

A Note On The Size Ramsey Number For Stars¹

Zhang Ke Min

Dept. of Mathematics,
Nanjing University,
Nanjing, 210008,
Peoples Republic of China

Abstract. In this paper, we prove that the size ramsey number

$$\hat{r}(a_1 K_{1,n_1}, a_2 K_{1,n_2}, \dots, a_\ell K_{1,n_\ell}) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_i - 1) + 1 \right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (n_i - 1) + 1 \right].$$

For the most part our notation will conform with that used in [1]. All graphs considered will be finite, undirected and without loops and multiple edges. Let $G, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_\ell$ be graphs. The edges of G are colored in any fashion with ℓ colors. If for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq \ell$ the i th colored subgraph $G[E_i]$ contains G_i as a subgraph. i.e. $G[E_i] \supseteq G_i$, then G will be said to arrow $(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_\ell)$ and will be written $G \rightarrow (G_1, G_2, \dots, G_\ell)$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{G | G \rightarrow (G_1, G_2, \dots, G_\ell)\}$. The size ramsey number of $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_\ell\}$, denoted $\hat{r}(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_\ell)$, is defined as $\hat{r}(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_\ell) = \min_{G \in \mathcal{D}} |E(G)|$.

In 1967, Kalbfleisch [4] first raised the concept of the size ramsey number, and then it was considered [2] and [3]. There is an open problem in [2]: 'Show that $\hat{r}(mK_{1,k}, nK_{1,\ell}) = (k+\ell-1)(m+n-1)$ for $k \neq \ell$ '. This problem is a corollary of the main result of this paper.

Theorem. $\hat{r}(a_1 K_{1,n_1}, a_2 K_{1,n_2}, \dots, a_\ell K_{1,n_\ell}) = [\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_i - 1) + 1] \cdot [\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (n_i - 1) + 1]$, where $a_i, n_i (1 \leq i \leq \ell)$ are positive integers.

Proof: Since $[\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_i - 1) + 1] K_{1, \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (n_i - 1) + 1} \rightarrow (a_1 K_{1,n_1}, a_2 K_{1,n_2}, \dots, a_\ell K_{1,n_\ell})$, $\hat{r}(a_1 K_{1,n_1}, a_2 K_{1,n_2}, \dots, a_\ell K_{1,n_\ell}) \leq |E([\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_i - 1) + 1] K_{1, \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (n_i - 1) + 1})| = [\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_i - 1) + 1] [\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (n_i - 1) + 1]$. So we only need to prove that:

$$\hat{r}(a_1 K_{1,n_1}, a_2 K_{1,n_2}, \dots, a_\ell K_{1,n_\ell}) \geq \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_i - 1) + 1 \right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (n_i - 1) + 1 \right] \tag{A}$$

¹Project supported by NSFC

Now, using induction on $a = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_i - 1)$ and $n = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (n_i - 1)$, we have:

- (1) When $a = 0$, i.e. $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{\ell} = 1$, for any G with $|E(G)| \leq n$, there is an ℓ -edge coloring of G such that $|E(G[E_i])| \leq n_i - 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$, where $G[E_i]$ is the i th colored subgraph in G . Then $G[E_i] \not\supseteq K_{1, n_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$. Hence $G \not\supseteq (K_{1, n_1}, K_{1, n_2}, \dots, K_{1, n_{\ell}})$. i.e. (A) is true in this case.

In the following, we assume that when $0 \leq a < a_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_i^0 - 1)$, (A) is true. We will prove that when $a = a_0$, (A) is also true. Since $a = a_0 > 0$, without loss of generality, $a_1^0 > 1$.

- (2) When $n = 0$, i.e. $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_{\ell} = 1$, for any G with $|E(G)| \leq a_0$, there is an ℓ -edge coloring such that $|E(G[E_i])| \leq a_i^0 - 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$. Then $G[E_i] \not\supseteq a_i^0 K_{1,1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$. Hence $G \not\supseteq (a_1^0 K_{1,1}, a_2^0 K_{1,1}, \dots, a_{\ell}^0 K_{1,1})$. So (A) is true in this case.

In the following, we assume that when $0 \leq n < n_0$, (A) is true.

- (3) When $n = n_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (n_i^0 - 1)$, without loss of generality, we can assume that $n_1^0 > 1$. For any G with $|E(G)| \leq (a_0 + 1)(n_0 + 1) - 1$, we divide the problem into three cases to prove (A).

Case 1. $\Delta(G) \geq n_0 + 1$.

