

# UNDECIDABILITY ON DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS OVER $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ WITH 20 UNKNOWNNS

YURI MATIYASEVICH AND ZHI-WEI SUN

**ABSTRACT.** It is known that Hilbert’s Tenth Problem over the Gaussian ring  $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is undecidable. In this paper we obtain the following further result: There is no algorithm to decide whether an arbitrarily given polynomial equation  $P(z_1, \dots, z_{20}) = 0$  (with integer coefficients and 20 unknowns) is solvable over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . This improves the previous record involving 52 unknowns.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Hilbert’s Tenth Problem (HTP in short) over a ring  $R$  asks for an algorithm to test whether an arbitrary polynomial Diophantine equation

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

with coefficients in  $R$  has solutions over  $R$ . This was posed in 1900 by D. Hilbert when  $R$  is the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers. In 1961, M. Davis, H. Putnam and J. Robinson [3] proved that the solvability of exponential Diophantine equations over  $\mathbb{N}$  is undecidable. The original HTP over  $\mathbb{Z}$  was finally solved by Y. Matiyasevich [7] negatively in 1970, see also the book [8]. In this direction, the second author [14] proved further that there is no algorithm to decide for any given  $P(x_1, \dots, x_{11}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_{11}]$  whether the equation  $P(x_1, \dots, x_{11}) = 0$  has integer solutions, which is usually called *the 11 unknowns theorem*.

Let  $K$  be any number field (which is a finite extension of the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers), and let  $O_K$  be the ring of algebraic integers in  $K$ . What about HTP over  $O_K$ ? If  $\mathbb{Z}$  is Diophantine over  $O_K$ , i.e., there is a polynomial  $P(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  over  $O_K$  such that  $a \in O_K$  lies in  $\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $P(a, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  for some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in O_K$ , then HTP over  $O_K$  is undecidable via Matiyasevich’s theorem. J. Denef [4, 5] proved

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11U05, 03D35; Secondary 03D25, 11D99, 11R11.

*Key words and phrases*. Hilbert’s Tenth Problem, Diophantine equation, Gaussian ring, imaginary quadratic field, undecidability.

The second author is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 12371004).

that if  $K$  is an imaginary quadratic field or a totally real field then  $\mathbb{Z}$  is Diophantine over  $O_K$  and hence HTP over  $O_K$  is unsolvable (see also [12, pp. 98-100]). Recently, P. Koymans and C. Pagano [6], as well as L. Alpöge, M. Bhargava, W. Ho and A. Shnidman [1] successfully proved that  $O_K$  is always Diophantine over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and hence HTP over  $O_K$  is unsolvable.

In view of the second author's 11 unknowns theorem for the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers, it is natural to ask for similar undecidable results involving few unknowns over the ring  $O_K$  of algebraic integers in any number field  $K$ . To achieve this goal is a hard task which needs long-term study.

Surely,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(i) = \{r + si : r, s \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is the most famous number field different from  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and its ring  $O_K$  is the Gaussian ring  $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . The authors [10] proved that there is no algorithm to decide whether for any  $P(x_1, \dots, x_{52}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_{52}]$  the equation  $P(x_1, \dots, x_{52}) = 0$  is solvable over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . In this paper we make further progress by establishing the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There is no algorithm to decide for any  $P(z_1, \dots, z_{20}) \in \mathbb{Z}[z_1, \dots, z_{20}]$  whether the diophantine equation*

$$P(z_1, \dots, z_{20}) = 0$$

*with 20 unknowns has solutions with  $z_1, \dots, z_{20} \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .*

The following auxiliary theorem plays an important role in our proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $d$  be a squarefree positive integer. Let  $K$  be the imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ , and let  $O_K$  be the ring of algebraic integers in  $K$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in O_K$  and set  $y = 2 \prod_{k=1}^n (3x_k + 1)$ . Then we have*

$$y + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{x_k}{y^k} \in \mathbb{Q} \iff x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We are going to prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1 in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. Although we have Theorem 1.2 for a general imaginary quadratic field, our proof of Theorem 1.1 depends heavily on a particular result for  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  (cf. Lemma 3.3 in Section 3) established in [10] via using some special properties of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . To obtain undecidable results similar to Theorem 1.1 for all other quadratic fields is our goal in the future, which needs further studies and many technical things.

