

## EVALUATIONS OF THREE SYMMETRIC TOEPLITZ DETERMINANTS

HAN WANG AND ZHI-WEI SUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we evaluate the following three symmetric Toeplitz determinants:

$$\det [|j - k| + \delta_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}, \det [F_{|j-k|} + \delta_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \text{ and } \det \left[ \binom{|j-k|}{3} - \delta_{jk} \right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n},$$

where  $\delta_{jk}$  is the Kronecker delta.  $(F_i)_{i \geq 0}$  is the Fibonacci sequence, and  $\binom{\cdot}{3}$  is the Legendre symbol.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For a matrix  $M = [a_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$  over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers, we use  $\det(M)$  or  $\det[a_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$  to denote its determinant. A Toeplitz matrix over  $\mathbb{C}$  has the form  $[a_{j-k}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ . In this paper we evaluate determinants of three symmetric Toeplitz matrices.

In 1934 the evaluation of the symmetric Toeplitz determinant  $\det[|j - k|]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$  was proposed by R. Robinson as a problem in Amer. Math. Monthly, later its solutions appeared in [4]. As a result,

$$\det[|j - k|]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} = (-1)^{n-1} (n-1) 2^{n-2}.$$

Moreover, the inverse of the matrix  $[|j - k|]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$  was found by M. Fiedler (cf. J. Todd [5]).

For  $j, k \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , we adopt the usual Kronecker symbol  $\delta_{jk}$  which takes 1 or 0 according as  $j = k$  or not.

Now we state our first result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any positive integer  $n$ , we have*

$$\det[|j - k| + \delta_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} = \begin{cases} \frac{1 + (-1)^{(n-1)/2n}}{2} & \text{if } 2 \nmid n, \\ \frac{1 + (-1)^{n/2}}{2} & \text{if } 2 \mid n. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*Remark 1.1.* For  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , let  $f(n)$  denote the right-hand side of (1.1). The sequence

$$(f(n))_{n \geq 1} = (1, 0, -1, 3, 0, -3, 1, 5, 0, -5, 1, 7, 0, -7, 1, \dots)$$

was generated by P. Barry [1] in 2009 as the Hankel transform  $(\det[a_{j+k-1}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n})_{n \geq 1}$  of the integer sequence

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, \dots) = (1, 0, 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 17, 38, 88, \dots)$$

---

*Key words and phrases.* Determinant, Fibonacci sequence, Toeplitz matrix.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A15; Secondary 11B39, 11C20.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 11971222).

satisfying the recurrence

$$a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k a_{n-k} \quad (n = 5, 6, 7, \dots)$$

which has a combinatorial interpretation (cf. [2]). According to [5, pp. 32-33], H. Heilbronn proved that  $-1$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $[|j-k|]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$  in the case  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

Our second theorem involves the Fibonacci sequence  $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$  defined by  $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = 1$  and the recurrence

$$F_{i+1} = F_i + F_{i-1} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *For any positive integer  $n$ , we have*

$$\det[F_{|j-k|} + \delta_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{6}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Our third theorem involves the Legendre symbol  $\left(\frac{a}{3}\right)$  with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which coincides with the unique  $r \in \{0, \pm 1\}$  such that  $a \equiv r \pmod{3}$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *For any positive integer  $n$ , we have*

$$\det \left[ \left( \frac{|j-k|}{3} \right) - \delta_{jk} \right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Theorems 1.1-1.3 are quite similar. We are unable to find any other results of this kind. Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to our proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorems 1.2-1.3, respectively.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. For  $j_0, k_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $j_0 \neq k_0$ , we define

$$T_{j_0, k_0} = [t_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{T}_{j_0, k_0} = [\tilde{t}_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n},$$

where

$$t_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k, \\ -1 & \text{if } j = j_0 \text{ and } k = k_0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{t}_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = j_0 \text{ and } k = k_0, \text{ or } j = k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\det(T_{j_0, k_0}) = 1 = \det(\tilde{T}_{j_0, k_0}) \quad \text{for all } j_0, k_0 = 1, \dots, n \text{ with } j_0 \neq k_0. \quad (2.1)$$

We need this useful fact in our proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $A$  denote the matrix  $[|j-k| + \delta_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ . Clearly (1.1) holds trivially for  $n = 1, 2$ . (When  $n = 2$  all the entries of  $A$  are 1.)

