

SUMS OF MINIMA AND MAXIMA

ZHI-WEI SUN

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University
Nanjing 210093, the People's Republic of China
E-mail: zwsun@nju.edu.cn

ABSTRACT. Let h_1, \dots, h_n be positive integers. We study new sums

$$m(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \sum_{r_1=0}^{h_1-1} \cdots \sum_{r_n=0}^{h_n-1} \min \left\{ \frac{r_1}{h_1}, \dots, \frac{r_n}{h_n} \right\}$$

and

$$M(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \sum_{r_1=0}^{h_1-1} \cdots \sum_{r_n=0}^{h_n-1} \max \left\{ \frac{r_1}{h_1}, \dots, \frac{r_n}{h_n} \right\},$$

the first of which times $h_1 \cdots h_n$ is the number of lattice points in a pyramid of dimension $n + 1$. We show that

$$\frac{m(h_1, \dots, h_n)}{(h_1 - 1) \cdots (h_n - 1)} = 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{|I|} \frac{m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I})}{\prod_{i \in I} (h_i - 1)}$$

if $h_1, \dots, h_n > 1$, and that

$$\frac{M(h_1, \dots, h_n) - h_1 \cdots h_n + 1}{(h_1 + 1) \cdots (h_n + 1)} = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{|I|} \frac{M(\{h_i\}_{i \in I})}{\prod_{i \in I} (h_i + 1)}.$$

The sums $m(h_1, h_2)$ and $M(h_1, h_2)$ are closely connected with the reciprocity law for Dedekind sums. The values of $m(h_1, h_2, h_3)$, $M(h_1, h_2, h_3)$ and $m(h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4) + M(h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4)$ are determined explicitly in the paper.

Key words and phrases. Minimum, maximum, greatest integer function, Dedekind sum.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A19; Secondary 11A25, 11F20, 11Y70, 52C07.

Supported by the Teaching and Research Award Program for Outstanding Young Teachers in Higher Education Institutions of MOE, and the National Natural Science Foundation of the People's Republic of China.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let x be any real number. By $\{x\}$ we mean the fractional part of x . Also we set $[x] = x - \{x\}$ and

$$(1.1) \quad ((x)) = \begin{cases} \{x\} - 1/2 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let h and k be positive integers. In 1892 R. Dedekind introduced the classical Dedekind sum

$$(1.2) \quad s(h, k) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \left(\left(\frac{r}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{hr}{k} \right) \right).$$

This sum arose naturally in the study of the functional equation of Dedekind's eta function

$$\eta(\tau) = e^{\pi i \tau / 12} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n \tau})$$

where τ is in the upper half plane. When h and k are coprime, Dedekind was able to express $s(h, k) + s(k, h)$ as an explicit rational function in h and k ; the result is now known as the reciprocity law for Dedekind sums. (Cf. H. Rademacher and E. Grosswald [RG].) In 1931 Rademacher [R] proved Dedekind's reciprocity law by associating it with the sums

$$A(h, k) = \frac{1}{hk} \sum_{a=0}^{hk-1} \left[\frac{a}{h} \right] \left[\frac{a}{k} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad B(h, k) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{r}{k} \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] + \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} \frac{r}{h} \left[\frac{kr}{h} \right],$$

which have geometric interpretations.

For a finite sequence $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of positive integers, we define

$$(1.3) \quad A(h_1, \dots, h_n) = A(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \frac{1}{H} \sum_{a=0}^{H-1} \left[\frac{h_1 a}{H} \right] \cdots \left[\frac{h_n a}{H} \right] \quad \text{where } H = h_1 \cdots h_n$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad B(h_1, \dots, h_n) = B(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{r=0}^{h_i-1} \frac{r}{h_i} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left[\frac{h_j r}{h_i} \right].$$

In addition we let $A(\emptyset) = 1$ and $B(\emptyset) = 0$. Inspired by Rademacher's work, we are led to study these two kinds of sums.

For a positive integer h we put

$$(1.5) \quad D_h = \{0 \leq x < 1 : hx \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \left\{ \frac{r}{h} : r = 0, 1, \dots, h-1 \right\}.$$

If k is also a positive integer, then

$$D_h \cap D_k = \{0 \leq x < 1 : hx, kx \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{0 \leq x < 1 : (h, k)x \in \mathbb{Z}\} = D_{(h, k)}$$

because the greatest common divisor (h, k) can be written in the form $hu + kv$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a vector $\vec{x} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ in the Euclid space \mathbb{R}^n , we let

$$(1.6) \quad \min \vec{x} = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \max \vec{x} = \max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}.$$

For a finite sequence $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of positive integers, we define

$$(1.7) \quad m(h_1, \dots, h_n) = m(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in D_{h_1} \times \dots \times D_{h_n}} \min \vec{x}$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad M(h_1, \dots, h_n) = M(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in D_{h_1} \times \dots \times D_{h_n}} \max \vec{x}.$$

For convenience, we set $m(\emptyset) = 1$ and $M(\emptyset) = 0$ additionally.

