2$  as columns  $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $x_1 \in$

$X_1, x_2 \in X_2$ . Then  $\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $f = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $f_{11} = \pi_1 f \iota_1$ ,  $f_{12} = \pi_1 f \iota_2$ ,  $f_{21} = \pi_2 f \iota_1$ ,  $f_{22} = \pi_2 f \iota_2$ . Note that  $\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2 = (\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)f$  means that  $\varphi_1 f_{11} = \varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_1 f_{12} = 0$ ,  $\varphi_2 f_{21} = 0$ ,  $\varphi_2 f_{22} = \varphi_2$ . By hypothesis,  $f_{11}$  is an automorphism of  $X_1$ . Consider the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -f_{21}f_{11}^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ 0 & -f_{21}f_{11}^{-1}f_{12} + f_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $\varphi_2 f_{21} = 0$ ,  $\varphi_2 f_{22} = \varphi_2$ , we get  $\varphi_2(-f_{21}f_{11}^{-1}f_{12} + f_{22}) = \varphi_2$ . Hence  $-f_{21}f_{11}^{-1}f_{12} + f_{22}$  is an essential monomorphism by hypothesis. Now by a standard matrix argument we see that  $f$  is monic. So the proof is complete if we show that  $\text{im} f \leq_e$

$X_1 \oplus X_2$ . Let  $g = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ 0 & -f_{21}f_{11}^{-1}f_{12} + f_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ . We claim that  $\text{img} \leq_e X_1 \oplus X_2$ . In

fact,  $\text{im}(f_{11} \oplus (-f_{21}f_{11}^{-1}f_{12} + f_{22})) = \text{im} \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & -f_{21}f_{11}^{-1}f_{12} + f_{22} \end{pmatrix} \leq \text{img} \leq X_1 \oplus X_2$ .

Note that  $\text{im}(f_{11} \oplus (-f_{21}f_{11}^{-1}f_{12} + f_{22})) \leq_e X_1 \oplus X_2$  by [1, Proposition 5.20], and so  $\text{img} \leq_e X_1 \oplus X_2$ . Thus  $\text{im} f \leq_e X_1 \oplus X_2$ .  $\square$

### 3. Almost preenvelopes

In this section, the concept of almost preenvelopes is introduced as the dual of almost precovers.

We start with the following

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of modules.

A homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow G$  with  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  is called an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope of  $M$  if for each  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  and each homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow F$ , there are superfluous epimorphism  $\pi : F \rightarrow F'$  with  $F' \in \mathcal{C}$  and a homomorphism  $g : G \rightarrow F'$  such that  $g\phi = \pi f$ .

An almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope  $\phi : M \rightarrow G$  with  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  is called a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope if each endomorphism  $f$  of  $G$  with  $f\phi = \phi$  is a superfluous epimorphism and  $\phi$  is called an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope if each endomorphism  $f$  of  $G$  with  $f\phi = \phi$  is an automorphisms of  $G$ .

$\mathcal{C}$  is said to be weakly homomorphically closed if for any  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  and any epimorphism  $A \rightarrow B$ , there exists  $C \ll B$  such that  $B/C \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a weakly homomorphically closed class of modules and the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & F' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G \end{array}$$

a pushout diagram. If  $\varphi$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope and  $\pi : G \rightarrow N$  a superfluous epimorphism with  $N \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\pi\psi$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope.

*Proof.* Let  $H \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\alpha : M \rightarrow H$  be an  $R$ -homomorphism. Since  $\varphi$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope, there exist a superfluous epimorphism  $\beta : H \rightarrow L$  with  $L \in \mathcal{C}$  and an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\gamma : F' \rightarrow L$  such that  $\gamma\varphi = \beta(\alpha f) = (\beta\alpha)f$ . So by the property of a pushout, there exists  $\phi : G \rightarrow L$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & F' & & \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \\ M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G & & \\ \alpha \searrow & & \downarrow \phi & \nearrow \gamma & \\ & & H & \xrightarrow{\beta} & L \end{array}$$

Thus  $\phi\psi = \beta\alpha$ .

