

## Note

---

# On disjoint residue classes

Zhi-Wei Sun

*Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China*

Received 20 September 1989

Revised 22 May 1990

### *Abstract*

Sun, Z.-W., On disjoint residue classes, *Discrete Mathematics* 104 (1992) 321–326.

The purpose of this note is to show that, if  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  are positive integers, and for each  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  satisfying  $f(d) \leq k - 2$  or a weaker condition  $d \leq 2^{k-2}$  (where  $f(d) = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(p_i - 1)$  if  $\prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}$  is the prime factorization of  $d$ ), the number of pairs  $\{i, j\}$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ ) with  $\gcd(n_i, n_j) = d$  is less than  $\sqrt{(d+7)/8}$ , then there exist integers  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  such that the residue classes  $a_1(\bmod n_1), \dots, a_k(\bmod n_k)$  are pairwise disjoint. We conjecture that  $\sqrt{(d+7)/8}$  can be replaced by  $2d - 1$ .

Let  $a(n)$  represent the residue class  $\{a + nx : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . A system  $A = \{a_i(n_i)\}_{i=1}^k$  of residue classes is said to be disjoint if  $a_1(n_1), \dots, a_k(n_k)$  are pairwise disjoint. If  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i(n_i) = \mathbb{Z}$  then we call  $\{a_i(n_i)\}_{i=1}^k$  a covering system. A DCS (disjoint covering system) is a system which is disjoint and covering.

By simple cardinality arguments one can show

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A = \{a_i(n_i)\}_{i=1}^k$  be a system of residue classes.*

- (a) *If  $A$  is disjoint then  $\sum_{i=1}^k 1/n_i \leq 1$ .*
- (b) *If  $A$  is covering then  $\sum_{i=1}^k 1/n_i \geq 1$ .*
- (c) *The following conditions are equivalent:*
  - (i)  *$A$  is a DCS.*
  - (ii)  *$\sum_{i=1}^k 1/n_i = 1$ , and  $A$  is disjoint.*
  - (iii)  *$\sum_{i=1}^k 1/n_i = 1$ , and  $A$  is covering.*

**Definition.** A  $k$ -tuple  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  of positive integers is called harmonic if there exist integers  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  such that the system  $\{a_i(n_i)\}_{i=1}^k$  is disjoint.

It is easy to see that if  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  is harmonic then  $(n_i, n_j) > 1$  for all

$i, j = 1, \dots, k$  with  $i \neq j$ . (In this note  $(m, n)$  denotes the greatest common divisor of  $m$  and  $n$ .)

In [1], Huhn and Megyesi posed the following interesting problem.

**Problem.** Characterize the harmonic tuples.

Let  $1 < n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_k$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k 1/n_i = 1$ . By Lemma 1 and the Zná–Newman theorem ([7,3]), if  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  is harmonic then we must have  $n_{k-p+1} = \dots = n_{k-1} = n_k$  where  $p$  is the least prime divisor of  $n_k$ . From this it seems to me that the above problem is a difficult one.

In [1], Huhn and Megyesi noted that, for  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  to be harmonic, it is necessary that, for any  $R \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  with  $|R| \geq 2$  the inequality

$$\sum_{i \in R} \frac{1}{\tilde{n}_i(R)} \leq 1 \quad (1)$$

holds where  $\tilde{n}_i(R) = (n_i, [n_j]_{j \in R, j \neq i}) = [(n_i, n_j)]_{j \in R - \{i\}}$ . (In this note the cardinality of a set  $S$  is denoted by  $|S|$ ,  $[m_i]_{i \in I}$  represents the least common multiple of  $m_i$ ,  $i \in I$ .) They then asked whether the above necessary condition is also sufficient. In [5] we showed that this is the case only for  $k \leq 4$ .

In 1982, using a lemma of combinatorial character, Huhn and Megyesi [1] proved that, for  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  to be harmonic, it is sufficient that the pairwise greatest common divisors,  $d_{ij} = (n_i, n_j)$ ,  $i \neq j$  are distinct and different from 1. Up to now, no other nontrivial sufficient conditions have been found. In this note we will improve the result, namely we have the following.