There is $v_0 \in V(G)$ such that $d(v_0) = \Delta(G) \geq n_0 + 1$. Since $|E(G - v_0)| = |E(G)| - d(v_0) < (a_0 + 1)(n_0 + 1) - (n_0 + 1) = a_0(n_0 + 1) = \hat{\tau}((a_1^0 - 1)K_{1, n_1^0}, a_2^0 K_{1, n_2^0}, \dots, a_{\ell}^0 K_{1, n_{\ell}^0})$ by the induction hypothesis, there is an ℓ -edge coloring of $G - v_0$ such that the 1st colored subgraph does not contain $(a_1^0 - 1)K_{1, n_1^0}$ and the i th, $2 \leq i \leq \ell$, colored subgraph does not contain $a_i^0 K_{1, n_i^0}$. Then the edges which are incident with v_0 are colored in the 1st color. Hence we get an ℓ -edge coloring of G such that $G[E_i] \not\supseteq a_i^0 K_{1, n_i^0}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$. So $G \not\supseteq (a_1^0 K_{1, n_1^0}, a_2^0 K_{1, n_2^0}, \dots, a_{\ell}^0 K_{1, n_{\ell}^0})$;

Case 2. $\Delta(G) \leq n_0$ and there is a component, say C_1 , with $|E(C_1)| \geq a_0(n_0 + 1)$.

- a) When $C_1 \supseteq M_1 = (a_0 + 1)K_{1,1}$, then $|E(C_1 - (a_0 + 1)K_{1,1})| = |E(C_1)| - (a_0 + 1) \leq |E(G)| - (a_0 + 1) < (a_0 + 1)(n_0 + 1) - (a_0 + 1) = (a_0 + 1)n_0 = [\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_i^0 - 1) + 1][n_1^0 - 2 + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} (n_i^0 - 1) + 1] = \hat{\tau}(a_1^0 K_{1, n_1^0 - 1}, a_2^0 K_{1, n_2^0}, \dots, a_{\ell}^0 K_{1, n_{\ell}^0})$ by induction hypothesis. Hence there is an ℓ -edge coloring of $C_1 - (a_0 + 1)K_{1,1}$ such that the 1st colored subgraph does not contain $a_1^0 K_{1, n_1^0 - 1}$ and the i th, $2 \leq i \leq \ell$, colored subgraph does not contain $a_i^0 K_{1, n_i^0}$. Then the edges of M_1 are colored in 1st color. Thus we get an ℓ -edge coloring of C_1 such that $C_1[E_i] \not\supseteq a_i^0 K_{1, n_i^0}$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, where $C_1[E_i]$ is the i th colored subgraph in C_1 .
- b) When $C_1 \not\supseteq (a_0 + 1)K_{1,1}$, then we have a maximum matching of C_1 $M = \{u_i v_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha'\}$, $\alpha' \leq a_0$. Let $U = \{u_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha'\}$,

$V = \{v_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha'\}$, $W = V(C_1) \setminus \{U \cup V\}$ and $W_0 = \{v | d_{C_1}(v) = \Delta(C_1), v \in W\}$. Thus $E(C_1[W]) = \phi$. Now, let $C_0 = C_1 \cap [W \vee (U \cup V)]$, where the join \vee is defined in page 58 of [1]. Thus for any $S \subseteq W_0 \subseteq W$, we have $|S|\Delta(C_1) = \sum_{v \in S} d_{C_1}(v) = \sum_{v \in S} d_{C_0}(v) = \sum_{v \in N_{C_0}(S)} d_{C_0}(v) \leq |N_{C_0}(S)|\Delta(C_0) \leq |N_{C_0}(S)|\Delta(C_1)$. Hence $|N_{C_0}(S)| \geq |S|$. By the Hall Theorem ([1] Theorem 5.2), C_0 contains a matching M_0 that saturates every vertex in W_0 . i.e. $W_0 \subseteq V(C_0[M_0]) = V(C_1[M_0])$. Thus $V(G_1[M \cup M_0]) = W_0 \cup U \cup V \supseteq \{v | d_{C_1}(v) = \Delta(C_1), v \in V(C_1)\}$. Hence we have:

$$\chi'(C_1 - M \cup M_0) \leq 1 + \Delta(C_1 - M \cup M_0) \leq \Delta(C_1) \leq \tau_0.$$

So there is an τ_0 -edge coloring \mathcal{C} of $C_1 - M \cup M_0$. Now the edges of $C_1 - M \cup M_0$ are recolored as follows. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$, recolor all of the $\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (\tau_i^0 - 1) + 1$ th, $\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (\tau_i^0 - 1) + 2$ th, \dots , $\sum_{i=1}^j (\tau_i^0 - 1)$ th color edges in \mathcal{C} with j th color. Thus $(C_1 - M \cup M_0)[E_i] \not\cong K_{1, \tau_i^0}, i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$.

