J. Difference Equ. Appl. 29 (2023), no. 2, 198–207.

### A PARAMETRIC CONGRUENCE MOTIVATED BY ORR'S IDENTITY

# CHEN WANG<sup>1,\*</sup> AND ZHI-WEI SUN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

ABSTRACT. For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2...\}$ , the truncated hypergeometric series  $m+1F_m$  is defined by

$$_{m+1}F_m\begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \dots & x_m \\ & y_1 & \dots & y_m \end{bmatrix} z \Big]_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(x_0)_k (x_1)_k \cdots (x_m)_k}{(y_1)_k \cdots (y_m)_k} \cdot \frac{z^k}{k!},$$

where  $(x)_k = x(x+1)\cdots(x+k-1)$  is the Pochhammer symbol. Let p be an odd prime. For  $\alpha, z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  with  $\langle -\alpha \rangle_p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , where  $\langle x \rangle_p$  denotes the least nonnegative residue of x modulo p for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we mainly prove the following congruence motivated by Orr's identity:

$${}_2F_1 {\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\alpha & \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} {}_2F_1 {\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\alpha & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} {}_2 {\end{bmatrix}}_{p-1} \equiv {}_3F_2 {\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 2 - \alpha & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} {}_2 {\end{bmatrix}}_{p-1} \pmod{p^2}.$$

As a corollary, for any positive integer b with  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{b}$  and  $\langle -1/b \rangle_p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we deduce that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (b^2k + b - 1) \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{4^k} \binom{-1/b}{k} \binom{1/b - 1}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

This confirms a conjectural congruence of the second author.

Competing Interests and Funding: The authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 12201301 and 11971222, respectively).

#### 1. Introduction

For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2...\}$ , the truncated hypergeometric series m+1  $F_m$  is defined by

$${}_{m+1}F_m \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \dots & x_m \\ & y_1 & \dots & y_m \end{bmatrix} z \bigg]_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(x_0)_k (x_1)_k \cdots (x_m)_k}{(y_1)_k \cdots (y_m)_k} \cdot \frac{z^k}{k!},$$

E-mail address: cwang@smail.nju.edu.cn, zwsun@nju.edu.cn.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05A10, 33C20; Secondary 11A07, 11B65.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Congruences, truncated hypergeometric series, binomial coefficients, p-adic Gamma function.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

where  $(x)_k = x(x+1)\cdots(x+k-1)$  is the Pochhammer symbol. Clearly, the above truncated hypergeometric series is the truncation of the original hypergeometric series after the  $z^n$  term.

Summation and transformation formulas for hypergeometric series play an important role in the study of the congruence properties of truncated hypergeometric series (see, e.g., [2–4,6, 8–10,12,14,16,17]). Recall the well-known Clausen's identity (cf. [1, p. 116])

$$\left({}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\alpha & \frac{1}{2}\beta \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta)\end{bmatrix}z\right]^{2} = {}_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha & \beta & \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta) \\ \alpha + \beta & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta)\end{bmatrix}z\right].$$
(1.1)

Letting  $\beta = 1 - \alpha$  in (1.1) we obtain

$$\left({}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\alpha & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)^{2} = {}_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 1 - \alpha & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}z \right].$$
(1.2)

Let p be an odd prime and let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  denote the ring of all p-adic integers. For any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  let  $\langle x \rangle_p$  denote the least nonnegative residue of x modulo p. Mao and Pan [4] proved the following parametric congruence with respect to the identity (1.2):

$$\left({}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\alpha & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \\ 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}z\right]_{p-1}^{2} \equiv {}_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha & 1 - \alpha & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}z\right]_{p-1} \pmod{p^{2}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\alpha, z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\langle -\alpha \rangle_p$  is even.