Now we assume that  $n \geq 3$ . Observe that

$$T_{21}T_{32} \cdots T_{n-1,n-2}T_{n,n-1}AT_{n-1,n}T_{n-2,n-1} \cdots T_{23}T_{12} = C,$$

where  $C = [c_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$  and

$$c_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \in \{j, k\} \text{ and } jk \neq 2, \\ -1 & \text{if } |j - k| = 1 \text{ and } jk \neq 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $\det(A) = \det(C)$  in view of (2.1).

Note that both the last column and the last row of  $T_{n,n-2}CT_{n-2,n}$  contain a unique nonzero entry (which is 1). We illustrate this via the transformation from  $C$  to  $T_{n,n-2}CT_{n-2,n}$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus, via expanding  $\det(T_{n,n-2}CT_{n-2,n})$  by its last column and the last row, we obtain

$$\det(A) = \det(C) = \det(T_{n,n-2}CT_{n-2,n}) = -\det D_{n-2},$$

where the  $(n-2) \times (n-2)$  matrix  $D_{n-2}$  has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

obtained by deleting the  $n$ th and the  $(n-3)$ th columns and rows from the last matrix in (2.2).

By repeating the above procedure with  $T_{n,n-2}CT_{n-2,n} \rightarrow D_{n-2}$  replaced by

$$T_{n-4k,n-2-4k}D_{n-2k}T_{n-2-4k,n-4k} \rightarrow D_{n-2-2k} \quad \left(0 < k < \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor\right),$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A) &= -\det(D_{n-2}) = \det(D_{n-4}) = \cdots \\ &= (-1)^{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor} \det\left(D_{n-2\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor}\right) = (-1)^{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor} \det\left(D_{\frac{n+\{n\}_4}{2}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

with the aid of (2.1), where  $\{n\}_4$  is the least nonnegative residue of  $n$  modulo 4.

*Case 1.*  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

When  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , the matrix  $D_{\frac{n+\{n\}_4}{2}} = D_{\frac{n}{2}}$  has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

therefore

$$\det(D_{\frac{n}{2}}) = (-1)^{n/2} \times (-1)^{n/4} = (-1)^{n/4}$$

and hence  $\det(A) = (-1)^{n/4} \det(D_{\frac{n}{2}}) = 1$ .

When  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , the matrix  $D_{\frac{n+\{n\}_4}{2}} = D_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$  has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(with the second row containing only zero entries), and hence

$$\det(A) = (-1)^{(n-2)/4} \det(D_{\frac{n}{2}+1}) = 0.$$

Below we assume that  $n$  is odd.

*Case 2.*  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

In this case, we have

$$D_{\frac{n+\{n\}_4}{2}} = D_{\frac{n+1}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $M_{\frac{n+1}{2}} = \tilde{T}_{1, \frac{n+1}{2}} \tilde{T}_{1, \frac{n-1}{2}} \cdots \tilde{T}_{12} D_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$  has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{n+1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\det\left(D_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right) = \det\left(M_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right) = \frac{n+1}{2}(-1)^{(n-1)/2} \times (-1)^{(n-1)/4} = \frac{n+1}{2}(-1)^{(n-1)/4}$$

and hence

$$\det(A) = (-1)^{(n-1)/4} \det\left(D_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right) = \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

*Case 3.*  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

In this case, we have

$$D_{\frac{n+\{n\}_4}{2}} = D_{\frac{n+3}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $M_{\frac{n+3}{2}} = \tilde{T}_{1, \frac{n+3}{2}} \cdots \tilde{T}_{12} D_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \tilde{T}_{21} \cdots \tilde{T}_{\frac{n+3}{2}, 1}$  has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-1}{2} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\det\left(D_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\right) = \det\left(M_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\right) = \frac{n-1}{2}(-1)^{(n+1)/2} \times (-1)^{(n+1)/4} = \frac{n-1}{2}(-1)^{(n+1)/4}$$

and hence

$$\det(A) = (-1)^{(n-3)/4} \det\left(D_{\frac{n+3}{2}}\right) = \frac{1-n}{2}.$$

In view of the above, we have completed our proof Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

### 3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.2 AND 1.3

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $B_n$  denote the matrix  $[F_{|j-k|} + \delta_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ . It is easy to verify (1.2) for  $1 \leq n \leq 6$ .