Note that $C_1[M \cup M_0]$ has $\alpha' (\leq a_0)$ components which are isomorphic to $K_{1,1}$ or $K_{1,2}$. Hence there is an ℓ -edge coloring of $C_1[M \cup M_0]$ such that the edges of each component are colored the same color and there are at most $a_i^0 - 1$ i th color components $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$. Thus we have an ℓ -edge coloring of C_1 with $C_1[E_i] = (C_1 - M \cup M_0)[E_i] \cup (C_1[M \cup M_0])[E_i] \not\cong a_i K_{1, \tau_i^0}, i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$.

Combining a) and b), we have

$$C_1 \not\rightarrow (a_1^0 K_{1, \tau_1^0}, a_2^0 K_{1, \tau_2^0}, \dots, a_\ell^0 K_{1, \tau_\ell^0}).$$

On the other hand, $|E(G - C_1)| = |E(G)| - |E(C_1)| < (a_0 + 1)(\tau_0 + 1) - a_0(\tau_0 + 1) = \tau_0 + 1 = \hat{\tau}(K_{1, \tau_1^0}, K_{2, \tau_2^0}, \dots, K_{1, \tau_\ell^0})$ by the induction hypothesis. So

$$G - C_1 \not\rightarrow (K_{1, \tau_1^0}, K_{1, \tau_2^0}, \dots, K_{1, \tau_\ell^0}).$$

Hence $G \not\rightarrow (a_1^0 K_{1, \tau_1^0}, a_2^0 K_{1, \tau_2^0}, \dots, a_\ell^0 K_{1, \tau_\ell^0})$.

Case 3. For every component C_j of G , $|E(C_j)| \leq a_0(\tau_0 + 1) - 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, \omega$.

In this case, for any $j, 1 \leq j \leq \omega$, there is k_j with $0 \leq k_j \leq a_0 - 1$ such that

$$k_j(\tau_0 + 1) \leq |E(C_j)| < (k_j + 1)(\tau_0 + 1) \quad (B)$$

By the induction hypothesis, for any $\{a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{\ell j}\}$ with $\sum_{v=1}^{\ell} (a_{vj} - 1) = k_j$, we have:

$$\hat{\tau}(a_{1j} K_{1, \tau_1^0}, a_{2j} K_{1, \tau_2^0}, \dots, a_{\ell j} K_{1, \tau_\ell^0}) = (k_j + 1)(\tau_0 + 1).$$

Thus by (B), we have

$$C_j \not\rightarrow (a_{1j}K_{1,n_1^0}, a_{2j}K_{1,n_2^0}, \dots, a_{\ell j}K_{1,n_\ell^0}).$$

Hence $G = \cup_{j=1}^{\omega} C_j \not\rightarrow ([\sum_{j=1}^{\omega} (a_{1j} - 1) + 1]K_{1,n_1^0}, [\sum_{j=1}^{\omega} (a_{2j} - 1) + 1]K_{1,n_2^0}, \dots, [\sum_{j=1}^{\omega} (a_{\ell j} - 1) + 1]K_{1,n_\ell^0})$.

On the other hand, by (B), we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} [\sum_{j=1}^{\omega} (a_{ij} - 1) + 1] = \sum_{j=1}^{\omega} [\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_{ij} - 1) + \ell] = \sum_{j=1}^{\omega} k_j + \ell \leq [\sum_{j=1}^{\omega} |E(C_j)| / (\tau_0 + 1)] + \ell = [|E(G)| / (\tau_0 + 1)] + \ell \leq a_0 + \ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_i^0 - 1) + \ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i^0$.

So we can choose positive integers a_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $1 \leq j \leq \omega$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (a_{ij} - 1) = k_j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\omega} (a_{ij} - 1) + 1 = a_i^0$. Hence

$$G \not\rightarrow (a_1^0 K_{1,n_1^0}, a_2^0 K_{1,n_2^0}, \dots, a_\ell^0 K_{1,n_\ell^0}).$$

Combining cases 1,2 and 3, (A) is true. The proof is complete.

References

1. Bondy, J.A. and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Application*, Macmillan, London, New York (1976).
2. Erdős, P., R.J. Faudree, C.C. Rousseau and R.H. Schelp, *The size ramsey number*, Periodic Math. Hung. 9 (1978), 145–161.
3. Faudree, F.J. and R.H. Schelp, *Some problems in ramsey theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. 642, Y. Alavi and D.R. Lick (1978), 500–515.
4. Kalbfleisch, J.G., *Upper bounds for some ramsey numbers*, J. Combin. Theory 2 (1967), 35–42.