



Note

A bound for multicolor Ramsey numbers [☆]

Ling-sheng Shi ^{*}, Ke-min Zhang

Department of mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, People's Republic of China

Received 25 November 1998; revised 24 September 1999; accepted 7 February 2000

Abstract

The Ramsey number $R(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n)$ is the smallest integer p such that for any n -edge coloring (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) of K_p , $K_p[E_i]$ contains G_i for some i , G_i as a subgraph in $K_p[E_i]$. Let $R(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) := R(K_{m_1}, K_{m_2}, \dots, K_{m_n})$, $R(m; n) := R(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$ if $m_i = m$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. A formula is obtained for $R(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n)$. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Formula; Ramsey number

An n -edge coloring (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) of K_p is called a $(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n; p)((m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n; p)$, resp.)-decomposition if for all i , $G_i(K_{m_i}$, resp.) is not contained in $K_p[E_i]$. It is clear that $R(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n) = p_0 + 1$ iff $p_0 = \max\{p: \text{there exists a } (G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n; p)\text{-decomposition}\}$. We denote by $N(G_i)$ the number of subgraphs of $K_p[E_i]$ which are isomorphic to G_i . The $(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n; p)$ -decomposition is called a $(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n; p)$ -Ramsey decomposition if $p = R(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n) - 1$. If G and H are two graphs, $G \circ H$ denotes either the disjoint union or the join (see [1]) of G and H . Let G_i^k be a graph of order k and let $G_i = G_i^{m_i - n_i} \circ G_i^{n_i}$. Taking any vertex v_i , let $G_i^{n_i+1} = \{v_i\} \circ G_i^{n_i}$. The number of subgraphs of $G_i^{m_i}$ which are isomorphic to $G_i^{n_i+1}$ is denoted by $n(i)$. Thus we have:

Theorem 1. For any $(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n; p)$ -decomposition and if $G_i = G_i^{m_i - n_i} \circ G_i^{n_i}$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$n(i)N(G_i^{n_i+1}) \leq N(G_i^{n_i})[R(G_1, \dots, G_{i-1}, G_i^{m_i - n_i}, G_{i+1}, \dots, G_n) - 1]. \tag{1}$$

Proof. In a $(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n; p)$ -decomposition (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) , by the definition of $R(G_1, \dots, G_{i-1}, G_i^{m_i - n_i}, G_{i+1}, \dots, G_n)$ and for any $G_i^{n_i} \subset K_p[E_i]$, there are at most

[☆] The project supported by NSFC and NSFJS.
^{*} Corresponding author.
E-mail address: zkmlf@nju.edu.cn (K.-m. Zhang).

$R(G_1, \dots, G_{i-1}, G_i^{m_i-n_i}, G_{i+1}, \dots, G_n) - 1$ vertices v in $K_p[E_i] - V(G_i^{n_i})$ such that $\{v\} \circ G_i^{n_i} = G_i^{n_i+1}$, otherwise there exists a subgraph G of $K_p[E_i] - V(G_i^{n_i})$ of order $R(G_1, \dots, G_{i-1}, G_i^{m_i-n_i}, G_{i+1}, \dots, G_n)$, either there exists a $G_i^{m_i-n_i} \subset G$ such that $G_i^{m_i-n_i} \circ G_i^{n_i} = G_i \subset K_p[E_i]$, or there exists a $G_j \subset K_p[E_j], j \neq i$; a contradiction. Hence by the definition of $N(G_i^{n_i})$ and $n(i)$, (1) follows. \square

Theorem 1 generalizes the Theorem 1 in [4].

Corollary 1. *If $G_i = K_{m_i}$ or $K_{m_i} - e, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then for any $(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n; p)$ -decomposition, we have*

$$(n_i + 1)N(K_{n_i+1}) \leq N(K_{n_i}) [R(G_1, \dots, G_{i-1}, G_i^{m_i-n_i}, G_{i+1}, \dots, G_n) - 1], \tag{2}$$

where $G_i^{m_i-n_i} = K_{m_i-n_i}$ or $K_{m_i-n_i} - e, 0 < n_i < m_i - 1$ and $m_i > 2$.