**Theorem.** Let  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  be positive integers. Suppose that

$$|\{\{i, j\}: 1 \leq i < j \leq k \text{ and } (n_i, n_j) = d\}| < \sqrt{\frac{d+7}{8}} \quad (2)$$

holds for all  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $f(d) \leq k - 2$  (or  $d \leq 2^{k-2}$ ), where  $f(d) = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(p_i - 1)$  if  $\prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}$  is the prime factorization of  $d$ . Then  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  is harmonic.

Obviously our theorem implies the result of Huhn and Megyesi [1].

To prove the theorem we need several lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** If  $\{a_i(n_i)\}_{i=1}^k$  is a covering system with  $1 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$ , then  $k \geq 5$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $k \leq 4$ . Since  $\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{6} < 1$ , by Lemma 1 we have  $n_1 = 2$ . By Krukenberg [2]  $n_1 = 2$  implies  $k \geq 5$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that  $\{a_i(n_i)\}_{i=1}^k$  is a covering system but none of its proper subsystems is. Let  $N$  be the least common multiple of  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ . Then  $k - 1 \geq f(N) \geq f(n_i)$  where  $f$  is as in the theorem.

**Proof.** See Simpson [4] or Sun [6].  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Suppose that  $n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}$  is harmonic but  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  is not. Then  $\{a_i((n_i, n_k))\}_{i=1}^{k-1}$  is covering for some  $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{a_i(n_i)\}_{i=1}^{k-1}$  be disjoint. If  $a_k \in \mathbb{Z} - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i((n_i, n_k))$ , then  $\{a_i(n_i)\}_{i=1}^k$  is disjoint and hence  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  is harmonic.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $m \leq n$ . Then

$$2(\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{m}) < \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} < 2(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{m-1}).$$

**Proof.** It is easy to show that

$$2(\sqrt{k+1} - \sqrt{k}) < 1/\sqrt{k} < 2(\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1}) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{m}) &= \sum_{k=m}^n 2(\sqrt{k+1} - \sqrt{k}) < \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} < \sum_{k=m}^n 2(\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1}) \\ &= 2(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{m-1}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of the Theorem.** Since any 1-tuple  $n$  is harmonic, the theorem holds for  $k = 1$ . Now let  $k > 1$  and assume that the theorem holds for all smaller  $k$ . Let  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  be positive integers satisfying the supposition. Clearly (2) holds for  $d = 1$ , so  $(n_i, n_j) > 1$  if  $i \neq j$ . Now assuming that  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  is not harmonic, we will try to derive a contradiction.

By the inductive hypothesis  $n_1, \dots, n_{s-1}, n_{s+1}, \dots, n_k$  is harmonic for each  $s = 1, \dots, k$ . By Lemma 4 there exist integers  $a_1^{(s)}, \dots, a_{s-1}^{(s)}, a_{s+1}^{(s)}, \dots, a_k^{(s)}$  such that  $A_s = \{a_i^{(s)}((n_i, n_s))\}_{i=1, i \neq s}^k$  is a covering system. Let  $I_s \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{s\}$  be a minimal set such that  $B_s = \{a_i^{(s)}((n_i, n_s))\}_{i \in I_s}$  is covering. By Lemma 3 for each  $i \in I_s$  we have

$$k - 2 \geq |I_s| - 1 \geq f((n_i, n_s)) \geq \log_2(n_i, n_s). \quad (3)$$

In the case  $2 \leq k \leq 5$ ,  $|I_k|$  is less than 5. By Lemma 2  $(n_i, n_k) = (n_j, n_k)$  for some  $i, j \in I_k$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Let  $d = (n_i, n_k) = (n_j, n_k)$ , then  $3 \geq k - 2 \geq f(d) \geq \log_2 d$ . So we have

$$2 \leq |\{\{s, t\}: 1 \leq s < t \leq k \text{ and } (n_s, n_t) = d\}| < \sqrt{\frac{d+7}{8}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{8+7}{8}} < 2$$

which is impossible. This shows that  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  must be harmonic.