The sums $m(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ and $M(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ have not been studied before. The only known related result is the following one: If $0 \leq x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{h-1} < 1$ then

$$\sum_{r_1=0}^{h-1} \cdots \sum_{r_n=0}^{h-1} \max\{x_{r_1}, \dots, x_{r_n}\} = \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} x_r ((r+1)^n - r^n).$$

This was first obtained K. Nagasaka and J. S. Shiue [NS], and then reproved by T. W. Leung [L] in 1994. The identity is almost apparent since $|\{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}^n : \max\{r_1, \dots, r_n\} = r\}| = (r+1)^n - r^n$.

In this paper we mainly investigate the sums $m(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ and $M(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ and their relations to $A(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ and $B(h_1, \dots, h_n)$. These four sums are different from higher dimensional Dedekind sums introduced by D. Zagier [Z]. Throughout the paper an empty product takes the value 1 while an empty sum vanishes. When positive integers h_1, \dots, h_n are given, for $I \subseteq [1, n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ we let $\bar{I} = [1, n] \setminus I$.

Now we introduce our results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let h_1, \dots, h_n be positive integers. Let P denote the integral point $(0, \dots, 0, H)$ in the Euclid space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} where $H = h_1 \cdots h_n$. For $I \subseteq [1, n]$ let $P_I = (x_1^{(I)}, \dots, x_n^{(I)}, 0)$ where $x_i^{(I)} = h_i$ or 0 according to whether $i \in I$ or not. Denote by $N(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ the number of lattice points $(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})$ with $0 < x_{n+1} < H$ in the pyramid with vertices P and P_I ($I \subseteq [1, n]$). Then*

$$(1.9) \quad m(h_1, \dots, h_n) = A(h_1, \dots, h_n) = N(h_1, \dots, h_n)/H.$$

How can we determine the values of $m(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ and $M(h_1, \dots, h_n)$? In the case $n = 2$ we do this in Section 3 and relate it to the reciprocity law for Dedekind sums. For the case $n = 3$, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let h, k, l be positive integers. Then*

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} m(h, k, l) = & \frac{hkl}{4} - \frac{hk + hl + kl}{6} + \frac{h + k + l - 1}{8} \\ & + \frac{h + k - 2hk}{24l} + \frac{h + l - 2hl}{24k} + \frac{k + l - 2kl}{24h} \\ & + \frac{(h-1)(k, l)^2}{24kl} + \frac{(k-1)(h, l)^2}{24hl} + \frac{(l-1)(h, k)^2}{24hk} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} M(h, k, l) = & \frac{3}{4}hkl - \frac{hk + hl + kl}{6} - \frac{h + k + l + 1}{8} \\ & + \frac{h + k + 2hk}{24l} + \frac{h + l + 2hl}{24k} + \frac{k + l + 2kl}{24h} \\ & - \frac{(h+1)(k, l)^2}{24kl} - \frac{(k+1)(h, l)^2}{24hl} - \frac{(l+1)(h, k)^2}{24hk}. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of those $m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I})$ or $M(\{h_i\}_{i \in I})$ with $I \subset [1, n]$, we can determine $m(h_1, \dots, h_n) + M(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ in general, and $m(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ and $M(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ in the case $2 \nmid n$. Namely we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let h_1, \dots, h_n be positive integers. Then*

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & m(h_1, \dots, h_n) + M(h_1, \dots, h_n) \\ = & h_1 \cdots h_n - \sum_{I \subset [1, n]} m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \\ = & \prod_{i=1}^n (h_i - 1) - \sum_{I \subset [1, n]} (-1)^{n-|I|} M(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} m(h_1, \dots, h_n) = & \sum_{I \subset [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} (h_j - 1) \\ = & \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|-1} M(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} h_j \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} M(h_1, \dots, h_n) = & h_1 \cdots h_n - 1 + \sum_{I \subset [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} M(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} (h_j + 1) \\ = & \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|-1} m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} h_j. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.1. Let h_1, \dots, h_n be positive integers. If $I = \{1 \leq i \leq n : h_i = 1\} \neq \emptyset$, then $m(h_1, \dots, h_n) = 0$ and $M(h_1, \dots, h_n) = M(\{h_j\}_{j \in I})$. In the case $h_1 = \dots = h_n = h$, we have

$$m(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n) = A(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \frac{1}{h^n} \sum_{a=0}^{h^n-1} \left[\frac{a}{h^{n-1}} \right]^n = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{q=0}^{h-1} q^n = \frac{B_{n+1}(h) - B_{n+1}(0)}{h(n+1)}$$

where $B_{n+1}(x)$ is the Bernoulli polynomial of degree $n+1$.