In 1934 T. Skolem [13] used a simple trick to reduce any polynomial diophantine equation over  $\mathbb{Z}$  to one with degree 4. The basic idea is to introduce more unknowns to lower the degree of the equation. For

example,  $y = x^5$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if there are  $u, v, w, x \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying the fourth equation

$$(y - ux)^2 + (u - vx)^2 + (v - wx)^2 + (w - x^2)^2 = 0.$$

By the same trick and Lemma 3.2, we can reduce any polynomial diophantine equation over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  to one with degree 4. Thus the solvability of a general polynomial equation of degree four over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is undecidable.

In 1972 C. L. Siegel [11] proved that the solvability of a general quadratic equation (with integer coefficients) over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is decidable! Now it is natural to ask the following question which might have a negative answer.

**Question 1.1.** *Whether the solvability of a general quadratic equation over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is decidable?*

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let  $d$  be a squarefree positive integer, and set  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ . For  $\alpha \in K$ , we write  $\alpha = r(\alpha) + s(\alpha)\sqrt{-d}$  with  $r(\alpha), s(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and the norm of  $\alpha$  is given by  $N(\alpha) = r(\alpha)^2 + d s(\alpha)^2$ . It is well known that  $N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in K$ . Note that

$$|s(\alpha)| \leq \frac{N(\alpha)}{\sqrt{d}} \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in K.$$

It is well known that

$$O_K = \begin{cases} \{a + b\sqrt{-d} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} & \text{if } d \not\equiv -1 \pmod{4}, \\ \{\frac{a+b\sqrt{-d}}{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 2 \mid a-b\} & \text{if } d \equiv -1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

For  $x \in O_K$ , we clearly have  $N(x) \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , and  $N(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any  $x \in O_K$  we have  $N(3x + 1) \geq N(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $x = (a + b\sqrt{-d})/2$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$ . Then

$$N(3x+1) = N\left(\frac{3a+2+3b\sqrt{-d}}{2}\right) = \frac{(3a+2)^2 + d(9b^2)}{4} \geq \frac{(3a+2)^2 + db^2}{4}.$$

So it suffices to prove the inequality  $(3a+2)^2 \geq a^2$ . Note that

$$(3a+2)^2 - a^2 = 4(a+1)(2a+1) \geq 0$$

since  $a \notin (-1/2, -1)$ . This ends our proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in O_K$  and  $y = 2x_0 \prod_{k=1}^n (3x_k + 1)$  with  $x_0 \in O_K \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $z \in O_K$  with  $z + \sum_{k=1}^n x_k/y^k \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Z = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k/y^k$ . As  $z + Z \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $s(z) = -s(Z)$ . Note that  $N(x_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . For each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , clearly  $N(3x_k + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and

$$1 \leq N(3x_k + 1) \leq N(x_0) \prod_{j=1}^n N(3x_j + 1) = N\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) = \frac{N(y)}{4},$$

hence  $N(x_k) \leq N(y)/4$  by Lemma 2.1. Observe that

$$|s(z)| = |s(Z)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left| s\left(\frac{x_k}{y^k}\right) \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{N(x_k/y^k)}{d}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{N(x_k)}{N(y)^k}} \quad (2.1)$$

and thus

$$\sqrt{d}|s(z)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N(y)}^{k-1}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^k} < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that  $z \in O_K$  and  $2s(z) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We want to show  $z \in \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Q} \cap O_K$  (i.e.,  $s(z) = 0$ ). If  $d \geq 5$ , then by (2.2) we have

$$2|s(z)| \leq \sqrt{d}|s(z)| < 1$$

and hence  $s(z) = 0$ . For  $d \in \{1, 2\}$ , by (2.2) we have

$$|s(z)| \leq \sqrt{d}|s(z)| < 1$$

and hence  $s(z) = 0$  since  $s(z) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now we handle the case  $d = 3$ . Without loss of generality, we may simply assume that  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are nonzero. Let  $\omega$  denote the cubic root  $(-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$  of unity. Then  $\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2$  are the only units in  $O_K = \{a + b\omega : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . For  $\alpha = a + b\omega \neq 0$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , clearly  $3\alpha + 1 = 3a + 1 + 3b\omega \notin \{\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\}$  and hence  $N(3\alpha + 1) \neq 1$ . Since  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in O_K \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $N(3x_k + 1) \geq 2$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus, for each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we have