In particular if $G_i = K_i^m := K_m, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $m > 2$, we have

$$(m - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n N(K_i^{m-1}) \leq [R(m; n - 1) - 1] \sum_{i=1}^n N(K_i^{m-2}). \tag{3}$$

Proof. Note that for any K_{k+1} , it contains exactly $k + 1$ K_k . Hence, by (1), (2) follows. Furthermore, since $R(K_1^2, K_2^2, \dots, K_n^m) = R(m; n - 1)$ and (2), we obtain (3). \square

Note that (3) and the following facts:

when $n = 2$ (see [3]),

$$N(K_1^2) + N(K_2^2) = (1/2)p(p - 1) > 2p = N(K_1^1) + N(K_2^1) \quad \text{if } p \geq R(3, 3) = 6,$$

$$N(K_1^2) + N(K_2^2) \leq N(K_1^1) + N(K_2^1) \quad \text{if } p < R(3, 3) = 6,$$

$$N(K_1^3) + N(K_2^3) = \binom{p}{3} - (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^n d_{1i}d_{2i} \geq (1/6)p(p - 1)(p - 2)$$

$$-(1/8)p(p - 1)^2 > (1/2)p(p - 1) = N(K_1^2) + N(K_2^2) \quad \text{if } p \geq R(4, 4) = 18,$$

$$N(K_1^3) + N(K_2^3) \leq N(K_1^2) + N(K_2^2) \quad \text{if } p < R(4, 4) = 18,$$

where d_{ij} is the degree of vertex v_j in $K_p[E_i]$.

when $n = 3$,

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^3 N(K_i^2) = p(p - 1) > 15p = 5 \sum_{i=1}^3 N(K_i^1) \quad \text{if } p \geq R(3, 3, 3) = 17,$$

(see [2]).

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^3 N(K_i^2) \leq 5 \sum_{i=1}^3 N(K_i^1) \quad \text{if } p < R(3, 3, 3) = 17.$$

So we raise a conjecture which generalizes Conjecture 2 in [3] as follows:

Conjecture. *If $m (> 3)$, $n (> 1)$ and p are natural numbers, $p \geq R(m; n)$, then*

$$(m - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n N(K_i^{m-1}) > [R(m; n - 1) - 1] \sum_{i=1}^n N(K_i^{m-2}).$$

Theorem 2. *For any graph G_i of order $m_i (> 1)$ and $G_i = \{v_i\} \circ G_i^{m_i-1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$*

$$R(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n R(G_1, \dots, G_{i-1}, G_i^{m_i-1}, G_{i+1}, \dots, G_n) - n + 2. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 1 for $n_i = 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $p = R(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n) - 1$, we have

$$2N(K_i^2) \leq p[R(G_1, \dots, G_{i-1}, G_i^{m_i-1}, G_{i+1}, \dots, G_n) - 1] \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then $p(p-1) = 2\binom{p}{2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n N(K_i^2) \leq p[\sum_{i=1}^n R(G_1, \dots, G_{i-1}, G_i^{m_i-1}, G_{i+1}, \dots, G_n) - n]$.

Thus we obtain (4). \square

Theorem 2 is a generalization of Theorem 2 in [4] and of the classical inequality $R(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n R(m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i - 1, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n) - n + 2$.

References

[1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, Macmillan, London, 1976.
 [2] R.E. Greenwood, A.M. Gleason, Combinatorial relations and chromatic graphs, Can. J. Math. 7 (1955) 1–7.
 [3] Huang Yiru, Zhang Kemin, A new upper bound formula for two color classical Ramsey numbers, JCMCC 28 (1998) 347–350.
 [4] Huang Yiru, Zhang Kemin, New upper bounds for Ramsey numbers, Eur. J. Combin 19 (1998) 391–394.