# On the Ramsey number $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_m)$ (m = 3, 4)

## Yang Jian Sheng, Huang Yi Ru

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University Shanghai 201800, People's Republic of China

## Zhang Ke Min\*

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University Nanjing 210008, People's Republic of China

#### Abstract

The Ramsey number  $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_m)$  is the smallest integer p such that every graph G on p vertices contains either a cycle  $C_n$  with length n or a  $K_{n-1}$ , or an independent set of order m. In this paper we prove that  $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_3) = 2(n-2) + 1 \ (n \geq 5), \ R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_4) = 3(n-2) + 1 \ (n \geq 7)$ . In particular, we prove that  $R(C_4 \text{ or } K_3, K_3) = 6$ ,  $R(C_4 \text{ or } K_3, K_4) = 8$ ,  $R(C_5 \text{ or } K_4, K_4) = 11$  and  $R(C_6 \text{ or } K_5, K_4) = 14$ .

### 1. Introduction.

We shall consider only graphs without multiple edges or loops.

The Ramsey number  $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_m)$  is the smallest integer p such that every graph G on p vertices contains either a cycle  $C_n$  with length n or a complete graph  $K_{n-1}$  on n-1 vertices, or an independent set of order m.

In 1976, R.H. Schelp and R.J. Faudree in [2] stated the following problem:

Problem 1.1 ([2]). Is it true that  $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_m) = (n-2)(m-1)+1$   $(n \ge m)$ ?

With this problem, the aim of Schelp and Faudree was to solve the following problem:

Problem 1.2 ([2]). Find the range of integers n and m such that  $R(C_n, K_m) = (n-1)(m-1)+1$ . In particular, show that the equality holds for  $n \geq m$ .

However, we think that Problem 1.1 is more difficult than Problem 1.2. And in fact, the statement is false for  $m \le n \le 2(m-1)$ . (See Lemma 2.3 below.)

In [3], we proved that  $R(C_n, K_4) = 3(n-1) + 1$   $(n \ge 4)$ .

In this paper, we prove that  $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_3) = 2(n-2)+1$   $(n \geq 5)$  and  $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_4) = 3(n-2)+1$   $(n \geq 7)$ . In particular, we prove that  $R(C_4 \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_4) = 3(n-2)+1$ 

<sup>\*</sup> The project supported by NSFC and NSFJS

 $K_3, K_3$  = 6,  $R(C_4 \text{ or } K_3, K_4)$  = 8,  $R(C_5 \text{ or } K_4, K_4)$  = 11 and  $R(C_6 \text{ or } K_5, K_4)$  = 14.

The following notation will be used in this paper. If G is a graph, the vertex set (resp. edge set) of G is denoted by V(G) (resp. E(G)). For  $x \in V(G)$ ,  $N(x) = \{v \in V(G) \mid xv \in E(G)\}$  and  $N[x] = N(x) \cup \{x\}$ . If  $X \subset V(G)$ , then  $\langle X \rangle$  is the subgraph induced by X. We denote by  $\alpha(G)$  the independence number of G, and by g(G) the girth of G.

#### 2. Lemmas.

For convenience, in Lemma 1 to Lemma 3 below, we assume G is a graph that contains the cycle  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  of length n but no cycle of length n+1.

Lemma 2.1 ([3]). Let  $X \subseteq V(G) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . Then

- (a) No vertex  $x \in X$  is adjacent to two consecutive vertices on the cycle.
- (b) If  $x \in X$  is adjacent to  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ , then  $v_{i+1}v_{j+1} \notin E(G)$ .
- (c) If  $x \in X$  is adjacent to  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ , then no vertex  $x' \in X$  is adjacent to both  $v_{i+1}$  and  $v_{i+2}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $I_{m-1}$  be an independent set of order m-1 with  $I_{m-1} \subseteq V(G) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n\}$ . If  $n \ge 2m-3$  and  $|N(x) \cap \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n\}| = k$ , where  $x \in I_{m-1}$ , then  $k \le m-3$ .

