

Included in: Mathematical Logic, Computability, Complexity and Randomness (edited by J. Brendle et al.), pp. 153–158, World Sci., 2026.

ON DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS OVER $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ WITH 52 UNKNOWNNS

YURI MATIYASEVICH AND ZHI-WEI SUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that there is no algorithm to decide whether an arbitrarily given polynomial equation $P(z_1, \dots, z_{52}) = 0$ (with integer coefficients) over the Gaussian ring $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is solvable.

1. INTRODUCTION

The original HTP (Hilbert’s Tenth Problem) asks for an (effective) algorithm to test whether an arbitrary polynomial Diophantine equation with integer coefficients has solutions over the ring \mathbb{Z} of the integers. This was finally solved by Yu. Matiyasevich [5] negatively in 1970 based on the work of M. Davis, H. Putnam and J. Robinson [2]. Z.-W. Sun [10] showed further that there is no algorithm to decide for any given $P(x_1, \dots, x_{11}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_{11}]$ whether the equation $P(x_1, \dots, x_{11}) = 0$ has integer solutions.

Let K be a number field which is a finite extension of the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers. It is natural to ask whether HTP over the ring O_K of algebraic integers in K is unsolvable. Clearly, if \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_K then HTP over O_K is undecidable with the aid of Matiyasevich’s theorem. It is known that \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_K if $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ or K is totally real (cf. J. Denef [3, 4]), or $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \geq 3$ and K has exactly two nonreal embeddings into the field of complex numbers (cf. T. Pheidas [7]), or K is an abelian number field (cf. H. N. Shapiro and A. Shlapentokh [8]).

In this paper we study Diophantine equations with few unknowns over the Gaussian ring

$$\mathbb{Z}[i] = O_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 03D35, 11U05; Secondary 03D25, 11B39, 11D99, 11R11.

Key words and phrases. Hilber’s Tenth Problem, Diophantine equation, Gaussian ring, undecidability.

The work is supported by the NSFC-RFBR Cooperation and Exchange Program (grants NSFC 11811530072 and RFBR 18-51-53020-GFEN-a). The second author is also supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 11971222).

Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *A number $z \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a rational integer if and only if there are $v, w, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ with $v \neq 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & (4(2v(2(2z+1)^2+1)-y)^2-3y^2-1)^2 \\ & + 2(w^2-1-3y^2(2z+1-xy)^2)^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Theorem 1.2. *For any r.e. (recursively enumerable) set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, there is a polynomial $P(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{52})$ with integer coefficients such that for any $a \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$a \in \mathcal{A} \iff P(a, z_1, \dots, z_{52}) = 0 \text{ for some } z_1, \dots, z_{52} \in \mathbb{Z}[i]. \quad (2)$$

It is well known (cf. N. Cutland [1]) that there are nonrecursive r.e. subsets of \mathbb{N} . Thus Theorem 1.2 has the following corollary.

Corollary 1.1. *There is no algorithm to decide for any polynomial $P(z_1, \dots, z_{52})$ with integer coefficients whether the equation*

$$P(z_1, \dots, z_{52}) = 0$$

has solutions in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

We will provide some lemmas in the next section and then show Theorems 1.1-1.2 in Section 3.

2. SOME LEMMAS

For $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Lucas sequence $(u_n(A, B))_{n \geq 0}$ is given by $u_0(A, B) = 0$, $u_1(A, B) = 1$, and

$$u_{n+1}(A, B) = Au_n(A, B) - Bu_{n-1}(A, B) \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Sun [9] studied arithmetic properties of such sequences as well as related Diophantine representations over \mathbb{Z} .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

(i) *For any $k, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the identity*

$$u_{kn+r}(A, B) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (u_{k+1}(A, B) - Au_k(A, B))^{n-j} u_k^j u_{j+r}.$$

(ii) *Let $A, B, M \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $M \neq 0$. Then B is relatively prime to M if and only if $u_n(A, B) \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$ and $u_{n+1}(A, B) \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.*

(iii) *If $A > B \geq 0$, then $(A - B)^n \leq u_{n+1}(A, B) \leq A^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Remark 2.1. Parts (i)-(iii) are Lemmas 2, 6, 8 of Sun [9].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$. Then*

$$x^2 - Axy + y^2 = 1 \text{ with } x, y \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x \geq y$$

if and only if

$$x = u_{n+1}(A, 1) \text{ and } y = u_n(A, 1) \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark 2.2. This is a known result, see, e.g., Sun [9, Lemma 9].