When Orr discussed the differential equation satisfied by the product of two hypergeometric series, he discovered the following formula (cf. [1, p. 180]) similar to (1.1):

$${}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\alpha & \frac{1}{2}\beta \\ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta) - \frac{1}{2} z\end{bmatrix} {}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\alpha & \frac{1}{2}\beta - 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta) - \frac{1}{2} z\end{bmatrix} = {}_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha & \beta - 1 & \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta) - 1 \\ \alpha + \beta - 2 & \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta) - \frac{1}{2} z\end{bmatrix}.$$
(1.4)

Putting  $\beta = 3 - \alpha$  in (1.4) we have

$${}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\alpha & \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \\ 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\alpha & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \\ 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}_{2} = {}_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha & 2 - \alpha & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}_{2}.$$
 (1.5)

The main purpose of this paper is to establish a parametric congruence corresponding to (1.5).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let p be an odd prime. Then, for  $\alpha, z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  with  $\langle -\alpha \rangle_p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  we have

$${}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\alpha & \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \\ 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}z\Big]_{p-1}{}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\alpha & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \\ 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}z\Big]_{p-1} \equiv {}_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha & 2 - \alpha & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}z\Big]_{p-1} \pmod{p^{2}}. \quad (1.6)$$

Recently, the second author [11, Conjecture 19] posed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. Let  $b, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and let p be a prime with  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{b}$  and  $\langle -1/b \rangle_p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n^2 \binom{-1/b}{p} \binom{1/b-1}{p}} \sum_{k=0}^{pn-1} (b^2 k + b - 1) \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{4^k} \binom{-1/b}{k} \binom{1/b-1}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \tag{1.7}$$

Note that Conjecture 1.1 with n = 1 and  $b \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$  was first stated by the second author in [8, Conjecture 5.9]. Our following result confirms Conjecture 1.1 for n = 1.

Corollary 1.1. Let  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and let p be a prime with  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{b}$  and  $\langle -1/b \rangle_p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (b^2k + b - 1) \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{4^k} \binom{-1/b}{k} \binom{1/b - 1}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$
 (1.8)

The relation between Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 becomes more evident when we write (1.7) as a difference of truncated hypergeometric series. Note that

$$(x)_k/(1)_k = {-x \choose k} (-1)^k$$
,  $(1/2)_k/(1)_k = {2k \choose k}/4^k$  and  $\frac{(1+\frac{1}{b})_k}{(\frac{1}{b})_k} = bk+1$ .

Thus we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (b^2 k + b - 1) \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{4^k} \binom{-1/b}{k} \binom{1/b - 1}{k} \\
= b_3 F_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{1}{b} & 1 - \frac{1}{b} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{p-1} - {}_3 F_2 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{b} & 1 - \frac{1}{b} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{p-1}.$$
(1.9)

In view of (1.3) and Theorem 1.1, in order to show Corollary 1.1, it suffices to evaluate some truncated  ${}_{2}F_{1}$  series modulo  $p^{2}$ .

Taking b = 2, 3, 4, 6 in Corollary 1.1 and noting that

$$\binom{-1/2}{k} = \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{(-4)^k}, \ \binom{-1/3}{k} \binom{-2/3}{k} = \frac{\binom{2k}{k}\binom{3k}{k}}{27^k},$$

$$\binom{-1/4}{k} \binom{-3/4}{k} = \frac{\binom{2k}{k}\binom{4k}{2k}}{64^k}, \ \binom{-1/6}{k} \binom{-5/6}{k} = \frac{\binom{6k}{3k}\binom{3k}{k}}{432^k},$$

we obtain the following congruences which confirm [8, Conjecture 5.9] with a = 1.

Corollary 1.2. Let p be an odd prime. If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{9k+2}{108^k} {2k \choose k}^2 {3k \choose k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \tag{1.10}$$

If  $p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$ , then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{16k+3}{256^k} {2k \choose k}^2 {4k \choose 2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2+\delta_{p,3}}}, \tag{1.11}$$

where  $\delta_{p,q}$  denotes the Kronecker delta symbol which takes 1 or 0 according as p = q or not. If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{4k+1}{64^k} {2k \choose k}^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$$
 (1.12)

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{36k+5}{12^{3k}} \binom{6k}{3k} \binom{3k}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2+\delta_{p,5}}}.$$
 (1.13)

In 2019, the authors [15] established the modulus  $p^3$  congruence for

$$_{4}F_{3}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha&1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha&\alpha&\alpha\\&\frac{1}{2}\alpha&1&1\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{1}_{p-1}$$

which is a parametric extension of (1.12), where p is an odd prime and  $\alpha$  is a p-adic unit. We shall prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 in the next section.