The following is a remarkable consequence of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Corollary 1.4. *Let h, k, l, n be positive integers. Then $m(h, k, l, n) + M(h, k, l, n)$ equals*

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & hkl n - \frac{hkl + hkn + hln + kln}{4} - \frac{h + k + l + n}{8} \\ & + \frac{hk + hl + kl}{12n} + \frac{hk + hn + kn}{12l} + \frac{hl + hn + ln}{12k} + \frac{kl + kn + ln}{12h} \\ & - \frac{h+k}{24} \cdot \frac{(l, n)^2}{ln} - \frac{h+l}{24} \cdot \frac{(k, n)^2}{kn} - \frac{h+n}{24} \cdot \frac{(k, l)^2}{kl} \\ & - \frac{k+l}{24} \cdot \frac{(h, n)^2}{hn} - \frac{k+n}{24} \cdot \frac{(h, l)^2}{hl} - \frac{l+n}{24} \cdot \frac{(h, k)^2}{hk}. \end{aligned}$$

For the average $A(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ we have the following result.

Theorem 1.5. *Let h_1, \dots, h_n be positive integers. Then*

$$(1.16) \quad A(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n (h_i - 1) + \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{r=0}^{(h_i)_{i \in I} - 1} \frac{r}{(h_i)_{i \in I}} \prod_{j \in I} \left[\frac{h_j r}{(h_i)_{i \in I}} \right]$$

where $(h_i)_{i \in I}$ denotes the greatest common divisor of those h_i with $i \in I$.

Remark 1.2. Let h, k, l be positive integers. By Theorem 1.5 we immediately have

$$(1.17) \quad A(h, k) + B(h, k) = (h-1)(k-1) + \frac{(h, k) - 1}{2}$$

and

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & A(h, k, l) + B(h, k, l) - (h-1)(k-1)(l-1) + \frac{(h, k, l) - 1}{2} \\ & = \sum_{r=0}^{(h, k) - 1} \frac{r}{(h, k)} \left[\frac{lr}{(h, k)} \right] + \sum_{r=0}^{(h, l) - 1} \frac{r}{(h, l)} \left[\frac{kr}{(h, l)} \right] + \sum_{r=0}^{(k, l) - 1} \frac{r}{(k, l)} \left[\frac{hr}{(k, l)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.6. *Let h_1, \dots, h_n be pairwise coprime positive integers. Then*

$$(1.19) \quad A(h_1, \dots, h_n) + B(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n (h_i - 1),$$

$$(1.20) \quad m(h_1, \dots, h_n) = - \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} B(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} (h_j - 1)$$

and

$$(1.21) \quad M(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} B(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}).$$

By (1.9), (1.10) and Theorem 1.6 (or (1.18)), we immediately have

Corollary 1.7. *Let h, k, l be positive integers with $(h, k) = (h, l) = (k, l) = 1$. Then*

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{aligned} B(h, k, l) &= \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} \frac{r}{h} \left[\frac{kr}{h} \right] \left[\frac{lr}{h} \right] + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{r}{k} \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right] \left[\frac{lr}{k} \right] + \sum_{r=0}^{l-1} \frac{r}{l} \left[\frac{hr}{l} \right] \left[\frac{kr}{l} \right] \\ &= \frac{3}{4}hkl - \frac{5}{6}(hk + hl + kl) + \frac{7}{8}(h + k + l - 1) \\ &\quad + \frac{2hk - h - k}{24l} + \frac{2hl - h - l}{24k} + \frac{2kl - k - l}{24h} - \frac{h^2 + k^2 + l^2 - h - k - l}{24hkl}. \end{aligned}$$

In the next section we will investigate general relations among the symmetric sums

$$m(h_1, \dots, h_n), M(h_1, \dots, h_n), A(h_1, \dots, h_n) \text{ and } B(h_1, \dots, h_n).$$

In Section 3 we are going to compute their values in the cases $n = 2, 3$ and prove Corollary 1.4. We conjecture that if h_1, \dots, h_n are pairwise coprime then the four sums are rational functions in h_1, \dots, h_n .