Now, let  $n \geq 7$ . It suffices to prove that  $\det(B_n) = \det(B_{n-6})$ . It is easy to verify that

$$T_{n, n-2} T_{n, n-1} B_n T_{n-1, n} T_{n-2, n} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & F_{n-4} & F_{n-3} & F_{n-2} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-5} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-3} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-4} & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ F_{n-3} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ F_{n-2} & F_{n-3} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that the matrix  $\tilde{T}_{n-2,n}\tilde{T}_{n-1,n}(T_{n,n-2}T_{n,n-1}B_nT_{n-1,n}T_{n-2,n})\tilde{T}_{n,n-1}\tilde{T}_{n,n-2}$  has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & F_{n-4} & F_{n-3} & F_{n-2} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-5} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-3} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-4} & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ F_{n-3} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F_{n-2} & F_{n-3} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As there is a unique nonzero entry (which is 1) in the last row of the last matrix, and

$$\det\left(\tilde{T}_{n-2,n}\tilde{T}_{n-1,n}T_{n,n-2}T_{n,n-1}B_nT_{n-1,n}T_{n-2,n}\tilde{T}_{n,n-1}\tilde{T}_{n,n-2}\right) = \det(B_n)$$

in light of (2.1), we see that  $\det(B_n) = \det(B^{(1)})$ , where

$$B^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & F_{n-5} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-3} & F_{n-2} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-3} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-4} \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-8} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-8} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ F_{n-3} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ F_{n-2} & F_{n-3} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & \cdots & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly,  $\tilde{T}_{n-3,n-1}\tilde{T}_{n-2,n-1}T_{n-1,n-3}T_{n-1,n-2}B^{(1)}T_{n-2,n-1}T_{n-3,n-1}\tilde{T}_{n-1,n-2}\tilde{T}_{n-1,n-3}$  has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & F_{n-5} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-3} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-4} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-8} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-8} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F_{n-3} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & \cdots & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence  $\det(B^{(1)})$  equals the determinant of the matrix

$$B^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-3} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-4} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-8} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-9} & F_{n-8} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-8} & F_{n-9} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-8} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ F_{n-3} & F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & \cdots & 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{T}_{n-4,n-2} \widetilde{T}_{n-3,n-2} T_{n-2,n-4} T_{n-2,n-3} B^{(2)} T_{n-3,n-2} T_{n-4,n-2} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-4} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-8} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-9} & F_{n-8} & F_{n-7} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-8} & F_{n-9} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-8} & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ F_{n-4} & F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & \cdots & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$T_{n-3,n-5} T_{n-3,n-4} (\widetilde{T}_{n-4,n-2} \widetilde{T}_{n-3,n-2} T_{n-2,n-4} T_{n-2,n-3} B^{(2)} T_{n-3,n-2} T_{n-4,n-2}) T_{n-4,n-3} T_{n-5,n-3}$$

has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & F_{n-6} & F_{n-5} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-8} & F_{n-7} & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-9} & F_{n-8} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-8} & F_{n-9} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ F_{n-5} & F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-8} & \cdots & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$



Note that both the last row and the last column contain only one nonzero entry (which is  $-1$ ). Therefore,  $\det(B^{(2)})$  equals the determinant of the  $(n-2) \times (n-2)$  matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & F_{n-7} & F_{n-6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-8} & F_{n-7} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-9} & F_{n-8} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & F_{n-10} & F_{n-9} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{n-7} & F_{n-8} & F_{n-9} & F_{n-10} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F_{n-6} & F_{n-7} & F_{n-8} & F_{n-9} & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which has a unique nonzero entry (which is  $-1$ ) in the  $(n-3)$ -th row and the  $(n-3)$ -th column. As  $B_{n-6}$  is exactly the submatrix of the last matrix formed by the first  $n-6$  rows and the first  $n-6$  columns, we see that  $\det(B^{(2)}) = \det(B_{n-6})$ .

In view of the above,

$$\det(B_n) = \det(B^{(1)}) = \det(B^{(2)}) = \det(B_{n-6}).$$

This concludes our proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $C_n$  denote the matrix  $[(\frac{|j-k|}{3}) - \delta_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ . It is easy to verify (1.3) for  $1 \leq n \leq 6$ .