Now consider the case  $k \geq 6$ . Since  $B_s$  is covering, by Lemma 1 we have

$$\sum_{i \in I_s} \frac{1}{(n_i, n_s)} \geq 1 \quad \text{for } s = 1, \dots, k.$$



Hence

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k, i \in I_j \text{ or } j \in I_i} \frac{1}{(n_i, n_j)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{i \in I_s} \frac{1}{(n_i, n_s)} \geq \frac{k}{2}.$$

Let  $D = \{d \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : k - 2 \geq f(d) \text{ and } (n_i, n_j) = d \text{ for some } i, j = 1, \dots, k, i \neq j\}$ . Obviously  $1 \notin D$ . Note that  $(n_i, n_s) \in D$  for every  $i \in I_s$ . Let  $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_m$  be the list of elements of  $D$  in the ascending order. Then  $m = |D| \leq \binom{k}{2}$  and

$$|\{\{i, j\} : 1 \leq i < j \leq k \text{ and } (n_i, n_j) = d_t\}| \leq \left\lceil \sqrt{\frac{d_t + 6}{8}} \right\rceil$$

for each  $t = 1, \dots, m$ . (It is easy to check that  $\lceil \sqrt{(d+6)/8} \rceil$  (the integral part of  $\sqrt{(d+6)/8}$ ) is the largest integer less than  $\sqrt{(d+7)/8}$ .)

For convenience we let  $d_{m+i} = d_m + i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \binom{k}{2} - m$ . Since  $k \geq 6$ ,  $\binom{k}{2} = k(k-1)/2 \geq 15$ . Note that  $\sqrt{(d+6)/d}$  is a decreasing function for  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Since  $d_i \geq i + 1$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{12} \left\lceil \sqrt{\frac{d_i + 6}{8}} \right\rceil / d_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{12} \frac{1}{i+1} < 2.2.$$

(If  $d_i \geq 26$  then

$$\sqrt{\frac{d_i + 6}{8}} / d_i \leq \sqrt{\frac{26 + 6}{8}} / 26 = \frac{1}{13}.)$$

If  $d_{14} < 26$ , then  $\lceil \sqrt{(d_{13} + 6)/8} \rceil = \lceil \sqrt{(d_{14} + 6)/8} \rceil = 1$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k, i \in I_j \text{ or } j \in I_i} \frac{1}{(n_i, n_j)} &\leq \sum_{d \in D} \frac{|\{\{i, j\} : 1 \leq i < j \leq k \text{ and } (n_i, n_j) = d\}|}{d} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{\left\lceil \sqrt{\frac{d_i + 6}{8}} \right\rceil}{d_i} \\ &< 2.2 + \frac{1}{14} + \frac{1}{15} + \sum_{i=15}^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{(i+1)+6}{8}}}{(i+1)} < 2.35 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \sum_{i=15}^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+1-6}} \\ &< 2.35 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} - 5 - \sqrt{10-1} \right) < 2.35 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{k-\frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{2}} - 3 \right) < \frac{k}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $d_{14} \geq 26$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k, i \in I_j \text{ or } j \in I_i} \frac{1}{(n_i, n_j)} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\binom{k}{2}} \left\lceil \sqrt{\frac{d_i + 6}{8}} \right\rceil / d_i < 2.2 \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{d_{13} + 6}{8}} / d_{13} + \sum_{i=14}^{\binom{k}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{d_i + 6}{8}} / d_i \\ &\leq 2.2 + \frac{\sqrt{20/8}}{14} + \sum_{i=14}^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{(i+12+6)/8}}{i+12} \\ &\leq 2.2 + 0.15 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \sum_{i=14}^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+12-6}} \end{aligned}$$

(Note that for  $i \geq 14$ ,  $d_i \geq d_{14} + (i - 14) \geq 26 + i - 14 = i + 12$ .)

$$\begin{aligned} &< 2.35 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} + 6 - \sqrt{20-1} \right) \\ &< 2.35 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( (k + \frac{1}{2})/\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{18} \right) < \frac{k}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

(Apply Lemma 5 and observe that  $k^2 - k + 12 \leq k^2 + k < (k + \frac{1}{2})^2$ .)

