

SOME TREE-STARS RAMSEY NUMBERS

ZHANG KE MIN

*Department of Mathematics
Nanjing University
Nanjing 210093, P.R. China*

ZHANG SHU SHENG

*Guhou Middle School
Lingdu, Jiangxi 342814, P.R. China*

In this paper, we consider the generalized Ramsey number $R(T_p, K_{1,q_1}, \dots, K_{1,q_t})$ and its lower and upper bounds. Furthermore, we obtain some Ramsey numbers in special cases.

1. Introduction

Let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k be simple graphs. The generalized Ramsey number $R = R(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$ is the smallest integer such that if the edges of a complete graph K_n with $n \geq R$ are painted arbitrarily with k colours, then the i -th coloured subgraph contains G_i as a subgraph for at least one i . Let $T_p, K_{1,p-1}, K_p$ denote a tree, a star and a complete graph of order p , respectively.

Below are some known results about Ramsey numbers of stars or trees.

THEOREM A ([1]). *Let $R(K_{1,q_1}, \dots, K_{1,q_t}) = R$ and $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^t (q_i - 1)$. Then,*

- (i) $R = \Sigma + 2$ if Σ is odd;
- (ii) $R = \Sigma + 2$ if Σ is even and q_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, t$) are odd;
- (iii) $R = \Sigma + 1$ if Σ is even and there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ such that q_i is even.

THEOREM B ([3]). *Let $p > 1$. Then,*

- (i) $R(T_p, K_{1,q}) = p + q - 1$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$,
- (ii) $R(T_p, K_{1,q}) \leq p + q - 1$.

THEOREM C ([2]). *Let T_p be a tree with a vertex of degree one adjacent to a vertex of degree two. Then*

$$R(T_p, K_{1,q}) = p + q - 2$$

provided that one of the following four conditions holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & q \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{p-1}, \\
 & q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p-1} \text{ and } q \geq (p-3)^2, \\
 & q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p-1} \text{ and } q \equiv 1 \pmod{p-2}, \\
 & \text{or } q \equiv p-2 \pmod{p-1} \text{ and } q > p-2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Up to date ([5]), $R(T_p, K_{1,q})$ is still not known in general. In this paper we study the generalized Ramsey number $R(T_p, K_{1,q_1}, K_{1,q_2}, \dots, K_{1,q_t})$.

2. The Lower Bounds

First, we need the following Lemmas :

LEMMA 1 ([4]). *Let $G = K_{n,n,\dots,n}$ be a l -partite complete graph. If ln is odd and $l \geq 2$, then G is 2-factorable.*

LEMMA 2 ([6, Theorem 2.10]). *Let $G = K_{n,n,\dots,n}$ be a l -partite complete graph. If ln is even and $l \geq 2$, then G is 1-factorable.*

THEOREM 1. *Let $p > 1$ and $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^t (q_i - 1)$. Then*

$$R(T_p, K_{1,q_1}, \dots, K_{1,q_t}) \geq p + \Sigma - \theta_0,$$

where $\theta_0 = \min_{s,u} \{u + s - 1\}$ and $u, m \in \mathbb{N}^+, s \in \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{0\}$ satisfy the following condition: $\Sigma - s = m(p - u)$, and the number of the even numbers in $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_t\} \leq s$, if $p + \Sigma - s - u$ is odd.

PROOF. Let s and u satisfy the condition of Theorem such that $\theta_0 = s + u - 1$. Thus $p + \Sigma - \theta_0 - 1 = (\Sigma - s) + (p - u) = (m + 1)(p - u)$. Let $G = K_{(m+1)(p-u)}$. We consider two cases.

Case I: $(m + 1)(p - u)$ is even. Let $(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{m+1})$ be a partition of $V(G)$ with $|V_i| = p - u$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m + 1$), and let $H = K_{|V_1|, |V_2|, \dots, |V_{m+1}|}$. By Lemma 2, H is 1-factorable. Hence H is a union of $m(m - u)$ 1-factors. Thus H can be divided into internally-disjoint subgraphs H_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, t$), where H_i is a union of $q'_i (\leq q_i - 1)$ 1-factors with $\sum_{i=1}^t q'_i = m(p - u) = \Sigma - s$.

Thus there is an assignment of the i -th colour to H_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, t$), and of the $(t + 1)$ -th colour to H^C . Clearly, there are no monochromatic T_p whose edges are in colour $t + 1$ and K_{1,q_i} ($i = 1, 2, \dots, t$) whose edges are in colour i . Hence, the theorem is true in this case.

