

## ON THE RANGE OF A COVERING FUNCTION

ZHI-WEI SUN

Department of Mathematics and Institute of Mathematical Science  
Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China  
zwsun@nju.edu.cn  
<http://pweb.nju.edu.cn/zwsun>

ABSTRACT. Let  $\{a_s \pmod{n_s}\}_{s=1}^k$  ( $k > 1$ ) be a finite system of residue classes with the moduli  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  distinct. By means of algebraic integers we show that the range of the covering function  $w(x) = |\{1 \leq s \leq k : x \equiv a_s \pmod{n_s}\}|$  is not contained in any residue class with modulus greater than one. In particular, the values of  $w(x)$  cannot have the same parity.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , let  $a(n)$  stand for the residue class  $\{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv a \pmod{n}\}$ . A finite system

$$\{a_s(n_s)\}_{s=1}^k \quad (k > 1) \tag{1.1}$$

of residue classes is said to be a *cover* of  $\mathbb{Z}$  if  $\bigcup_{s=1}^k a_s(n_s) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

The concept of cover of  $\mathbb{Z}$  was introduced by P. Erdős ([E50]) in the early 1930s, who was particularly interested in those covers (1.1) with the moduli  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  distinct. By Example 3 of the author [S96], if  $n > 1$  is odd then

$$\{1(2), 2(2^2), \dots, 2^{n-2}(2^{n-1}), 2^{n-1}(n), 2^{n-1}2(2n), \dots, 2^{n-1}n(2^{n-1}n)\}$$

forms a cover of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with distinct moduli. Covers of  $\mathbb{Z}$  have been studied by various researchers (cf. [G04] and [PS]) and many surprising applications have been found (see, e.g. [F02], [S00], [S01] and [S03b]).

Here are two major open problems concerning covers of  $\mathbb{Z}$  (see sections E23, F13 and F14 of [G04] for references to these and other conjectures).

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B25; Secondary 11A07, 11A25, 11B75, 11R04.

The author is supported by the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (No. 10425103) and the Key Program of NSF (No. 10331020) in P. R. China.

**Erdős–Selfridge Conjecture.** *Let (1.1) be a cover of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with distinct moduli. Then  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  cannot be all odd and greater than one.*

**Schinzel’s Conjecture.** *If (1.1) is a cover of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then there is a modulus  $n_t$  dividing another modulus  $n_s$ .*

For system (1.1), the function  $w : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by

$$w(x) = |\{1 \leq s \leq k : x \in a_s(n_s)\}| \quad (1.2)$$

is called its *covering function*. Obviously  $w(x)$  is periodic modulo the least common multiple  $N = [n_1, \dots, n_k]$  of the moduli  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ .

Now we list some known results concerning the covering function  $w(x)$ .

(i) The arithmetic mean of  $w(x)$  with  $x$  in a period equals  $\sum_{s=1}^k 1/n_s$ .

(ii) (Z. W. Sun [S95, S96]) The covering function  $w(x)$  takes its minimum on every set of

$$\left| \left\{ 0 \leq \theta < 1 : \sum_{s \in I} \frac{m_s}{n_s} - \theta \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for some } I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} \right\} \right|$$

consecutive integers, where  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  are given integers relatively prime to  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  respectively.

(iii) (Z. W. Sun [S03a]) The maximal value of  $w(x)$  can be written in the form  $\sum_{s=1}^k m_s/n_s$  with  $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

(iv) (Š. Porubský [P75]) If  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  are distinct, then  $[n_1, \dots, n_k]$  is the smallest positive period of the function  $w(x)$ .

(v) (Z. W. Sun [S03a]) If  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is a period of the function  $w(x)$ , then for any  $t = 1, \dots, k$  we have

$$\left\{ \sum_{s \in I} \frac{1}{n_s} : I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{t\} \right\} \supseteq \left\{ \frac{r}{n_t} : r \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 0 \leq r < \frac{n_t}{(n_0, n_t)} \right\},$$

where  $(n_0, n_t)$  denotes the greatest common divisor of  $n_0$  and  $n_t$ .