From the above we see that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k, i \in I_j \text{ or } j \in I_i} \frac{1}{(n_i, n_j)} \geq \frac{k}{2} > \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k, i \in I_j \text{ or } j \in I_i} \frac{1}{(n_i, n_j)}.$$

This contradiction shows that  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  is harmonic.

The proof (by induction) is now completed.  $\square$

**Remark.** One of the referees noticed that the proof of Huhn and Megyesi actually requires a somewhat weaker condition than the one they stated. Namely for  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  to be harmonic, it suffices that for all  $d < k$  the number of pairs  $\{i, j\}$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ ) with  $(n_i, n_j) \leq d$  is less than  $d$ . By our intuition, this new version seems to be independent of our theorem. Let  $k > 26$ . Suppose that  $(n_i, n_j) = d$  holds for no pair  $\{i, j\}$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ ) if  $d = 1$ , for exactly one pair if  $2 \leq d \leq 25$ , and for two pairs if  $26 \leq d \leq 2^{k-2}$ . Then the  $k$ -tuple  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  satisfies our condition but violates the weakened version of Huhn and Megyesi's condition. However, we are not able to construct such a tuple explicitly.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  be positive integers. If  $\sum_{i=1}^k 1/n_i > 1$  then

$$|\{\{i, j\}: (n_i, n_j) = d \text{ and } 1 \leq i < j \leq k\}| \geq \sqrt{\frac{d+7}{8}} \tag{4}$$

holds for some  $d$  satisfying  $f(d) < k - 1$ .

**Proof.** Note that by Lemma 1  $\sum_{i=1}^k 1/n_i > 1$  implies that  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  is not harmonic.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** Let  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $N$  be the lcm of  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ . If

$$|\{1 \leq i \leq k: n_i \nmid d\}| \leq f\left(\frac{N}{d}\right) - N\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i}\right) \tag{5}$$

holds for some  $d$  which divides  $N$  and does not equal  $N$ , then (4) is true for some  $d \leq 2^{k-2}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that (2) holds for all  $d \leq 2^{k-2}$ . By the theorem  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  is harmonic. Let  $\{a_i(n_i)\}_{i=1}^k$  be disjoint. Among  $0, 1, \dots, N-1$  there exist exactly  $l = N - \sum_{i=1}^k N/n_i$  numbers not in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i(n_i)$ , so for some integers  $b_1, \dots, b_l$ , the system  $A = \{a_1(n_1), \dots, a_k(n_k), b_1(N), \dots, b_l(N)\}$  is a DCS. By Simpson [4] or Sun [6],

$$|\{1 \leq i \leq k: n_i \nmid d\}| + l \geq 1 + f\left(\frac{N}{d}\right)$$

where  $d$  may be any divisor of  $N$  except  $N$ . This contradicts the condition.

To end this paper, we make the following conjecture.

**Conjecture.** Let  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  be positive integers. If

$$|\{\{i, j\}: 1 \leq i < j \leq k \text{ and } (n_i, n_j) = d\}| < 2d - 1$$

for all  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $f(d) \leq k - 2$ , then  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  is harmonic.

We remark that we have verified the conjecture for  $k \leq 6$ .

### Acknowledgement

I am grateful to Prof. Š. Znam for his mentioning me to consider conditions for  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  to represent the moduli of some DCS. I am also indebted to the referees for their valuable advice.

### References

- [1] A.P. Huhn and L. Megyesi, On disjoint residue classes, *Discrete Math.* 41 (1982) 327–330.
- [2] C.E. Krukenberg, Covering sets of the integers, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, Ill, 1971.
- [3] M. Newman, Roots of unity and covering sets, *Math. Ann.* 191 (1971) 279–282.
- [4] R.J. Simpson, Regular coverings of the integers by arithmetic progressions, *Acta Arith.* 45 (1985) 145–152.
- [5] Z.-W. Sun, Solutions to two problems of Huhn and Megyesi, submitted.
- [6] Z.-W. Sun, Finite coverings of groups, *Fund. Math.* 134 (1990) 37–53.
- [7] Š. Znam, On exactly covering systems of arithmetic sequences, *Math. Ann.* 180 (1969) 227–232.