Archiv der Mathematik (Arch. Math. (Basel)) 121 (2023), no. 3, 231–239.

REPRESENTING n **AS** n = x + y + z**WITH** $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ **A SQUARE**

CHAO HUANG AND ZHI-WEI SUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we mainly confirm the following conjecture of Sun posed in 2013: Each positive integer n can be written as n = x+y+z with x, y, z positive integers such that $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ is a square, unless n has the form $n = 2^a 3^b$ or $2^a 7$ with a and b nonnegative integers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lagrange's four-square theorem states that each $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ can be written as $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2$ with $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}$. Z.-W. Sun [13, 14] refined this classical theorem in various ways by imposing certain restrictions involving squares. For example, Sun's 1-3-5 conjecture [13] states that any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2$ $(x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N})$ with x + 3y + 5z a square. This was confirmed by Machiavelo and Tsopanidis [6] via Hamilton quaternions.

Squares are actually polygonal numbers of order four. In 1813 Cauchy proved Fermat's claim that for each integer $m \ge 5$, any $a \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as a sum of m polygonal numbers of order m (i.e., those $p_m(n) = (m-2)\binom{n}{2} + n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$). The following lemma plays a central role in Cauchy's proof, which can be found in Nathanson [8] and [9, p. 31], or Moreno and Wagstaff [7, pp. 54-57].

Cauchy's Lemma. Let a and b be positive odd integers such that

$$b^2 < 4a \text{ and } 3a < b^2 + 2b + 4.$$
 (1.1)

Then there are $s, t, u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$s + t + u + v = b$$
 and $s^{2} + t^{2} + u^{2} + v^{2} = a.$ (1.2)

In view of Cauchy's Lemma, it is interesting to investigate partitions of integers with restrictions involving squares. Namely, given $k \in \{3, 4, 5, \ldots\}$, we investigate for which positive integers n the system of Diophantine equations

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + \dots + x_k = n, \\ x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2 = y^2 \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

has solutions $x_1, ..., x_k, y \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}.$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11D09, 11E25; Secondary 11P83.

Key words and phrases. Diophantine equations, sums of squares, ternary quadratic forms, partitions.

Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 11971222).

Our first theorem solves the above problem for $k \geq 4$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $k \ge 4$ be an integer. Then any integer $n > \max\{20k, 1200\}$ can be written as $n = x_1 + \cdots + x_k$ with $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_k^2$ is a square.

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in the next section using Cauchy's lemma. Actually, by our proof we may even taking $x_5 = \cdots = x_k = 2$ when $k \ge 5$ and $2 \nmid n$.

Our next theorem handles the case k = 3. It confirms a conjecture of Sun posed in 2013 (cf. [11] and [12, A230121]).

Theorem 1.2. Let n be a positive integer. We can write n = x + y + z with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ is a square, if and only if n is neither of the form $2^a 3^b$ $(a, b \in \mathbb{N})$ nor of the form $2^a 7$ $(a \in \mathbb{N})$.

Remark 1.1. This theorem also can be interpreted as the existence of certain cuboid (i.e., rectangular box) with integer edges and body diagonal. It is still open whether there exists a so-called perfect cuboid that has integer edges, face diagonals and body diagonal (cf. Section D18 of [5, pp. 275–283]).

As an example, Dickson [4, p. 259] recorded that Regiomontanus proposed in the 15th century the problem of solving the pair of equations

$$x + y + z = 116$$
, $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 4624 = 68^2$.

It has a unique solution in positive integers, up to permutations, namely $\{x, y, z\} = \{32, 36, 48\}.$

In contrast with Theorem 1.2, Sun ([13, Conjecture 4.7] and [15, Conjectures 1.31-1.36]) conjectured for many triples of positive integers a, b, c (such as (a, b, c) = (1, 3, 12)) that any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2$ $(x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N})$ with $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2$ a square, but no progress has been made on this problem.

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 4. To find suitable $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in the "if" part, we need a lemma on representing certain positive integers as $x_0^2 + y_0^2 - 3z_0^2$ with $x_0 \ge z_0 > 0$ and $y_0 \ge 2z_0$, which will be provided in Section 3.