Lemma 2.3. *If $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $x^2 - 4xy + y^2 = 1$, then $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Remark 2.3. This follows from a more general result of Denef [3]; a proof for this particular case was also presented in Matiyasevich [6, Section 7.3].

Lemma 2.4. *For $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, we have*

$$x = 0 \wedge y = 0 \iff x^2 + 2y^2 = 0.$$

Proof. Though the result is known, here we provide a simple proof.

Suppose that $x^2 + 2y^2 = 0$ but $x \neq 0$ or $y \neq 0$. Then $xy \neq 0$ and $x/y \in \{\sqrt{2}i, -\sqrt{2}i\}$. As $x/y \in \mathbb{Q}(i) = \{r + si : r, s \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, and $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, we obtain a contradiction. This ends the proof. \square

Lemma 2.5. *An integer m is nonzero if and only if $m = (2x+1)(3y+1)$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Remark 2.4. This is a useful observation of S.-P. Tung [11].

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (i) We first show the “if” direction.

Suppose that there are $v, w, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ with $v \neq 0$ satisfying (1). In view of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$4(2v(2(2z+1)^2+1) - y)^2 - 3y^2 - 1 = 0 \quad (3)$$

and

$$w^2 - 1 - 3y^2(2z+1 - xy)^2 = 0. \quad (4)$$

Let $y_* = 4v(2(2z+1)^2+1)$ and $w_* = w + 2(2z+1 - xy)y$. Then

$$y_*^2 - 4y_*y + y^2 = (y_* - 2y)^2 - 3y^2 = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & w_*^2 - 4w_*y(2z+1 - xy) + y^2(2z+1 - xy)^2 \\ &= (w_* - 2y(2z+1 - xy))^2 - 3y^2(2z+1 - xy)^2 \\ &= w^2 - 3y^2(2z+1 - xy)^2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3, we see that $y, y_*, w_*, y(2z + 1 - xy) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus both $2z + 1 - xy$ and w are rational integers.

Note that

$$\frac{|y_*|}{4} \geq 2|2z + 1|^2 - 1 = |2z + 1|(|2z + 1| - 1) + (|2z + 1| - 1) \geq |2z + 1|$$

and

$$(y - 2y_*)^2 = 3y_*^2 + 1 \leq 3y_*^2 + \frac{y_*^2}{16} = \left(\frac{7}{4}y_*\right)^2.$$

If $(y - 2y_*)^2 = (\frac{7}{4}y_*)^2$, then we must have $|y_*|/4 = 1 = |2z + 1|$, hence $z \in \{0, -1\}$ and $|y_*| = |12v| > 4$. Therefore

$$|y| > 2|y_*| - \frac{7}{4}|y_*| = \frac{|y_*|}{4} \geq |2z + 1|.$$

Recall that $2z + 1 - xy \in \mathbb{Z}$, and write $x = a + bi$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$|y|^2 > |2z + 1|^2 = |(2z + 1 - xy) + (a + bi)y|^2 = (2z + 1 - xy + ay)^2 + b^2y^2,$$

hence $b = 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $2z + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) Below we show the “only if” direction. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we simply write u_n to denote $u_n(4, 1)$.

Let $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k = |2z + 1|$. By Lemma 2.1(ii), for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $u_{n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{4(2k^2 + 1)}$. In view of Lemma 2.1(iii), $u_{kn} \geq 3^{kn-1}$ and $u_{n+1} \geq 3^n$. Write $u_{n+1} = 4(2k^2 + 1)v$ with $v \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and set $y = u_n$. Then

$$4(2v(2k^2 + 1) - y)^2 = (u_{n+1} - 2u_n)^2 = 3u_n^2 + 1 = 3y^2 + 1$$

with the aid of Lemma 2.2. By Lemma 2.1(i),

$$u_{nk} \equiv k(u_{n+1} - 4u_n)^{k-1}u_n \pmod{u_n^2}.$$

Let $q = u_{kn}/u_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$q \equiv ku_{n+1}^{k-1} \equiv k \pmod{u_n}$$