# 2. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1

To show Theorem 1.1 we need the following result due to Tauraso [13, Theorem 2].

**Lemma 2.1.** For any prime p > 3 and p-adic integer x we have

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} {2k \choose k} x^k\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} {2k \choose k} \frac{x^k}{k}\right) \equiv 2 \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} {2k \choose k} (H_{2k-1} - H_k) x^k \pmod{p}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $H_k = \sum_{j=1}^k 1/j$  is the kth harmonic number.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Throughout the proof, we always set  $a = \langle -\alpha \rangle_p$ . Case 1.  $a \leq p-3$ .

Let

$$\Phi(x) = {}_{2}F_{1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(-a+x) & \frac{1}{2}(a+3-x) \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} z \Big]_{p-1} {}_{2}F_{1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(-a+x) & \frac{1}{2}(a+1-x) \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} z \Big]_{p-1} - {}_{3}F_{2} \begin{bmatrix} -a+x & a+2-x & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} z \Big]_{p-1},$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Expanding  $\Phi(x)$  we have

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2}(-a+x))_k(\frac{1}{2}(a+3-x))_k(\frac{1}{2}(-a+x))_l(\frac{1}{2}(a+1-x))_l}{k!^2 l!^2} \cdot z^{k+l}$$
$$-\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-a+x)_j(a+2-x)_j(\frac{1}{2})_j}{j!^3} \cdot z^j.$$

Clearly,  $\Phi(x)$  is a rational function in x and  $\Phi(0) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Therefore, by [6, Lemma 4.1] we have

$$\Phi(tp) \equiv \Phi(0) + tp\Phi'(0) \pmod{p^2}$$
(2.2)

for any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . In particular, we have

$$\Phi(p) \equiv \Phi(0) + p\Phi'(0) \pmod{p^2}. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that  $-a/2, -a \in \{1-p, \ldots, -1, 0\}$ . In view of (1.5), we have

$$\Phi(0) = {}_{2}F_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}a & \frac{1}{2}(a+3) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} {}_{2}F_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}a & \frac{1}{2}(a+1) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} {}_{3}F_{2} \begin{bmatrix} -a & a+2 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} {}_{2} = 0.$$

Since  $a \le p-3$  we have (a+3-p)/2, (a+1-p)/2,  $a+2-p \in \{1-p,\ldots,-1,0\}$ . By (1.5) we also have

$$\Phi(p) = {}_{2}F_{1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(-a+p) & \frac{1}{2}(a+3-p) \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} z F_{1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(-a+p) & \frac{1}{2}(a+1-p) \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} z$$

$$- {}_{3}F_{2} \begin{bmatrix} -a+p & a+2-p & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} z$$

$$= 0.$$

Substituting these into (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$\Phi(tp) \equiv \Phi(0) = 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Putting  $t = (a + \alpha)/p$  we immediately obtain the desired result.

Case 2. a = p - 1.

We can directly verify this case for p=3. Below we assume p>3. In this case, we may write  $\alpha=1+pt$  for some  $t\in\mathbb{Z}_p$  since  $\alpha\equiv 1\pmod p$ . Then we have

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\alpha \quad \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \left| z\right|_{p-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}pt\right)_{k}(1 - \frac{1}{2}pt)_{k}}{k!^{2}} \cdot z^{k}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k}}{k!} \left(1 + pt \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2j+1} - \frac{1}{2}ptH_{k}\right) z^{k}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} (1 + ptH_{2k} - ptH_{k}) \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{k} \pmod{p^{2}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Similarly, we also have

$${}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\alpha & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \\ 1\end{bmatrix}_{p-1} \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{2}pt\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{k} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{k} \pmod{p^{2}}$$
(2.5)

and

$$_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 2-\alpha & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} z \end{bmatrix}_{p-1} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} {2k \choose k} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{k} \pmod{p^{2}}.$$
 (2.6)

Combining (2.4)–(2.6) with Lemma 2.1, we arrive at

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\alpha \quad \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \left|z\right]_{p-1}{}_{2}F_{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\alpha \quad \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \left|z\right]_{p-1} - {}_{3}F_{2}\left[\alpha \quad 2 - \alpha \quad \frac{1}{2} \left|z\right]_{p-1}\right]$$

$$\equiv -\frac{1}{2}pt\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{k}\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{k} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{k}\right) + pt\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} (H_{2k} - H_{k}) \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{k}$$

$$\equiv -\frac{1}{2}pt\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{k} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{k} - pt\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} (H_{2k-1} - H_{k}) \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{k} + pt\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} (H_{2k} - H_{k}) \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{k}$$

$$= 0 \pmod{p^{2}}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now complete.

