

SOME CURIOUS CONGRUENCES MODULO PRIMES

LI-LU ZHAO AND ZHI-WEI SUN

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University
Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China
zhaolilu@gmail.com, zwsun@nju.edu.cn

ABSTRACT. Let n be a positive odd integer and let $p > n + 1$ be a prime. We mainly derive the following congruence:

$$\sum_{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n < p} \binom{i_1}{3} \frac{(-1)^{i_1}}{i_1 \cdots i_n} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Simple congruences modulo prime powers are of interest in number theory. Here are some examples of such congruences:

(a) (Wolstenholme) $\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} 1/k \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ for any prime $p > 3$.

(b) (Z. W. Sun [S02, (1.13)]) For each prime $p > 3$ we have

$$\sum_{0 < k < p/2} \frac{3^k}{k} \equiv \sum_{0 < k < p/6} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \pmod{p}.$$

(c) (Z. W. Sun [S07, Theorem 1.2]) If p is a prime and $a, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, then

$$\frac{1}{[n/p^a]!} \sum_{k \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \left(-\frac{k}{p^a}\right)^{\lfloor n/p^a \rfloor} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

(d) (Z. W. Sun and R. Tauraso [ST, Corollary 1.1]) For any prime p and $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^a-1} \binom{2k}{k} \equiv \left(\frac{p^a}{3}\right) \pmod{p^2},$$

Key words and phrases. Congruences modulo a prime, p -adic integers, polynomials.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11A07; Secondary 11A41, 11T06.
The second author is responsible for communications, and supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (grant 10871087) of China.

where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{3}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.

Let $p > 3$ be a prime. In 2008, during his study of $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k}$ modulo powers of p with R. Tauraso, the second author conjectured that

$$\sum_{0 < i < j < k < p} \left(\frac{i}{3}\right) \frac{(-1)^i}{ijk} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad (1.1)$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < i < j < k < p \\ i \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{6}}} \frac{1}{ijk} \equiv \sum_{\substack{0 < i < j < k < p \\ i \equiv 4, 5 \pmod{6}}} \frac{1}{ijk} \pmod{p}. \quad (1.2)$$

In this paper we confirm the above conjecture of Sun by establishing the following general theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and let $p > n + 1$ be a prime.*

(i) *If n is odd, then*

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n < p \\ i_1 \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{6}}} \frac{1}{i_1 \dots i_n} \equiv \sum_{\substack{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n < p \\ i_1 \equiv 4, 5 \pmod{6}}} \frac{1}{i_1 \dots i_n} \pmod{p}. \quad (1.3)$$

(i) *If n is even, then*

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n < p \\ i_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}}} \frac{(-1)^{i_1}}{i_1 \dots i_n} \equiv 2 \sum_{\substack{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n < p \\ i_1 \equiv 2, 3, 4 \pmod{6}}} \frac{1}{i_1 \dots i_n} \pmod{p}. \quad (1.4)$$

We deduce Theorem 1.1 from our following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and let $p > n + 1$ be a prime. Set*

$$F_n(x) = \sum_{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n < p} \frac{x^{i_1}}{i_1 \dots i_n} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x], \quad (1.5)$$

where \mathbb{Z}_p denotes the integral ring of the p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p . Then we have

$$F_n(1-x) \equiv (-1)^{n-1} F_n(x) \pmod{p}, \quad (1.6)$$

i.e., all the coefficients of $F_n(1-x) - (-1)^{n-1} F_n(x)$ are congruent to 0 modulo p .

In the next section we use Theorem 1.2 to prove Theorem 1.1. Section 3 is devoted to our proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. THEOREM 1.2 IMPLIES THEOREM 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1 via Theorem 1.2. (1.3) holds trivially when $p = 3$ and $n = 1$. Below we assume that $p > 3$.

Let ω be a primitive cubic root of unity in an extension field over \mathbb{Q}_p . Then, in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[\omega]$ we have the congruence

$$F_n(-\omega^2) = F_n(1 + \omega) \equiv (-1)^{n-1} F_n(-\omega) \pmod{p}. \quad (2.1)$$

For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ we set

$$S_r = \sum_{\substack{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n < p \\ i_1 \equiv r \pmod{6}}} \frac{1}{i_1 \cdots i_n}.$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(-\omega) &= S_0 - \omega S_1 + \omega^2 S_2 - S_3 + \omega S_4 - \omega^2 S_5 \\ &= S_0 - S_3 - \omega(S_1 - S_4) + (-1 - \omega)(S_2 - S_5) \\ &= S_0 - S_3 - S_2 + S_5 - \omega(S_1 + S_2 - S_4 - S_5). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$F_n(-\omega^2) = S_0 - S_3 - S_2 + S_5 - \omega^2(S_1 + S_2 - S_4 - S_5).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(-\omega) + F_n(-\omega^2) &= 2(S_0 - S_2 - S_3 + S_5) + S_1 + S_2 - S_4 - S_5 \\ &= 2S_0 + S_1 - S_2 - 2S_3 - S_4 + S_5 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$F_n(-\omega) - F_n(-\omega^2) = (\omega^2 - \omega)(S_1 + S_2 - S_4 - S_5).$$