2. RELATIONS AMONG $m(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n)$, $M(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n)$, $A(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ AND $B(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n)$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $h \in \{1, \dots, H - 1\}$, the point Q with coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_n, H - h)$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} belongs to the pyramid if and only if there are $l_1 \in (0, h_1], \dots, l_n \in (0, h_n]$ such that

$$\frac{x_1}{l_1} = \dots = \frac{x_n}{l_n} = \frac{h}{H}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} N(h_1, \dots, h_n) &= \sum_{0 < h < H} \left| \left\{ \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^n : 0 < x_i \leq \frac{h}{H} h_i \text{ for } i \in [1, n] \right\} \right| \\ &= \sum_{0 < h < H} \left[\frac{h_1 h}{H} \right] \cdots \left[\frac{h_n h}{H} \right] = HA(h_1, \dots, h_n). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} N(h_1, \dots, h_n) &= \sum_{x_1=1}^{h_1} \cdots \sum_{x_n=1}^{h_n} \left| \left\{ h \in \mathbb{Z} : H > h \geq \frac{H}{h_i} x_i \text{ for } i \in [1, n] \right\} \right| \\ &= \sum_{r_1=0}^{h_1-1} \cdots \sum_{r_n=0}^{h_n-1} \left| \left\{ h \in \mathbb{Z} : H > h \geq H - \frac{H}{h_i} r_i \text{ for } i \in [1, n] \right\} \right| \\ &= \sum_{r_1=0}^{h_1-1} \cdots \sum_{r_n=0}^{h_n-1} \left| \left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 < a \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{H}{h_i} r_i \right\} \right| = Hm(h_1, \dots, h_n). \end{aligned}$$

So (1.9) holds. \square

The following lemma is well known, it can be verified directly.

Lemma 2.1. *Let S be a finite set, and f, g be functions from the power set of S to an additive abelian group. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad f(I) = \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|J|} g(J) \quad \text{for all } I \subseteq S$$

if and only if

$$(2.2) \quad g(I) = \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|J|} f(J) \quad \text{for all } I \subseteq S.$$

Remark 2.1. If $h_1, \dots, h_n > 1$ are integers, then by (1.13) we have

$$(2.3) \quad f(I) = \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|J|} f(J) \quad \text{for all } I \subseteq [1, n]$$

where $f(I) = m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) / \prod_{i \in I} (h_i - 1)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let h_1, \dots, h_n be positive integers and c_1, \dots, c_n complex numbers. Then*

$$\frac{1}{H} \sum_{a=0}^{H-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(x_i + c_i - \left[\frac{h_i a}{H} \right] \right) = \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} \frac{\prod_{j \in \bar{I}} x_j}{h_I} \sum_{a=0}^{h_I-1} \prod_{i \in I} \left(c_i - \left[\frac{h_i a}{h_I} \right] \right)$$

where $H = h_1 \cdots h_n$ and $h_I = \prod_{i \in I} h_i$.

Proof. For $I \subseteq [1, n]$, clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{a=0}^{H-1} \prod_{i \in I} \left(c_i - \left\lfloor \frac{h_i a}{H} \right\rfloor \right) &= \frac{1}{h_I h_{\bar{I}}} \sum_{a=0}^{h_I h_{\bar{I}}-1} \prod_{i \in I} \left(c_i - \left\lfloor \frac{[a/h_{\bar{I}}]}{h_I/h_i} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h_I} \sum_{q=0}^{h_I-1} \prod_{i \in I} \left(c_i - \left\lfloor \frac{h_i q}{h_I} \right\rfloor \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{a=0}^{H-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(x_i + c_i - \left\lfloor \frac{h_i a}{H} \right\rfloor \right) &= \frac{1}{H} \sum_{a=0}^{H-1} \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} \prod_{i \in I} \left(c_i - \left\lfloor \frac{h_i a}{H} \right\rfloor \right) \cdot \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} x_j \\ &= \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} \frac{\prod_{j \in \bar{I}} x_j}{H} \sum_{a=0}^{H-1} \prod_{i \in I} \left(c_i - \left\lfloor \frac{h_i a}{H} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} \frac{\prod_{j \in \bar{I}} x_j}{h_I} \sum_{a=0}^{h_I-1} \prod_{i \in I} \left(c_i - \left\lfloor \frac{h_i a}{h_I} \right\rfloor \right). \end{aligned}$$