For convenience, we set $\Box = \{x^2 : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 2.1. Let m and n be positive odd integers with $n \ge 5$ and $3m < n^2 < 4m$. Then there are $s_0, t_0, u_0, v_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$s_0 + t_0 + u_0 + v_0 = n$$
 and $s_0^2 + t_0^2 + u_0^2 + v_0^2 = m$.

Proof. Let a = m - 2n + 4 and b = n - 4. Then it is easy to verify (1.1) holds. By Cauchy's Lemma, there are $s, t, u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying (1.2). Define

$$s_0 = s + 1, t_0 = t + 1, u_0 = u + 1, v_0 = v + 1$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

Then

$$s_0 + t_0 + u_0 + v_0 = b + 4 = n$$

and

$$s_0^2 + t_0^2 + u_0^2 + v_0^2 = a + 2b + 4 = m.$$

This concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has a desired representation, then so does 2n. Thus it suffices to prove the theorem for any odd integer $n > \max\{10k, 600\}$.

Let j = k - 4 and consider the interval I = (n/4 + 7j/2, n/3 + 10j/3). Suppose that I contains no odd square. Then, for some $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$(2h-1)^2 \le \frac{n}{4} + \frac{7j}{2} < \frac{n}{3} + \frac{10j}{3} \le (2h+1)^2$$

and hence

$$4h = (2h+1)^2 - (2h-1)^2 \ge \frac{n}{12} - \frac{j}{6} > \frac{n}{15} > 40,$$

which implies h > 10. Thus

$$\frac{n}{4} + \frac{7j}{2} \ge (2h-1)^2 > 19(2h-1) > 36h > 9\left(\frac{n}{12} - \frac{j}{6}\right)$$

and hence 10j > n, which contradicts our assumption.

By the above, there exists an odd integer m such that

$$\frac{n}{4} + \frac{7j}{2} < m^2 < \frac{n}{3} + \frac{10j}{3},\tag{2.1}$$

and hence

$$3(m^2 - 4j) < n - 2j < 4(m^2 - 4j).$$

By Lemma 2.1, there are $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = n - 2j$$
 and $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = m^2 - 4j$

Set $x_i = 2$ for $4 < i \leq k$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i = n$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2 = m^2 - 4j + 2^2 j = m^2.$$

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1. \Box

3. A LEMMA ON $x^2 + y^2 - 3z^2$

In this section, we study the representations by the indefinite anisotropic form $x^2 + y^2 - 3z^2$. Note that $x^2 + y^2 - 3z^2$ has small coefficients among integral ternary anisotropic forms. In [2, pp. 307–309], it is used to illustrate how to compute the group of integral automorphs for such forms, and its group is shown to possess mainly two generators:

$$\phi: (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, 3z - 2y, 2z - y) \text{ and } \psi: (x, y, z) \mapsto (3z - 2x, y, 2z - x)$$

Using this fact, we obtain the following lemma.

3

Lemma 3.1. Let n be a positive integer with $n, n/6, n/7 \notin \square$. Suppose that the equation

$$n = x^{2} + y^{2} - 3z^{2} \ (x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z})$$
(3.1)

has solutions. Then, there are $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $x_0^2 + y_0^2 - 3z_0^2 = n$ satisfying

$$x_0 \ge z_0 \quad and \quad y_0 \ge 2z_0.$$
 (3.2)

Furthermore, we may require $x_0 > z_0$ if $n = x^2 - 2z^2$ for some $x, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $x/z \in (2, 7/2] \cup (5, +\infty)$.

Proof. If $n = x^2 + y^2$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$, then we may assume $x \ge y > 0$ since $n \notin \square$. Thus $n = x^2 + (2y)^2 - 3y^2$ and $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (x, 2y, y)$ satisfies (3.2).

Now assume that n is not a sum of two squares. Choose a particular solution (r, s, t) of (3.1) with $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$t = \min\{z \in \mathbb{Z}^+: n = x^2 + y^2 - 3z^2 \text{ for some } x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In view of the integral automorphs ϕ and ψ , the equation (3.1) has three other solutions:

$$\phi(r, s, t) = (r, 3t - 2s, 2t - s), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\psi(r, s, t) = (3t - 2r, s, 2t - r), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\phi\psi(r,s,t) = (3t - 2r, 6t - 3r - 2s, 4t - 2r - s). \tag{3.5}$$

By the definition of t, we get $|2t - s| \ge t$ from the solution (3.3). So we have either $s \le t$ or $s \ge 3t$. Similarly, by the solution (3.4), either $r \le t$ or $r \ge 3t$. Since $r^2 + s^2 - 3t^2 = n$, one of r and s is greater than t and hence at least 3t. If $r \ge 3t$ and $s \ge 3t$, then $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (r, s, t)$ satisfies (3.2).