Proof. For  $x\in I_{m-1}$  suppose the neighbors of x on the cycle are  $v_{i_1},v_{i_2},\ldots,v_{i_k}$ . By parts (a) and (b) of Lemma 2.1 we know that that  $\{x,v_{i_1+1},\ldots,v_{i_k+1}\}$  is an independent set; hence  $k+1\leq m-1$ . To prove that  $k\leq m-3$ , suppose to the contrary that k=m-2. Then 2k=2m-4 so since  $n\geq 2m-3$  we may put  $z=v_{i_k+2}$ , where  $i_k+2\not\equiv i_1\pmod n$ . Then  $xz\not\in E(G)$ . If  $x'z\in E(G)$  for some  $x'\in I_{m-1}$  then by part (c) of Lemma 2.1  $\{x,x',v_{i_1},\ldots,v_{i_k}\}$  is an m-element independent set; otherwise  $I_{m-1}\cup\{z\}$  is an m-element independent set. Hence  $k\leq m-3$ .  $\square$ 

Corollary. If n > (m-1)(m-3)+1 and G contains a  $C_{n-1}$  and a vertex disjoint independent set  $I_{m-1}$  with size m-1, then G either contains a  $C_n$  or an independent set of m vertices.

*Proof.* If there is no independent set of m vertices then each vertex on the  $C_{n-1}$  is adjacent to at least one vertex in  $I_{m-1}$ . But then some vertex in  $I_{m-1}$  is adjacent to at least  $\lceil (n-1)/(m-1) \rceil \ge m-2$  vertices on the cycle, contradicting Lemma 2.2.  $\square$ 

#### Lemma 2.3.

- (1)  $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_m) \ge (n-2)(m-1)+1 \ (n \ge m).$
- (2)  $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_m) \ge (n-2)(m-1) + 2 \ (m \le n \le 2(m-1)).$

Proof.

- (1) This is trivial.
- (2) Starting with the cycle  $(x_1, y_2, \ldots, x_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, x_m)$ , let G be the graph obtained by replacing each  $y_i$  by a  $K_{n-3}$ . (Thus each vertex in the  $K_{n-3}$  that

replaces  $y_i$  is adjacent to  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ .) It is easy to see that G contains no  $K_{n-1}$  and  $\alpha(G) \leq m-1$ . If the edge  $x_m x_1$  is removed, then each block of the resulting graph has n-1 vertices; hence there is no  $C_n$ . Any other cycle in G must use the edge  $x_m x_1$ , and then it must have length at least  $2(m-1)+1 \geq n+1$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.4** [1]. Let G be a graph on  $n \geq 3$  vertices. If  $\delta(G) \geq n/2$ , then G either is pancyclic or else  $G = K_{n/2,n/2}$ .

3. The Ramsey number  $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_m)$  for m = 3, 4.

Theorem 3.1.  $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_3) = 2(n-2) + 1 \ (n > 5)$ .

Proof.

Let G be a graph with order 2(n-2)+1. Suppose  $\alpha(G) \leq 2$  and suppose G contains neither a  $C_n$  nor a  $K_{n-1}$ .

Let  $x \in V(G)$  and  $V_x = V(G) \setminus N(x)$ . Then  $\langle V_x \rangle$  is a clique of G. Since G does not contain a  $K_{n-1}$  then  $|V_x| \le n-2$ . Thus  $d(x) \ge n-2$ .

If  $d(x) \geq n-1$  for every  $x \in V(G)$  then by Lemma 2.4 G is pancyclic, a contradiction.

Thus there is a vertex  $x \in V(G)$  such that  $d(x) \leq n-2$ . (Note  $n \geq 5$ ). Hence we have d(x) = n-2 and  $\langle V_x \rangle \cong K_{n-2}$ . It is clear that there are two nonadjacent vertices in N(x), say  $y_1, y_2$ . Since  $\alpha(\overline{G}) \leq n-2$ , there is a vertex  $z_1$  in  $V_x$  such that  $z_1 \notin N(y_1)$ . Thus  $z_1 \in N(y_2)$  since  $\alpha(G) \leq 2$ . Similarly, there is a vertex in  $V_x$ , say  $z_2$ , such that  $z_2 \notin N(y_2)$  and  $z_2 \in N(y_1)$ .

Thus  $(x, y_1, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-4}, v_{n-3}, y_2)$  is a cycle of G, where  $v_1 = z_1, v_{n-3} = z_2$  and  $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{n-4}\} \subset V_x \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$ , a contradiction.

Therefore  $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_3) = 2(n-2) + 1 \ (n \ge 5)$ .  $\square$ 

#### Theorem 3.2.

- (1)  $R(C_4 \text{ or } K_3, K_3) = 6.$
- (2)  $R(C_4 \text{ or } K_3, K_4) = 8.$
- (3)  $R(C_5 \text{ or } K_4, K_4) = 11.$
- (4)  $R(C_6 \text{ or } K_5, K_4) = 14.$