We are done. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $H = h_1 \cdots h_n$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} Hm(h_1, \dots, h_n) &= \sum_{r_1=0}^{h_1-1} \cdots \sum_{r_n=0}^{h_n-1} \left| \left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 \leq a < \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{Hr_i}{h_i} \right\} \right| \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^{H-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left| \left\{ r_i \in \mathbb{Z} : \frac{a}{H} < \frac{r_i}{h_i} < 1 \right\} \right| \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^{H-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(h_i - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{h_i a}{H} \right\rfloor \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} H - M(h_1, \dots, h_n) &= \sum_{r_1=0}^{h_1-1} \cdots \sum_{r_n=0}^{h_n-1} \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{h_i - r_i}{h_i} \\ &= \frac{1}{H} \sum_{x_1=1}^{h_1} \cdots \sum_{x_n=1}^{h_n} \left| \left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 \leq a < \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{Hx_i}{h_i} \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{H} \sum_{a=0}^{H-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left| \left\{ x_i \in \mathbb{Z} : \frac{a}{H} < \frac{x_i}{h_i} \leq 1 \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{H} \sum_{a=0}^{H-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(h_i - \left\lfloor \frac{h_i a}{H} \right\rfloor \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$m(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} A(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} (h_j - 1)$$

and

$$H - M(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} A(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} h_j = \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}).$$

(Note that $h_i - [h_i a/H] = 1 + (h_i - 1 - [h_i a/H])$.)

Clearly $\prod_{i \in \emptyset} h_i - M(\emptyset) = 1 = \sum_{I \subseteq \emptyset} m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I})$. Applying Lemma 2.1 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n m(h_1, \dots, h_n) &= \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} \left(\prod_{i \in I} h_i - M(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - h_i) - \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} M(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}). \end{aligned}$$

So (1.12) holds. Since $m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) = A(\{h_i\}_{i \in I})$ for all $I \subseteq [1, n]$, the first equality in (1.13) follows from the above. As

$$1 - \frac{M(h_1, \dots, h_n)}{H} = \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} \frac{m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I})}{\prod_{i \in I} h_i},$$

by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m(h_1, \dots, h_n)}{H} &= \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} \left(1 - \frac{M(\{h_i\}_{i \in I})}{\prod_{i \in I} h_i} \right) \\ &= (1 - 1)^n - \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} \frac{M(\{h_i\}_{i \in I})}{\prod_{i \in I} h_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (1.13) is valid.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &M(h_1, \dots, h_n) \\ &= H - \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} h_j = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|-1} m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} h_j \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|-1} \left(\prod_{i \in I} (h_i - 1) - \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|I|-|J|} M(\{h_j\}_{j \in J}) \right) \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} h_j \\ &= -H \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{h_i - 1}{h_i} + \sum_{J \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|J|} M(\{h_j\}_{j \in J}) \sum_{J \subseteq I \subseteq [1, n]} \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} h_j \\ &= H - H \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} \prod_{i \in I} \left(\frac{1}{h_i} - 1 \right) + \sum_{J \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|J|} M(\{h_j\}_{j \in J}) \sum_{I' \subseteq \bar{J}} \prod_{j \in I'} h_j \\ &= H - 1 + \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|} M(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} (h_j + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1.14). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $\vec{x} \in D = D_{h_1} \times \cdots \times D_{h_n}$ and $J(\vec{x}) = \{1 \leq j \leq n : x_j = \max \vec{x}\}$. If $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq J(\vec{x})$, then $\max \vec{x} \in \bigcap_{i \in I} D_{h_i} = D_{(h_i)_{i \in I}}$. Note that

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq J(\vec{x})} (-1)^{|I|-1} = (-1)^{|\emptyset|} - \sum_{I \subseteq J(\vec{x})} (-1)^{|I|} = 1 - (1-1)^{|J(\vec{x})|} = 1.$$