Now, let  $n \geq 7$ . It suffices to prove that  $\det(C_n) = \det(C_{n-6})$ . It's routine to verify that  $T_{n-2, n} \tilde{T}_{n-1, n} (\tilde{T}_{n, n-2} \tilde{T}_{n, n-1} C_n \tilde{T}_{n-1, n} \tilde{T}_{n-2, n}) \tilde{T}_{n, n-1} T_{n, n-2}$  has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-3}{3} & \binom{n-2}{3} & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-3}{3} & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \cdots & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ \binom{n-3}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \binom{n-2}{3} & \binom{n-3}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As there is a unique nonzero entry (which is  $-1$ ) in the last row of the last matrix, and

$$\det \left( T_{n-2, n} \tilde{T}_{n-1, n} \tilde{T}_{n, n-2} \tilde{T}_{n, n-1} C_n \tilde{T}_{n-1, n} \tilde{T}_{n-2, n} \tilde{T}_{n, n-1} T_{n, n-2} \right) = \det(C_n)$$

in light of (2.1), we have  $-\det(C_n) = \det(C^{(1)})$ , where

$$C^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-3}{3} & \binom{n-2}{3} \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-3}{3} \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \binom{n-8}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-8}{3} & \cdots & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \cdots & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ \binom{n-3}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \cdots & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \binom{n-2}{3} & \binom{n-3}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly,  $T_{n-3,n-1}\tilde{T}_{n-2,n-1}(\tilde{T}_{n-1,n-3}\tilde{T}_{n-1,n-2}C^{(1)}\tilde{T}_{n-2,n-1}\tilde{T}_{n-3,n-1})\tilde{T}_{n-1,n-2}T_{n-1,n-3}$  has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-3}{3} & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \binom{n-8}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-8}{3} & \cdots & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \binom{n-3}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence  $\det(C^{(1)}) = -\det(C^{(2)})$ , where

$$C^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-3}{3} \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & \binom{n-8}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \binom{n-9}{3} & \binom{n-8}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-8}{3} & \binom{n-9}{3} & \cdots & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-8}{3} & \cdots & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \cdots & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \binom{n-3}{3} & \binom{n-4}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It's routine to verify that

$$\tilde{T}_{n-3,n-5}\tilde{T}_{n-3,n-4}(T_{n-4,n-2}\tilde{T}_{n-3,n-2}\tilde{T}_{n-2,n-4}\tilde{T}_{n-2,n-3}C^{(2)}\tilde{T}_{n-3,n-2}\tilde{T}_{n-4,n-2})\tilde{T}_{n-4,n-3}\tilde{T}_{n-5,n-3}$$

has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-5}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & \binom{n-8}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \binom{n-9}{3} & \binom{n-8}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-8}{3} & \binom{n-9}{3} & \cdots & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ \binom{n-5}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-8}{3} & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since there is only one nonzero entry (which is  $-1$ ) in the last row of the last matrix, with the aid of (2.1) we have

$$\det(C^{(2)}) = \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-6}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \binom{n-8}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & \binom{n-9}{3} & \binom{n-8}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \binom{n-10}{3} & \binom{n-9}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-8}{3} & \binom{n-9}{3} & \binom{n-10}{3} & \cdots & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \binom{n-6}{3} & \binom{n-7}{3} & \binom{n-8}{3} & \binom{n-9}{3} & \cdots & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix},$$

which has a unique nonzero entry (which is  $-1$ ) in the  $(n - 3)$ -th row and in the  $(n - 3)$ -th column. As  $C_{n-6}$  is exactly the matrix formed by the first  $n - 6$  rows and the first  $n - 6$  columns in the last determinant, we have  $\det(C^{(2)}) = \det(C_{n-6})$ . Therefore

$$\det(C_n) = -\det(C^{(1)}) = \det(C^{(2)}) = \det(C_{n-6}).$$

This ends our proof of Theorem 1.3. □

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank the two anonymous referees for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Barry, Sequence A166645 at OEIS (On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences), <http://oeis.org/A166645>.  
 [2] C. Kimberling, Sequence A025276 at OEIS (On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences), <http://oeis.org/A025276>.  
 [3] C. Krattenthaler, *Advanced determinant calculus: a complement*, Linear Algebra Appl. **411** (2005), 68–166.  
 [4] R. Robinson and G. Szegő, *Solutions to problem 3705*, Amer. Math. Monthly **43** (1936), no. 4, 246–259.

- [5] J. Todd, *The problem of error in digital computation*, in: L.B. Rall (Ed.), *Error in Digital Computation*, Vol. I, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1965, pp. 3-41.

(HAN WANG) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* hWang@smail.nju.edu.cn

(ZHI-WEI SUN, CORRESPONDING AUTHOR) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* zwsun@nju.edu.cn