(vi) (Z. W. Sun [S04]) The function  $w(x)$  is constant if  $w(x)$  equals a constant for  $|S|$  consecutive integers  $x$  where

$$S = \left\{ \frac{r}{n_s} : r = 0, \dots, n_s - 1; s = 1, \dots, k \right\}.$$

In this paper we study the range of a covering function (via algebraic integers) for the first time. Proofs of the theorems below will be given in the next section.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that the range of the covering function of (1.1) is contained in a residue class with modulus  $m$ . Then, for any  $t = 1, \dots, k$  with  $mn_t \nmid [n_1, \dots, n_k]$ , we have  $n_t \mid n_s$  for some  $1 \leq s \leq k$  with  $s \neq t$ .*

**Corollary 1.1.** *If the covering function  $w(x)$  of (1.1) is constant, then for any  $t = 1, \dots, k$  there is an  $s \neq t$  such that  $n_t \mid n_s$ , and in particular  $n_k = n_{k-1}$  provided that  $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_{k-1} \leq n_k$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $w(x) = c$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Choose an integer  $m > [n_1, \dots, n_k]$ . As  $c(m)$  contains the range of  $w(x)$ , the desired result follows from Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

*Remark 1.1.* When (1.1) is a disjoint cover of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.,  $w(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the first part of Corollary 1.1 was given by B. Novák and Š. Zná́m [NZ] and the second part was originally obtained by H. Davenport, L. Mirsky, D. Newman and R. Radó independently. Corollary 1.1 appeared in Porubský [P75].

**Corollary 1.2.** *Suppose that those moduli in (1.1) which are maximal with respect to divisibility are distinct. Then  $w(\mathbb{Z}) = \{w(x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  cannot be contained in a residue class other than  $0(1) = \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e., for any prime  $p$  there is an  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $w(x) \not\equiv w(0) \pmod{p}$ . In particular, those  $w(x)$  with  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  cannot have the same parity.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $w(\mathbb{Z})$  is contained in a residue class with modulus  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For each modulus  $n_t$  maximal with respect to divisibility, there is no  $s \neq t$  such that  $n_t \mid n_s$ , thus  $mn_t$  divides  $N = [n_1, \dots, n_k]$  by Theorem 1.1. Since  $N$  is also the least common multiple of those moduli  $n_t$  maximal with respect to divisibility, we must have  $mN \mid N$  and hence  $m = 1$ . This ends the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 1.2.* In contrast with the Erdős–Selfridge conjecture, Corollary 1.2 indicates that *if (1.1) is a cover of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with distinct moduli then not every integer is covered by (1.1) an odd number of times.*

Here is another related result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $A = \{a_s(n_s)\}_{s=1}^k$  and  $B = \{b_t(m_t)\}_{t=1}^l$  both have distinct moduli. Then  $A$  and  $B$  are identical provided that  $w_A(x) \equiv w_B(x) \pmod{m}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $w_A$  and  $w_B$  are covering functions of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively, and  $m$  is an integer not dividing  $N = [n_1, \dots, n_k, m_1, \dots, m_l]$ .*

*Remark 1.3.* In 1975 Zná́m [Z75] extended a uniqueness theorem of S. K. Stein [St] as follows: Under the condition of Theorem 1.2, we have  $A = B$  if  $w_A = w_B$ . This follows from Theorem 1.2 by taking  $m > N$ .

Theorem 1.1 can be refined as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be weights assigned to the  $k$  residue classes in (1.1) respectively. Suppose that  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is the smallest positive period of  $w(x) = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k, n_s \mid x - a_s} \lambda_s$  modulo  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and that  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  does*

not divide  $n_0$  but  $I(d) = \{1 \leq s \leq k : d \mid n_s\} \neq \emptyset$ . Then, either  $m$  divides  $[n_1, \dots, n_k] \sum_{s \in I(d)} \lambda_s/n_s$ , or we have

$$|I(d)| \geq |\{a_s \bmod d : s \in I(d)\}| \geq \min_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq k \\ s \notin I(d)}} \frac{d}{(d, n_s)} \geq p(d) \quad (1.3)$$

where  $p(d)$  denotes the smallest prime divisor of  $d$ .

*Remark 1.4.* Theorem 1.3 in the case  $m = 0$  was first obtained by the author [S91] in 1991, an extension of this was given in [S04].

Instead of (1.1) we can also consider a finite system of residue classes in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  (cf. [S04]) and deduce  $n$ -dimensional versions of Theorems 1.1–1.3.