Let $D^* = \{\vec{x} \in D : x_1 \cdots x_n \neq 0\}$. For any nonempty $I \subseteq [1, n]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\vec{x} \in D^* \\ J(\vec{x}) \supseteq I}} \max \vec{x} &= \sum_{x \in D_{(h_i)_{i \in I}}} \sum_{x_j \in D_{h_j} \cap (0, x] \text{ for } j \in \bar{I}} x \\ &= \sum_{x \in D_{(h_i)_{i \in I}}} x \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} |\{r \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 < r \leq h_j x\}| = \sum_{x \in D_{(h_i)_{i \in I}}} x \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} [h_j x]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\vec{x} \in D^*} \max \vec{x} &= \sum_{\vec{x} \in D^*} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq J(\vec{x})} (-1)^{|I|-1} \max \vec{x} \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|-1} \sum_{\substack{\vec{x} \in D^* \\ J(\vec{x}) \supseteq I}} \max \vec{x} \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [1, n]} (-1)^{|I|-1} \sum_{r=0}^{(h_i)_{i \in I}-1} \frac{r}{(h_i)_{i \in I}} \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} \left[\frac{h_j r}{(h_i)_{i \in I}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\vec{x} \in D^*} \max \vec{x} &= \sum_{0 < s_1 < h_1} \cdots \sum_{0 < s_n < h_n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{s_i}{h_i} \\ &= \sum_{0 < r_1 < h_1} \cdots \sum_{0 < r_n < h_n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{r_i}{h_i} \right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (h_i - 1) - \sum_{r_1=0}^{h_1-1} \cdots \sum_{r_n=0}^{h_n-1} \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{r_i}{h_i}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have (1.16) with the help of (1.9). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Since $(h_i)_{i \in I} = 1$ for any $I \subseteq [1, n]$ with $|I| > 1$, (1.19) follows directly from (1.16). By (1.9) and (1.19), for any $I \subseteq [1, n]$ we have $B(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) = \prod_{i \in I} (h_i - 1) - m(\{h_i\}_{i \in I})$. Using this we can easily deduce (1.20) and (1.21) from (1.13) and (1.12). \square

For $\vec{x} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $x_{i_1} \leq x_{i_2} \leq \dots \leq x_{i_n}$ where $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\} = [1, n]$, then we let

$$(2.4) \quad \min_t \vec{x} = x_{i_t} \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite sequence of positive integers. For $t \in [1, n]$ we define

$$(2.5) \quad m_t(h_1, \dots, h_n) = m_t(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in D_{h_1} \times \dots \times D_{h_n}} \min_t \vec{x}.$$

Clearly, $m(h_1, \dots, h_n) = m_1(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ and $M(h_1, \dots, h_n) = m_n(h_1, \dots, h_n)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^n m_t(h_1, \dots, h_n) &= \sum_{r_1=0}^{h_1-1} \dots \sum_{r_n=0}^{h_n-1} \left(\frac{r_1}{h_1} + \dots + \frac{r_n}{h_n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{r_1=0}^{h_1-1} \dots \sum_{r_n=0}^{h_n-1} \frac{r_k}{h_k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{r_k=0}^{h_k-1} \frac{r_k}{h_k} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n h_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{h_k-1}{2} \cdot \frac{h_1 \dots h_n}{h_k}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{t=1}^n m_t(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \frac{h_1 \dots h_n}{2} \left(n - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{h_k} \right).$$

If h_1, \dots, h_n are pairwise coprime, then we can show that

$$(2.7) \quad m_t(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} B(\{h_i\}_{i \in I}) \sum_{s=0}^{n-t} \binom{|I|-1}{s} (-1)^s \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq \bar{I} \\ |J|=n-t-s}} \prod_{j \in J} (h_j - 1).$$

3. COMPUTATIONS OF SOME EXPLICIT VALUES

Let h be a positive integer. Clearly

$$(3.1) \quad m(h) = M(h) = A(h) = B(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} \frac{r}{h} = \frac{h-1}{2}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let h and k be positive integers. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad m(h, k) = A(h, k) = \frac{hk}{3} - \frac{h+k-1}{4} - \frac{h^2+k^2-(h, k)^2}{12hk},$$

$$(3.3) \quad M(h, k) = \frac{2}{3}hk - \frac{h+k+1}{4} + \frac{h^2+k^2-(h, k)^2}{12hk}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad B(h, k) = \frac{2}{3}hk - \frac{3(h+k) - 1}{4} + \frac{(h, k)}{2} + \frac{h^2 + k^2 - (h, k)^2}{12hk}.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} F(h, k) &= \sum_{a=0}^{hk-1} \frac{a}{h} \left\{ \frac{a}{k} \right\} = \sum_{q=0}^{h-1} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{kq+r}{h} \left\{ \frac{kq+r}{k} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{r}{k} \sum_{q=0}^{h-1} \frac{kq+r}{h} = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{r}{k} \left(k \frac{h-1}{2} + r \right) \\ &= \frac{h-1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} r + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} r^2 = \frac{h-1}{2} \cdot \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \frac{(k-1)(2k-1)}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$F(k, h) = \frac{h(h-1)(k-1)}{4} + \frac{(h-1)(2h-1)}{6}.$$