Now we handle the case $r \leq t$ and $s \geq 3t$. (The case $s \leq t$ and $r \geq 3t$ can be handled similarly.)

Suppose s < 5t - 2r. Then

$$-t < 4t - 2r - s \le t - 2r \le t.$$

By the definition of t and the solution (3.5), we must have |4t - 2r - s| = tand hence 4t - 2r - s = t - 2r = t. So r = 0 and s = 3t. It follows that $n = r^2 + s^2 - 3t^2 = 6t^2$, which contradicts $n/6 \notin \Box$.

By the last paragraph, we must have $s \geq 5t - 2r$. Note that the solution

$$(x_0, y_0, z_0) = \psi(r, s, t) = (3t - 2r, s, 2t - r)$$

satisfies (3.2) since

$$s \ge 2(2t-r), \quad 3t-2r \ge 2t-r \text{ and } \quad 2t-r \ge t > 0.$$

In view of the above, we have proved the first assertion of Lemma 3.1.

Now we prove the second assertion. Suppose that $n = x^2 - 2z^2$ for some $x, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $x/z \in (2, 7/2] \cup (5, +\infty)$. As $n/7 \notin \Box$, we have $x/z \neq 3$. We want to find a solution (x_0, y_0, z_0) of (3.1) satisfying (3.2) and the inequality $x_0 > z_0$.

Case 1. $x/z \in (2,3)$, i.e., 0 < 2z < x < 3z.

In this case, $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (z, 2x - 3z, x - 2z)$ meets our purpose since

$$x^{2} - 2z^{2} = z^{2} + (2x - 3z)^{2} - 3(x - 2z)^{2},$$

$$x_{0} - z_{0} = z - (x - 2z) = 3z - x > 0,$$

$$y_{0} - 2z_{0} = (2x - 3z) - 2(x - 2z) = z > 0.$$

Case 2. $x/z \in (3, 7/2)$, i.e., $0 < 3z < x \le 7/2z$. Using the identity

$$n = x^{2} - 2z^{2} = (3x - 8z)^{2} + (2x - 3z)^{2} - 3(2x - 5z)^{2},$$

we find that $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (3x - 8z, 2x - 3z, 2x - 5z)$ meets our purpose as

$$x_0 - z_0 = (3x - 8z) - (2x - 5z) = x - 3z > 0,$$

$$y_0 - 2z_0 = (2x - 3z) - 2(2x - 5z) = 7z - 2x \ge 0$$

Case 3. $x/z \in (5, 6)$, i.e., 5z < x < 6z. In this case,

$$n = x^{2} - 2z^{2} = (2x - 9z)^{2} + (5z)^{2} - 3(6z - x)^{2}$$

and hence $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (2x - 9z, 5z, 6z - x)$ meets our purpose.

Case 4. $x/z \in [6, +\infty)$, i.e., $x \ge 6z$. In this case,

$$n = x^{2} - 2z^{2} = (5z)^{2} + x^{2} - 3(3z)^{2}$$

and hence $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (5z, x, 3z)$ meets our purpose.

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Lemma 3.1. \Box

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We need the following known result in the case $F(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 - 3z^2$.

Lemma 4.1. ([3, p. 164]) Let p be an odd prime with $p \neq 1 \pmod{24}$. Let F(x, y, z) be any indefinite, anisotropic ternary quadratic form with integral coefficient matrix and determinant -p. Then

$$\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{F(x, y, z) : x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

= {4^k(8l + p) : k \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z}}
$$\bigcup \left\{ p^{2k+1}(pl + r^2) : k \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq r \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \right\}.$$

Remark 4.1. The reader may consult [10] for a more general result.