Case II: $(m + 1)(p - u)$ is odd. Without loss of generality, we assume that q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r ($r \leq s$) are even, and the other q_i ($i > r$) are odd. Let H be

as in case I. Since $(m + 1)(p - u)$ is odd, m is even. Thus $m(p - u)$ is even. By Lemma 1, H is 2-factorable. Hence, H is a union of $\frac{1}{2}m(p - u)$ 2-factors. Note that $\Sigma - s = [(q_1 - 2) + (q_2 - 2) + \dots + (q_r - 2)] + [(q_{r+1} - 1) + \dots + (q_r - 1)] - (s - r)$. So, there always exist nonnegative integers a_i satisfying $a_i \leq \frac{1}{2}(q_i - 2)$ if $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$; $a_i \leq \frac{1}{2}(q_i - 1)$ if $i = r + 1, r + 2, \dots, t$ and $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_t = \frac{1}{2}(\Sigma - s) = \frac{1}{2}m(p - u)$. Hence H can be divided into internally-disjoint subgraphs H_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, t$), where H_i is a union of a_i 2-factors. Thus there is an assignment of the i -th colour to H_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, t$), and of the $(t + 1)$ -th colour to H^C . Clearly there are no monochromatic T_p whose edges are in colour $t + 1$ and K_{1,q_j} ($j = 1, 2, \dots, t$) whose edges are in colour j too. Hence in this case, the theorem is true. \square

When $t = 1$, we have a stronger result.

THEOREM 2. $R(T_p, K_{1,q}) \geq p + q - \theta$, where $\theta = \min\{I_1 \cup I_2\}$ with $I_1 = \{s_1 | q - s_1 = m_1(p - u_1), u_1 \leq s_1, s_1, u_1, m_1 \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$, $I_2 = \{u_2 | q - s_2 = m_2(p - u_2), u_2 > s_2, s_2, u_2, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ and $p \geq 2$.

PROOF. Clearly, there always exists θ satisfying the condition of the theorem.

Case I. $\theta = \min\{I_1\}$, i.e. there is $s_1 = \theta$ such that

$$p + q - \theta - 1 = p + q - s_1 - 1 = m_1(p - u_1) + p - 1;$$

$$(m_1 - 1)(p - u_1) + p - 1 = m_1(p - u_1) + u_1 - 1 = q - (s_1 - u_1) - 1 \leq q - 1$$

and $m_1(p - u_1) = q - s_1 \leq q - 1$.

Let $G_1 = K_{m_1(p-u_1)+p-1}$. We divide $V(G_1)$ into $m_1 + 1$ parts V_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m_1 + 1$) such that $|V_i| = p - u_1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m_1$) and $|V_{m_1+1}| = p - 1$. Thus there is an assignment of the 1st colour to all $G_1[V_i]$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m_1 + 1$) and of the 2nd colour to the remaining edges of G_1 . Clearly, there is no monochromatic T_p whose edges are in colour 1 and $K_{1,q}$ whose edges are in colour 2. Hence $R(T_p, K_{1,q}) \geq m_1(p - u_1) + p = p + q - \theta$.

Case II. $\theta = \min\{I_2\}$, i.e. there is $u_2 = \theta$ such that

$$p + q - \theta - 1 = (q - s_2) + p - u_2 + s_2 - 1 = m_2(p - u_2) + p - (u_2 - s_2) - 1;$$

$$p - (u_2 - s_2) - 1 < p - 1;$$

$$(m_2 - 1)(p - u_2) + p - (u_2 - s_2) - 1 = m_2(p - u_2) + s_2 - 1 = q - 1$$

and $m_2(p - u_2) = q - s_2 \leq q - 1$.

Let $G_2 = K_{m_2(p-u_2)+p-(u_2-s_2)-1}$. We divide $V(G_2)$ into $m_2 + 1$ parts V_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m_2 + 1$) such that $|V_i| = p - u_2$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m_2$) and $|V_{m_2+1}| = p - (u_2 - s_2) - 1$. A similar argument as in case I yields that $R(T_p, K_{1,q}) \geq m_2(p - u_2) + p - (u_2 - s_2) = p + q - \theta$. \square

3. The Upper Bounds

THEOREM 3. *If $p > 1$ and $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^t (q_i - 1)$, then $R(T_p, K_{1,q_1}, \dots, K_{1,q_t}) \leq p + \Sigma$.*

PROOF. Suppose that there is an assignment of $t + 1$ colours, $1, 2, \dots, t + 1$, to the edges of $K_{p+\Sigma-1}$. If there is no monochromatic T_p whose edges are in colour $t + 1$, then by Theorem B(ii) there must be a $K_{1,\Sigma+1}$ whose edges are in the former t colours. Hence there must be a monochromatic K_{1,q_i} for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ whose edges are in colour i . The proof is completed. \square