Let us recall the definition and the main properties of the p-adic Gamma function introduced by Morita [5] as a p-adic analogue of the classical Gamma function. Let p be an odd prime. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define

$$\Gamma_p(n) = (-1)^n \prod_{\substack{1 \le k < n \\ p \nmid k}} k.$$

In particular, set  $\Gamma_p(0) = 1$ . Clearly, the values of  $\Gamma_p(n)$  belong to the group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$  of p-adic units. It is known that the definition of  $\Gamma_p(n)$  can be extended to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  since  $\mathbb{N}$  is a dense subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in the sense of p-adic norm  $|\cdot|_p$ . That is, for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  we can define

$$\Gamma_p(x) = \lim_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ |x-n|_p \to 0}} \Gamma_p(n).$$

Similar to the classical Gamma function, the p-adic Gamma function has some interesting properties. For example, for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  we have

$$\frac{\Gamma_p(x+1)}{\Gamma_p(x)} = \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } p \nmid x, \\ -1 & \text{if } p \mid x, \end{cases}$$
 (2.7)

and

$$\Gamma_p(x)\Gamma_p(1-x) = (-1)^{p-\langle -x\rangle_p}. (2.8)$$

The reader may consult [7] for more properties of the p-adic Gamma function.

**Lemma 2.2** (Mao and Pan [4, Theorem 1.1]). Let p be an odd prime and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . If  $\langle -\alpha \rangle_p + \langle -\beta \rangle_p < p$ , then

$${}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha & \beta \\ & 1\end{bmatrix}1_{p-1} \equiv -\frac{\Gamma_{p}(1-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma_{p}(1-\alpha)\Gamma_{p}(1-\beta)} \pmod{p^{2}}.$$
 (2.9)

If  $\langle -\alpha \rangle_p + \langle -\beta \rangle_p \ge p$ , then

$${}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha & \beta \\ & 1\end{bmatrix}1_{p-1} \equiv (\alpha + \beta + \langle -\alpha \rangle_{p} + \langle -\beta \rangle_{p} - p)\frac{\Gamma_{p}(1 - \alpha - \beta)}{\Gamma_{p}(1 - \alpha)\Gamma_{p}(1 - \beta)} \pmod{p^{2}}. \tag{2.10}$$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $b \in \{2, 3, 4, \ldots\}$ , and let p be a prime with  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{b}$  and  $\langle -1/b \rangle_p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Then

$$b_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix}1+\frac{1}{b} & 1-\frac{1}{b} & \frac{1}{2}\\ & 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}1\end{bmatrix}_{p-1} \equiv {}_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{b} & 1-\frac{1}{b} & \frac{1}{2}\\ & 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}1\end{bmatrix}_{p-1} \pmod{p^{2}}.$$
 (2.11)

*Proof.* It is clear that p is odd and

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{b} - 1 \right\rangle_p = p - 1 - \left\langle -\frac{1}{b} \right\rangle_p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Therefore, by (1.3) and Theorem 1.1 we have

$$_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{b} & 1 - \frac{1}{b} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} 1 \Big]_{p-1} \equiv {}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2b} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} 1 \Big]_{p-1}^{2} \pmod{p^{2}}$$

and

$${}_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix}1+\frac{1}{b} & 1-\frac{1}{b} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}_{p-1} \equiv {}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}1+\frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2b}\\ 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}_{p-1}{}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2b}\\ 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}_{p-1} \pmod{p^{2}}.$$