## Proof.

- (1) It is clear that  $R(C_4 \text{ or } K_3, K_3) = 6$ .
- (2) Suppose G is of order eight and girth at least five. We shall prove that  $\alpha(G) \geq 4$ . If G is bipartite, this conclusion is immediate, so we assume that G contains an odd cycle. If  $\langle X \rangle \cong C_7$  is the shortest odd cycle in G, then the remaining vertex u is adjacent to at most one vertex in X. But any five-element subset of X contains a three-element independent set; hence  $\{x_i, x_j, x_k, u\}$  is an independent set for appropriate i, j, k. If  $\langle X \rangle \cong C_5$  is the shortest odd cycle in G, then since  $\{u, v, w\} = V(G) \setminus X$  does not span  $K_3$  we may assume that u and v are nonadjacent. Since  $g(G) \geq 5$  neither u nor v is adjacent to more than one vertex in X. Hence there are three vertices in X, none of which is adjacent to either u or v. Since G contains no  $K_3$ , we thus find that  $\{x_i, x_j, u, v\}$  is an independent set for appropriate i, j.

(3) Suppose G is a graph of order eleven that contains neither  $C_5$  nor  $K_4$ , and  $\alpha(G) \leq 3$ . In view of the result  $R(C_5 \text{ or } K_4, K_3) = 7$  obtained earlier, we have  $\delta(G) > 4$ . Using  $R(C_4, K_4) = 10$  as well, we may assume that

$$V(G) = X \cup Y \cup Z = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} \cup \{y_1, y_2, y_3\} \cup \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\},\$$

where  $(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)$  is a  $C_4$  in G and Y is an independent set. Since each vertex in X is adjacent to at least one vertex in Y and G contains no  $C_5$ , there is no loss of generality in assuming  $x_1y_1,x_3y_1,x_2y_2\in E(G)$ . Then  $x_2x_4\notin E(G)$ ; otherwise,  $(x_1,y_1,x_3,x_2,x_4)$  is a  $C_5$  in G. Since there is no  $C_5$  in G, it is apparent that  $x_2y_1\notin E(G)$  and  $x_4y_1\notin E(G)$ . In the same way  $x_1y_2\notin E(G)$  and  $x_3y_2\notin E(G)$ . Since  $\delta(G)\geq 4$ , we have  $y_1z\in E(G)$  for some  $z\in Z$ . Note that  $x_1z\notin E(G),\ y_2z\notin E(G),\ zx_3\notin E(G)$ ; otherwise G contains  $(z,x_1,x_2,x_3,y_1),\ (z,y_2,x_2,x_3,y_1),\ (z,x_3,x_2,x_1,y_1)$ , respectively. Now  $x_1x_3\in E(G)$ ; otherwise  $\{x_1,x_3,y_2,z\}$  is an independent set. Then  $x_4y_2\notin E(G)$  otherwise G contains the cycle  $(x_4,y_2,x_2,x_1,x_3)$ . Since  $x_4y_1\notin E(G)$  and  $x_4y_2\notin E(G)$ , we have  $x_4y_3\in E(G)$  and thus  $x_3y_3\notin E(G)$ . Finally, if  $zy_3\in E(G)$  then G contains the cycle  $(z,y_3,x_4,x_1,y_1)$  and if  $zy_3\notin E(G)$  then  $\{x_3,y_2,y_3,z\}$  is an independent set.

(4) Suppose G is a graph of order fourteen that contains neither  $C_6$  nor  $K_5$ , and  $\alpha(G) \leq 3$ . In view of the results  $R(C_5, K_4) = 12$  and  $R(C_6$  or  $K_5, K_3) = 9$ , we may assume that  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$  and  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  are disjoint subsets of V(G) such that  $(x_1, \ldots, x_5)$  is a  $C_5$  in G and Y is an independent set. Since 6 > (4-1)(4-3)+1, the desired result follows from the corollary to Lemma 2.2.  $\square$ 

**Lemma.** If G is a graph of order 2m having independence number  $\alpha(G) < 3$  and containing neither  $K_{m+1}$  nor  $C_{m+2}$  then  $G \supseteq 2K_m$ .

Proof. In view of Bondy's theorem, we may assume that  $\delta(G) \leq m-1$ . Let  $x \in V(G)$  be a vertex of degree  $\delta(G)$ , and set A = N[x] and  $B = V(G) \setminus A$ . Then  $|B| \geq m$  and  $\langle B \rangle$  is complete since  $\alpha(G) < 3$ . Since  $K_{m+1} \not\subseteq G$ , we have  $\delta(G) = m-1$ . If  $\langle A \rangle$  is complete then  $G \supseteq 2K_m$ , so let us assume  $u, v \in A$  and  $uv \notin E(G)$ . Since  $K_m \not\subset G$  and  $\alpha(G) < 3$  there are distinct vertices  $w, z \in B$  such that  $uw \notin E(G)$  and  $vz \notin E(G)$ . Then the path w, v, x, u, z together with the appropriate path of length m-2 joining w and z in  $\langle B \rangle$  yields a  $C_{m+2} \subset G$  and thus a contradiction.  $\square$ 