since $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $u_{n+1}^2 = 1 - u_n^2 + 4u_nu_{n+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{u_n}$. Define $\varepsilon = 1$ if $z \geq 0$, and $\varepsilon = -1$ if $z < 0$. Then $\varepsilon u_{kn} = u_n(\varepsilon k - xu_n) = y(2z + 1 - xy)$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $w_* = \varepsilon u_{kn+1}$ and $w = w_* - 2\varepsilon u_{kn}$. Then

$$w^2 - 3y^2(2z + 1 - xy)^2 = (u_{kn+1} - 2u_{kn})^2 - 3u_{kn}^2 = 1$$

by Lemma 2.2. Now it is clear that (1) holds.

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Remark 3.1. In view of Lemma 2.5 and the proof of Theorem 1.1, a number $z \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a rational integer if and only if there are $s, t, w, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that (1) holds with $v = (2s + 1)(3t + 1)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Sun [10, Theorem 1.1(ii)], there is a polynomial $f(z_0, \dots, z_{10}) \in \mathbb{Z}[z_0, \dots, z_{10}]$ such that $a \in \mathbb{N}$ belongs to \mathcal{A} if and only if $f(a, z_1, \dots, z_{10}) = 0$ for some $z_1, \dots, z_{10} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $z_{10} \neq 0$.

Let $F(v, w, x, y, z)$ denote the left-hand side of (1). For $z_k \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, by Theorem 1.1, $z_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $F(v_k, w_k, x_k, y_k, z_k) = 0$ for some $v_k, w_k, x_k, y_k \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ with $v_k \neq 0$. Thus, $a \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if there are

$$v_k, w_k, x_k, y_k, z_k \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \quad (k = 1, \dots, 10)$$

with $F(v_k, w_k, x_k, y_k, z_k) = 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, 10$ such that $z_{10} \prod_{k=1}^{10} v_k \neq 0$ and $f(a, z_1, \dots, z_{10}) = 0$. By the proof of Theorem 1.1, when $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we can actually choose $z_{10}, v_1, \dots, v_{10} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ to meet the requirements. Therefore, in view of Lemma 2.5, $a \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if there are

$$v_k, w_k, x_k, y_k, z_k \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \quad (k = 1, \dots, 10)$$

such that $f(a, z_1, \dots, z_{10}) = 0$, $F(v_k, w_k, x_k, y_k, z_k) = 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, 10$, and $z_{10} \prod_{k=1}^{10} v_k = (2s + 1)(3t + 1)$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. Thus, in light of Lemma 2.4, (2) holds for some polynomial $P(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{52}) \in \mathbb{Z}[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{52}]$. This concludes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Cutland, *Computability*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- [2] M. Davis, H. Putnam and J. Robinson, *The decision problem for exponential diophantine equations*, Ann. of Math. **74**(1961), 425–436.
- [3] J. Denef, *Hilbert’s Tenth Problem for quadratic rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1975), 214–220.
- [4] J. Denef, *Diophantine sets of algebraic integers, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **257** (1980), 227–236, 1980.
- [5] Yu. Matiyasevich, *Enumerable sets are diophantine*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **191** (1970), 279–282; English translation with addendum, Soviet Math. Doklady **11** (1970), 354–357.
- [6] Yu. Matiyasevich, *Hilbert’s Tenth Problem*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1993.
- [7] T. Pheidas, *Hilbert’s Tenth Problem for a class of rings of algebraic integers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 611–620.
- [8] H. N. Shapiro and A. Shlapentokh, *Diophantine relationships between algebraic number fields*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **42** (1989), 1113–1122.
- [9] Z.-W. Sun, *Reduction of unknowns in Diophantine representations*, Sci. China Ser. A **35** (1992), no.3, 257–269. Available from <http://maths.nju.edu.cn/~zwsun/12d.pdf>
- [10] Z.-W. Sun, *Further results on Hilbert’s tenth problem*, Sci. China Math. **64** (2021), 281–306.

- [11] S. P. Tung, *On weak number theories*, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **11** (1985), 203–232.

(YURI MATIYASEVICH) ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, FONTANKA 27, 191023, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA

E-mail address: yumat@pdmi.ras.ru

(ZHI-WEI SUN, CORRESPONDING AUTHOR) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: zwsun@nju.edu.cn