To prove Theorem 1.2, we also utilize the following parametrization of the solutions to the Diophantine equation $w^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ (which can be easily proved using Gaussian integers [1, pp. 161–162]):

$$w = \frac{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}{2}t, \quad x = \frac{a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2}{2}t,$$

$$y = (ac - bd)t, \quad z = (ad + bc)t.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) We first prove the "if" direction. If m = x + y + z for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \in \Box$, then for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have mq = qx + qy + qz with $(qx)^2 + (qy)^2 + (qz)^2 = q^2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \in \Box$. Thus any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ divisible by 21 or 49 has a desired representation since 21 = 2 + 5 + 14 with $2^2 + 5^2 + 14^2 = 15^2$, and 49 = 1 + 18 + 30 with $1^2 + 18^2 + 30^2 = 35^2$. If $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ does not belong to $\{2^a 3^b : a, b \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{2^a 7 : a \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then n is divisible by 21 or 49, unless it has a prime divisor $p \neq 2, 3, 7$.

It suffices to assume that n is an odd prime other than 3, 7. We want to prove that there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with

$$x + y + z = n$$
 and $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \in \Box$.

(The assumption $n \neq 7$ will be useful in (4.3) below.)

Now, if a, b, c, d are integers with

$$2n = (a + c + d)^{2} + (b + c - d)^{2} - 3c^{2} - 3d^{2},$$
(4.1)

then, for

$$x = \frac{a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2}{2}, \ y = ac - bd, \ z = ad + bc,$$
(4.2)

we can verify x + y + z = n and

$$x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} = x^{2} + (a^{2} + b^{2})(c^{2} + d^{2}) = \left(\frac{a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2} + d^{2}}{2}\right)^{2}.$$

So, it suffices to find $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (4.1) such that x, y, z given by (4.2) are positive.

As 2n is neither of the form $3^{2u+1}(3v+1)$ $(u, v \in \mathbb{N})$ nor of the form $4^u(8v+3)$ $(u, v \in \mathbb{N})$, in view of Lemma 4.1 we have $2n \in \{x^2 + y^2 - 3z^2 : x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. By Lemma 3.1 there are integers $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $2n = x_0^2 + y_0^2 - 3z_0^2$ for which $x_0 \ge 2z_0$ and $y_0 \ge z_0$; moreover, we may require $y_0 > z_0$ if $2n = r^2 - 2s^2$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $r/s \in (2, 7/2] \cup [5, +\infty)$.

Case 1. $y_0 > z_0$.

In this case, we set

$$a = x_0 - z_0, \ b = y_0 - z_0, \ c = z_0, \ d = 0.$$

It is easy to see that (4.1) holds and also $a \ge c > 0$ and b > 0 so that x, y, z given by (4.2) are positive.

Case 2. $y_0 = z_0$.

In this case, $2n = x_0^2 + y_0^2 - 3z_0^2 = x_0^2 - 2z_0^2$ and $x_0/z_0 \notin (2,7/2] \cup (5,+\infty)$. Clearly, $x_0/z_0 \in \{2,5\}$ contradicts the assumption that n is a prime. Hence $x_0/z_0 \in (7/2,5)$.

If $x_0/z_0 \in (4,5)$, then it is easy to see that the integers

$$a = x_0 - 2z_0$$
, $b = 2z_0$ and $c = d = z_0$

meet our purpose.

Now we assume that $7/2 < x_0/z_0 \leq 4$. If $x_0 = 4z_0$, then

$$2n = x_0^2 - 2z_0^2 = 14z_0^2, (4.3)$$

which contradicts $n \neq 7$. Thus $7z_0/2 < x_0 < 4z_0$. Set

$$a = x_0 - 2z_0, \ b = 5z_0 - x_0, \ c = a, \ d = z_0.$$

Then

 $a + c + d = 2x_0 - 3z_0, \ b + c - d = 2z_0, \ c = x_0 - 2z_0,$

and hence (4.1) holds. It is easy to see that x > 0 and z > 0. Note also that

$$y = ac - bd = (x_0 - 2z_0)^2 - (5z_0 - x_0)z_0$$

= $x_0^2 - 3x_0z_0 - z_0^2 = \left(x_0 - \frac{3}{2}z_0\right)^2 - \frac{13}{4}z_0^2$
> $4z_0^2 - \frac{13}{4}z_0^2 > 0.$

This concludes our proof of the "if" direction.