Let $d = (h, k)$, $h' = h/d$ and $k' = k/d$. Obviously $(h', k') = 1$. When v runs from 0 to $k' - 1$, $h'v + r$ runs over a complete system of residues modulo k' where r is an arbitrary integer. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} G(h, k) &= \sum_{a=0}^{hk-1} \left\{ \frac{a}{h} \right\} \left\{ \frac{a}{k} \right\} = \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} \frac{r}{h} \sum_{q=0}^{k-1} \left\{ \frac{hq+r}{k} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} \frac{r}{h} \sum_{u=0}^{d-1} \sum_{v=0}^{k'-1} \left\{ \frac{h(k'u+v)+r}{k} \right\} = \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} \frac{r}{h'} \sum_{v=0}^{k'-1} \left\{ \frac{h'v + [r/d] + \{r/d\}}{k'} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} \frac{r}{h'} \sum_{s=0}^{k'-1} \frac{s + \{r/d\}}{k'} = \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} \frac{r}{h'} \left(\frac{k'-1}{2} + \left\{ \frac{r}{d} \right\} \right) = \frac{h-1}{2} \cdot \frac{k-d}{2} + F(h', d) \\ &= \frac{h-1}{2} \cdot \frac{k-d}{2} + \frac{h-d}{2} \cdot \frac{d-1}{2} + \frac{(d-1)(2d-1)}{6} = \frac{(h-1)(k-1)}{4} + \frac{d^2-1}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} hkA(h, k) &= \sum_{a=0}^{hk-1} \left(\frac{a}{h} - \left\{ \frac{a}{h} \right\} \right) \left(\frac{a}{k} - \left\{ \frac{a}{k} \right\} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{hk} \sum_{a=0}^{hk-1} a^2 - F(h, k) - F(k, h) + G(h, k). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the above, we can compute $m(h, k) = A(h, k)$ and verify (3.2). As

$$M(h, k) + m(h, k) = \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{r}{h} + \frac{s}{k} \right) = hk - \frac{h+k}{2},$$

(3.3) follows from (3.2). Combining (3.2) with (1.17), we then obtain (3.4). \square

Remark 3.1. Let h, k be positive integers, and $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$. That $G(h, k) = (h-1)(k-1)/4 + ((h, k)^2 - 1)/12$ can be extended as follows:

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{a=0}^{hk-1} \left\{ \frac{\alpha + a}{h} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\beta + a}{k} \right\} = \left(\alpha + \frac{h-1}{2} \right) \left(\beta + \frac{k-1}{2} \right) + \frac{(h, k)^2 - 1}{12}.$$

Dedekind's reciprocity law is the following consequence in the case $(h, k) = 1$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let h and k be positive integers. Then*

$$(3.6) \quad s(h, k) + s(k, h) = \frac{h}{12k} + \frac{k}{12h} + \frac{(h, k)^2}{12hk} - \frac{1}{4}.$$

Proof. Write $d = (h, k)$ and $k' = k/d$. For $s'(h, k) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{r}{k} \left[\frac{hr}{k} \right]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(h, k) + s'(h, k) &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{r}{k} \left(\frac{hr}{k} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ k|hr}}^{k-1} \frac{r}{k} \\ &= \frac{h}{k^2} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} r^2 - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} r + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ k'|r}}^{k-1} r = \frac{k-1}{12k} (4hk - 2h - 3k) + \frac{d-1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$s(k, h) + s'(k, h) = \frac{h-1}{12h} (4hk - 2k - 3h) + \frac{d-1}{4}.$$

Since

$$s'(h, k) + s'(k, h) = B(h, k) = \frac{2}{3}hk - \frac{3(h+k) - 1}{4} + \frac{d}{2} + \frac{h^2 + k^2 - d^2}{12hk},$$

we can obtain (3.6) from the above. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By (1.13) we have

$$m(h, k, l) = m(\emptyset)(h-1)(k-1)(l-1) + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 - m(h, k, l)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= -m(h)(k-1)(l-1) + m(k, l)(h-1), \\ d_2 &= -m(k)(h-1)(l-1) + m(h, l)(k-1), \\ d_3 &= -m(l)(h-1)(k-1) + m(h, k)(l-1). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Theorem 3.1, d_1 coincides with