Let  $K = L/\phi(\ker \pi)$ ,  $p : L \rightarrow K$  be the canonical map. Since  $\pi$  is a superfluous epimorphism,  $\phi(\ker \pi) \ll L$ . Hence  $p$  is a superfluous epimorphism. Let  $\delta : N \rightarrow K$

be the induced homomorphism. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{p} & K \\ \phi \uparrow & & \uparrow \delta \\ G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & N \end{array}$$

Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is weakly homomorphically closed, there exists a superfluous epimorphism  $\theta : K \rightarrow E$  with  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ . Thus we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\theta p \beta} & E \\ \alpha \uparrow & & \uparrow \theta \delta \\ M & \xrightarrow{\pi \psi} & N \end{array}$$

Note that  $\theta p \beta$  is a superfluous epimorphism by [1, Exercises 5.14]. So  $\pi \psi$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a weakly homomorphically closed class of modules. Then every module has an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope if and only if every flat module has an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope.

*Proof.* One direction is obvious. Now suppose every flat module has an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope. Let  $M$  be any  $R$ -module. By [5],  $M$  has a flat cover  $\alpha : F(M) \rightarrow M$ . It follows that  $F(M)$  has an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope  $\phi : F(M) \rightarrow L$  by hypothesis. Consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(M) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & L \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & N \end{array}$$

Note that  $\alpha$  is epic, so is  $\beta$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is weakly homomorphically closed, there is a superfluous epimorphism  $\pi : N \rightarrow H$  with  $H \in \mathcal{C}$ . Thus  $\pi \psi$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope by Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** Consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & B \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ C & \xrightarrow{f} & M \end{array}$$

where  $M = (C \oplus B)/\{(\alpha(a), -\beta(a)) \mid a \in A\}$ ,  $f(c) = \overline{(c, 0)}$ ,  $g(b) = \overline{(0, b)}$ .

- (1) If  $\alpha$  is a superfluous epimorphism and  $\beta$  an epimorphism, then  $g$  is a superfluous epimorphism.
- (2) If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both superfluous epimorphisms, then  $f$  and  $g$  are both superfluous epimorphisms.

*Proof.* (1). Since  $\alpha$  is an epimorphism,  $g$  is also an epimorphism by the property of a pushout. So it is enough to show that  $g$  is superfluous. Let  $\ker g + N = B$  with  $N \leq B$ . We first claim that  $\ker \alpha + \beta^{-1}(N) = A$ . In fact, let  $a \in A$ . Then there exist  $x \in \ker g$ ,  $y \in N$  such that  $\beta(a) = x + y$ . Since  $\beta$  is epic, there exists  $s \in A$  such that  $\beta(s) = y$ . Note that  $g\beta(a) = g(x) + g(y) = g\beta(s)$ , and so  $g\beta(a - s) = 0$ . Thus  $f\alpha(a - s) = g\beta(a - s) = 0$ , that is,  $\overline{(\alpha(a - s), 0)} = 0$ . Hence there exists  $t \in A$  such that  $\alpha(a - s) = \alpha(t)$  and  $0 = -\beta(t)$ . Note that  $a - s - t \in \ker \alpha$ ,  $s + t \in \beta^{-1}(N)$  and so  $a = (a - s - t) + (s + t) \in \ker \alpha + \beta^{-1}(N)$ , therefore  $\ker \alpha + \beta^{-1}(N) = A$ . It follows that  $\beta^{-1}(N) = A$  since  $\alpha$  is superfluous. Thus  $N = B$ , as required.

(2) follows from (1). □

We omit the proofs of the following two results which are dual to those of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 using Lemma 3.4 in place of Lemma 2.1.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be weakly homomorphically closed. If  $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelopes, then  $\oplus \varphi_i : \oplus M_i \rightarrow \oplus G_i$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be weakly homomorphically closed. If  $\varphi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow G_1$  is an almost  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope,  $\varphi_2 : M_2 \rightarrow G_2$  is a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope, then  $\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2 : M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow G_1 \oplus G_2$  is a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was partially supported by Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (No. 20020284009, 20030284033), NSF of China (No. 10331030), Jiangsu Planned Projects for Postdoctoral Research Funds (No. 0203003403) and the Nanjing Institute of Technology of China.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, F.W., Fuller, K.R. *Rings and Categories of Modules*; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1974
- [2] Xu, J. *Flat covers of modules, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1634*; Springer Verlag: Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1996
- [3] Enochs, E.E. Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents. *Israel J. Math.* 1981, **39**: 189-209
- [4] Bican, L., Torrecillas, B. Almost Precovers. *Comm. Algebra* 2002, **30**: 477-487
- [5] Bican, L., Bashir, E., Enochs, E.E. All modules have flat covers. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 2001, **33**: 385-390