Now we assume  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ . Note that

$$\left\langle -\frac{1}{2b} \right\rangle_{p} + \left\langle \frac{1}{2b} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle_{p} = \frac{p-1}{2b} + \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{p-1}{2b} < p$$

and

$$\left\langle -1 - \frac{1}{2b} \right\rangle_{p} + \left\langle \frac{1}{2b} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle_{p} = \frac{p-1}{2b} - 1 + \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{p-1}{2b} < p.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2 we deduce that

$$b_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix}1+\frac{1}{b}&1-\frac{1}{b}&\frac{1}{2}\\1&1&1\end{bmatrix}_{p-1}-{}_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{b}&1-\frac{1}{b}&\frac{1}{2}\\1&1&1\end{bmatrix}_{p-1}$$

$$\equiv b\cdot\frac{\Gamma_{p}(-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma_{p}(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma_{p}(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2b})^{2}\Gamma_{p}(-\frac{1}{2b})\Gamma_{p}(1-\frac{1}{2b})}-\frac{\Gamma_{p}(\frac{1}{2})^{2}}{\Gamma_{p}(1-\frac{1}{2b})^{2}\Gamma_{p}(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2b})^{2}}$$

$$=0\pmod{p^{2}},$$

where we have used the facts

$$\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_p\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \text{ and } \Gamma_p\left(1 - \frac{1}{2b}\right) = \frac{1}{2b}\Gamma_p\left(-\frac{1}{2b}\right).$$

Below we suppose that  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{b}$ . Note that

$$\left\langle -\frac{1}{2b} \right\rangle_p + \left\langle \frac{1}{2b} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle_p = \frac{1}{2} \left( p - \frac{p+1}{b} \right) + \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left( p - \frac{p+1}{b} \right) < p$$

and

$$\left\langle -1 - \frac{1}{2b} \right\rangle_p + \left\langle \frac{1}{2b} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle_p = \frac{1}{2} \left( p - \frac{p+1}{b} \right) - 1 + \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left( p - \frac{p+1}{b} \right) < p.$$

Similarly, as in the case  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ , we also have

$$b_3 F_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{1}{b} & 1 - \frac{1}{b} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} 1_{p-1} - {}_3 F_2 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{b} & 1 - \frac{1}{b} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} 1_{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Corollary 1.1. Clearly, (1.8) holds for b = 1. If  $b \ge 2$ , then the desired result easily follows from Lemma 2.3.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank the two referees for helpful comments.

## References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, Special Functions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 71, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] V.J.W. Guo, Some generalizations of a supercongruence of van Hamme, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 28 (2017), 888–899.
- [3] J.-C. Liu, A p-adic supercongruence for truncated hypergeometric series  $_7F_6$ , Results Math. 72 (2017), 2057–2066.
- [4] G.-S. Mao and H. Pan, Congruences corresponding to hypergeometric identities I. <sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> transformations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 505 (2022), Art. 125527.
- [5] Y. Morita, A p-adic analogue of the Γ-function, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 22 (1975), no. 2, 255-266.
- [6] H. Pan, R. Tauraso and C. Wang, A local-global theorem for p-adic supercongruences, J. Reine Angew. Math. 790 (2022), 53–83.
- [7] A.M. Robert, A Course in p-Adic Analysis, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 198, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [8] Z.-W. Sun, Super congruences and Euler numbers, Sci. China Math. 54 (2011), 2509–2535.
- [9] Z.-W. Sun, On sums involving products of three binomial coefficients, Acta Arith. 156 (2012), 123–141.
- [10] Z.-W. Sun, Supecongruences involving products of two binomial coefficients, Finite Fields Appl. 22 (2013), 24–44.
- [11] Z.-W. Sun, Open conjectures on congruences, Nanjing Univ. J. Math. Biquaterly 36 (2019), no.1, 1–99.
- [12] R. Tauraso, Supercongruences for a truncated hypergeometric series, Integers 12 (2012), A45.
- [13] R. Tauraso, Some congruences for central binomial sums involving Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, J. Integer Seq. 19 (2016), no. 5, Art. 16.5.4.
- [14] C. Wang and H. Pan, Supercongruences concerning truncated hypergeometric series, Math. Z. 300 (2022), 161–177.
- [15] C. Wang and Z.-W. Sun, p-adic analogues of hypergeometric identities and their applications, preprint, arXiv:1910.06856.
- [16] C. Wang and Z.-W. Sun, Proof of some conjectural hypergeometric supercongruences via curious identities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 505 (2022), Art. 125575.
- [17] C. Wang and W. Xia, Divisibility results concerning truncated hypergeometric series, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 491 (2020), Art. 124402.