Theorem 3.3.  $R(C_n \text{ or } K_{n-1}, K_4) = 3(n-2) + 1 \ (n \ge 7).$ 

Proof. Suppose  $n \geq 7$  and G is a graph of order 3(n-2)+1 that contains neither  $C_n$  nor  $K_{n-1}$  and satisfies  $\alpha(G) \leq 3$ . Since  $R(C_{n-1},K_4)=3(n-2)+1$  for  $n \geq 5$ , we may assume that  $(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{n-1})$  is a cycle in G. With  $X=\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{n-1}\}$  consider the subgraph of G spanned by  $V(G)\setminus X$ . If this graph has independence number 3 then we have  $C_n\subset G$  or  $\alpha(G)\geq 4$  by the corollary to Lemma 2.2. Hence the subgraph of G spanned by  $V(G)\setminus X$  has 2(n-2) vertices and its independence number is 2. By the preceding Lemma, we thus find a partition  $V(G)\setminus X=(Y,Z)$ 

such that  $\langle Y \rangle \cong \langle Z \rangle \cong K_{n-2}$ . Since  $\langle X \rangle$  is not complete, we may assume that  $x_1x_k \notin E(G)$  where  $k \leq \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$ . If  $x_1v \notin E(G)$  and  $x_kv \notin E(G)$  for every  $v \in Y \cup Z$  then  $\{x_1, x_k, y, z\}$  is a 4-element independent set for arbitrary  $y \in Y$  and  $z \in Z$  such that  $yz \notin E(G)$ . (There must be such a z since G contains no  $K_{n-1}$ .) Hence by symmetry we may assume that  $x_1y_1 \in E(G)$  and (since G contains no  $K_{n-1}$ )  $x_1y_2 \notin E(G)$ . Note that  $x_ky_i \notin E(G)$  for all  $i \neq 1$ ; otherwise (since  $(n+1)/2+1 \leq n$ ) there is a cycle  $(x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_i, \ldots, y_1)$  in G of length n. In particular,  $x_ky_2 \notin E(G)$ . We now consider two cases.

Case (i).  $x_kz \notin E(G)$  for all  $z \in Z$ . If  $x_1z_i \in E(G)$  for some  $z_i \in Z$  then  $y_2z \notin E(G)$  for all  $z \in Z$ ; otherwise there is a cycle  $(x_1,y_1,\ldots,y_2,z,z_i)$  of length n in G. Then since there is some  $z_j \in Z$  such that  $x_1z_j \notin E(G)$  we find that  $\{x_1,x_k,y_2,z_j\}$  is an independent set. If  $x_1z \notin E(G)$  for all  $z \in Z$  then we can pick a vertex  $z_j \in Z$  such that  $y_2z_j \notin E(G)$  and then  $\{x_1,x_k,y_2,z_j\}$  is an independent set.

Case (ii).  $x_k z_1 \in E(G)$  and  $x_k y_2 \notin E(G)$ . By repeating an earlier argument, we have  $x_1 z_2 \notin E(G)$ . If  $y_2 z_2 \notin E(G)$  then  $\{x_1, x_k, y_2, z_2\}$  is an independent set. Otherwise,  $(x_1, \dots, x_k, z_1, \dots, z_2, y_2, \dots, y_1)$  is a cycle in G of length  $\geq k+4$  and G contains a  $C_n$  provided  $n \geq \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor + 4$ . This completes the proof in case  $n \geq 8$ . In case n = 7, we are left to consider the case k = 4. In particular, we may assume  $x_1 x_3 \in E(G)$  and then the argument proceeds as before except that  $(x_1, x_3, x_4, z_1, z_2, y_2, y_1)$  provides the  $C_7$ .  $\square$ 

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank the referee for many helpful suggestions.

#### References

- J.A. Bondy, Pancyclic graphs I, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, 11 (1971), 80-84.
- [2] R.H. Schelp and R.J. Faudree, Some problems in Ramsey theory, LNM 642 Springer, Berlin, 1978, 500-515.
- [3] Yang Jian Sheng, Huang Yi Ru and Zhang Ke Min, The value of the Ramsey number  $R(C_n, K_4)$  is 3(n-1)+1  $(n \ge 4)$ , Australasian Journal of Combinatorics, 20 (1999), 205-206.

(Received 19/1/2000; revised 16/5/2000)