$$N\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) = N(x_0)N(3x_k + 1) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n N(3x_j + 1) \geq 2^{k-1}N(3x_k + 1) \geq 2^{k-1}N(x_k)$$

and hence  $N(y) \geq 2^{k+1}N(x_k) \geq 2^{k+1}$ . Combining this with (2.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{3}|s(z)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{N(x_k)}{N(y)^k}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{N(y)/2^{k+1}}{N(y)^k}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N(y)}^{k-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{(k+2)(k-1)/2}} < \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^5} + \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \right) = \frac{49}{64} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$|s(z)| < \frac{49}{64\sqrt{3}} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus  $s(z) = 0$  since  $2s(z) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Set  $Y = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k/y^k$ .

If  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $y = 2 \prod_{k=1}^n (3x_k + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and hence  $y + Y \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Now suppose  $y + Y \in \mathbb{Q}$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and thus  $Y \in \mathbb{Q}$ . We want to use induction to show that  $x_m \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $m = 1, \dots, n$ . Fix  $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and assume that  $x_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $0 < k < m$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=m}^n \frac{x_k}{y^k} = Y - \sum_{0 < k < m} \frac{x_k}{y^k} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

and hence

$$x_m + \sum_{m < k \leq n} \frac{x_k}{y^{k-m}} = y^m \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{x_k}{y^k} \in \mathbb{Q}. \quad (2.3)$$

Observe that  $y = 2x_0 \prod_{m < k \leq n} (3x_k + 1)$  with  $x_0 = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq m} (3x_k + 1) \in O_K \setminus \{0\}$ . In view of (2.3), by applying Lemma 2.2 we obtain  $x_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This concludes the induction step.

By the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Motivated by the Matiyasevich–Robinson Relation-Combining Theorem (cf. [9]), the second author [15, p. 69] deduced the following lemma for the rational field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We now extend it to any number field.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $K$  be a number field, and let  $A_1, A_2, S, T \in O_K$  with  $A_1 \neq A_2$  and  $S \neq 0$ . Then*

$$A_1 \in \square \wedge A_2 \in \square \wedge S \mid T$$

*if and only if for some  $m \in O_K$  we have*

$$f(A_1, A_2, S, T, m) = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

*where  $\square = \{\alpha^2 : \alpha \in O_K\}$  and*

$$f(A_1, A_2, S, T, m) := (T - mS)^4 - 2(A_1 + A_2)S^2(T - mS)^2 + (A_1 - A_2)^2S^4.$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & S^{-4}f(A_1, A_2, S, T, m) \\ &= \left( \left( \frac{T}{S} - m \right)^2 - (A_1 + A_2) \right)^2 - 4A_1A_2 \\ &= \left( \left( \frac{T}{S} - m \right)^2 + A_1 - A_2 \right)^2 - 4A_1 \left( \frac{T}{S} - m \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $A_1 = \alpha_1^2$  and  $A_2 = \alpha_2^2$  with  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in O_K$ , and  $S \mid T$ , then  $m = T/S - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \in O_K$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \left( \frac{T}{S} - m \right)^2 - (A_1 + A_2) \right)^2 \\ &= ((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^2 - \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2)^2 = (2\alpha_1\alpha_2)^2 = 4A_1A_2, \end{aligned}$$

thus  $f(A_1, A_2, S, T, m) = 0$ .

Now assume that (3.1) holds for some  $m \in O_K$ . Set  $x = T/S - m$ . As  $(x^2 + A_1 - A_2)^2 - 4A_1x^2 = 0$ ,  $x$  is an algebraic integer and hence  $x \in O_K$ . Thus  $S \mid T$ . Since  $A_1 \neq A_2$ , we have  $x \neq 0$ . For  $y = (A_1 - A_2)/x \in K$ , we have  $(x+y)^2 = 4A_1$  and hence  $y$  is an algebraic integer. As  $x, y \in O_K$  and  $(\frac{x+y}{2})^2 = A_1 \in O_K$ ,  $\frac{x+y}{2}$  must be an algebraic integer. Note that

$$A_2 = A_1 - xy = \left( \frac{x+y}{2} \right)^2 - xy = \left( \frac{x-y}{2} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{x+y}{2} - y \right)^2.$$

So  $A_1, A_2 \in \square$ .