THEOREM 4. *If $p (\geq 3)$ is odd and q is even, then $R(T_p, K_{1,q}) \leq p + q - 2$.*

PROOF. Let $G = K_{p-q-2}$. Suppose that there is an assignment of 2 colours, 1, 2, to the edges of G . Let G' be the edge-deduced subgraph whose edge set is the set of all colour 1 edges in G . If there is no monochromatic $K_{1,q}$ whose edges are in colour 2, then the minimum degree $\delta(G') \geq p - 2$. The maximum degree is $\Delta(G') \geq p - 1$. Note that $\Delta(T_p) \leq p - 1$. Using $\delta(G') \geq p - 2$ and $\Delta(G') \geq p - 1$, it is easy to check that T_p as a subgraph is contained in G' . Therefore the proof is completed. \square

THEOREM 5. *If $p (\geq 3)$ and $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^t (q_i - 1)$ are odd, then*

$$R(T_p, K_{1,q_1}, \dots, K_{1,q_t}) \leq p + \Sigma - 1.$$

PROOF. Suppose that there is an assignment of $t + 1$ colours, $1, 2, \dots, t + 1$, to the edges of $K_{p+\Sigma-1}$. If there is no monochromatic T_p whose edges are in colour $t + 1$, then by theorem 4, there must be a $K_{1,\Sigma+1}$ whose edges are in the former t colours. Hence there must exist a monochromatic K_{1,q_i} for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ whose edges are in colour i . The proof is completed. \square

4. Some Ramsey Numbers in Special Cases

By Theorems 1, 3, and 5, we have:

THEOREM 6. *Let $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^t (q_i - 1)$.*

1. *If $p > 1$, $\Sigma \equiv 0 \pmod{p - 1}$ and $p + \Sigma$ is odd, then $R(T_p, K_{1,q_1}, \dots, K_{1,q_t}) = p + \Sigma$;*
2. *If $p > 1$, $\Sigma \equiv 1 \pmod{p - 1}$ or $\Sigma \equiv 0 \pmod{p - 2}$ and $p + \Sigma$ is even, then $R(T_p, K_{1,q_t}) = p + \Sigma - 1$ or $p + \Sigma$;*
3. *If $p > 1$, $\Sigma \equiv 1 \pmod{p - 2}$ and only one of $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_t\}$ is even and p is odd, then $R(T_p, K_{1,q_1}, \dots, K_{1,q_t}) = p + \Sigma - 2$ or $p + \Sigma - 1$.*

By Theorem B(ii) and Theorem 2, we have:

- THEOREM 7.** 1. If $p(> 1)$ and q satisfy one of the following conditions: (i) $q \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{p-2}$, (ii) $q \equiv 2 \pmod{p-1}$, then $R(T_p, K_{1,q}) = p + q - 2$ or $p + q - 1$.
2. If $p(> 1)$ and q satisfy one of the following conditions: (i) $q \equiv 1$ or 2 or $3 \pmod{p-3}$, (ii) $q \equiv 3 \pmod{p-2}$, (iii) $q \equiv 3 \pmod{p-1}$, then $R(T_p, K_{1,q}) = p + q - 3$ or $p + q - 2$ or $p + q - 1$.

By Theorem 4 and 7, we have:

COROLLARY. Let $p(> 1)$ be odd and q even.

1. If p and q satisfy one of the following conditions: (i) $q \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{p-2}$, (ii) $q \equiv 2 \pmod{p-1}$, then $R(T_p, K_{1,q}) = p + q - 2$;
2. If p and q satisfy one of the following conditions: (i) $q \equiv 2 \pmod{p-3}$, (ii) $q \equiv 3 \pmod{p-2}$, then $R(T_p, K_{1,q}) = p + q - 3$ or $p + q - 2$.

Acknowledgements

This project is supported by NSFC (No. 19471037) and NFSJS.

References

- [1] S. A. Burr and J. A. Roberts, *On Ramsey numbers for star*, *Utilitas Math.*, **4**(1974), 217–220.
- [2] E. J. Cockayne, *Some tree-Star Ramsey numbers*, *J. Combin. Theory, B* **17**(1974), 2, 183–187.
- [3] R. L. Graham, B. L. Rothschild and J. H. Spence, *Ramsey Theory*, John Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [4] J. Peterson, *Die Theorie der Regulären Graphen*, *Acta Math.*, **15**(1891), 193–220.
- [5] S. P. Radziszowski, *Small Ramsey numbers*, *The Electronic J. of Combin.*, **1**(1994), DS1.
- [6] H. P. Yap, *Some Topics in Graph Theory*, LMS Lecture Note, Ser. **108**, 1986.