## 2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1–1.3

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Without any loss of generality we assume that  $0 \leq a_s < n_s$  for  $s = 1, \dots, k$ . Set  $N = [n_1, \dots, n_k]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} w(r)z^r &= \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq k \\ n_s \mid a_s - r}} z^r = \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{\substack{0 \leq r < N \\ r \in a_s(n_s)}} z^r \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k z^{a_s} \sum_{0 \leq q < N/n_s} (z^{n_s})^q \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq k \\ z^{n_s} = 1}} \frac{N}{n_s} z^{a_s} + (1 - z^N) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq k \\ z^{n_s} \neq 1}} \frac{z^{a_s}}{1 - z^{n_s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $w(r) = a + mq_r$  for each  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $a, q_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$  but  $\alpha N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} w(r)e^{2\pi i \alpha r} = m \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} q_r e^{2\pi i \alpha r}$$

and also

$$\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} w(r)e^{2\pi i \alpha r} = \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ \alpha n_s \in \mathbb{Z}}}^k \frac{N}{n_s} e^{2\pi i \alpha a_s},$$

therefore we have the following congruence

$$\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ \alpha n_s \in \mathbb{Z}}}^k \frac{N}{n_s} e^{2\pi i \alpha a_s} \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \quad (2.1)$$

in the ring of all algebraic integers.

If  $1 \leq t \leq k$  and  $n_t \mid n_s$  for no  $s \in \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{t\}$ , then by applying (2.1) with  $\alpha = 1/n_t < 1$  we obtain that

$$\frac{N}{n_t} e^{2\pi i a_t/n_t} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

and hence  $m$  divides  $N/n_t$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Without any loss of generality, we assume that  $n_1 > \dots > n_k$  and  $m_1 > \dots > m_l$ . As  $w_A(x) - w_B(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by modifying the proof of Theorem 1.1 slightly, we find that if  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$  but  $\alpha N \in \mathbb{Z}$  then

$$\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ \alpha n_s \in \mathbb{Z}}}^k \frac{N}{n_s} e^{2\pi i \alpha a_s} - \sum_{\substack{t=1 \\ \alpha m_t \in \mathbb{Z}}}^l \frac{N}{m_t} e^{2\pi i \alpha b_t} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}. \quad (2.2)$$

In the case  $d = \max\{m_1, n_1\} > 1$ , by applying (2.2) with  $\alpha = 1/d$  and the hypothesis  $m \nmid N$ , we get that  $m_1 = n_1$  and

$$\frac{N}{d} \left( e^{2\pi i a_1/d} - e^{2\pi i b_1/d} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

If  $a_1 \not\equiv b_1 \pmod{d}$ , then  $z = 1 - e^{2\pi i (b_1 - a_1)/d}$  is a zero of the monic polynomial  $(-1)^{d-1} P(1-x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  where  $P(x) = (1-x^d)/(1-x) = 1+x+\dots+x^{d-1}$ , hence  $z$  divides the constant term  $P(1) = d$  of  $P(1-x)$  in the ring of algebraic integers. As  $m$  does not divide  $N$ , we must have  $a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{d}$  and so  $a_1(n_1) = b_1(m_1)$ . Now that

$$|\{1 < s \leq k : x \in a_s(n_s)\}| \equiv |\{1 < t \leq l : x \in b_t(m_t)\}| \pmod{m}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can continue the above procedure to obtain that

$$a_2(n_2) = b_2(m_2), \dots, a_{\min\{k,l\}}(n_{\min\{k,l\}}) = b_{\min\{k,l\}}(m_{\min\{k,l\}}).$$

If  $k \neq l$ , say  $k > l$ , then  $m\mathbb{Z}$  contains the range of the covering function of  $\{a_s(n_s)\}_{s=l+1}^k$  and this contradicts Theorem 1.1 since  $m \nmid [n_{l+1}, \dots, n_k]$  and  $n_{l+1} > \dots > n_k$ . So  $A = B$  and we are done.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $N = [n_1, \dots, n_k]$ . Clearly  $(n_0, N) \in n_0\mathbb{Z} + N\mathbb{Z}$  is also a period of  $w(x) \pmod{m}$ , so  $(n_0, N) = n_0$  and hence  $n_0 \mid N$ . Observe that

$$\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ x \in a_s(n_s)}}^k \lambda_s - \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ x \in r(n_0)}}^{n_0-1} w(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

for each  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, if  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d \nmid c$  then

$$\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ (c/d)n_s \in \mathbb{Z}}}^k \lambda_s \frac{N}{n_s} e^{2\pi i \frac{c}{d} a_s} - \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ (c/d)n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}}}^{n_0-1} w(r) \frac{N}{n_0} e^{2\pi i \frac{c}{d} r} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}. \quad (2.3)$$