Note that $(\omega - 1)(\omega^2 - 1) = 3$ is relatively prime to p . Therefore, by (2.1), if $2 \nmid n$ then

$$S_1 + S_2 - S_4 - S_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}; \quad (2.2)$$

if $2 \mid n$ then

$$2S_0 + S_1 - S_2 - 2S_3 - S_4 + S_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (2.3)$$

To conclude the proof we only need to show that (2.3) is equivalent to

$$S_0 - S_3 \equiv 2(S_2 + S_3 + S_4) \pmod{p}. \quad (2.4)$$

Recall that

$$x^{p-1} - 1 \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (x - j) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \left(x - \frac{1}{i}\right) \pmod{p}$$

(cf. Proposition 4.1.1 of [IR, p. 40]). Comparing the coefficients of x^{p-1-n} we get that

$$\sum_{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n < p} \frac{1}{i_1 \cdots i_n} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (2.5)$$

So $\sum_{r=0}^5 S_r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which implies the equivalence of (2.3) and (2.4). We are done. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We use induction on n .

Observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i} (-1)^{i-1} x^i = 1 + (-x)^p - \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} (-x)^i = 1 - x^p - (1 - x)^p.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ clearly

$$\frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{p} \binom{p}{i} = \frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{i} \binom{p-1}{i-1} \equiv \frac{1}{i} \pmod{p}.$$

Thus

$$F_1(x) \equiv \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i} (-1)^{i-1} x^i = \frac{1 - x^p - (1 - x)^p}{p} \pmod{p}$$

and hence $F_1(1 - x) \equiv F_1(x) \pmod{p}$ as desired. This proves (1.6) for $n = 1$.

For the induction step we need to do some preparation. For

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x],$$

we define its *formal derivative* by

$$\frac{d}{dx} P(x) = \sum_{0 < i \leq m} i a_i x^{i-1}.$$

If $1 \leq m \leq p-1$ and $\frac{d}{dx}P(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, and hence $P(x) \equiv a_0 = P(0) \pmod{p}$.

Now assume that $1 < n < p-1$ and $F_{n-1}(1-x) \equiv (-1)^{n-2}F_{n-1}(x) \pmod{p}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx}F_n(x) &= \sum_{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n < p} \frac{x^{i_1-1}}{i_2 \cdots i_n} = \sum_{1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n < p} \frac{1}{i_2 \cdots i_n} \sum_{i_1=1}^{i_2-1} x^{i_1-1} \\ &= \sum_{0 < i_2 < \dots < i_n < p} \frac{1}{i_2 \cdots i_n} \cdot \frac{x^{i_2-1} - 1}{x-1} \\ &= \frac{F_{n-1}(x)}{x(x-1)} - \frac{1}{x-1} \sum_{0 < i_2 < \dots < i_n < p} \frac{1}{i_2 \cdots i_n} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dx} (F_n(1-x) - (-1)^{n-1}F_n(x)) \\ &= - \left(\frac{F_{n-1}(1-x)}{(1-x)(1-x-1)} - \frac{1}{(1-x)-1} \sum_{0 < i_2 < \dots < i_n < p} \frac{1}{i_2 \cdots i_n} \right) \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \left(\frac{F_{n-1}(x)}{x(x-1)} - \frac{1}{x-1} \sum_{0 < i_2 < \dots < i_n < p} \frac{1}{i_2 \cdots i_n} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n F_{n-1}(x) - F_{n-1}(1-x)}{x(x-1)} - \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{(-1)^n}{x-1} \right) \sum_{0 < i_2 < \dots < i_n < p} \frac{1}{i_2 \cdots i_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the induction hypothesis and (2.5), we obtain

$$x(x-1) \frac{d}{dx} (F_n(1-x) - (-1)^{n-1}F_n(x)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

For the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, it is well known that $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is a principal ideal domain. So we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} (F_n(1-x) - (-1)^{n-1}F_n(x)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &F_n(1-x) - (-1)^{n-1}F_n(x) \\ &\equiv F_n(1) + (-1)^n F_n(0) = \sum_{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n < p} \frac{1}{i_1 \cdots i_n} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

with the help of (2.5). This concludes the induction step and we are done. \square

REFERENCES

- [IR] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. 84, Springer, New York, 1990.
- [S02] Z. W. Sun, *On the sum $\sum_{k \equiv r \pmod{m}} \binom{n}{k}$ and related congruences*, Israel J. Math. **128** (2002), 135–156.
- [S07] Z. W. Sun, *Combinatorial congruences and Stirling numbers*, Acta Arith. **126** (2007), 387–398.
- [ST] Z. W. Sun and R. Tauraso, *On some new congruences for binomial coefficients*, preprint, arXiv:0709.1665.