By the above, we have finished the proof of Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

The following lemma is an easy fact, see, e.g., [10, Lemma 2.4].

**Lemma 3.2.** *For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , we have*

$$x = 0 \wedge y = 0 \iff x^2 + 2y^2 = 0.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *A number  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a rational integer if and only if there are  $v, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  with  $v \neq 0$  such that*

$$4(2v(2(2t+1)^2 + 1) - y)^2 - 3y^2 - 1 = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$3y^2(2t+1-xy)^2 + 1 \in \square, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\square = \{\alpha^2 : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]\}$ . When  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can actually require further that  $v, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Remark 3.1.* Lemma 3.3 follows from [10, Theorem 1.1] and its proof in view of Lemma 3.2.

We also need the following result observed by S. P. Tung [16],

**Lemma 3.4.** *An integer  $m$  is nonzero if and only if  $m = (2r+1)(3s+1)$  for some  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  which is recursively enumerable but not recursive. (The existence of such a set is well known, see, e.g., N. Cutland [2, pp.140-141].) By Sun [14, Theorem 1.1(ii)], there is a polynomial  $Q(z_0, \dots, z_{10}) \in \mathbb{Z}[z_0, \dots, z_{10}]$  such that  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if

$$Q(a, z_1, \dots, z_{10}) = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

for some  $z_1, \dots, z_{10} \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $z_{10} \neq 0$ .

Let  $y = 2 \prod_{k=1}^{10} (3z_k + 1)$ . By Theorem 1.1, when  $z_1, \dots, z_{10} \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & z_1, \dots, z_{10} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \iff & y + \sum_{k=1}^{10} \frac{z_k}{y^k} \in \mathbb{Q} \\ \iff & \exists t \in \mathbb{Z} \left[ t \neq 0 \wedge t \left( y + \sum_{k=1}^{10} \frac{z_k}{y^k} \right) \in \mathbb{Z} \right] \\ \iff & \exists t \in \mathbb{Z} \left[ t \neq 0 \wedge ty^{10} \mid \sum_{k=1}^{10} z_k y^{10-k} \wedge t \left( y + \sum_{k=1}^{10} \frac{z_k}{y^k} \right) \in \mathbb{Z} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\square = \{\alpha^2 : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]\}$ . Suppose that  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  and  $ty^{10} \mid \sum_{k=1}^{10} z_k y^{10-k}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only there are  $v_0, x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  with  $v_0 \neq 0$  such that

$$4(2v_0(2(2t+1)^2 + 1) - y_0)^2 - 3y_0^2 - 1 = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$A_1 := 9(3y_0^2(2t+1 - x_0y_0)^2 + 1) \in \square, \quad (3.6)$$

and  $t(y + \sum_{k=1}^{10} z_k/y^k) \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if there are  $v_1, x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  with  $v_1 \neq 0$  such that

$$4(2v_1(2(2t(y^{11} + z_1y^9 + \dots + z_{10}y^0) + y^{10})^2 + y^{20}) - y_1y^{20})^2 = (3y_1^2 + 1)y^{40} \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$A_2 := 3y_1^2(2t(y^{11} + z_1y^9 + \dots + z_{10}y^0) + y^{10} - x_1y_1y^{10})^2 + y^{20} \in \square. \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover, when  $z_1, \dots, z_{10}, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can require further that  $v_0, x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and also that  $v_1, x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  if  $t(y + \sum_{k=1}^{10} z_k/y^k) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that  $A_1 \neq A_2$  since  $A_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $A_2 \equiv y^{20} \equiv 2^{20} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

Let  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the above,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  if and only if there are

$$z_1, \dots, z_{10}, t, v_0, x_0, y_0, v_1, x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$

with  $z_{10}tv_0v_1 \neq 0$  such that (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7) all hold and also

$$A_1 \in \square \wedge A_2 \in \square \wedge ty^{10} \mid \sum_{k=1}^{10} z_k y^{10-k}.$$