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{(h-1)(k-1)(l-1)}{2} + (h-1) \left(\frac{(k-1)(l-1)}{4} + \frac{(k^2-1)(l^2-1) + (k, l)^2 - 1}{12kl} \right) \\ &= (h-1)(k-1)(l-1) \left(\frac{(k+1)(l+1)}{12kl} - \frac{1}{4} \right) + (h-1) \frac{(k, l)^2 - 1}{12kl} \\ &= (h-1)(k-1)(l-1) \left(\frac{k+l+1}{12kl} - \frac{1}{6} \right) + (h-1) \frac{(k, l)^2 - 1}{12kl}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$d_2 = (h-1)(k-1)(l-1) \left(\frac{h+l+1}{12hl} - \frac{1}{6} \right) + (k-1) \frac{(h, l)^2 - 1}{12hl}$$

and

$$d_3 = (h-1)(k-1)(l-1) \left(\frac{h+k+1}{12hk} - \frac{1}{6} \right) + (l-1) \frac{(h, k)^2 - 1}{12hk}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 2m(h, k, l) &= (h-1)(k-1)(l-1) + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 \\ &= (h-1)(k-1)(l-1) \left(\frac{hk+hl+kl}{6hkl} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{h+k+l}{12hkl} \right) \\ &\quad + (h-1) \frac{(k, l)^2 - 1}{12kl} + (k-1) \frac{(h, l)^2 - 1}{12hl} + (l-1) \frac{(h, k)^2 - 1}{12hk} \end{aligned}$$

and hence (1.10) follows.

By (1.12), (3.1) and (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & m(h, k, l) + M(h, k, l) \\ &= hkl - m(\emptyset) - m(h) - m(k) - m(l) - m(h, k) - m(h, l) - m(k, l) \\ &= hkl - 1 - \frac{h-1}{2} - \frac{k-1}{2} - \frac{l-1}{2} - \frac{hk+hl+kl}{3} + \frac{2h+2k+2l-3}{4} \\ &\quad + \frac{lh^2 + lk^2 - l(h, k)^2 + kh^2 + kl^2 - k(h, l)^2 + hk^2 + hl^2 - h(k, l)^2}{12hkl} \\ &= hkl - \frac{hk+hl+kl}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{h+k}{12l} + \frac{h+l}{12k} + \frac{k+l}{12h} - \frac{(h, k)^2}{12hk} - \frac{(h, l)^2}{12hl} - \frac{(k, l)^2}{12kl}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (1.10) yields (1.11). \square

Remark 3.2. Let h, k, l be positive integers. Recall that

$$m_2(h, k, l) + m(h, k, l) + M(h, k, l) = \sum_{t=1}^3 m_t(h, k, l) = \frac{hkl}{2} \left(3 - \frac{1}{h} - \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{l} \right).$$

Using the explicit formula for $m(h, k, l) + M(h, k, l)$, we find that $m_2(h, k, l)$ equals

$$\frac{hkl}{2} - \frac{hk + hl + kl}{6} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{h+k}{12l} - \frac{h+l}{12k} - \frac{k+l}{12h} + \frac{(h,k)^2}{12hk} + \frac{(h,l)^2}{12hl} + \frac{(k,l)^2}{12kl}.$$

Proof of Corollary 1.4. By (1.12),

$$\begin{aligned} & hkl n - m(h, k, l, n) - M(h, k, l, n) \\ &= m(\emptyset) + m(h) + m(k) + m(l) + m(n) \\ & \quad + m(h, k) + m(h, l) + m(h, n) + m(k, l) + m(k, n) + m(l, n) \\ & \quad + m(h, k, l) + m(h, k, n) + m(h, l, n) + m(k, l, n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can calculate $m(h, k, l, n) + M(h, k, l, n)$ with helps of Theorems 1.2 and 3.1. The desired result then follows. \square

Acknowledgment. The author is indebted to Prof. B. F. Chen for his asking me to note Dedekind sums, and the referee for his/her helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [L] T. W. Leung, *A note on a combinatorial identity*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **18** (1994), no. 2, 71–72.
- [NS] K. Nagasaka and J. S. Shiue, *On a theorem of Koksma on discrepancy*, in: Algebraic Structures and Number Theory (Hong Kong, 1988), 208–224, World Sci. Publishing, Teaneck, NJ, 1990.
- [R] H. Rademacher, *Zur Theorie der Modulfunktionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **167** (1931), 312–366.
- [RG] H. Rademacher and E. Grosswald, *Dedekind Sums*, Carus Mathematical Monographs, No. 16, Math. Assoc. Amer., Washington, D.C., 1972.
- [Z] D. Zagier, *Higher dimensional Dedekind sums*, Math. Ann. **202** (1973), 149–172.