For any  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  divisible by none of those  $d/(d, n_s)$  with  $0 \leq s \leq k$  and  $s \notin I(d)$ , we have

$$d \mid cn_s \iff \frac{d}{(d, n_s)} \mid c \iff d \mid n_s \iff s \in I(d),$$

therefore (2.3) yields that

$$\sum_{s \in I(d)} \lambda_s \frac{N}{n_s} e^{2\pi i \frac{c}{d} a_s} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

Let

$$R = \{0 \leq r < d : a_s \equiv r \pmod{d} \text{ for some } s \in I(d)\}$$

and suppose that  $|R| < \min_{0 \leq s \leq k, s \notin I(d)} d/(d, n_s)$ . By the above,

$$u_n := \sum_{r \in R} c_r (e^{2\pi i \frac{r}{d}})^n \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ for every } n = 1, \dots, |R|,$$

where  $c_r = N \sum_{s \in I(d), a_s \equiv r \pmod{d}} \lambda_s / n_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a linear recurrence of order  $|R|$  with characteristic polynomial  $\prod_{r \in R} (x - e^{2\pi i r/d})$  whose coefficients are algebraic integers, we have  $u_n \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  for every  $n = |R| + 1, |R| + 2, \dots$ . In particular,  $\sum_{r \in R} c_r = u_d \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , i.e.,  $m$  divides  $N \sum_{s \in I(d)} \lambda_s / n_s$ . We are done.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author thanks the referee for his constructive comments.

## REFERENCES

- [E50] P. Erdős, *On integers of the form  $2^k + p$  and some related problems*, Summa Brasil. Math. **2** (1950), 113–123.
- [F02] M. Filaseta, *Coverings of the integers associated with an irreducibility theorem of A. Schinzel*, in: Number Theory for the Millennium (Urbana, IL, 2000), Vol. II, pp. 1-24, A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002.
- [G04] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*, 3rd Edition, Springer, New York, 2004.
- [P75] Š. Porubský, *Covering systems and generating functions*, Acta Arith. **26** (1975), 223–231.

- [PS] Š. Porubský and J. Schönheim, *Covering systems of Paul Erdős: past, present and future*, in: Paul Erdős and his Mathematics. I (edited by G. Halász, L. Lovász, M. Simonvits, V. T. Sós), Bolyai Soc. Math. Studies 11, Budapest, 2002, pp. 581–627.
- [NZ] B. Novák and Š. Znam, *Disjoint covering systems*, Amer. Math. Monthly **81** (1974), 42–45.
- [St] S. K. Stein, *Unions of arithmetic sequences*, Math. Ann. **134** (1958), 289–294.
- [S91] Z. W. Sun, *An improvement to the Znam–Newman result*, Chinese Quart. J. Math. **6** (1991), no. 3, 90–96.
- [S95] Z. W. Sun, *Covering the integers by arithmetic sequences*, Acta Arith. **72** (1995), 109–129.
- [S96] Z. W. Sun, *Covering the integers by arithmetic sequences II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 4279–4320.
- [S00] Z. W. Sun, *On integers not of the form  $\pm p^a \pm q^b$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 997–1002.
- [S01] Z. W. Sun, *Algebraic approaches to periodic arithmetical maps*, J. Algebra **240** (2001), 723–743.
- [S03a] Z. W. Sun, *On the function  $w(x) = |\{1 \leq s \leq k : x \equiv a_s \pmod{n_s}\}|$* , Combinatorica **23** (2003), 681–691.
- [S03b] Z. W. Sun, *Unification of zero-sum problems, subset sums and covers of  $\mathbb{Z}$* , Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (2003), 51–60.
- [S04] Z. W. Sun, *Arithmetic properties of periodic maps*, Math. Res. Lett. **11** (2004), 187–196.
- [Z75] Š. Znam, *On properties of systems of arithmetic sequences*, Acta Arith. **26** (1975), 279–283.