(ii) Now we prove the "only if" direction. If n is even and x, y, z are positive integers with x + y + z = n and $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \in \Box$, then $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ is a multiple of 4 and hence none of x, y, z is odd. Thus $n/2 = x_0 + y_0 + z_0$ with $x_0^2 + y_0^2 + z_0^2 \in \Box$, where $x_0 = x/2$, $y_0 = y/2$, $z_0 = z/2$ are positive integers. So it remains to prove that any $n \in \{7\} \cup \{3^b : b \in \mathbb{N}\}$ cannot be written as x + y + z with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \in \Box$. It is easy to check that this holds for n = 3, 7.

Now assume $n = 3^b$ for some integer $b \ge 2$. Suppose that n = x + y + z with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \in \square$. If we don't have $x \equiv y \equiv z \pmod{3}$, then exactly one of x, y, z is divisible by 3 since $x + y + z \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, and hence $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, which contradicts $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \in \square$. Thus $x \equiv y \equiv z \equiv \delta \pmod{3}$ for some $\delta \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Write $x = 3x' + \delta, y = 3y' + \delta$ and $z = 3z' + \delta$ with $x', y', z' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $x' + y' + z' = n/3 - \delta \equiv -\delta \pmod{3}$ and hence

$$x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} \equiv 6(x' + y' + z')\delta + 3\delta^{2} \equiv -6\delta^{2} + 3\delta^{2} \equiv -3\delta^{2} \pmod{9}.$$

As $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ is a square, we must have $\delta = 0$. Thus n/3 = x' + y' + z'with $(x')^2 + (y')^2 + (z')^2 = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)/9 \in \Box$. Continuing this process, we finally get that 3 can be written as x + y + z with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \in \Box$, which is absurd. This contradiction concludes our proof of the "only if" direction.

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2. \Box Acknowledgment. We are indebted to the referee for helpful comments.

References

- T. Andreescu, D. Andrica and I. Cucurezeanu, An Introduction to Diophantine Equations, Birkhäuser, New York, 2010.
- [2] J. W. S. Cassels, Rational Quadratic Forms, Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 2008.
- [3] L. E. Dickson, Modern Elementary Theory of Numbers, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1939.

- [4] L. E. Dickson, History of the Theory of Numbers, Vol II, Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 2005.
- [5] R. Guy, Unsolved Problems in Number Theory (3rd Edition), Springer, New York, 2004.
- [6] A. Machiavelo and N. Tsopanidis, *Zhi-Wei Sun's 1-3-5 conjecture and variations*, J. Number Theory **222** (2021), 1–20.
- [7] C. J. Moreno and S. S. Wagstaff, Sums of Squares of Integers, Chapman & Hall/CRC, New York, 2005.
- [8] M. B. Nathanson, A short proof of Cauchy's polygonal theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 99 (1987), 22–24.
- [9] M. B. Nathanson, Additive Number Theory: The Classical Bases, Grad. Texts in Math, Vol. 164, Springer, New York, 1996.
- [10] A. E. Ross, On representation of integers by indefinite ternary quadratic forms of quadratfrei determinant, Amer. J. Math. 55 (1933), 293–302.
- [11] Z.-W. Sun, Diophantine problems involving triangular numbers and squares, a message to Number Theory List, Oct. 11, 2013, https://listserv.nodak.edu/cgibin/wa.exe?A2=NMBRTHRY;7aed50fa.1310
- [12] Z.-W. Sun, Sequence A230121 on OEIS (On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences), Oct. 2013, http://oeis.org.
- [13] Z.-W. Sun, Refining Lagrange's four-square theorem, J. Number Theory 175 (2017), 167–190.
- [14] Z.-W. Sun, Restricted sums of four squares, Int. J. Number Theory 15 (2019), 1863– 1893.
- [15] Z.-W. Sun, New Conjectures in Number Theory and Combinatorics (in Chinese), Harbin Institute of Technology Press, Harbin, 2021.

(CHAO HUANG) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, ANQING NORMAL UNI-VERSITY, ANQING 246133, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA *E-mail address*: chao1987@sina.cn

(ZHI-WEI SUN, CORRESPONDING AUTHOR) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NAN-JING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA *E-mail address*: zwsun@nju.edu.cn