Note that when  $a \in A$  we can actually find

$$z_1, \dots, z_{10}, t, v_0, x_0, y_0, v_1, x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

to meet the requirement. When  $z_{10}tv_0v_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , there are  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $z_{10}tv_0v_1 = (2r+1)(3s+1)$ . If the last equality holds for some  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , then we obviously have  $z_{10}tv_0v_1 \neq 0$ . Thus, in view of Lemma 3.1,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  if and only if there are

$$z_1, \dots, z_{10}, m, r, s, t, v_0, x_0, y_0, v_1, x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$

such that (3.4), (3.5), (3.7), and the equalities

$$z_{10}tv_0v_1 = (2r+1)(3s+1)$$

and

$$f\left(A_1, A_2, ty^{10}, \sum_{k=1}^{10} z_k y^{10-k}, m\right) = 0$$

all hold. Therefore, by using Lemma 3.2 we see that  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  if and only if

$$F(a, z_1, \dots, z_{10}, m, r, s, t, v_0, x_0, y_0, v_1, x_1, y_1) = 0$$

for some

$$z_1, \dots, z_{10}, m, r, s, t, v_0, x_0, y_0, v_1, x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[i],$$

where  $F$  is a suitable polynomial with integer coefficients in 21 variables.

As  $\mathcal{A}$  is not recursive, by the conclusion in the last paragraph we do have the desired result. This completes our proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are indebted to the anonymous referee for helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Alpöge, M. Bhargava, W. Ho and A. Shnidman, *Rank stability in quadratic extensions and Hilbert's tenth problem for the ring of integers of a number field*, arXiv:2501.18774, 2025.
- [2] N. Cutland, *Computability*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- [3] M. Davis, H. Putnam and J. Robinson, *The decision problem for exponential diophantine equations*, Ann. of Math. **74**(1961), 425–436.

- [4] J. Denef, *Hilbert's Tenth Problem for quadratic rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1975), 214–220.
- [5] J. Denef, *Diophantine sets of algebraic integers, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **257** (1980), 227–236, 1980.
- [6] P. Koymans and C. Pagano, Hilbert's tenth problem via additive combinatorics, arXiv:2412.01768, 2024.
- [7] Y. Matiyasevich, *Enumerable sets are diophantine*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **191** (1970), 279–282; English translation with addendum, Soviet Math. Doklady **11** (1970), 354–357.
- [8] Y. Matiyasevich, Hilbert's Tenth Problem, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1993. Translation from Russian original, Nauka Publisher, Moscow.
- [9] Y. Matiyasevich and J. Robinson, *Reduction of an arbitrary diophantine equation to one in 13 unknowns*, Acta Arith. **27** (1975), 521–553.
- [10] Y. Matiyasevich and Z.-W. Sun, *On Diophantine equations over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  with 52 unknowns*, in: Mathematical Logic, Computability, Complexity and Randomness (edited by J. Brendle et al.), pp. 153–158, World Sci., 2026. See also arXiv:2002.12136.
- [11] C. L. Siegel, *Zur Theorie der quadratischen Formen*, Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen II. Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse, **3** (1934), 21–46.
- [12] A. Shlapentokh, Hilbert's Tenth Problem: Diophantine Classes and Extensions to Global Fields, New Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 7, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [13] T. Skolem, *Über die Nicht-charakterisierbarkeit der Zahlenreihe mittels endlich oder abzählbar unendlich vieler Aussagen mit ausschliesslich Zahlenvariablen*, Fund. Math. **23** (1934), 150–161.
- [14] Z.-W. Sun, *Further results on Hilbert's tenth problem*, Sci. China Math. **64** (2021), 281–306.
- [15] Z.-W. Sun, Fibonacci Numbers and Hilbert's Tenth Problem (in Chinese), Harbin Institute of Technology Press, Harbin, 2024.
- [16] S. P. Tung, *On weak number theories*, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **11** (1985), 203–232.

(YURI MATIYASEVICH) ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, FONTANKA 27, 191023, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* yumat@pdmi.ras.ru

(ZHI-WEI SUN, CORRESPONDING AUTHOR) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* zwsun@nju.edu.cn